

Index

- Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 24–5, 26, 64, 351
 - umbrella clause 369
- ACIA *see* Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA)
- Act of State doctrine 13–14
 - doctrine of non-justiciability, and 460–76
- admissibility
 - contractually based investment arbitration, preliminary objections to 113
 - exhaustion of local remedies 117–18
- ICSID: jurisdiction and admissibility 114–15, 119–21
 - admissibility, place in arbitrations of 114–15, 119–21
 - bifurcation between preliminary objections and merits 124–6
 - claims manifestly without legal merit 126–8
 - competence and jurisdiction 114–15, 119–21, 125
 - consent and other issues 131–2
 - investment, incompatibility with domestic law of 130–1
 - investment, whether satisfying definition of 130
 - investor, failure to satisfy definition of 128–30
 - objections to 124, 125, 126–32
 - procedure for addressing objections to 124–6
- international investment arbitration 112–43
 - consequences of distinction between jurisdiction and admissibility, 121–4
 - distinguishing between jurisdiction and admissibility, 115–17
 - jurisdiction and admissibility 117–21
 - objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 128–32
 - preliminary objections relating to jurisdiction and admissibility 113, 121
 - procedure for addressing objections to jurisdiction/admissibility 124–8
- investors' objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 128–30
 - failure by legal persons to comply with definition of investor 129–30
 - failure by natural persons to satisfy definition of 'investor' 129
- jurisdiction and admissibility 114–21
 - ICSID Convention 114–15, 119–21
 - international investment arbitration, in 117–21
 - jurisdiction and admissibility, distinguishing 115–17
 - jurisdiction and admissibility, consequences of distinction 121–4
 - challenges, consequence for 124
 - critical date for determining jurisdiction 122
 - handling objections, consequences for 123
 - no consequence 121–2
 - objections to admissibility, waiver of 123
 - post-request developments, consequences for 122
 - stay, consequence for 123
 - waiver of conditions 123
 - objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 128–32
 - consent and other issues 131–2
 - investment, incompatibility with domestic law of 130–1
 - investment, whether satisfying definition of 130
 - investor, satisfying definition of 128–9
 - preliminary objections relating to jurisdiction and admissibility 113, 121
 - State, conduct by 130
 - most-favoured-nation treatment 318
- annulment
 - annulment committees, approach of 384–5
 - ICSID awards, grounds for annulment of 452–3
 - ICSID Convention 213
 - excess of powers, for 124
 - non-precluded- measures clauses, interpretation of 384–5
 - State contributing to crisis, impact of 387
- applicable laws 144–64
 - burden of proof 166–73, 179
 - equality of arms, and 166–7
 - meaning of 166
 - onus probandi actori incumbit* 166–7, 179
 - 'shifting burden' 172–3
 - 'vanishing burden' 167–71
- evidence 165–80
 - no hard and fast rules governing assessment of 165
- importance of determining applicable law 144–5
- lex arbitri* 156–61

516 Index

- applicable laws (cont.)
 - choice reflecting claimant's preferences 157–9
 - ICSID Arbitration Rules offering greater certainty of enforcement 159–60
 - nature of 157
 - no requirement to justify choice of *lex arbitri* 159
 - procedural rules governing arbitrations, as 156
- lex causae* 145–56
 - arbitral mandate and choice-of-laws 155–6
 - interplay of laws 150–5
 - sources of laws 145–50
- lex loci arbitri* 161–3
 - enforcement under New York Convention, mandatory rules and 162–3
 - importance of mandatory rules of the forum 161–2
 - mandatory laws varying from country to country 161
- standard of proof 174–80
 - balance of probabilities 174
 - circumstantial evidence 174–7
 - nature of 174
 - no evidence 177–9
- substantive and procedural applicable laws 145
- arbitration 1
 - ad hoc arbitration
 - ICSID versus ad hoc arbitration 106–10
 - UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules 100, 106
 - adjudication and other investment dispute bodies, call for return to 105–6
 - 'arbitration without privity' 86, 87, 88–94
 - arbitrators *see* arbitrators
 - challenging awards *see* challenging and enforcing awards
 - commercial arbitration *see* international commercial arbitration
 - consent
 - arbitration depending on consent of the parties 92
 - forms of 94–5
 - contractually based arbitration *see* contractually based investment arbitration
 - costs and legal fees *see* costs and legal fees
 - enforcement of awards *see* challenging and enforcing awards
 - forms of 2
 - investment arbitration *see* international investment arbitration
 - investment treaty arbitration *see* investment treaty arbitration
 - compensation, standard of 1
 - ICC arbitration *see* ICC arbitration
 - ICSID *see* ICSID arbitration
 - international arbitration preceding international adjudication 30
 - international commercial arbitration *see* international commercial arbitration
 - investment contract arbitration *see* international investment arbitration; contractually based investment arbitration
- ISDS 2
 - legal fees *see* costs and legal fees
 - private adjudication, as form of 62
 - procedural preconditions 100–3
 - exhaustion of local remedies 100–1
 - 'fork in the road', 'no u-turn' clauses, and parallel proceedings 100, 101–3
 - State's consent to arbitration 2
 - immunity, lifting 182–3
 - treaty arbitration *see* investment treaty arbitration
 - 'arbitration without privity' 86, 87, 88–94
 - commercial and investment arbitration as separate concurrent options 88–91
 - criticism of 92–3
 - first case involving 'privity without contract' 88–94
 - meaning of 86
- arbitrators
 - appointments, survey of 77–8
 - arbitrator challenges 109
 - behaviour in asymmetrical adjudication 76
 - codes of conduct 500
 - conflicts of interest 500
 - fees 428
 - Panel of Arbitrators, choosing from 28
 - selection of 76–8
 - single arbitrator/single umpires 34, 35
- Argentina–France BIT
 - most-favoured-nation treatment 309
- Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ASR) 3, 32
 - attribution of conduct to a State 130
 - circumstances precluding wrongfulness 344–6
 - compensation 398–9
 - consent 344, 390–2
 - consequences of invocation 397–9
 - countermeasures in respect of internationally wrongful act 344, 392–5
 - defences or excuses in internal legal systems, operating as 376–7
 - distress 344–5
 - force majeure 344
 - justification or excuse, whether 389–90
 - necessity 345, 346, 384, 395–7
 - self-defence 344
 - national treatment 295
 - relevance of internal law to international responsibility 294
 - state of necessity 149–50, 389
- Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA)
 - critical date(s) of nationality possession 235
 - investor, definition of 233
 - nationals not able to claim treaty protection from home States 234
 - protected investment, definition of 216–17
 - protected investors/shareholders bringing claims 250
- attachments of assets 474–5
 - failure to waive immunity from execution 475

517 Index

- ICSID arbitration deferring to national rules on execution 474–5
- Australia–Japan PA
 - access to domestic courts and tribunals only for protected investors 81
 - national treatment 81
- awards
 - Act of State and non-justiciability 460–76
 - attachments of assets 474–5
 - criticisms of 58
 - enforcement of see challenging and enforcing awards
 - enforcement under New York Convention 28, 97, 447–8
 - free circulation of awards 508
 - UNCITRAL awards 160
 - lex loci arbitri*, and 162–3
 - setting aside awards 450
- enforcement under UNCITRAL 160, 448
- foreign State immunity 460–6
- HKIAC 448
- ICSID awards
 - annulment, grounds for 452–3
 - attachments of assets 474–5
 - enforcement regime 159–60, 452–9
 - lifting immunity from proceedings 460
 - ‘manifest excess’ of powers, acting in 453–4
 - Member obligation to recognise and enforce awards 452, 457
 - principles applying to registration of awards 459
 - recognition and enforcement of awards 458
 - revision of awards 457
 - state aid, enforcement and execution of award comprising 458
 - whether awards too easily annulled 457
- international commercial arbitration 449
- internationalisation, key awards on 39–50
- LCIA 448
- ‘non-ICSID’ and ICSID awards 448–9
- publication of awards 500
- SCC 448
- SIAC 448
- umbrella clauses
 - continued salience of the *SGS* cases 363–5
 - distinguishing treaty law from contract law 366–7
 - endorsement of umbrella clauses 355–7
 - forum selection clauses 368–9
 - reconciling cases by treaty construction 357–62
 - resistance to umbrella clauses 352–5
- bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 1–2
 - arbitration options in 100
 - arbitrator codes of conduct 500
 - conflicts of interest 500
 - backlash against 477–9
 - balance between investors and host State, lack of 374–6
 - rebalancing 478
- CETA see EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA)
- emergence of 25
 - early BITs 66
- exhaustion of local remedies clauses 100–1
 - MFN clauses, and 100–1
- ‘fork in the road’ clauses 102–3
- increase in 29
- Indian Model BIT 478
 - exhaustion of domestic remedies 102
 - ‘no u-turn’ clauses 102, 103
 - reforming 500
- Indonesia proposing new Model BIT 478–9
- investor, definition of 33
- key role in forging investment arbitration practice 25
- MFN clauses, exhaustion of local remedies and 100–1
- national treatment clauses 60
- ‘no u-turn’ clauses 102–3
- Pakistan–Germany BIT as first modern example 25, 59–60
- partial convergence in treaty context 67–9
- reasons for concluding 58
- terminations, issues relevant to 491–3
- treaty renegotiation, renewal 78–80
- US Model BIT
 - critical assessment of 479–81
 - expropriation 498–9
 - fair and equitable treatment 262, 496
 - form-critical date(s) of nationality possession 235–6
 - indirect expropriation, three-part test for 499
 - ‘investor of a party’, meaning of 233
 - investor’s nationality 233, 234
 - minimum standard of treatment, reforming 481–2, 496
 - minimum standard of treatment linked to customary law 481–2
 - ‘no u-turn’ clauses 102–3
 - rebalancing 479, 481
 - regulatory actions 499
 - ‘qualified’ treaty clauses 284–7
 - umbrella clauses 370–1
 - widespread adoption of 25, 60
 - writing requirement 99
- see also individual BITs; investment treaties and internationalisation
- BLEU–Hungary BIT
 - applicable law 158
- build-operate-and-transfer (BOT) contracts 54
- burden of proof 166–73, 179
 - equality of arms, and 166–7
 - meaning of 166
 - onus probandi actori incumbit* 166–7, 179
 - ‘shifting’ burden 172–3
 - common law origins 172
 - reversal of legal burden 172–3
 - special circumstances, need for 173
 - tribunals struggling with theory of 173
- ‘vanishing’ burden 167–71
 - Hulley, Yukos, and Veteran Petroleum* Arbitrations 170–1
 - RosinvestCo* Arbitration 168–70

518 Index

- CETA *see* EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA)
- challenging and enforcing awards 447–76
- Act of State and non-justiciability 460–76
- Act of State doctrine 466
- doctrine of non-justiciability 466
- attachments of assets 474–5
- failure to waive immunity from execution 475
- ICSID arbitration deferring to national rules on execution 474–5
- foreign State immunity 460–6
- absolute theory of immunity 460, 461–4
- ICSID Convention lifting immunity from proceedings 460
- non-ICSID awards 460
- restrictive theory of foreign State immunity/foreign sovereign immunity 460, 475
- submission to arbitration lifting foreign State immunity/‘arbitration exception’ 464, 465–6
- UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States 460, 464, 465
- waiver of immunity 466, 475
- ICSID arbitration 452–9
- annulment, grounds for 452–3
- ‘manifest excess’ of its powers, acting in 453–4
- Member obligation to recognise and enforce awards 452, 457
- principles applying to registration of awards 459
- recognition and enforcement of awards 458
- revision of awards 457
- state aid, enforcement and execution of award comprising 458
- whether awards too easily annulled 457
- ‘non-ICSID’ and ICSID awards 448–9
- ‘non-ICSID’ arbitration 449–52
- New York Convention, provisions of 449–50
- setting aside under UNCITRAL Model Law 450–2
- China–EU BIT 498
- China–US BIT 497–8
- commercial arbitration *see* international commercial arbitration
- compensation 403–10
- approaches to compensation for two or more breaches 407–8
- CETA, in 298
- circumstances precluding wrongfulness, compensation and 398–9
- damnum emergens plus lucrum cessans* (DELC) 417–22
- double counting 419–22
- nature of 417
- principal valuation method 417–18
- when applied 422
- discounted cash flow (DCF) 411–17
- advantages of DCF in arbitral context 412–13
- definition of 411
- factors relevant to DCF calculation 413–17
- overview of method 411–12
- when applied 411, 422
- double recovery not permitted 406–8, 410
- expropriation, compensation for 339–42, 404–6
- absence or inadequacy of 346
- amount of compensation for lawful/unlawful expropriation 404–6
- claims for lawful expropriation 339
- claims for total absence of compensation/unlawful compensation 339–41
- compound interest for unlawful expropriations 423
- customary law, standard of reparation for unlawful expropriation in 340–1
- element of primary obligation of expropriation, as 297
- exceptions to rule of compensation 341–2
- full reparation 404
- investment valued at NIL 341–2
- NAFTA 297
- prompt, adequate and effective 323, 339
- regulatory taking 342
- rule of compensation for expropriation 339–40
- standard of compensation for lawful expropriation 10–11, 16–17, 29, 404–6
- standard of compensation for unlawful expropriation 404–6
- valuation dates 404–6
- valuation methods 339, 404
- finding of unlawfulness, applying upon 403
- full reparation for internationally wrongful acts, principle of 402–3
- interest 422–3
- calculating 422
- compound interest, justification for 422–3
- monetary compensation and/or material restitution 403
- monetary relief most commonly awarded 401, 403
- moral damages 408–10
- moral damages rarely awarded 408, 410
- reputational and emotional injury, moral damages for 408–9
- threats of physical violence or harassment 408–9, 410
- punitive damages 408–10
- egregious breach, punitive damages for 408
- not yet awarded 408
- specific performance, and 403–4
- valuation methods 410–19
- complex nature of exercise 410–11
- damnum emergens plus lucrum cessans* (DELC) 417–22
- discounted cash flow 411–17
- expert reports, need for 410
- options 410
- violation of other treaty standards, compensation for 406–8
- compound interest
- justification for 422–3
- unlawful expropriations, for 423
- consent
- arbitration, consent to
- limiting scope of 98
- withdrawal prohibited 212, 380
- ASR 344, 390–2
- circumstance precluding wrongfulness, as 344, 390–2
- contractually based investment arbitration 94–5
- defence, as 390–2

519 Index

- 'fork in the road' clauses 102
- ICJ, consent for jurisdiction of 105
- ICSID 131–2
- investment arbitration, consent to 94–9, 112
 - advance consent in international investment treaty 94, 112–13
 - consent in writing to submit dispute to arbitration 87
 - forms of consent and agreement to investment arbitration 87, 94–7
- parallel proceedings, consent by disputing parties to 133
- State's consent to arbitration 2
 - immunity, lifting 182–3
- waiver of treaty rights/consent 390–2
- contingent standards 293–322
 - most-favoured-nation treatment, international dispute settlement, and 314–21
 - applicable to admissibility, but not jurisdiction 318
 - applicable to objectively unfavourable treatment 319
 - applicable, with public policy exceptions 316–17
 - inapplicable, unless explicitly provided for 317–18
 - recent developments 320–1
 - most-favoured-nation treatment, primary obligations and 309–14
 - national treatment, interpretation and application of 299–309
 - distinctions in treatment 304–6
 - justification 306–9
 - like circumstances 300–4
 - nine lives of national treatment 295–8
 - expropriation 297
 - fair and equitable treatment 297–8
 - national treatment 295–6
 - other examples 298
 - non-discrimination
 - ASR 294
 - expropriation, as criterion of lawfulness for 295, 297
 - FET, as contested element of 295
 - international minimum standard and 293–5
 - non-discrimination, loss of central role of 294
 - primary obligations of FET, responsibility arising out of 294–5
- contracts
 - 'contractualising' international investment protection 1, 10
 - shift from 9
 - arbitration *see* contractually based investment arbitration
 - customary international law, and
 - choice effected through contract 15–16
 - 'internationalised' contract, creature of 22–4
 - investor-State contracts governed by international law 10, 22–4
 - legal process/conditions to internationalise investor-State contract 22–4
 - sovereign State binding itself in international law by contract 15, 16
 - investment contracts *see* investment contracts and internationalisation
 - solutions to decline of international law principles, as 24
 - treaty footing, Abs-Shawcross Draft placing contractual commitments on 24–5
- contractually based investment arbitration 2, 88
 - adjudication and other investment dispute bodies, call for return to 105–6
 - applicable laws 145–6, 155
 - 'arbitration without privity' 86, 87, 88–94
 - commercial and investment arbitration as separate concurrent options 88–91
 - criticism of 92–3
 - meaning of 86
 - consent 94–5
 - contractual forum selection clauses 104
 - existing alongside treaty arbitration 25, 35, 87, 88–91
 - investment contract arbitration
 - long history of 88
 - nature of 31–2
 - preliminary objections relating to jurisdiction and admissibility 113
 - writing requirement 97
- corporate investors
 - foreign control 247–51
 - alternative test for corporate nationality 247–8
 - ICSID approach 248–9
 - nature of foreign control 249
 - shareholder claims 249–50
 - nationality of 234, 244–51
 - difficulties of determining nationality 244
 - foreign control 247–51
 - holding or shell companies 244, 246, 247
 - place of incorporation 244–7
- costs and legal fees 425–46
 - alternative fee arrangements 426
 - contingent fee arrangements 426–7
 - cost allocation by tribunal 430–4
 - primary relevant factors 432–3
 - secondary relevant factors 433–4
 - tribunal considerations/suggestions for improving costs regimes 431–2
 - UNCITRAL and ICSID rules 430–1, 434
- investment arbitration, question of costs in 426–7
- importance of costs in initiating claims 425
- 'loser-pays' principle/'costs after the event' 429, 434–40, 444–5
 - ICSID 437–9
 - international commercial arbitration, in 440
 - UNCITRAL 434–7
- security for costs 440–4
 - frivolous claims, method for handling 440, 441–2, 445
 - ICSID 110, 440, 443–4
 - power of tribunal to award, controversial nature of 440, 443–4
 - provisional measures 184
 - third party funding, and 442–3
- third-party funding 426–7
 - security for costs, and 442–3
- types of costs
 - 'American Approach' to sharing costs 429
 - 'arbitration costs' or 'costs of the proceedings' 427–8
 - burden of proof, impact of 429

- costs and legal fees (cont.)
 - disbursements 429
 - lawyers' fees 429
 - 'legal' or 'party' costs 429–30
 - loser pays principle/'English Approach' 429
 - nature of arbitration costs 427–9
- countermeasures
 - circumstance precluding wrongfulness as 344, 392–5
 - integral element of international legal order, as 392
 - investment law, countermeasures in 393–5
- Croatia-Canada BIT
 - FET and FPS 79
- custom 33
 - formal source of international law, as 33
 - judicial statements, formed in 34
 - 'unqualified' FET treaty clauses, and 287–91
- customary international law
 - applicable laws, and 148
 - arbitral pronouncements not constituting State practice 286–7
 - attempts to clarify by UN General Assembly 10, 11, 14–22
 - arbitration or international adjudication, choice of 15–16
 - choice effected through contract 15–16
 - claimants owning own claims 10
 - compensation standard 16–17
 - investor's rights being placed on treaty footing 10
 - investor-State contracts governed by international law 10, 22–4
 - legal process/conditions to internationalise investor-State contract 22–4
 - restating principles governing protection of foreign investments 10, 14–16
 - sovereign State binding itself in international law by contract 15, 16
- circumstances precluding wrongfulness 344–6
 - consent 344, 390–2
 - countermeasures in respect of internationally wrongful act 344, 392–5
 - defences or excuses in internal legal systems, operating as 376–7
 - distress 344–5
 - force majeure 344
 - necessity 345, 346, 384, 395–7
 - self-defence 344
- corporations, nationality of 244
- derivative shareholders' ability to claim against host State 29–30
- evidence of general practice accepted as law, as 33
- exhaustion of local remedies 100
- expropriation, property rights as objects of 324
 - see also* expropriation
- FET clauses, and
 - 'qualified' FET clauses 284–7
 - 'unqualified' FET treaty clauses 287–91
- foreign-owned property
 - compensation standard for expropriation of 10–11, 16–17, 29
 - sanctity of foreign-owned property 10
- fluid state of 10–14
- 'internationalised' contract, creature of 22–4, 31
- legal chaos 10–14
 - Act of State doctrine 13–14
 - 1938 US-Mexico correspondence 11–13, 259
 - uncertainty about appropriate international law standards 11
- state of necessity 149–50, 389
 - defence as 453
- treaties, codified law of 33
- treaty law as *lex specialis* prevailing over 149
- Cyprus-Hungary BIT
 - expropriation 328, 343
- damages *see* compensation
- damnum emergens* plus *lucrum cessans* (DELC) 417–22
 - double counting 419–22
 - nature of 417
 - principal valuation method 417–18
 - when applied 422
- defences 374–400
 - balance between competing interests in international investment law 374–6
 - focus on treaty instrument, criticism of 375–6
 - treaties rarely providing for general exceptions 375, 376
 - circumstances precluding wrongfulness, defences in 389–99
 - compensation 398–9
 - consent 390–2
 - consequences of successful invocation of 397–8
 - countermeasures 392–5
 - justifications or excuses, whether 389–90
 - necessity 395–7
 - concept of defences 376–81
 - circumstances precluding wrongfulness 376–7, 389–99
 - denial of benefits clauses 378–9
 - drafting options to permit State to avoid responsibility 377–8
 - temporal effect of invoking denial of benefits clause 379
 - exceptions, defences in 383–9
 - modern exceptions 387–9
 - non-precluded- measures clauses 383–7
- modern exceptions 387–9
 - CETA general exceptions clause 387–8
- non-precluded- measures clauses 383–7
 - annulment committees, approach of 384–5
 - interpretive support from necessity resulting in restrictive reading 384–5
 - not self-judging 383–4
 - State contributing to crisis, impact of 387
 - WTO law providing guidance for interpretation 385–6
- obligations, defences in 381–3
 - fair and equitable treatment and necessity 382–3
 - indirect expropriation 381–2
 - State's police power as defence against expropriation 381–2, 478

- denial of justice
 - exhaustion of local remedies 117
 - minimum standard of treatment 263
- developing States
 - BITs 66
 - Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States 64
 - decolonisation 15
 - Havana Charter 64–5
 - natural resources, States' permanent sovereignty over 64
 - New International Economic Order, constructing 15, 16–17
 - UN, at
 - outvoting power of Western industrialized nations 15, 64
 - proliferation of 15
 - UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency, adoption of 80
- diplomatic espousal/protection 1, 2–5, 10, 34, 87, 105
- diplomatic protection 3–4
 - appeal of waning 58
 - availability of arbitration, effect of 62
 - discretionary nature of 58
 - investment treaties, and 80
 - meaning of 3–4
 - traditional mode of recourse in disputes, as 57–8
 - triggered by injury to alien 2–3
- diplomatic settlement, and 4–5
- discretionary nature of remedy 5
- exhaustion of local remedies, requirement of 5, 6
- gunboat diplomacy 34
- mixed claims commissions, evolving into 10
- nationality 5
- risk, perception of 4
- discounted cash flow (DCF) 411–17
 - advantages of DCF in arbitral context 412–13
 - definition of 411
 - factors relevant to DCF calculation 413–17
 - overview of method 411–12
 - when applied 411
- discrimination *see* non-discrimination
- dispute settlement *see* investment dispute settlement
- distress as circumstance precluding wrongfulness 344
- domestic law 33
 - domestic courts ordering interim relief 186
 - domestic legislation defining nationality 239–40
 - domestic litigation-related conditions, applicability of MFN clauses to 320
 - exhaustion of domestic remedies clauses 100–1
 - ICSID: in compliance with domestic law of investment 130–1
 - sources of international law, role in 33
 - umbrella clauses 'elevating' domestic private law obligations onto international plane 352
- double recovery
 - not permitted 406–8, 410
 - risk where 'divisible' investors 253–4, 255
 - risk in parallel proceedings 253–4, 255
- due process
 - bad faith, and 266–8
 - violation of 264–6
- electa una via* *see* 'fork in the road' clauses
- enforcing awards *see* challenging and enforcing awards
- Energy Charter Treaty (ECT) 25, 66
 - applicable law 158–9
 - corporations, nationality of 246–7
 - denial of benefits clause, temporal effect of invoking 379
 - differential treatment of investors and investments 306, 307
 - discriminatory measures prohibited 298
 - disputes fuelling ICSID arbitrations 29
 - expropriation 170–1
 - fair and equitable treatment 69
 - shell companies 247
- EU–Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) 78
 - appellate mechanism for investment treaty arbitration, discussion of 503
 - denial of benefits clause 378
 - compensation for losses 298
 - consolidation 134
 - denial of benefits clause 378
 - essential security interests, protecting 389
 - excluding application of MFN treatment clauses to dispute settlement 288
- expropriation 336–8
 - illustrative criteria, tribunals finding assistance in 336–7, 337–8
 - indirect expropriation 337–8, 381
 - legality of expropriation 338
 - nature of expropriation 337
 - public welfare objectives 381
 - regulatory takings not indirect expropriations by the State 342
- FET 79
 - breaching 283
 - characteristics 79, 496–7
 - discrimination breaching FET obligation 79, 283, 298
- FPS 79
 - general exceptions clause 387–8
 - illustrative criteria, role of 332
 - investor's legitimate expectation, breach of 497
 - most-favoured-nation treatment 312
 - multilateral investment court/appellate mechanism, proposal for 2, 83, 503, 506
 - national security clause 388–9
 - self-judging nature of 389
 - new EU policy on investment protection/investment dispute settlement 505
 - parallel proceedings 133–4
 - protected investors/shareholders bringing claims 250–1
 - reservation and exceptions 378
 - senior management and boards of directors 298
 - sovereign debt restructuring excluded from investment arbitration 377–8
 - 'State's police power' as a defence against expropriation 382
 - transfers 298
 - treaty renegotiation/renewal 78–9
 - UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency, adoption of 80

522 Index

- European Convention on Human Rights 32
 - derogations and implied limitations 375
 - provisions functionally analogous to investment obligations 375
- European Court of Human Rights 32
 - implied limitations within particular Convention rights 375
 - settlement of investment claims 105
- European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration 28
- European Union
 - adjudication and other investment dispute bodies, call for return to 105–6
 - CETA *see* EU-Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA)
 - multilateral investment court/appellate mechanism, proposal for 2, 83, 478, 504–12
 - enforcement of judgments 507
 - enforcement of judgments in third countries 508
 - European Commission inception impact assessment 504–6
 - '*inter se*' rule in Vienna Convention, applying 507
 - judges, appointment of 508
 - nature of 507
 - objectives of 506
 - public consultation 506
 - TTIP, in 2, 81–2, 479, 507–12
 - new EU policy on investment protection/investment dispute settlement 505
- evidence 165–80
 - burden of proof 166–73, 179
 - equality of arms, and 166–7
 - meaning of 166
 - onus probandi actori incumbit* 166–7, 179
 - 'shifting burden' 172–3
 - 'vanishing burden' 167–71
 - no hard and fast rules governing assessment of evidence 165
 - standard of proof 174–80
 - balance of probabilities 174
 - circumstantial evidence 174–7
 - nature of 174
 - no evidence 177–9
- exhaustion of local remedies
 - admissibility and jurisdiction 117–18
 - denial of justice, and 117
 - futile local remedies, exception for 100
 - minimum duration of 100
 - MFN clauses, and 100–1
 - mixed claims/international commissions, not required for 6
 - 'no u-turn' clauses, and 102
 - procedural precondition, as 100–1
 - purpose of 100
 - requirement of 5, 6
- expropriation 323–38
 - breach of primary obligations of, responsibility arising out of 294–5
 - challenges to expropriation claims
 - cumulative conditions for lawfulness satisfied, verifying 324
 - existence of expropriation, verifying 324
 - verifying rights can form object of expropriation 323–4
 - circumstances precluding wrongfulness and non-precluded measures 345–6
 - consent 344, 390–2
 - countermeasures in respect of internationally wrongful act 344, 392–5
 - defences or excuses in internal legal systems, operating as 376–7
 - distress 344–5
 - force majeure 344
 - necessity 345, 346, 384, 395–7
 - self-defence 344
 - State invoking customary circumstance 345–6
 - State invoking treaty-approved non-precluded measures 345–6
 - compensation 339–42, 404–6
 - absence or inadequacy of 346
 - amount of compensation for lawful/unlawful expropriation 404–6
 - claims for lawful expropriation 339
 - claims for total absence of compensation/unlawful compensation 339–41
 - compound interest for unlawful expropriations 423
 - customary law, standard of reparation for unlawful expropriation in 340–1
 - element of primary obligation of expropriation, as 297
 - exceptions to rule of compensation 341–2
 - full reparation 404
 - interest 422–3
 - prompt, adequate and effective 323, 339
 - rule of compensation for expropriation 339–40
 - standard of compensation for lawful expropriation 404–6
 - standard of compensation for unlawful expropriation 404–6
 - valuation dates 404–6
 - valuation methods 339, 404
 - conditions for lawfulness of 323
 - contractual rights 326–31, 334
 - affected contractual right expropriable if has proprietary features 327–8, 331
 - contract as property 327–8
 - non-performance of contractual obligation as expropriation 326–7
 - right to contract renewal as expropriation 331
 - sovereign contractual interference 327, 329–31
 - termination of contractual obligation by host State as expropriation 327, 334
 - direct expropriation 334–5
 - assessing existence of expropriation as objective exercise 337
 - findings of direct expropriation underpinned by single act 334–5
 - due process 342–3
 - discrimination leading to absence of 342
 - discriminatory expropriation 342–3
 - examples of extra-judicial procedures 342
 - meaning of 342

- requirement of 323
 - exceptions to rule of compensation 341–2
 - investment valued at NIL 341–2
 - regulatory taking 342
 - existence of expropriation 334–8
 - direct expropriation 334–5
 - indirect expropriation 335–8
 - substantial deprivation of an asset recognised by the law, as 334
 - expropriation safeguards 477–8
 - indirect expropriation 335–8
 - assessing existence of expropriation as objective exercise 337
 - ‘creeping’ or ‘constructive’ expropriation 335
 - expropriation safeguards 477–8
 - public welfare objectives, and 381–2
 - single act or series of acts/omissions 335
 - State’s police powers, and 478
 - types of 335–6
 - legality of expropriation 338–46
 - circumstances precluding wrongfulness and non-precluded measures 344–6, 376–7
 - compensation 339–42
 - conditions for lawful expropriation 338
 - due process 342–3
 - public purpose 338
 - NAFTA: expropriation and compensation 297
 - non-discrimination
 - criterion for lawfulness of expropriation, as 295, 297
 - due process, and 323
 - non-precluded measures 345–6
 - defence to liability, as 345
 - State invoking treaty-approved non-precluded measures 345–6
 - object of expropriation 323, 324–34
 - contractual rights 326–31, 334
 - most expropriation claims brought under investment treaties 324–5
 - property rights 325–6
 - shareholder rights 332–4
 - public purpose, serving requirement of 323, 381–2
 - State’s police power as defence against expropriation 381–2, 478
 - reforming expropriation rule 498–9
 - right to expropriate inhering in every State 323
 - shareholder rights 332–4
 - devalued shareholdings 332
 - object of expropriation, as 332, 334
 - whether expropriation claim well-founded 323
- fair and equitable treatment (FET) and full protection and security (FPS) 258–92
 - absolute standards, as 258–9
 - current use of standard in international agreements and State practice 67–9
 - defences, and 382–3
 - FET 260–2
 - drafting approaches 261–2
 - international minimum standard of treatment, and 260, 262
 - key characteristics 260
 - nature of inequitable treatment 261–2
 - overbroad readings of clauses by tribunals 260
 - qualified and unqualified types of clauses 262
 - wide concept of 261
 - FET, heads of claim under 263–78
 - arbitrary and discriminatory treatment 263–4
 - ‘bad faith’ on part of host State, whether requirement of 266–8
 - inter-relationship of FET and FPS 281–3
 - recent developments in scope of rule 268–78
 - scope evolving 282–3
 - violation of due process and lack of transparency 264–6
 - FET, ‘narrowing down’ 283–4
 - highly particularised approach 283–4
 - open-ended approach 284
 - FET, responsibility arising out of primary obligations of 294–5
 - full protection and security 262, 278–81
 - inter-relationship of FET and FPS 281–3
 - ‘obligation of conduct’ on the host State 278–9
 - responsibility attaching through State’s failure or omission 278–9
 - subjective and objective theories of responsibility 262, 278
 - vigilance or due diligence as the standard 279–81
 - heads of claim under FET and FPS, evolution and inter-relationship 263–83
 - full protection and security 278–81
 - heads of claim under FET 263–78
 - historical association between FET and FPS 262
 - increasingly large area of overlap between FET/FPS standards 281–2
 - inter-relationship of FET and FPS beyond physical security 281–3
 - international minimum standard of treatment, relationship with 259–62, 297–8
 - elements of international minimum standard 259
 - fair and equitable treatment 260–2
 - ‘minimum standard’ of treatment 259–60, 297–8
 - whether non-discriminatory treatment implicit in FET obligations 297
 - NAFTA and ‘North American’ model of ‘qualified’ treaty clauses 284–7
 - arbitral pronouncements as constituting State practice 286–7
 - binding interpretations, nature of 285–6
 - customary international law standard, FET clauses reflecting 284–6
 - non-discrimination
 - discrimination on wrongful grounds 298
 - non-discrimination as central component of 298
 - non-discrimination as contested element of 295
 - treaties connecting discrimination with core of FET 298
 - whether non-discriminatory treatment implicit in FET obligations 297
 - ‘qualified’ and ‘unqualified’ treaty clauses/interaction of treaty and custom 284–91
 - NAFTA and ‘North American’ model of ‘qualified’ treaty clauses 284–7

524 Index

- fair and equitable treatment (FET) (cont.)
 - 'unqualified' FET treaty clauses and relationship with international custom 287–91
 - reforming FET rule 496–8
 - scope of FET rule, recent developments in 268–78, 281
 - investors' expectations, alleged violation of 268–9, 270–7, 283
 - stable business and legal environment, assuring 268, 269, 277–9, 283
 - 'unqualified' FET treaty clauses and relationship with international custom 287–91
 - autonomous interpretation of clauses 288
 - customary international law setting minimum standard of treatment 288–90
 - other standards of international law, relevance of 290–1
 - treaty standard higher than customary international law 288
- force majeure as circumstance precluding wrongfulness 344
- foreign investment
 - customary international law on protection of, UN clarifying 10, 11, 14–22
- foreign direct investment
 - Guidelines on Treatment of Foreign Direct Investment, World Bank 68
 - increase in flows 29
 - investment contracts, foreign investment by 37
 - investment treaties *see* investment treaties
 - restating principles governing protection of foreign investments 10, 14–16
 - sources of international law on 33–4
 - domestic law, role of 33
- foreign State immunity *see under* challenging and enforcing awards
- 'fork in the road' clauses 101–3
 - consent 102
 - effect of 102
 - nature of 100, 102
 - procedural precondition, as 101–3
- France–Hungary BIT
 - applicable law 158
- freezing orders 182, 183, 192–3
- friendship, commerce and navigation (FCN) treaties 60
 - investor protection 60
 - provisions relevant to investor protection 60
- full protection and security 262, 278–81
 - absolute standard, as 258–9
 - heads of claim under FET and FPS, evolution and inter-relationship 263–83
 - full protection and security 278–81
 - heads of claim under FET 263–78
 - historical association between FET and FPS 262
 - increasingly large area of overlap between FET/FPS standards 281–2
 - inter-relationship of FET and FPS beyond physical security 281–3
 - inter-relationship of FET and FPS 281–3
 - 'obligation of conduct' on the host State 278–9
 - responsibility attaching through State's failure or omission 278–9
 - subjective and objective theories of responsibility 262, 278
 - vigilance or due diligence as the standard 279–81
- see also* fair and equitable treatment (FET) and full protection and security (FPS)
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
 - general exceptions, provision for 375
- general principles of law recognized by civilized nations 33
 - applicable laws, and 148
 - formal source of international law, as 33
 - treaty law as *lex specialis* prevailing over 149
- Germany–Pakistan BIT
 - umbrella clause 371
- Greece–Mexico BIT
 - umbrella clause 371
- Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 508
- Havana Charter 64–5
- Harvard Draft Convention 64
- Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC)
 - enforcement of awards 448
 - lex arbitri* 156
 - see also* applicable laws
- ICC arbitration 28
 - lex arbitri* 156
 - see also* applicable laws
 - transparency 501
- ICSID arbitration/Arbitral Rules 1–2, 28
 - ad hoc and other forms of arbitration
 - ICSID co-existing with 35
 - ICSID v ad hoc arbitration 106–10
- Additional Facility
 - nature of proceedings under 28
- applicable laws 156
 - lex arbitri* 156, 159–60
 - see also* applicable laws
- caseload, growth in 2
- claims for treaty breaches 1991–2007 70–3
- claims manifestly without legal merit 126–8
- costs
 - arbitrators' fees 428
 - cost allocation by tribunal 431, 434
 - costs of arbitral institution 428
 - hire of hearing venue 428–9
 - 'loser-pays'/'costs follow the event' principle 437–9
 - power to award security for costs, controversial
 - nature of 440, 443–4
 - security for costs 110
 - third party funding, security for costs and 442–3
- enforcement of awards 452–9
 - annulment, grounds for 452–3
 - attachments of assets 474–5
 - enforcement regime 159–60
 - lifting immunity from proceedings 460
 - 'manifest excess' of its powers, acting in 453–4

525 Index

- Member obligation to recognise and enforce awards 452, 457
- principles applying to registration of awards 459
- recognition and enforcement of awards 458
- revision of awards 457
- state aid, enforcement and execution of award comprising 458
- whether awards too easily annulled 457
- evidence *see* evidence
- independent secretariat 28
- institutional arbitration, as 28
- investment, meaning of 216–17
- jurisdiction and admissibility 114–15, 119–21
 - bifurcation between preliminary objections and merits 124–6
 - claims manifestly without legal merit 126–8
 - competence and jurisdiction 114–15, 119–21, 125
 - consent and other issues 131–2
 - investment, in compliance with domestic law of 130–1
 - investment, whether satisfying definition of 130
 - investor, failure to satisfy definition of 128–30
 - objections to 124, 125, 126–32
 - procedure for addressing objections to 124–6
- necessity 396
- non-ICSID route, as safer option for claimant investor 106
- Panel of Arbitrators, choosing from 28
- Panel of Conciliators 28
- parallel proceedings 101–3, 132–41
 - abuse of process 137–9
 - consent by disputing parties 133
 - no issue 134–5
 - remedies 139–41
 - sequential proceedings: collateral estoppel/*res judicata* 135–6
 - special treaty rules 133–4
- protected investments 211–18
 - binding objective criteria 223, 224–6
 - dual meaning of protected investments 211, 223–30
 - illustrative objective criteria 226–30
 - not defined in Convention 212
 - objective meaning of protected investments/ICSID tests 218–21
 - Salini* test 211, 218, 219, 222–3
 - subjective meaning of protected investments 213–18
 - whether qualifying threshold too low 218
- provisional measures/ interim relief 160, 161
 - award of 160, 161
 - criminal prosecutions by host State 194–7
 - domestic courts ordering interim relief, need for consent to 186
 - recourse to national courts and power of ICSID tribunals 186–92
 - sovereign respondents, and 183, 185–6
- public international procedural law governing 28
- Secretary-General, role of 28
- security for costs 110
 - power of tribunal to award, controversial nature of 440, 443–4
 - third party funding, and 442–3
 - summary award 110
 - transparency 501
 - tribunal's jurisdiction, objecting to 110
 - UNCITRAL arbitration, and 106
 - waiver 390–1
- ICSID Convention 63–4
 - accession, effect of 63
 - admissibility, place in arbitrations of 114–15, 119–21
 - annulment of awards 213
 - excess of powers, for 124
 - non-precluded- measures clauses, interpretation of 384–5
 - State contributing to crisis, impact of 387
- arbitrator challenges 109
- award enforcement 159–60
- consent to arbitrate
 - limiting scope of 98
 - withdrawal prohibited 212, 380
- denounced by Bolivia, Ecuador and Venezuela 73–4, 478
- enforcement of awards 447, 448–9
- entry into force 27, 63, 66, 84
- exclusivity clause/self-contained regime 186–92
- governing ICSID arbitration 28
- immunity from proceedings, lifting 460
- investment/protected investment
 - investment, meaning of 216–17
 - not defined in Convention 212
 - objective meaning of protected investments/ICSID tests 218–21, 223–30
 - Salini* test 211, 218, 219, 222–3, 229, 230
 - subjective meaning of protected investments 213–18, 229
 - whether qualifying threshold too low 218
- investment treaties, and 61–2
- investors, protected
 - corporate nationality, determining 248–9, 253
 - foreign control 248–9
 - form-critical date(s) of nationality possession 235–6
 - nationality-based eligibility for protection 234–5
 - nationals not able to claim treaty protection from home States 243
 - parallel proceedings 253
- jurisdiction and competence 114–15, 119–21
 - objections, nature of 124
- jurisdictional requirements 107–10
 - protected investments 212
- 'national of another contracting State', meaning of 248
- perfection of 62
 - writing requirement 97–8
- principal features 27
- provisional measures 183, 185
- purpose 27–8
- ratified 27, 60, 63
- scope limited to procedural matters 63
- security for costs 442
- waiver of conditions 123, 390–1
- widespread support for 63
- writing requirement 97–8

526 Index

- Indian Model BIT 478
 - exhaustion of domestic remedies 102
 - 'no u-turn' clauses 102, 103
 - reforming 500
- Indonesia proposing new Model BIT 478–9
- interest 422–3
 - calculating 422
 - compound interest, justification for 422–3
 - unlawful expropriations 423
- International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes *see* ICSID
- International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) *see* ICC arbitration
- international commercial arbitration 88
 - challenging and enforcing awards 449
 - commercial and investment arbitration as separate concurrent options 88–91
 - contractually based *see* contractually based investment arbitration
 - 'costs follow the event' principle 440
 - preliminary objections relating to jurisdiction and admissibility 113
- International Court of Arbitration *see* ICC arbitration
- International Court of Justice (ICJ) 2
 - consent for jurisdiction 105
 - corporations, nationality of
 - foreign control 247–8
 - place of incorporation 244–6
 - derivative shareholders' ability to claim against host State 29–30
 - diplomatic protection 244
 - importance of international adjudication 29
 - international arbitration preceding international adjudication 30
 - provisional measures, test for 202
 - State-to-State investment dispute settlement 105
- international investment arbitration
 - adjudication and other investment dispute bodies, call for return to 105–6
 - applicable laws *see* applicable laws
 - consent to investment arbitration 94–9, 112
 - advance consent in international investment treaty 94, 112–13
 - forms of consent and agreement to investment arbitration 87, 94–7
 - writing requirement 97–9
 - contractual forum selection clauses 104
 - costs and legal fees *see* costs and legal fees
 - evidence *see* evidence
 - hybrid, complex nature of 31
 - investment arbitration as principal means of settlement 87–94
 - 'arbitration without privity' 86, 87, 88–94
 - asymmetry of rights and obligations 87–8
 - consent in writing to submit dispute to arbitration 87
 - no contractual submission to arbitration as unusual feature 87–8
 - investment contract arbitration, nature of 31–2
 - investment treaty arbitration, largely as 25, 93
 - jurisdiction, admissibility and parallel proceedings 112–43
 - consent to international investment arbitration 112–13
 - consequences of distinction between jurisdiction and admissibility, 121–4
 - distinguishing between jurisdiction and admissibility, 115–17
 - international investment arbitration, jurisdiction and admissibility in 117–21
 - objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 128–32
 - preliminary objections relating to jurisdiction and admissibility 113, 121
 - procedure for addressing objections to jurisdiction/admissibility 124–8
 - legal fees *see* costs and legal fees
 - new directions in *see* new directions in international investment law and arbitration
 - parallel proceedings 101–3, 132–41
 - abuse of process 137–9
 - consent by disputing parties 133
 - no issue 134–5
 - remedies 139–41
 - sequential proceedings: collateral estoppel/*res judicata* 135–6
 - special treaty rules 133–4
 - procedural preconditions 100–3
 - dispute clauses and procedural preconditions 100
 - exhaustion of local remedies 100–2
 - 'fork in the road', 'no u-turn' clauses, and parallel proceedings 100, 101–3
 - see also* investment dispute settlement; investment treaty arbitration
- international investment law
 - applicable laws *see* applicable laws
 - customary international law, developed out of 35
 - new directions in *see* new directions in international investment law and arbitration
 - origins *see* origins of investment protection and international investment law
- international law
 - applicable laws *see* applicable laws
 - customary law *see* customary international law
 - domestic law, and 33
 - exhaustion of local remedies 100
 - expropriation, right of 323
 - see also* expropriation
 - general principles of *see* general principles of law recognized by civilized nations
 - international investment agreements as part of 290–1
 - primary obligations 293–5
 - protection of aliens and alien property as key role of 324
 - sources of 33
 - custom through general practice of States 33, 34
 - formal sources, meaning of 33
 - general principles of law recognized by civilized nations 33
 - judicial decisions and writings of publicists 33
 - material sources, meaning of 33

527 Index

- treaties 33
- sources of international law on foreign investment 33–4
- States
 - breaches of international obligations, sanctioning 37, 148
 - traditional subject of international law, as 145
- treaty law *see* treaties/treaty law
- International Law Commission (ILC)
 - ASR *see* Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ASR)
 - derivative shareholders' ability to claim against host State 29–30
 - diplomatic protection, meaning of 3
 - Draft Articles on Diplomatic Protection 3
 - MFN clause
 - Final Report of Study Group 314–15
 - Summary Conclusions on 310, 315
- internationalisation *see* under investment contracts and internationalisation
- investment arbitration *see* international investment arbitration
- investment contract arbitration *see* contractually based investment arbitration
- investment contracts and internationalisation 37–56
 - foreign investments by investment contracts 37
 - internationalisation
 - backlash against 50–1, 55
 - choice-of-law clauses as tools of internationalisation 51–2
 - contractual basis for 40
 - controversial nature of 37–8
 - international law protection of investment contracts not absolute 51
 - justification for 40
 - key awards on 39–50
 - meaning of 37
 - mischief aimed at 39
 - origins of idea of 38–9
 - pacta sunt servanda* to investment contracts, applicability of 51
 - six counterpropositions, development of 55
 - six propositions, resting on 39, 55
 - 'internationalised' 31
 - investment contract arbitration, nature of 31–2
 - investment contracts as source of investor-State disputes 37
 - proper law of an investment contract 39
 - types of investment contracts 52–4
 - build-operate-and-transfer (BOT) contracts 54
 - natural resource concessions 52–3
 - public-private partnerships 54
 - public service concessions 53
- investment dispute settlement 86–111
 - adjudication and other investment dispute bodies, call for return to 105–6
 - applicable laws *see* applicable laws
 - 'arbitration without privity' 86, 87, 88–94
 - commercial and investment arbitration as separate concurrent options 88–91
 - criticism of 92–3
 - first case involving 'privity without contract' 88–94
 - meaning of 86
 - consent to investment arbitration 94–9
 - advance consent in international investment treaty 94, 112–13
 - forms of consent and agreement to investment arbitration 87, 94–7
 - writing requirement 97–9
 - contractual forum selection clauses 104
 - ICSID *ad hoc* arbitration 106–10
 - investment arbitration as principal means of settlement 87–94
 - 'arbitration without privity' 86, 87, 88–94
 - asymmetry of rights and obligations 87–8
 - consent in writing to submit dispute to arbitration 87
 - no contractual submission to arbitration as unusual feature 87–8
 - origins of 1–36, 86–7
 - procedural preconditions 100–3
 - dispute clauses and procedural preconditions 100
 - exhaustion of local remedies 100–2
 - 'fork in the road', 'no u-turn' clauses and, parallel proceedings 100, 101–3
- investment/foreign investment protection
 - 'contractualising' international investment protection 1, 10
 - shift from 9
- customary international law *see* customary international law
- origins of *see* origins of investment protection and international investment law
- treaties as key instruments of foreign investment protection *see* investment treaties
- UN restating principles governing protection of foreign investments 10, 14–16
- investment treaties 57–85
 - accelerated growth (1990–2007) 66–73
 - claims against host States and *jurisprudence (in)constante* 70–3
 - different schools of thought for investor protection 71–2
 - investors bringing claims against States 66
 - numerous investment treaties concluded 66
 - partial convergence in treat context 67–70
 - umbrella clauses, claims involving 71–2
- arbitration *see* investment treaty arbitration
- backlash against 477–9
- BITs *see* bilateral investment treaties (BITs)
- challenges, nature of 58–9
- changes in investment treaty regime 78–83
 - alternatives and adjustments to investor-State arbitration 81–3
 - transparency 80
 - treaty renegotiation, renewal 78–80
- common assurances in 58
- creation (1959–1990) 59–66
 - draft multilateral investment treaties 64–6
 - earliest investment treaties 61–2
 - ICSID Convention 63–4

- investment treaties (cont.)
 - criticisms of awards 58
 - earliest investment treaties 61–2
 - investor-State dispute settlement, provision for 61–2
 - North–South models 61, 66
 - South–South models 61, 66
 - expropriation claims under *see* under expropriation
 - fair and equitable treatment (FET) 58
 - FCN treaties as forerunners 60
 - full protection and security guaranteeing (FPS) 58
 - importance as instruments of foreign investment protection 57–8
 - MFN treatment 58
 - MFN clauses in investment agreements 69–70
 - MITs *see* multilateral investment treaties (MITs)
 - numbers of 57
 - protected investors *see* protected investors
 - protected investments *see* protected investments
 - reasons for concluding 58
 - rebalancing 478
 - resistance and change: 2007 and beyond 73–83
 - arbitrator selection 76–8
 - changes in investment treaty regime 78–83
 - cracks in investment treaty regime 73–8
 - diversity deficit in investment treaty regime 77–8
 - systemic bias 75–6, 80
 - treaty termination 73–5, 80
 - shareholder claims 249–51
 - types of 58
- investment treaty arbitration
 - applicable laws *see* applicable laws
 - arbitrators
 - appointments, survey of 77–8
 - behaviour in asymmetrical adjudication 76
 - burden of proof 166–73, 179
 - equality of arms, and 166–7
 - meaning of 166
 - onus probandi actori incumbit* 166–7, 179
 - ‘shifting’ burden 172–3
 - ‘vanishing’ burden 167–71
 - see also* evidence
 - claim as investor’s own 10
 - development and outcomes of 75–6
 - dispute settlement *see* investment dispute settlement
 - investor-State arbitration
 - burden of proof 166–73
 - consent of host State 1, 182–3
 - investor initiating arbitration by written notice 26
 - investor-State claim as legal right 5
 - jurisdictionally limited 128–9, 130
 - offer of host State, acceptance of 26
 - jurisdiction over claims, establishing *see* protected investments; protected investors
 - nature of 31–2
 - origins *see* origins of investment protection and international investment law
 - treaty-based investor-State arbitration 1, 9
 - reaching for 9, 24–6
- investments
 - foreign direct investment
 - Guidelines on Treatment of Foreign Direct Investment, World Bank 68
 - increase in flows 29
 - foreign investment
 - customary international law on protection of, UN clarifying 10, 11, 14–22
 - foreign investments by investment contracts 37
 - restating principles governing protection of foreign investments 10, 14–16
 - foreign investment, sources of international law on 33–4
 - domestic law, role of 33
 - investment treaties *see* investment treaties
 - protected investments 210–31
 - dual meaning of protected investments 223–30
 - establishing tribunal’s jurisdiction, required for 210
 - objective meaning of protected investments 218
 - subjective meaning of protected investments 211–18
- investors
 - corporate investors, foreign control and 247–51
 - alternative test for corporate nationality 247–8
 - ICSID approach 248–9
 - nature of foreign control 249
 - shareholder claims 249–50
 - corporate investors, nationality of 234, 244–51
 - difficulties of determining nationality 244
 - foreign control 247–51
 - holding or shell companies 244, 246, 247
 - place of incorporation 244–7
 - definition of
 - BITS, in 33
 - instrument of expression of consent or forum rules, in 128–9
 - ‘divisible’ investors 234, 251–5
 - consolidating proceedings 251–2
 - double recovery, risk of 253–4, 255
 - parallel proceedings 252–5
 - shareholders suing in own name 251
 - national law, traditional subject of 145
 - individual investors, nationality of 234, 239–43
 - authentication of nationality 239–41
 - disallowed from seeking protection from home State 234, 236, 237, 239, 243, 255
 - domestic legislation defining nationality 239–40
 - dual nationality/permanent residents 241–3
 - multiple nationalities 243
 - nationals of one state and residents of another 242–3
 - principle of effective/dominant nationality 241–2, 243
 - objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 128–30
 - failure by legal persons to comply with definition of investor 129–30
 - failure by natural persons to satisfy definition of ‘investor’ 129
 - protected investors 232–57
 - corporate investors 244–51
 - ‘divisible’ investors 251–5
 - individual investors 239–43
 - nationality-based eligibility for protection 234–9
- Iran-US Claims Tribunal 8, 30, 87

529 Index

- establishment under Second Algiers/Claims Settlement Declaration 8, 32
- Italy–Jordan BIT
 - umbrella clause 369
- judicial decisions and writings of publicists 33
 - material source of international law, as 33
- jurisdiction, admissibility and parallel proceedings 112–43
 - consent to international investment arbitration 112–13
 - jurisdiction and admissibility 114–21
 - ICSID Convention 114–15, 119–21
 - international investment arbitration, in 117–21
 - jurisdiction and admissibility, distinguishing 115–17
 - jurisdiction and admissibility, consequences of
 - distinction 121–4
 - challenges, consequence for 124
 - critical date for determining jurisdiction 122
 - handling objections, consequences for 123
 - no consequence 121–2
 - objections to admissibility, waiver of 123
 - post-request developments, consequences for 122
 - stay, consequence for 123
 - waiver of conditions 123
 - objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 128–32
 - consent and other issues 131–2
 - investment, incompliance with domestic law of 130–1
 - investment, whether satisfying definition of 130
 - investor, satisfying definition of 128–9
 - preliminary objections relating to jurisdiction and admissibility 113, 121
 - State, conduct by 130
- parallel proceedings 101–3, 132–41
 - abuse of process 137–9
 - consent by disputing parties 133
 - no issue 134–5
 - remedies 139–41
 - sequential proceedings: collateral estoppel/*res judicata* 135–6
 - special treaty rules 133–4
- procedure for addressing objections to jurisdiction and admissibility 124–8
 - bifurcation between preliminary objections and merits 124–6
 - claims manifestly without legal merit 126–8
- lex arbitri* 156–61
 - choice reflecting claimant's preferences 157–9
 - ICSID Arbitration Rules offering greater certainty of enforcement 159–60
 - nature of 157
 - no requirement to justify choice of *lex arbitri* 159
 - procedural rules governing arbitrations, as 156
- lex causae* 145–56
 - arbitral mandate and choice-of-laws 155–6
 - contract-based investment arbitration 155
 - ICSID 156
 - treaty-based arbitration 155–6
 - ascertaining *lex causae* by two-stage inquiry 145
 - interplay of laws 150–5
 - composite national-international law 150, 153–5
 - matching each head of claim to its governing law 150–1
 - renvoi* to national law 150, 151–3
- nature of 145
- sources of laws 145–50
 - agreement on sources of substantive laws 145–6
 - contract-based investment disputes 145–6
 - international law as source of substantive laws 145, 148–50
 - national law as source of substantive laws 145–7
 - treaty claims, treaty law as source of *lex causae* for 149
 - treaty law as *lex specialis* 149
 - tribunal identifying *lex causae* 145, 146
- London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) 106
 - enforcement of awards 448
 - lex arbitri* 156
 - see also* applicable laws
- lump-sum settlements 14, 35, 86
- Mauritius Convention on Transparency 500
- minimum standard of treatment 259–60
 - 'denial of justice' 263
 - elements of international minimum standard 259
 - evolving nature of 287
 - fair and equitable treatment, and 260, 261, 297–8
 - international MST as absolute minimum standard 259
 - NAFTA clause, ambiguities in 482–3
 - non-discrimination, international minimum standard and 293–5
 - US Model BIT 481–2
- see also* fair and equitable treatment and full protection and security
- mixed claims/international commissions 1, 5–6, 34
 - diplomatic espousal evolving into mixed claims commissions 10
 - establishment of 5–6
 - exhaustion of local remedies not required 6
 - history 5
 - modern claims settlement as successors to 8–10
 - national claims commissions, and 5
- modern claims settlement 8–10
- moral damages 408–10
 - moral damages rarely awarded 408, 410
 - reputational and emotional injury, moral damages for 408–9
 - threats of physical violence or harassment 408–9, 410
- most-favoured-nation (MFN) treatment 58, 67, 309–21
 - application to more favourable primary obligations in third party treaties 311–12
 - mere presence of more favourable international obligation not a 'similar situation' 312
 - mere presence of more favourable international obligation not 'treatment' 312
 - example of MFN treatment clause 309
 - exhaustion of domestic remedies clauses, and 100–1
- ILC
 - Final Report of Study Group 314–15, 316–17, 317, 318
 - Summary Conclusions on MFN clause 310, 315

- most-favoured-nation (MFN) treatment (cont.)
 - international dispute settlement, and 314–21
 - applicable to admissibility, but not jurisdiction 318
 - applicable to objectively unfavourable treatment 319
 - applicable, with public policy exceptions 316–17
 - inapplicable, unless explicitly provided for 317–18
 - recent developments 320–1
 - investment agreements, MFN clauses in 69–70
 - meaning of 258
 - primary obligations and 309–14
 - purpose and function of 309, 310–11
 - recent developments 320–1
 - clauses excluding application of MFN clauses to dispute settlement 320
 - domestic litigation-related conditions, applicability of MFN clauses to 320
 - whether MFN clauses can create jurisdiction 320–1
 - wider submission to jurisdiction as result of, arguments as to 99
- multilateral investment treaties (MITS)
 - draft multilateral investment treaties 64–6
 - national treatment clauses 60
 - partial convergence in treaty context 69–70
 - reasons for concluding 58
- NAFTA *see* North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
- national claims commissions 1, 35
 - mixed international commissions, and 5
 - nature of 6–7
- national treatment
 - distinctions in treatment 304–6
 - de jure* and *de facto* discrimination, obligation applying to both 305
 - determination of distinction not giving rise to particular controversy 304
 - intent neither sufficient nor necessary 305–6
 - ‘treatment no less favourable than the most favourable treatment’ 305
 - interpretation and application of 299–309
 - distinctions in treatment 304–6
 - justification 306–9
 - like circumstances 300–4
 - summary of arbitral jurisprudence 299–300
 - treaty language, application of 299
 - justification of distinctive treatment of like situations 306–9
 - justification as relevant consideration 306–7
 - justification as the third question 307–8
 - tribunals accepting reasonable justifications for distinctive treatment 309
 - likeness, determining presence or absence of 300–4
 - competitive relationship, relevance of 300–3
 - TPP 302, 304
 - unpredictable outcomes, breadth of scope of likeness resulting in 302
 - meaning of 258, 295
 - nine lives of national treatment 295–8
 - expropriation 297
 - fair and equitable treatment 297–8
 - national treatment 295–6
 - other examples 298
 - purpose 309
- nationality
 - corporate investors, nationality of 234, 244–51
 - difficulties of determining nationality 244
 - foreign control 247–51
 - holding or shell companies 244, 246, 247
 - place of incorporation 244–7
 - diplomatic protection 5
 - individual investors 234, 239–43
 - authentication of nationality 239–41
 - disallowed from seeking protection from home State 234, 236, 237, 239, 243, 255
 - domestic legislation defining nationality 239–40
 - dual nationality/permanent residents 241–3
 - multiple nationalities 243
 - nationals of one state and residents of another 242–3
 - principle of effective/dominant nationality 241–2, 243
 - nationality-based eligibility for protection 232–3, 234–9
 - circumstances of nationality acquisition 236–9
 - delimiting scope of application of treaty 234
 - form – critical date(s) of nationality possession 235–6
 - litigation-oriented nationality acquisition 238–9
 - ‘round-tripping’ 236–7, 239
 - substance – circumstances of nationality acquisition 236–9
- natural resource concessions 52–3
- necessity
 - ASR definition, investment arbitration and 396–7
 - circumstance precluding wrongfulness, as 345, 346, 384, 395–7
 - defence, as 382–3
 - high threshold for invoking 453
 - ICSID 396
 - non-precluded measures clauses, interpretive support from necessity for 384–5
 - state of necessity 149–50, 389
 - States satisfying ‘only way’ and non-contribution elements of criteria 397
- Netherlands-Hungary BIT
 - expropriation 328
- Netherlands-Indonesia BIT
 - termination of 478
- Netherlands-Poland BIT
 - expropriation 332
- new directions in international investment law and arbitration 477–514
 - backlash to NAFTA and in the US, 2001–2004 479–83
 - NAFTA clause, ambiguities in 482–3
 - US Model BIT 481–2
 - European proposal for multilateral investment court 504–12
 - enforcement of judgments 507
 - enforcement of judgments in third countries 508
 - European Commission inception impact assessment 504–6
 - ‘*inter se*’ rule in Vienna Convention, applying 507

- judges, appointment of 508
- nature of 507
- objectives of 506
- public consultation 506
- TTIP, in 2, 81–2, 479, 507–12
- global backlash against investment treaties and investment arbitration 477–9
- global backlash beginning in earnest, 2007–date, reasons for 483–91
- treaty law, international investment law and 494–5
- treaty terminations beginning, reasons for 491–3
- new treaty clauses 495–503
 - other innovations in substantive standards of treaty protection
 - procedural innovations 500–3
 - reforming expropriation rule 498–9
 - reforming FET rule 496–8
- procedural innovations
 - codes of conduct 500
 - investment disputes appellate mechanism 502–3
 - Mauritius Convention 500
 - summary proceedings 500
 - transparency 500–2
- New International Economic Order
 - decolonised developing nations constructing 15, 16–17, 30
- New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards 28
 - enforcement by 97, 447–8
 - UNCITRAL awards 160
 - lex loci arbitri*, and 162–3
 - free circulation of awards 508
 - provisions of 449–50
 - setting aside awards 450
 - writing requirement 97, 98–9
- 'no u-turn' clauses
 - consent 102
 - effect of 102
 - 'fork in the road' clauses, as variation of 102
 - nature of 100, 102
 - procedural precondition, as 101–3
- non-discrimination
 - expropriation
 - due process, absence of 343
 - non-discrimination as criterion of lawfulness for 295, 297, 342–3
- FET
 - discrimination on wrongful grounds 298
 - non-discrimination as central component of 298
 - non-discrimination as contested element of 295
 - treaties connecting discrimination with core of FET 298
 - whether non-discriminatory treatment implicit in FET obligations 297
- ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility 294
- international minimum standard and 293–5, 297
- loss of central role of 294
- national treatment
 - de jure* and *de facto* discrimination and 305
 - intent neither sufficient nor necessary 305–6
 - justification for differential treatment 306–9
 - see also* national treatment
- primary obligations of FET, responsibility arising out of 294–5
- prohibiting discrimination in treaties 296
- non-justiciability, doctrine of 460–76
- non-precluded measures clauses
 - defences 383–7
 - annulment committees, approach of 384–5
 - interpretive support from necessity resulting in restrictive reading 384–5
 - not self-judging 383–4
 - State contributing to crisis, impact of 387
 - WTO law providing guidance for interpretation 385–6
- expropriation 345–6
 - defence to liability, as 345
 - State invoking treaty-approved non-precluded measures 345–6
- ICSID interpretation of 384–5
- WTO law providing guidance on 385–6
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) 25, 66
 - backlash to 479–83
 - binding interpretations
 - arbitral pronouncements as constituting State practice 286–7
 - NAFTA Chapter 11, of 479
 - nature of 285–6
 - costs, 'loser-pays' principle in 434–7
 - countermeasures 392–5
 - disputes fuelling ICSID arbitrations 29
 - expropriation and compensation 297
 - fair and equitable treatment 262
 - lack of transparency 264–6
 - FET clause 284–7
 - reflecting customary international law standard 284–6
 - minimum standard of treatment 68–9
 - ambiguities in 482–3
 - national, meaning of 242–3
 - national treatment 295–6, 297, 305
 - protected investors 250
 - 'qualified' treaty clauses, NAFTA and 'North American' model of 284–7
 - shareholder claims 250
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
 - Draft Convention/multilateral treaty
 - abandonment of 25, 26, 27, 64, 69
 - influence of 67
- origins of investment protection and international investment law 1–36, 86–7
 - adjudication and other investment dispute bodies, call for return to 105–6
- BITS *see* bilateral investment treaties (BITs)
- 'contractualising' international investment protection 1, 10
 - shift from 9
- diplomatic espousal 1, 2–5, 10
- ICSID, international courts and other modern institutions 26–30

532 Index

- origins of investment protection (cont.)
 - 'internationalised' contract, creature of 22–4
 - investment treaty arbitration, nature of 31–2
 - Investor-State dispute settlement (ISDS) 2, 10
 - legal uncertainty and attempts to restate the law in the UN 10–24
 - efforts at the UN 10, 14–22
 - legal chaos 10–14
 - lump-sum settlements 14, 35, 86
 - mixed claims commissions 1, 5–6
 - modern claims settlement 8–10
 - national claims commissions 1, 6–7
 - protection, standards of 1
 - sources of international law on foreign investment 33–4
 - treaty-based investor-State arbitration, reaching for 9, 24–6
 - Abs-Shawcross Draft 24–5
 - BITs, emergence of 25
 - OECD Draft Convention abandoned 25
- Pakistan-Germany BIT as first modern example of BITs 25, 59
 - customary position adopted 59–60
 - investor protection as sole focus 60
- parallel proceedings 101–3, 132–41
 - abuse of process 137–9, 253–5
 - consent by disputing parties to consolidate or coordinate 133
 - no issue 134–5
 - protected investors 252–3
 - double recovery, risk of 253–4, 255
 - remedies 139–41
 - sequential proceedings: collateral estoppel/*res judicata* 134, 135–6
 - special treaty rules to coordinate by consolidation, or staying 133–4
- Permanent Court of Arbitration 105, 106, 448
- Permanent Court of International Justice
 - diplomatic espousal 2–3
 - standard of compensation for expropriation of foreign-owned property 10–11
- privity, arbitration without *see* arbitration without privity
- protected investments 210–31
 - contractual rights as 326–31
 - dual meaning of protected investments 223–30
 - combining subjective and objective definitional approaches 211
 - binding objective criteria 223, 224–6
 - illustrative objective criteria 226–30
 - establishing jurisdiction, required for 210
 - expropriation, as objects of 324
 - see also* expropriation
 - objective meaning of protected investments 211, 218–23
 - ICSID tests 219–21
 - non-ICSID test 221–2
 - Salini* test 211, 218, 219, 222, 229, 230
 - property and non-property rights as 324
 - subjective meaning of protected investments 211–18
 - definitions in applicable investment treaty 210–11
 - ICSID arbitrations, approach under 211–18
 - potential for over-inclusiveness 218
 - States agreeing genres of investments having treaty protection 211
- protected investors 232–57
 - corporate investors 234, 244–51
 - difficulties of determining nationality 244
 - foreign control 247–51
 - holding or shell companies 244, 246, 247
 - place of incorporation 244–7
 - 'divisible' investors 234, 251–5
 - consolidating proceedings 251–2
 - double recovery, risk of 253–4, 255
 - parallel proceedings 252–5
 - shareholders suing in own name 251
 - individual investors 234, 239–43
 - authentication of nationality 239–41
 - disallowed from seeking protection from home State 234, 236, 237, 239, 243, 255
 - domestic legislation defining nationality 239–40
 - dual nationality/permanent residents 241–3
 - multiple nationalities 243
 - nationals of one state and residents of another 242–3
 - principle of effective/dominant nationality 241–2, 243
 - nationality-based eligibility for protection 232–3, 234–9
 - circumstances of nationality acquisition 236–9
 - delimiting scope of application of treaty 234
 - form – critical date(s) of nationality possession 235–6
 - litigation-oriented nationality acquisition 238–9
 - 'round-tripping' 236–7, 239
 - substance – circumstances of nationality acquisition 236–9
- provisional measures 181–209
 - freezing orders 182, 183, 192–3
 - not practicably sought from tribunal where order to a third party 192
 - other types of relief 192–7
 - criminal prosecutions, enjoining host State from continuing 193–7
 - freezing orders 182, 183, 192–3
 - security for costs 192–3
 - purpose of interim, provisional or conservatory measures 182
 - recourse to national courts, power of ICSID tribunals, and 182, 186–92
 - security for costs 184
 - tribunal's power to order 192–3
 - sovereign respondents, interim measures and 183–6
 - ICSID 183, 185–6
 - sovereign, tribunal ordering relief against 182–3
 - UNCITRAL 183, 184–5
 - test applied by tribunals 197–208
 - UNCITRAL, test under 201–8
 - 'urgent and necessary', provided protected right exists 197–201
- public-private partnerships 54

- public service concessions 53
- publicists, writings of 33
 - material source of international law, as 33
- punitive damages 408–10
 - egregious breach, punitive damages for 408
 - not yet awarded 408
- Regional Arbitration Centres of Cairo 100, 106
- Regional Arbitration Centres of Kuala Lumpur 100, 106
- remedies 401–19
 - compensation and restitution 403–10
 - approaches to compensation for two or more breaches 407–8
 - compensation for expropriation 404–6
 - compensation for violation of other treaty standards 406–8
 - double recovery not permitted 406–8, 410
 - moral and punitive damages 408–10
 - restitution in integrum* 403
 - specific performance, problems with 403–4
 - considerations in award of remedies 401–2
 - damnum emergens plus lucrum cessans* (DELC) 417–22
 - double counting 419–22
 - nature of 417
 - principal valuation method 417–18
 - when applied 422
 - discounted cash flow (DCF) 411–17
 - advantages of DCF in arbitral context 412–13
 - definition of 411
 - factors relevant to DCF calculation 413–17
 - overview of method 411–12
 - when applied 411
 - full reparation for internationally wrongful acts, principle of 402–3
 - compound interest 422–3
 - finding of unlawfulness, applying upon 403
 - monetary compensation and/or material restitution 403
 - interest 422–3
 - calculating 422
 - compound interest, justification for 422–3
 - methods of valuation 410–19
 - complex nature of exercise 410–11
 - damnum emergens plus lucrum cessans* (DELC) 417–22
 - discounted cash flow 411–17
 - expert reports, need for 410
 - options 410
 - monetary relief most commonly awarded 401, 403
 - provisional measures *see* provisional measures
 - violation of international law as precondition to award of remedies 401
- Rome Convention
 - lex loci arbitri* 161–2
- ‘round-tripping’ 236–7, 239
- security for costs 440–4
 - frivolous claims, method for handling 440, 441–2, 445
 - ICSID 110, 440, 443–4
 - power of tribunal to award, controversial nature of 440, 443–4
- provisional measures 184
 - third party funding, and 442–3
- self-defence as circumstance precluding wrongfulness 344
- Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC)
 - enforcement of awards 448
 - lex arbitri* 156
 - see also* applicable laws
- specific performance 403–4
- standard of proof 174–80
 - balance of probabilities 174, 179
 - circumstantial evidence 174–7
 - discounted standard of proof, party benefiting from 175–7, 179
 - weight accorded to 174
 - nature of 174
 - no evidence 177–9
 - absence or silence of a party 178–9
 - adverse inferences from 174, 177–8
 - non-appearance, reasons for 178–9
 - silence, ambiguous nature of 179
 - quantity and quality of the evidence 174
- States
 - Act of State doctrine 13–14
 - ASR *see* Articles on State Responsibility for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ASR)
 - arbitration
 - arbitral pronouncements as constituting State practice 286–7
 - immunity, lifting 182–3
 - State’s consent to 2
 - BITs, and *see* bilateral investment treaties (BITs)
 - customary international law, and
 - arbitral pronouncements, and 286–7
 - investor-State contracts governed by international law 10, 22–4
 - legal process/conditions to internationalise investor-State contract 22–4
 - sovereign State binding itself in international law by contract 15, 16
 - defences *see* defences
 - derivative shareholders’ ability to claim against host State 29–30
- developing States
 - BITs 66
 - Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States 64
 - decolonisation 15
 - Havana Charter 64–5
 - natural resources, States’ permanent sovereignty over 64
 - New International Economic Order, constructing 15, 16–17
 - UN, at
 - outvoting power of Western industrialized nations 15, 64
 - proliferation of 15
 - UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency, adoption of 80
- diplomatic espousal and protection *see* diplomatic espousal
- equality of arms 167
- expropriation *see* expropriation
- FET and FPS *see* fair and equitable treatment (FET) and full protection and security

- States (cont.)
 - (FPS)
 - foreign State immunity 460–6
 - absolute theory of immunity 460, 461–4
 - ICSID Convention lifting immunity from proceedings 460
 - non-ICSID awards 460
 - restrictive theory of foreign State immunity/foreign sovereign immunity 460, 475
 - submission to arbitration lifting foreign State immunity/‘arbitration exception’ 464, 465–6
 - UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States 460, 464, 465
 - waiver of immunity 466, 475
 - interim measures, sovereign respondents, and 183–6
 - ICSID 183, 185–6
 - sovereign, tribunal ordering relief against 182–3
 - UNCITRAL 183, 184–5
 - international law, and
 - breaches of international obligations, sanctioning 37, 148
 - custom through general practice of States 33, 34
 - natural resources, States’ permanent sovereignty over 64
 - traditional subject of international law, as 145
 - intimidation by 168
 - State supremacy 167
 - treaty/treaty-based investment arbitration *see* investment treaty arbitration
- Stockholm Chamber of Commerce 100, 106
 - Arbitration Rules: burden of proof 168–9
 - enforcement of awards 448
 - transparency 501
- Swiss–Hungary BIT
 - expropriation 328
- Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)
 - multilateral investment court/appellate mechanism, proposal for 2, 81–2, 479, 507–12
 - Appeals Tribunal 509–10
 - disclosure obligations 511–12
 - duties of members 512
 - ethics 510–11
 - former members, obligations of 512
 - independence and impartiality of members 512
 - multilateral dispute settlement mechanisms 511
 - Tribunal of First Instance 508–9
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) 80
 - differential treatment of investors and investments 307, 309
 - FET clauses 283, 284
 - reforming 496
 - MST rule 496
 - national treatment, 295, 296, 305
 - competitive relationship, relevance of 302, 304
 - umbrella clause 371–2
 - UNCITRAL Transparency Rules, reflecting 500
- treaties/treaty law
 - applicable laws, and 148
 - treaty law as *lex specialis* 149
 - binding compacts between nations, as 33
 - formal source of international law, as 33
 - interpretation
 - effectiveness principle/*effet utile* 313
 - VCLT *see* Vienna Convention of the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
 - investment treaties *see* investment treaties
 - investor’s rights being placed on treaty footing 10
 - law of treaties codified 33
 - lex specialis*, treaty law as 149
 - pacta sunt servanda* 51
 - parallel proceedings, special treaty rules for 133–4
 - ‘qualified’ treaty clauses 284–7
 - treaty-shopping 121
 - treaty/treaty-based investment arbitration *see* investment treaty arbitration
 - umbrella clauses
 - distinguishing treaty law from contract law 366–7
 - reconciling cases by treaty construction 357–62
 - treaty clauses creating a ‘mirror effect’ 350–1
 - waiver of treaty rights 390–2
- UK–Argentina BIT
 - umbrella clause 369
- UK–Egypt BIT
 - ‘investment’, meaning of 325
- UK–Malaysia BIT
 - protected investments 212, 213, 455–7
- UK–Soviet BIT
 - expropriation 168
- umbrella clauses 349–73
 - approaches to interpretation of 71–2
 - attempts to limit potential scope and effect of umbrella clauses 372–3
 - need for States to be explicit about intended effect of umbrella clauses 373–4
- awards
 - continued salience of the SGS cases 363–5
 - distinguishing treaty law from contract law 366–7
 - endorsement of umbrella clauses 355–7
 - forum selection clauses 368–9
 - reconciling cases by treaty construction 357–62
 - resistance to umbrella clauses 352–5
- claims involving 71–2
 - merged application of national/international law in three stages 153–5
- examples of umbrella clauses 369–72
- lex causae* 153–5
- meaning of 349–50
- nature of umbrella clauses 350–2
 - controversy around umbrella clauses 351
 - ‘elevating’ domestic private law obligations onto international plane 352
 - treaty clauses creating a ‘mirror effect’ 350–1
- no consensus on scope or nature of protection conferred by 349
- origins of 350, 351
- UN Compensation Commission (UNCC) 8, 9–10, 30, 87
 - claims processing facility, as 9

535 Index

- Governing Council's role of 9
- Iraq's liability determined by Security Council 9
- purpose and role 9, 30
- UN General Assembly
 - customary international law on protection of foreign investments, clarifying 10, 11, 14–22
 - arbitration or international adjudication, choice of 15–16
 - choice effected through contract 15–16
 - claimants owning own claims 10
 - compensation standard 16–17
 - investor-State contracts governed by international law 10, 22–4
 - investor's rights being placed on treaty footing 10
 - legal process/conditions to internationalise investor-State contract 22–4
 - restating principles governing protection of foreign investments 10, 14–16
 - sovereign State binding itself in international law by contract 15, 16
- decolonised developing nations
 - Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States 64
 - natural resources, States' permanent sovereignty over 64
 - New International Economic Order, constructing 15, 16–17
 - outvoting power of Western industrialized nations 15
 - proliferation of at UN 15
 - UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency, adoption of 80
- UNGA Resolution 1803 10, 14–16
 - choice by agreement of arbitration or international adjudication 15–16
 - choice effected through contract 15–16
 - declaring position under customary international law 17–22
 - good faith, agreements to be observed in 15
 - investors' contracts with hosts state governed by international law 10, 22–4
 - sovereign State binding itself in international law by contract 15, 16
 - species of State practice and *opinio juris communis*, as 17–22
- UNGA Resolution 3281 15, 16–17
 - not declaring position under customary international law 17–22
- UN Security Council
 - UNCC, establishment of 9, 30
- UNCITRAL/UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules
 - ad hoc arbitrations 100, 106
 - ICSID versus 106–10
 - amicus curiae* briefs 500
 - applicable laws
 - award enforcement 160
 - interim relief/measures 160
 - lex arbitri* 156
 - see also applicable laws
 - costs
 - cost allocation by tribunal 430–1, 434
 - fees and expenses 428
 - hire of hearing venue 429
 - 'loser-pays' principle 109, 434–7
 - enforcement of awards 160, 448
 - Iran-US Claims Tribunal 8
 - jurisdiction and admissibility
 - bifurcation between preliminary objections and merits 125–6
 - procedure for addressing objections to 125–6
 - Model Law
 - writing requirement 97, 99
 - parallel proceedings 101–3, 132–41
 - abuse of process 137–9
 - consent by disputing parties 133
 - no issue 134–5
 - remedies 139–41
 - sequential proceedings: collateral estoppel/*res judicata* 135–6
 - special treaty rules 133–4
 - provisional measures/interim relief
 - award of 160
 - sovereign respondents, and 183, 184–5
 - test for 201–8
- Rules on Transparency 80, 500–2
 - public access to arbitral process 80
 - publication of awards 500
 - UN adoption of 80
 - security for costs 110, 202
 - tribunal's jurisdiction, objecting to 110
- UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration
 - setting aside under 450–2
- United Nations
 - General Assembly see UN General Assembly
 - Security Council see UN Security Council
 - UNCC see UN Compensation Commission
- United States (US)
 - Act of State doctrine 13–14
 - BITS 25
 - approach to 497
 - FCN treaties 60
 - BITs and 25
 - FET treaty clauses 283, 284
- Model BIT
 - critical assessment of 479–81
 - expropriation 498–9
 - fair and equitable treatment 262, 496
 - form-critical date(s) of nationality possession 235–6
 - indirect expropriation, three-part test for 499
 - 'investor of a party', meaning of 233
 - investor's nationality 233, 234
 - minimum standard of treatment, reforming 481–2, 496
 - minimum standard of treatment linked to customary law 481–2
 - 'no u-turn' clauses 102–3
 - rebalancing 479, 481
 - regulatory actions 499
 - 'qualified' treaty clauses 284–7
 - umbrella clauses 370–1
- Sabbatino Amendment 13–14
- TTIP, proposal for multilateral investment court in 2, 81–2, 479, 507–8

US–Argentina BIT	discounted cash flow, definition of 411
company, meaning of 246	mission 63
expropriation: non-precluded measures 345	multilateral foreign investment dispute settlement
investment dispute, meaning of 246–7	mechanism proposed 26–9
non-precluded-measures clause 383–7	ICSID Convention negotiated 63
interpretive support from necessity resulting in	worldwide investment treaty, opposed to 26
restrictive reading 384	World Trade Organization (WTO) 9
ruled not self-judging 383–4, 389	dispute settlement 105
State contributing to crisis, impact of 387	General Agreement on Trade in Services 105–6
WTO law providing guidance 385–6	investment-related disputes, WTO Panels and Appellate
umbrella clause 369	Body role in 105–6
US–Ecuador BIT 452	non-precluded-measures clauses, WTO law providing
	guidance on 385–6
Vienna Convention of the Law of Treaties (VCLT)	Trade-related Investment Measures Agreement (TRIMS)
codifying customary international law 33	105–6
‘ <i>inter se</i> ’ rule modifying multilateral treaties 507	wrongfulness
investment treaties	circumstances precluding wrongfulness 344–6
international investment agreements as part of	compensation 398–9
international law 290–1	consent 344, 390–2
interpreting 291	consequences of invocation 397–9
interpreting protected investments under investment	countermeasures in respect of internationally
treaty 211	wrongful act 344, 392–5
treaty interpretation 211, 290–1	defences or excuses in internal legal systems,
	operating as 376–7
World Bank	distress 344–5
assisting with settlement of investment disputes 26	force majeure 344
Guidelines on Treatment of Foreign Direct Investment	justification or excuse, whether 389–90
68	necessity 345, 346, 384, 395–7
compensation, valuation methods for 410	self-defence 344