Index

3Com, 262
3Leaf Systems, 9
Abbot Laboratories, 14
Accelero Capital Holdings, 15
Adler and Barnett, 72, 137
ADM, 16
advanced industrial states, 6, 16, 20, 52, 59, 214, 291
AIG, 8, 164
Aixtron, 10–11, 36, 290
Alcatel, 52, 196, 216–31. See also Alcatel/Lucent case
Alcatel Alenia Space, 220, 226, 227
Alcatel/Lucent case, 52, 200, 216–30, 244–6, 255, 275, 285, Figures 9, 28, 30, 34
Alcoa, 2, 143
Algeria, 274
Alliant Techsystems, 14
Allstream, 15
Alistom, 3, 5, 102
Aluminum Corporation of China. See Chinaalco
American International Group. See AIG
AMF, 100–1
Amoco, 99, 128
AP Moller-Maersk, 177
Arcelor, 11, 54, 263
Archer Daniel Midland. See ADM
Argentina
FDI law/regulation
national security and, 32
Arktikgaz, 207
ARM Holdings, 249
AstraZeneca, 212–13
ASX, 15
Australia, 72, 181
Australian companies, 1, 15–16. See also Macquarie/PCCW case, Macquarie
China and, 140–3, 147, 289
concept of national security and, 37
FDI
intervention on national security grounds and, 13
FDI law/regulation
national interest and, 14–16, 59
national security and, 14–16, 32, 37, 59
Austria
Austrian companies, 12
FDI law/regulation
national security and, 32
Autorité des Marchés Financiers. See AMF
Aventis, 5
Avio, 13
Azerbaijan, 109, 124
BAE Systems, 12, 54, 198, 211–12, 264, 265. See also Finmeccanica/BAE Systems case, BAE Systems/UDI case
BAE Systems North America, 198, 264
BAE Systems North America. See BAE Systems
BAE Systems/UDI case, 198, 264, 265, Figure 9
balance of power, 1, 2, 5, 18, 22, 38, 40, 41, 123, 296
balancing, 17, 21, 23, 31, Figure 3
external balancing, 41
hard balancing, 43
internal balancing, 41
non-military internal balancing, 5–6, 22–5, 31, 40–55, 60–2, 70, 75, 80, 83–4, 90, 93, 97–8, 104, 126, 133, 134, 147, 158, 163–5, 185, 196, 199, 216, 245, 255, 259, 268, 269, 271, 278–9, 283–7, 291–7, Figures 3, 4, 5, 33
definition of, 5–6

351
balancing (cont.)
overbalancing, 22–4, 62, 93, 97, 158, 163, 165–6, 182, 185, 292–4, 300.
See also DPW/P&O case
strategic sectors and, 99
theory of, 40–55, 60
versus soft balancing, 43
underbalancing, 40, 93, 148, 158, 187
Bangladesh, 109
Beida Jade Bird, 15
Belarus, 274
Belgium, 271–2, 275, 277
Belgian companies, 271, 277
Bell Laboratories, 220–2, 224, 227–8
BHP Billiton, 1–2, 15, 142–3
board resolution, 51–2, 67, 200
Boeing, 169
bounded intervention. See also bounded intervention by country, and cross-border M&A: bounded intervention
BP, 99, 128
Brawley, 42–3, 270
Brazil, 290
Brazilian companies, 1
British Petroleum. See BP
Bulgari, 12
Bundesdruckerei, 12
Cadbury, 212
Canada, 72, 181
Canadian companies, 10, 15, 16, 122
China and, 289
concept of national security and, 32
FDI intervention on national security grounds and, 13
FDI law/regulation
national security and, 14–15, 32, 59
net benefit and, 14–15, 59
strategic sectors and, 15
Canyon Bridge, 10, 36
Carlyle Group, 13
CATIC, 36, 128
CDP Equity, 3
Centrica, 212
Ceres Marine Terminals, 177
CGG, 255–7. See also CGG/Veritas case
CGG/Veritas case, 255–7, 278–9, Figures 9, 30
Check Point, 8, 93, 134–40. See also Check Point/Sourcefire case
Check Point Software Technologies. See Check Point
Check Point/Sourcefire case, 99, 134–40, 147, 223, 285, Figures 9, 26, 27, 34
ChemChina, 150
Chevron, 109–10, 115–17, 128–33
China, 6, 43, 59–60, 99, 171, 181, 213, 223, 227, 233, 244, 290–1, 296
Australia and, 140–7
bounded intervention by, 199, 203–6
Chinese companies, 2–3, 8–11, 13, 15–16, 121, 160, 175, 177, 289–90, 301. See also Lenovo, PCCW, CNOOC
concept of national security and, 6, 32, 37
economic nationalism and, 13, 141, 143–5, 147
economic patriotism and, 13
FDI formal veto and, 203
intervention on national security grounds and, 13. See also China: unbounded intervention by levels of, 53, 99, 214
FDI law/regulation, 144–5, 201–6
anti-trust review and, 146, 202
institutionalization and, 52–3, 201, 202, 203, 214
national security and, 13, 32, 37, 145, 199, 202–6
transparency and, 53
Germany and, 289, 290
going out strategy, 289
Israel and, 137
national champions and, 122, 144
nationalism and, 291
strategic investment and, 1, 36, 117, 282, 289–90
strategic sectors and, 13, 99, 145, 201–2, 203, 214
UK and, 289–90
unbounded intervention by, 99, 140–7, 203–5. See also Macquarie/PCCW case
Index

US and, 8–11, 36, 39, 45, 109–34, 231–44, 245, 246, 262, 289–90. See also CNOOC/Unocal case, Lenovo/IBM case
China National Aero-Technology Import and Export Corporation. See CATIC
China National Offshore Oil Corporation, 3, 8, 109, 121. See also CNOOC
China Netcom, 140–1, 144, 147
China Petroleum & Chemical Corp., 122
China Venture Capital Fund. See CVCF
China IC Industry Investment Fund, 10
Chinalco, 2, 143
CITGO, 99, 128, 177
Citigroup, 257
Clearwire, 9
CNOOC, 3, 8, 40, 93, 109–34, 222–3, 226, 228. See also CNOOC/Unocal case
CNOOC/Unocal case, 98, 109–34, 139, 147, 148, 165, 166, 170, 175, 186, 219, 222–3, 226, 228, 231–2, 234, 236, 238–40, 234–4, 246, Figures 9, 26, 27, 34
Coca Cola, 13
Committee on Foreign Investments in the United States. See CFIUS
Compagnie Générale de Géophysique. See CGG
COSCO, 128, 175, 177
Credit Suisse, 257
cross-border M&A. See also foreign direct investment
as a driver of globalization, 2–3, 18–23 bounded intervention. See Chapter 5, and bounded intervention by country
definition of, 6, 51–3, 196–9, Figure 5
definition of, 17
economic interdependence and, 18–23 general regulation of, 17–18 global levels of, 19–21 globalization and, 18–23, 293 internal intervention. See Chapter 6, and internal intervention by country
definition of, 55, Figure 5
intervention as tool of statecraft, 31, 62
intervention on national security grounds and. See FDI: intervention on national security grounds by country
intervention on national security grounds and the primary hypothesis, 2–3, 47, 55, 255, 257
intervention within security communities and. See FDI: intervention within security communities by country
national security risks and, 3, 7–8, 32–8 non-military internal balancing and, 4–5, 16, 24
politicization of foreign takeovers, 20, 165, 169, 185, 186, 284, 293, 299–300. See also FDI: politicization by country
sovereign right to veto, 44, 50–1, 94–5, 96, 101, 105, 292, 299. See also FDI: formal veto by country, international law: sovereign right to veto foreign takeovers, and i.e. US: FDI: presidential veto
unbounded intervention. See Chapters 3 and 4, and unbounded intervention by country
definition of, 6, 50, 94–7, Figure 5
cross-shareholding, 55, 268
CSX, 177
Cuba, 223, 227
CVCF, 10
Danone, 3, 5, 93, 100–9. See also PepsiCo/Danone case
Distrigaz, 277
Doncasters, 184–5
DPW, 3, 8, 93, 158–86. See also DPW/P&O case
DPW/P&O case, 24, 97, 111, 137, 158–86, 219, 220, 222–3, 226, 228, 236, 238, 287, 293, 300, Figures 9, 26
Dubai International Capital, 184–5
Dubai Ports World. See DPW
E.ON, 11
EADS, 12, 221
definition of, 26
resurgance and non-military internal balancing, 291–3
economic security, 51, 127–8, 170, 199, 205, 222, 238, 284
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDF, 12, 213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edison, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrabel, 271, 275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emcore, 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endesa, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enel, 207, 271–2, 274–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eni, 207, 277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EniNeftegaz, 207</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU, 4, 13, 20, 59–60, 72, 106, 206, 212, 263, 272–4, 290–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bounded intervention by, 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic liberalism and, 22, 281</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic nationalism and, 73, 263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Commission, 4, 11, 12, 47, 105, 223, 230, 273, 275–7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European companies, 5, 15, 221, 273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Court of Justice, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Parliament, 273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI intervention within security communities and, 4, 11, 12, 45, 80, 286, 287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>levels of, 99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI law/regulation, 12, 101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>institutionalization and, 52, 214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national security and, 4–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liberal economic order and, 292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic sectors and, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe, 19, 274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China and, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWFs and, 79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Economic Area, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Free Trade Association, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evergreen clause, 52, 218, 224–5, 227, 229, Figure 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exxon-Florio, 7, 36, 110, 111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>export control, 35, 99, 124, 138, 140, 147, 198, 200, 225, 241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland FDI law/regulation national security and, 13, 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fimmeccanica, 211–12, 220. See also Fimmeccanica/BAE Systems case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fimmeccanica/BAE Systems case, 211–12, Figure 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINSA, 9, 34, 111, 164, 295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FirstGold, 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluxys, 277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond Stratégique d'Investissement, 3, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondo Strategico Italiano. See CDP Equity foreign direct investment. See also FDI and FDI law/regulation by country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definition of, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>general regulation of, 17–18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implications of, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007. See FINSA France, 12, 60, 98, 268, 271–9, 299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concept of national security and, 23, 32, 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic nationalism and, 5, 106–8, 148, 219, 273, 275, 277, 285, 300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economic patriotism and, 5, 107–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI formal veto and, 101, 105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervention on national security grounds and, 3. See also France: internal intervention by, and France: unbounded intervention by intervention within security communities and, 4, 286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI law/regulation, 98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national security and, 32, 37, 101–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French companies, 3, 5, 11–13, 213, 216, 263. See also Suez, GdF, CGG, Alcatel, and Danone internal intervention by, 270–8. See also GdF/Suez case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy and, 12–13, 272–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national champions and, 3, 5, 23, 100, 104–6, 109, 229, 270–1, 273, 275, 278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nationalism and, 291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>populism and, 292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic sectors and, 3, 5, 6, 101–2, 105, 220, 226, 268, 275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWFs and, 3, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unbounded intervention by, 100–9, 263. See also PepsiCo/Danone case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US and, 100–9, 185, 216–31, 246, 255–7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujian Grand Chip Investment Fund. See Grand Chip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaz de France. See GDF Gazprom, 207, 212, 274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GdF, 268, 271–8. See also GdF/Suez case GdF/Suez case, 268, 271–9, Figure 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemalto, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric, 3, 13, 102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China and, 289–90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concept of national security and, 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formal veto and, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervention on national security grounds and, 10, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervention within security communities and, 4, 12, 286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI law/regulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national security and, 12, 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German companies, 4, 10–12, 36, 268, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internal intervention by, 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national champions and, 4, 5, 268, 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>populism and, 292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic investment in WWI and, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic sectors and, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWFs and, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US and, 7, 39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilpin, 17, 21, 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencore, 269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Crossing, 251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>global financial crisis, 4, 19–20, 20, 57, 290, 293–6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global War on Terror, 8, 158, 161, 163, 165, 168–9, 172–4, 181, 184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>globalization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>backlash against, 19–21, 23, 293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defined, 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go Scale Capital, 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>golden share, 213, 275, 277, 278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definition of, 275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>government investment corporations, 79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham and Krugman, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham and Marchick, 7, 16, 19, 301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graincorp, 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Chip, 10, 36, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>great powers, 6, 19, 21, 39, 46, 103, 138, 171, 225, 239, 282, 288, 296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>greenfield investment, 17–19, 213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groupe Danone. See Danone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grundman and Roncka, 47–8, 110, 264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haier, 126, 154, 236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBOS, 249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hegemony, 43, 60, 219, 225, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benign liberal hegemon, 21, 35, 281, 292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinkley Point C, 213, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirschman, 38–9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hostile takeover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definition of, 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huawei, 9, 262, 289, 301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huiyuan Juice, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI law/regulation, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nationalism and, 291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>populism and, 292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husky Energy, 122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson Whampoa, 160, 244, 251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM, 126–7, 196, 231–44. See also Lenovo/IBM case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identrust, 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikenberry, 21, 281, 292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inchcape Shipping Services. See ISS India, 11, 171, 181, 263, 290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concept of national security and, 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian companies, 10, 263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nationalism and, 291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, 109, 125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industrializing states, 6, 16, 59, 291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>institutional investor, 1, 54, 56, 229, 260–1, 279, 302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sovereign right to veto foreign takeovers, 2–3, 32–4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inward foreign direct investment, 5, 18, 72, 75, 82, 86–7, 89, 215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran, 123, 223, 225–8, 241–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq, 242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel, 160, 168, 174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israeli companies, 8, 168. See also Check Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US and, 99, 134–40, 147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS, 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Istithmar, 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy, 12–13, 43, 271–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concept of national security and, 23, 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervention within security communities and, 4, 286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI law/regulation, 3–4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national security and, 32, 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France and, 12–13, 272–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internal intervention by, 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian companies, 3, 12–13, 207, 211, 271. See also Enel, Finmeccanica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national champions and, 5, 23, 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic sectors and, 3–4, 268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWFs and, 3–4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Japan, 106  
Abenomics and, 291  
concept of national security and, 32, 37  
economic populism and, 291  
FDI  
intervention on national security grounds and, 13–14  
FDI law/regulation  
national security and, 14, 32, 37  
nationalism and, 291  
strategic sectors and, 268  
US and, 7, 16, 39, 126–7, 236  
JP Morgan, 257–8. See also JP Morgan/Troika Dialog case  
JP Morgan/Troika Dialog case, 257–8, 278–9, Figure 9  
J-Power, 14  
Keohane and Nye, 24, 38–9, 287  
Kidman & Company, 16  
KKR, 149  
Korea  
FDI law/regulation  
national security and, 32  
Kraft, 212  
L-3 Communications, 265  
Lattice Semiconductor, 10–11, 36, 290  
Legend Holdings, 231–2, 241  
Lenovo, 126, 196, 231–44. See also Lenovo/IBM case  
Lenovo/IBM case, 215, 231–6, Figures 9, 28, 34  
law economic order, xi, 4, 21, 281, 292–3, 295  
Libya, 260  
limited facility clearance, 52, 200  
Lincoln Mining, 10  
Lithuania  
concept of national security and, 37  
FDI law/regulation  
national security and, 32, 37  
Lloyds TSB, 249  
Lucent Technologies, 196, 216–31. See also Alcatel/Lucent case  
Lumileds Holding, 26  
MacDonald Detweiller. See MDA  
Macquarie, 13, 93, 140–7. See also Macquarie/PCCW case  
Macquarie/PCCW case, 99, 140–7, 202, Figures 9, 26, 27, 34  
MAMCO, 36, 128  
Manitoba Telecom Services, 15  
Maytag, 126, 127  
MDA, 15  
Mexico, 125  
FDI law/regulation  
national security and, 32  
miscalculation, 22–3, 165, 185, 292, 294, 296  
mitigation measures, 51–3, 200, 218, 229, 235, 242. See also Voting Trust Agreement, Proxy Agreement, evergreen clause, Security Control Agreement, National Security Agreement, Special Security Agreement, limited facility clearance, board resolution  
Mittal, 11, 54, 263  
MOL, 12  
Moran, 38, 264  
MTU Aero Engines, 149  
Mubadala Development, 13  
Myanmar, 109, 223, 227  
national security  
concept of, 6–7, 32–4. See also concept of national security by country  
national security concept of, 6–7, 32–4. See also concept of national security by country sectors, commonly identified, 57–9. See also strategic sectors  
risks and cross-border M&A, 34–8  
National Security Agreement, 200, 226, 263, 264, Figure 15  
nCipher, 155  
Netherlands  
concept of national security and, 32  
Dutch companies, 11, 12  
populism and, 292  
New Zealand, 72  
concept of national security and, 37  
FDI law/regulation  
national security and, 32, 37  
Nexen, 26  
non-military internal balancing. See balancing: non-military internal balancing  
North Korea, 223, 227, 242
Index

Northwest Nonferrous, 9
Norway, 177, 274
Nye, 19, 43, 291–3
OMV, 12
P&O, 3, 8, 93, 158–86. See also DPW/P&O case
Parmalat, 12
PCCW, 13, 93, 140–7. See also Macquarie/PCCW case
PDVSA, 99, 128
Peninsular & Oriental Steam Navigation Company. See P&O
PepsiCo, 3, 93, 100–9. See also PepsiCo/Danone case
PepsiCo/Danone case, 59, 98, 100–9, 134, 148, 219, 225–6, 275, 285, 299, Figures 9, 26, 27, 34
Petróleos de Venezuela. See PDVSA
Petrovax Pharm, 14
Pfizer, 212
Philippines, 109
Philips, 26
Piaggio Aero, 13
poison pill, 14, 276
Poland
FDI law/regulation national security and, 12 strategic sectors and, 12
Polaris, 10
pessimism, 291–2
Porsche, 268
PotashCorp, 15
Ralls, 10, 27, 36
Rio Tinto, 1, 142
Royal Dutch Shell. See Shell
Rusal, 269. See also Rusal/Sual case
Rusal/Sual case, 269, Figure 9
Russia, 37, 43, 59–60, 244, 260, 263, 274, 275, 290, 296
China and, 290 concept of national security and, 32 economic nationalism and, 257, 258 FDI formal veto and, 209 intervention on national security grounds and, 13, 14, 207, 209. See Russia: internal intervention by levels of, 53, 99 FDI law/regulation, 206–10 anti-trust review and, 206 institutionalization and, 52–3, 206, 214 national security and, 14, 32, 199, 206 transparency and, 53 internal intervention by, 268–70. See also Rusal/Sual case
national champions and, 258, 270 nationalism and, 291 no intervention by, 257–8. See also JP Morgan/Troika Dialog case
Russian companies, 212, 263, 274. See also Sual, Rusal, Troika Dialog
strategic investment and, 282 strategic sectors and, 99, 206, 207–9, 214 unbounded intervention by, 99 US and, 257–8
SafeNet, 155
Sagem, 12
security community. See also FDI: intervention within security communities by country
definition of, 72 Security Control Agreement, 52, 67, 200
Sego, 275
sensitive industries. See strategic sectors.
sensitive sectors. See strategic sectors.
September 11, 2001, 7, 19, 43, 51, 57–8, 80, 160, 163, 168, 172–4
Sequoia Voting Systems, 8
Severstal, 263
SGX, 15
Shambaugh, 38
Shell, 15
Shuanghui International Holdings, 150
Sinomach, 10
Smartmatic, 8
Smithfield Foods, 150
Société Générale, 5
Softbank, 9, 249
Sourcefire, 8, 93, 134–40. See also Check Point/Sourcefire case
sovereign wealth funds, 3, 5, 12, 79, 160, 171–2, 184, 260, 282, 289, 294, 302
Spain
FDI
intervention within security communities and, 4, 11, 286
internal intervention by, 270
national champions and, 5, 270
Spanish companies, 11
strategic sectors and, 268
SPE, 277
Special Security Agreement, 52, 54, 67, 200, 224, 226
Sprint, 9
SSA Marine, 177
state-owned enterprises, 10, 15, 36, 208, 289, 301
state-owned national oil companies, 121–2
strategic sectors, 5, 42, 79, 99, 199, 264, 268–9, 278, 288, Figure 10. See also strategic sectors by country commonly identified, 57–9
concept of, 6–7
Sual, 269. See also Rusal/Sual case
Sudan, 123, 223, 227
Sudanese companies, 122
Suez, 268, 271–8. See also GdF/Suez case
superpower, 104, 118, 228
Sweden, 216
Switzerland
Swiss companies, 257, 269
Syngenta, 150
Synopec, 122
Syria, 223, 227, 291
systemic change, 287–91
Tangshan Caofeidian Investment Corporation. See TCIC
target company
definition of, 26
TCI, 14
TCIC, 9
Telespacio, 220, 226–7
Temasek, 160
Texaco, 129
Thailand, 109
Thales, 220–1, 226
Thunder FZE, 159, Figure 26
TPG Newbridge, 141
Troika Dialog, 257–8. See also JP Morgan/Troika Dialog case
Turkey, 124
Tyson, 38–9, 72, 301
UAE, 8. See also DPW/P&O case
concept of national security and, 32
UAE companies, 13. See also DPW
US and, 39–40, 158–86
UDI, 198, 264, 265. See also BAESystems/UDI case
UK, 8, 12, 162, 166–7, 169, 181, 244, 289 bounded intervention by, 211–12. See also Finmeccanica/BAE Systems case
Brexit and, 4, 11, 20, 212, 286, 291
cconcept of national security and, 32
economic nationalism and, 11, 170, 292
FDI law/regulation, 210–13
anti-trust review and, 210
national security and, 32, 199, 210–13, 290
public interest test and, 210–13
nationalism and, 291
strategic sectors and, 211
UAE and, 170–3
UK companies, 12, 14, 161, 177, 184, 212–13. See also DPW/P&O case,
P&O, BAESystems
national champions and, 165, 170
Ukraine, 274, 290
unbounded intervention. See also
unbounded intervention by country, and cross-border M&A: unbounded intervention
United Defense Industries. See UDI
United Kingdom. See UK
Unocal, 3, 8, 40, 93, 109–34, 228. See also CNOOC/Unocal case
US, 12, 16, 23, 59–60, 206, 213, 244, 284, 288–90, 296, 300
Index