

Contents

	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page xv</i>
1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Dependency	1
	1.2 Directionality	2
	1.3 The Objectives of the Book	8
	1.4 The Structure of the Book	9
	1.4.1 Chapter 2: The Directionality of Structure Building	9
	1.4.2 Chapter 3: Find the Gap	10
	1.4.3 Chapter 4: A Syntactic Typology of Long \bar{A} -Dependencies	11
	1.4.4 Chapter 5: The Trouble with Subjects	11
	1.4.5 Chapter 6: Conclusion	12
	1.5 A Note to the Reader	12
2	The Directionality of Structure Building	14
	2.1 Introduction	14
	2.2 What Makes Trees Grow	15
	2.2.1 Endocentricity and Extended Projection	18
	2.2.2 Guiding the Guessing: The Numeration	21
	2.2.3 Top-down Versus Left-to-right: A Brief Note on Linear Order	22
	2.2.4 On the Internal Organisation of Functional Structures	23
	2.3 Working from the Bottom up: The Cycle	25
	2.3.1 Clausal Recursion and the Cycle	25
	2.3.1.1 Clausal Subordination: Bridge Verbs, Factive Verbs and Pronominal Heralds	26
	2.3.1.2 Object Positions and Presuppositionality	28
	2.3.1.3 Back to Clausal Recursion	32
	2.3.1.4 Conclusions and Consequences Concerning the Cycle and Directionality	37
	2.3.1.5 Appendix: Verb Phrase Structure and Linearisation	40
		ix

x *Contents*

2.3.2	Cyclicality and Opacity: On the Phase	48
2.3.2.1	Opaque Domains: By Decree or Algorithm?	48
2.3.2.2	Cyclic Spell-out	54
2.3.2.3	Directionality	59
2.3.3	Feature Inheritance and the Structural Subject	61
2.3.4	Successive Cyclicality	64
2.3.5	Conclusion	70
2.4	Working from the Top Down: Constituency Conflicts and Dependencies	71
2.4.1	Constituency Conflicts: Phillips (1996, 2003)	71
2.4.1.1	Temporary Constituency	72
2.4.1.2	C-command and Binding: ‘Pesetsky Paradoxes’	75
2.4.1.3	Conclusion	90
2.4.2	Filler–gap Dependencies: Chesi (2007, 2015)	91
2.4.2.1	Successive Cyclicality	91
2.4.2.2	Strong Islands	95
2.4.2.3	Parasitic Gaps	96
2.4.2.4	On the Relationship Between Parasitic Gaps and <i>wh</i> -scope Marking	98
2.4.2.5	Closing remarks on Chesi (2007, 2015)	100
2.5	Conclusion	101
3	Find the Gap	103
3.1	Introduction	103
3.2	A Broad Sketch of the Top-down Approach to Filler–gap Dependencies	104
3.2.1	Step 1: Uploading a Copy of the Filler onto a Pushdown Stack	104
3.2.2	Step 2: Downloading the Pushdown Stack onto the Edge of the First Predication Structure	105
3.2.3	Step 3: Tracing	105
3.2.4	Step 4 (Only in ‘Weak Island’ Contexts Involving Argument Dependencies): Re-uploading	106
3.2.5	Direct Filler–gap Dependencies and What Obstructs Them	107
3.3	Absolute Islands	108
3.3.1	Absolute Islands for Agreement	108
3.3.2	Absolute Islands for Filler–gap Dependencies	111
3.3.2.1	The Subject Condition	113
3.3.2.2	The Complex Noun Phrase Constraint	116
3.3.2.3	The Specificity Condition	120
3.3.2.4	Factive Islands	124
3.3.2.5	The Adjunct Condition	126

3.4	Intervention Islands	139
3.4.1	<i>Wh</i> -islands	140
3.4.1.1	Re-uploading and the Argument/Non-argument Asymmetry	141
3.4.1.2	Long Subject Dependencies and the Subject/Object Asymmetry	143
3.4.1.3	On the Severity of <i>Wh</i> -island Violations Involving Arguments	148
3.4.2	Paths and the Pathology of Intervention Islands	150
3.4.2.1	Multiple Fillers, Multiple Paths	152
3.4.2.2	Path Containment	153
3.4.2.3	<i>Why</i> <i>Why</i> and <i>Whether Are Different</i>	158
3.4.3	Beck-style Intervention Effects and ‘Inner Islands’	172
3.4.3.1	Construction Types Exhibiting Beck-style Intervention Effects	172
3.4.3.2	Harmful and Harmless Interveners	173
3.4.3.3	The Signature and Locus of Beck-style Intervention Effects	175
3.4.3.4	A Top-down Syntactic Analysis of Beck-style Intervention Effects and ‘Inner Islands’	177
3.5	Islands: Bottom-up or Top-down?	190
3.5.1	Absolute Islands	190
3.5.2	Intervention Islands	191
3.5.3	A Note on Derivation Versus Representation	192
4	A Syntactic Typology of Long \bar{A}-dependencies	194
4.1	Introduction	194
4.2	Hungarian in Focus	200
4.2.1	Upstairs Agreement with the Complement Clause (DEF)	201
4.2.1.1	The a-sentences: Direct Long-distance Filler-gap Dependencies	201
4.2.1.2	The b-sentences: Resumptive Prolepsis with an Oblique Matrix <i>Wh</i> -expression	206
4.2.2	Upstairs Indefinite Agreement with the <i>Wh</i> -phrase (INDEF)	210
4.2.2.1	The c-sentences: Resumptive Prolepsis with an Accusative Matrix <i>Wh</i> -expression	210
4.2.2.2	The d-sentences: <i>Wh</i> -topic Drop	216
4.2.2.3	The e-sentences: <i>Wh</i> -scope Marking	226
4.2.3	The Landscape of Hungarian Long \bar{A} -dependencies in a Nutshell	228
4.2.4	Appendix: Further Issues in the Syntax of Hungarian Long \bar{A} -dependencies	231
4.2.4.1	A Specificity Effect with a Parallel in French	232

xii *Contents*

	4.2.4.2 Inner Islands	233
	4.2.4.3 A Word-order Restriction in Multiple Wh-fronting	234
4.3	<i>Wh</i> -copying as Concordial Scope Marking	236
4.3.1	Not Literal Copying	237
4.3.2	Concordial Scope Marking	238
4.3.3	A Different Kind of ‘ <i>Wh</i> -copying’	240
4.3.4	On the Cross-linguistic Distribution of Concordial Scope Marking	241
4.4	<i>Wh</i> -control	244
4.5	Conclusion	247
4.6	The Case of Dinka Long-distance \bar{A} -fronting	248
5	The Trouble with Subjects	254
5.1	Introduction	254
5.2	A Concise Historical Perspective	255
5.2.1	The Subject Function: Some Preliminaries	255
5.2.2	The Filler Requirement for the Structural Subject Position: The ‘EPP’	257
5.2.3	Infinitives: Raising, Control, and PRO	260
5.2.4	Subject Extraction and the ‘ECP’	265
5.2.4.1	The Empirical Core	265
5.2.4.2	The ECP	269
5.2.4.3	A Positional Account and Its Limitations	276
5.2.4.4	A Labelling Account and Its Limitations	278
5.3	A New Analysis	283
5.3.1	The Theoretical Core	283
5.3.2	On Agree in a Top-down Grammar	284
5.3.3	The Locality of Agree Relations	286
5.3.4	Co-projection	287
5.3.5	The EPP and Cross-linguistic Variation in the Filling of SpecTP	291
5.3.6	Complementiser Omission	292
5.3.7	The Generalised Complementiser-trace Effect	293
5.3.8	The <i>Do</i> -trace Effect	295
5.3.9	The Effect of a High Adverbial on Complementiser Omission and Subject Extraction	296
5.3.10	The Effect of a High Adverbial on C–T Chain Formation	298
5.3.11	On PRO	299
5.3.12	Null Operator Relatives and the ‘Anti- <i>that</i> -trace Effect’	301
5.3.13	Long Null-operator Dependencies	303

	<i>Contents</i>	xiii
5.3.14	<i>Convince</i> -class Clausal Complementation	311
5.3.14.1	The Empirical Lie of the Land	312
5.3.14.2	Previous Scholarship	317
5.3.14.3	What Makes the Two Verb Classes Different	318
5.3.14.4	Long-distance <i>Wh</i> -Dependencies in <i>Convince</i> -class Constructions: <i>Wh</i> -control	322
5.3.14.5	The Ban on Long Subject Dependencies in <i>Convince</i> -class Constructions Explained	328
5.3.14.6	Non-argument Dependencies in <i>Convince</i> -class Constructions Revisited	329
5.3.14.7	The Location of the Gap Bound by the Matrix <i>Wh</i> -filler	330
5.3.14.8	An <i>Of</i> -trace Effect	334
5.3.15	Other Long Subject Dependencies Across an Absolute Island	337
5.3.16	On the Selective Transparency of Highest-subject Relative Clauses	339
5.3.17	Subject Extraction from <i>Wh</i> -islands	349
5.3.18	Multiple <i>Wh</i> -fronting and Superiority	352
5.4	Summary and Conclusion	356
6	Conclusion	359
	<i>Abstracts and Keywords</i>	361
	<i>References</i>	369
	<i>Index</i>	384