

Contents

<i>Preface</i>		<i>page ix</i>
1	Desires, goods, and ‘good’: some philosophical issues	1
1.1	Desires, why they matter, what they are; what is it to have a good reason for desiring something?	1
1.2	‘Good’, goods, and disagreements about goods	13
1.3	Expressivist accounts of ‘good’ and of disagreements about goods	17
1.4	‘Good’ and goods understood in terms of human flourishing: enter Aristotle	24
1.5	What is at odds between expressivists and NeoAristotelians	31
1.6	Two rival characterizations of moral development	35
1.7	Instructive conflicts between an agent’s judgments and her desires: expressivists, Frankfurt, and Nietzsche	41
1.8	The NeoAristotelian conception of the rational agent	49
1.9	Expressivists versus NeoAristotelians: a philosophical conflict in which neither party seems able to defeat the other	59
1.10	Why I have put on one side not only the philosophical standpoints of most recent moral philosophers, but also their moral standpoint	64
2	Theory, practice, and their social contexts	70
2.1	How to respond to the type of philosophical disagreement described in Chapter 1: the social contexts of philosophical theorizing	70
2.2	Hume as an example: his local and particular conception of the natural and the universal	79
2.3	Aristotle and his social context; Aquinas’s recovery of Aristotle from that context; how Aquinas seemed to have become irrelevant	85
2.4	Marx, surplus value, and the explanation of Aquinas’s apparent irrelevance	93
2.5	Academic economics as a mode of understanding and misunderstanding	101
2.6	Marxists and Distributists as rival critics of the dominant standpoint	106
2.7	What have we learned about how to proceed beyond the impasse of Chapter 1?	110

3	Morality and modernity	114
3.1	Morality, the morality of modernity	114
3.2	The modernity in which Morality is at home	120
3.3	State and market: the ethics-of-the-state and the ethics-of-the market	124
3.4	Desires, ends, and the multiplication of desires	129
3.5	The structuring of desires by norms	133
3.6	How and why Morality functions as it does	136
3.7	Morality put in question by expressivism: the limits of an expressivist critique	138
3.8	Morality put in question by Oscar Wilde	141
3.9	Morality put in question by D. H. Lawrence	146
3.10	Morality put in question by Bernard Williams	150
3.11	Questions posed to and by Williams	158
4	NeoAristotelianism developed in contemporary Thomistic terms: issues of relevance and rational justification	166
4.1	Problems posed for NeoAristotelians	166
4.2	Families, workplaces, and schools: common goods and conflicts	168
4.3	The politics of local community and conflict: Danish and Brazilian examples	176
4.4	Practical rationality from the standpoint of the dominant order	183
4.5	Practical rationality from a NeoAristotelian standpoint	189
4.6	The dominant conception of happiness	193
4.7	The NeoAristotelian critique of the dominant conception	196
4.8	Some contemporary conflicts and incoherences	202
4.9	How Thomistic Aristotelians justify their claims in contemporary debates: issues of rational justification	206
4.10	The relevance of the virtues understood in Aristotelian and Thomistic terms	214
4.11	Bernard Williams' critique of Aristotelian and Thomistic concepts and arguments: a response	220
4.12	Narratives	231
4.13	Continuing disagreements concerning narrative	238
5	Four narratives	243
5.1	Introductory	243
5.2	Vasily Grossman	244
5.3	Sandra Day O'Connor	264
5.4	C. L. R. James	273
5.5	Denis Faul	296
5.6	So what?	309
	<i>Index</i>	316