

Index

- ablation experiments, 120, 124
 abstract argumentation framework, 139–141, 147
 acceptability, 128–131, 140–141, 145
 accuracy, 113–115, 120, 124, 158–159, 239, 242, 262,
 265, 291, 292, 294, 297, 305, 326
 AGATHA, 121, 151, 160
 Aharoni, E., 306, 307, 372, 377
 Akoma Ntoso, 181, 182
 Al-Kofahi, K., 248–253
 Alevin, V., 81, 85, 90–92, 115, 139, 185, 298
 algorithm, 4, 22, 36, 40, 53, 88, 92, 96, 108–111,
 113–116, 125, 158, 204, 234, 238, 242, 247, 251
 A* best-first search, 96
 answer-typing, 204
 CATO prediction, 115
 clustering, 247
 downplaying/emphasizing distinctions, 92
 hard/easy questions of law, 22, 40
 IBP hypothesis-testing, 116–120
k nearest neighbor, *k*-NN, 108, 115, 296, 297
 logistic regression, 305
 machine learning, 114, 125, 234–239, 242, 247,
 251–252, 254, 255, 264, 266, 275, 278, 289,
 297, 301, 305
 decision tree, 110–112, 232, 239, 251, 261, 278,
 294, 296, 297, 305
 metrics, 114
 naïve Bayes, 261, 289, 297, 305
 support vector machine, SVM, 242, 251–253,
 256, 261, 264, 266, 278, 291
 NLP, 270
 simulated annealing, 158
 statutory interpretation, 53
 Allen, L., 40–47, 57, 63
 Araszkievicz, M., 166
 Araucaria, 286, 288, 291, 293, 294
 argument, 287
 argument mining, 5, 23–27, 293, 328, 372, 376
 argument retrieval, 11, 164, 299, 316–320, 328, 335,
 339, 350, 359
 argument scheme, 129, 130, 134–139, 141–145, 147,
 149–158, 160, 166, 188, 190, 196–199, 201,
 286, 292, 294, 315, 334, 336, 338, 361, 365,
 379
 argument-related information, 26, 31, 34, 35, 106,
 167, 185, 201, 285–294, 299–310, 315, 316,
 321, 327–334, 342, 359, 361, 368, 376, 377,
 381, 383, 391
 argumentation framework, 129, 131, 141, 143
 abstract, 140–141, 148
 Carneades, 131, 139
 Ashley, K., 30, 76, 81–87, 116–120, 131, 135, 137–139,
 185–201, 239–241, 261, 276, 280, 287,
 294–298, 300, 302, 365
 Atkinson, K., 140–143, 148, 185
 attribution, 26, 363, 369–371
 augmented transition network, ATN, 19, 65
 Bach, N. X., 262, 269, 282
 backward chaining, 10, 48, 94, 132, 156, 165
 BankXX, 232
 Bauer, R., 240
 Bayes rule, 289
 Bayesian network, 148, 218–221
 Belew, R., 230, 231, 354
 Bench-Capon, T., 64, 100–103, 121, 139–143,
 148, 151, 200, 333
 Berman, D., 40, 53–56, 63, 97–100, 102, 154,
 185, 187
 Bex, F., 160
 Biagioli, C., 261
 Bing, J., 12

bipartite, 131, 139, 156
 Blair, D., 222, 223, 243
 BNA program, 47–52, 54, 56, 64, 70, 132, 133, 135, 165, 259
 Boella, G., 262
 Boer, A., 345
 Bommarito, M., 111–114, 125, 149
 Brüninghaus, S., 30, 117–120, 287, 294–298
 Branting, L.K., 93–96, 105, 139, 145
 Breaux, T., 262, 273, 275, 307, 374, 375
 Breuker, J., 174–176, 227

 Callan, J., 229
 case-based reasoning, CBR, 33, 73–106, 115–123
 CATO, 81, 85, 90–92, 97, 100–102, 105, 106, 115–117, 119–121, 125, 137, 138, 145, 149, 151, 155, 159, 160, 331, 333, 334, 364
 factor hierarchy, 90, 115, 120, 383
 Charniak, E., 210–220
 Chorley, A., 121, 151, 160
 cognitive computing, 4, 12–14, 31, 34–38, 47, 72, 74, 80, 104, 105, 107, 125, 164, 171, 185, 201, 209–211, 234, 236, 241, 258, 260, 287, 313, 332, 350–391
 computational model
 legal argument, CMLA, 4, 33, 127, 128, 131, 141, 144, 145, 147, 149, 167, 378
 legal reasoning, CMLR, 4, 18, 31, 33, 35–37, 355
 case-based, 33
 Confusion matrix, 238
 Conrad, J., 223, 224
 context-free grammar, 273
 contracts, 18, 27–29, 179, 262, 385
 critical questions, 130, 134, 135, 138, 142, 147, 166
 cross validation or *k*-fold cross validation, 113, 119, 159, 264, 280, 281, 297, 304, 305, 322
 Crowdsourcing, 374

 Dabney, D., 223
 DALOS, 178–180, 183, 262, 278
 Daniels, J., 227–230
 de Maat, E., 261, 266–268
 Debater, 4, 14, 23–27, 34, 37, 165–167, 287, 288, 306–307, 315, 334, 338, 372, 375, 377
 decision tree, 110–112, 232, 239, 243, 251, 261, 278, 296, 297, *see* algorithm, machine learning, decision tree
 random forests of, RFDT, 112
 defeasible, 63, 135, 144, 166, 176, 259, 268, 272, 361
 Dick, J., 12, 286, 315
 dimensions
 and CATO Factors, 90
 and legal factors, 81, 285, 335, 339, 359

 in a feature space, 108, 217, 238, 242, 251, 252, 278, 296
 in a weight map parameters space, 159
 in BankXX, 232
 in CABARET, 88–90
 in Hypo, 73, 77, 81–87, 90, 105
 legal factors and CATO Factors, 105
 DOLCE, 183
 Dung, P. M., 127, 140

 E-Court Ontology, 176, 178, 227, 260
 e-discovery, 34, 222, 236, 239–248, 255, 258, 385–387
 EuroVoc, 262
 extensible markup language, XML, 181, 182, 227, 262, 263, 265

 factors
 CATO, 81, 90, 100–102, 105, 106
 evidence, 149, 162, 285, 332, 333, 350, 355, 357, 360, 367, 383, 391
 family law, 138
 IBP, 116, 117, 119, 120
 knockout, 118, 159
 legal, 73, 77, 81–86, 88, 90, 105, 107, 116, 117, 120, 121, 123, 125, 137, 138, 149–151, 159, 166, 167, 190, 192, 228, 232, 233, 285, 287, 294, 296, 298, 331–333, 335, 336, 338, 350, 355, 357, 359–363, 365, 369, 378, 379, 383, 391
 property law, 192, 193, 197
 VJAP, 150, 151, 154, 156
 Fagan, F., 236, 238
 feature vector, 238, 251, 253, 288, 289, 291, 296, 297, 304
 Feng, V., 286, 293, 294, 334, 337
 Ferrucci, D., 15, 16, 202, 203
 forward chaining, 9
 Francesconi, E., 178–180, 183, 261–265, 278, 282
 Fuller, L., 22, 52
 fuzzynyms, 180

 Gangemi, A., 183
 Gardner, A., 18–20, 22, 23, 40, 53, 65
 GATE, 14, 298, 309
 Gonçalves, T., 286
 Gordon, T., 36, 63, 64, 129–138, 142, 144, 176, 185
 Governatori, G., 63, 68–69, 262, 268, 272, 344
 Grabmair, M., 31, 130, 131, 148–160, 188, 203–208, 261, 262, 276, 280, 282, 287, 299–305, 316–328
 Granat, R., 35
 Gray, G., 46
 GREBE, 93–96, 105, 139, 145
 Grover, C., 286

- Hachey, B., 286
 Hafner, C., 12, 55, 63, 97–100, 102, 154, 187
 Hamm, S., 13
 Hart, H.L.A., 22, 45
 Henderson, W., 7
 Henseler, H., 246
 Herring, D., 375
 heuristics, 8, 9, 21–23, 51, 62, 64, 88, 90, 96, 130
 Hirst, G., 286, 293, 294, 334, 337
 Hoekstra, R., 11, 173, 176, 346
 Hogan, C., 240
 Horn clause logic, 44, 45, 47
 Hypo, 73, 77, 81–88, 90, 97, 105, 115, 117, 119, 120, 139, 149, 160, 192, 335, 339
 hyponyms, 180
 hypothesis
 and hypothetical, 187
 legal, 332
 and cognitive computing, 356–382, 387–391
 predictive, 115–117, 119, 120, 297, 356
 proposed test, 187
 question answering, 28
 relevance, 240, 258, 385, 387
 implicit versus explicit, 241, 381
 testing, 35, 356
 hypotheticals, 78, 94, 190, 191
 and hypotheses, 187
 argument scheme for posing, 188, 192, 196, 198, 204
 in Hypo, 83
 in Socratic discussion and SCOTUS, 187, 199
 near miss, 365
 rules and values, 98, 106
 IBP, 29, 105, 115–121, 125, 149–151, 155, 158, 159, 331, 333, 335, 364, 382, 383
 +SMILE, 105, 126, 294–298
 information retrieval
 conceptual, 11, 28, 30, 31, 35, 234, 373, 379
 legal, 11, 30, 31, 33, 34, 72, 168, 174, 178, 201, 208, 209, 224, 227, 231, 232, 248, 260, 262, 285, 287, 298, 305, 313–315, 327, 328, 339, 342, 344–346, 349, 350, 354, 360, 361, 379
 relevance feedback, 222, 229, 241
 reranking, 208, 224, 233, 313, 315, 320, 321, 324, 326–328, 345, *see* LUIMA-Rerank module
 intermediate legal concept, ILC, 73, 190, 196, 197, 199, 201, 350
 in AGATHA, 123
 in CABARET, 88
 in GREBE, 93
 in IBP, 117
 in VJAP, 149, 150, 154, 160
 inverted index, 214, 215, 218, 221, 226, 319, 328
 Iron Tech Lawyer Competition, 36
 isomorphism, 63, 182
 Jackson, P., 248, 253, 286, 315
 Jeopardy, 15–18, 23, 27, 203, 204
 Jurafsky, Daniel, 327
 Katz, D., 5, 111–114, 125, 149
 Kelly, J., 13
 Kiyavitskaya, N., 262, 273
 knowledge representation
 bottleneck, 4, 11, 33, 34, 226, 355
 Koetter, F., 60–62
 Landauer, T., 242
 LARCCS, 328–329, 331–332, 336, 339, 359, 387
 Lauritsen, M., 35
 legal concepts
 extensional definition, 77, 78, 81, 93
 intensional definition, 77, 78, 81
 legal factor, *see* factors, legal
 Legal Knowledge Interchange Format, LKIF, 176
 legal text analytics, 5, 33, 34, 36, 202, 354, 381, 382
 LegalOnRamp, LOR, 27
 LegalSifter, 385
 LegalTech News, 351
 lemmatization, 265
 Levi, E.H., 74–78
 Levy, F., 120, 246
 Lex Machina, 6, 123, 167, 353, 354, 366, 382
 Lex Specialis, 144, 145
 Lindahl, L., 150
 Lippe, P., 27, 36
 Llewellyn, K., 145
 logistic regression, *see* algorithm, logistic regression
 LRI-Core Ontology, 176
 Lucene, 319–321, 339
 LUIMA, 34, 226, 285, 287, 299, 300
 -Annotate module, 301–305
 -Rerank module, 320, 321
 -Search module, 319, 320
 and legal IR systems, 328
 annotation, 309, 375
 evaluation, 321, 324, 327
 expansion, 332, 333, 335, 338
 hypothesis, 316
 hypothesis testing, 361
 presuppositional information, 302, 334, 335, 339, 373
 query, 381
 system architecture, 316, 319
 team, 339, 376, 377

- LUIMA (*continued*)
 type system, 204, 207, 208, 227, 260, 299, 316,
 332, 334, 339, 360, 373, 376
 statutes, 282, 344, 388
- Lynch, C., 375
- MacCormick, N., 52–54
- machine learning, *see* algorithm, machine learning
- machine learning, ML, 5, 13, 28, 34, 107, 125, 168,
 208, 224, 248, 251, 254, 255, 258, 261, 263,
 264, 301, 305, 315
 and e-discovery, 239, 241, 242, 246, 248
 and legal texts, 234–236, 238
 e-discovery, 387
 evaluation, 113, 114
 from text, 294, 302, 303, 306, 327, 328, 391
 polarity, 372
 ranking weights, 320
 knowledge engineering, KE versus, 263, 266,
 272
 overfitting, 113
 propositions and conclusions, 287, 291
 statutory networks, 276, 278, 344
 supervised, 109, 110, 112, 238, 242, 246–248, 251,
 253, 261, 372
 unsupervised, 238, 247, 248
- Mackaay, E., 108, 109
- majority-class baseline, 120
- Maron, D., 222, 223, 243
- Mart, S., 327
- matrix
 document-term or frequency, 238
- McCallum, A., 296
- McCarty, L. T., 78–81, 101, 104, 139, 286
- McLaren, B., 106, 139
- metrics
 accuracy, 113–115, 120, 124, 158–159, 239, 242,
 262, 265, 291, 292, 294, 297, 305, 326
 area under the ROC curve, AUC, 256
 average precision, 323–325
 elusion, 222, 246
 F1-score or F1-measure, 114, 256, 262, 291, 292,
 297, 305
 mean average precision, MAP, 323, 325
 normalized discounted cumulative gain, 323
 precision, 114, 222–224, 227, 247, 249, 251, 253,
 256, 262, 265, 271, 291, 297, 305
 recall, 114, 222, 223, 227, 246, 247, 249, 251, 253,
 256, 257, 262, 265, 271, 291, 297, 305
 receiver operating characteristic, ROC, 256
 tf/idf, 180, 218, 221, 226, 237, 238, 264, 278, 304,
 326
 tradeoff of precision and recall, 222, 223
- Mimouni, N., 286, 315, 345
- Mochales, R., 287–294, 304, 306, 334, 337, 338
- model, 135
 classical model of logical deduction, 38, 134
 connecting legal texts to computational legal reasoning, 313
- IBP domain, 116
- machine learning, *see* algorithm, machine learning
- of abstract argumentation, 139
- of business process, 60
 as Petri net, 66
 as workflow net, 67
- of business process compliance, 69
- of case-based legal reasoning, 73, 77, 138
 with teleology, 97
 with theory construction, 79, 101
- of evidentiary legal argument, 160
- of hypothetical legal argument, 187
- of legal argument, *see* computational model, legal argument
- of legal discourse, 370
- of legal norms, 58
- of legal reasoning, *see* computational model, legal reasoning
- of relevance, 218, 222
- of rule-based legal reasoning, 8, 47, 138
- of statutory interpretation, 73
- ontology as, 174
- predictive, 125, 149
- statistical, 243
- vector space, 217
- VJAP domain, 150
- Modgil, S., 131, 141
- Moens, M.-F., 287–294, 304, 306, 334, 337, 338
- monotonic reasoning, 51, 134
- n-grams, 225, 237, 238, 282, 305
- Neota Logic, 10, 57
- network
 citation, 70, 72, 224, 226, 230–232, 315, 320, 345,
 354, 382
 statutory, 70–72, 276, 346–348, 354
 network analysis, 72, 230, 232, 246, 345, 348, 354
 non-monotonic reasoning, 51, 63, 129, 134
 normalization, 38, 41–47, 236, 302
- Oard, D., 222, 241–247
- Oberle, D., 58, 183, 184
- ontology
 and e-discovery, 241
 and legal information retrieval, 227
 framework and domain ontology, 172, 173, 176
 interoperability, 373

- legal, 34, 171–209, 378
 statutory, 58, 60, 72, 260, 262, 263, 273, 344
 type system, *see* type system
 Ontology Web Language, OWL, 176
 Opsomer, R., 261

 Palmirani, M., 65
 Peters, W., 298, 309, 335
 Prakken, H., 129–131, 141
 predicate logic, predicate calculus, or first order logic, 51
 predicate logic, predicate calculus, classical logic, or first order logic, 44
 predicate logic, predicate calculus, or first order logic, 20, 41, 63
 predictive coding, 7, 241–248, 251, 254–258, 301, 380
 presuppositional information, *see* LUIMA, presuppositional information
 pretrial discovery, 239
 Privault, C., 241, 246, 247
 probabilistic latent semantic analysis, PLSA, 242, 248
 proof standards, 129, 130, 132, 142, 145, 146
 propositional logic, 41, 42, 44
 protocols, 301, 308, 370
 Putman, W., 254

 Quinlan, J. R., 110, 294, 296

 Ravel, 6, 353, 354, 382
 rebuttal, 33, 83, 132
 regex, regular expressions, 302
 relevance hypothesis, *see* hypothesis, relevance
 relevance measure
 Boolean, 27, 214, 216, 222, 241
 reliability of annotation, 306, 307
 Remus, D., 120, 246
 Rhetorical Structure Theory, RST, 371
 Rissland, E., 22, 76, 78, 88–90, 139, 227–230, 232–233
 Rose, D., 230, 231, 354
 Ross Intelligence, 6, 37, 351, 352, 354, 382

 Saravanan, M., 227, 286, 315
 Sartor, G., 54, 100–103, 121, 139, 141, 143, 148, 151, 333
 Savelka, J., 236, 255–258, 261, 262, 276, 277, 280, 281
 Schank, R., 12, 381
 Schauer, F., 187
 search
 A* best-first, 96, 123
 breadth-first, 48
 depth-first, 48
 semantic nets or networks, 93–95, 355, 378
 sensemaking, 240
 Sergot, M., 47–52
 simulated annealing, 158
 SIROCCO, 106, 139, 378
 Slonim, N., 287, 306, 307, 315, 338, 372, 377
 social network analysis, 246
 Sowa, J., 12
 SPIRE, 227–230
 spreading activation, 231
 statistical estimate, 243
 confidence interval, 244
 confidence level, 244
 margin of error, 244
 point estimate, 243
 statistical sample, 243
 statutory analysis, 254–258, 380
 statutory network, *see* network, statutory
 statutory ontology, *see* ontology, statutory
 Staudt, R., 11, 36
 stemming, 236, 265, 302
 subsumption, 58, 60, 135, 183, 184
 summarization, extractive vs. abstractive, 327
 Summers, R., 52–54
 support vector machine, *see* algorithm, machine
 learning, support vector machine, SVM
 support vector machine, SVM
 multiclass, 264
 Surdeanu, M., 123–126, 353
 Susskind, R., 7, 8, 35, 355
 Szoke, A., 346

 Takano, K., 269
 technology-assisted review, 247
 teleological reasoning, 53, 73, 97–104, 143–160, 378
 term vector, 217, 218, 238, 242, 251, 261, 263, 278, 288
 Teufel, S., 375
 text representation
 bag of words, 217, 246, 268, 295–298
 Thompson, P., 286
 trade secret law, 30, 81, 90, 116, 119, 123, 150, 151, 154, 156, 298, 335, 358, 362, 388
 Turtle, H., 214, 216, 219, 221, 229
 type system, 34, 171, 185, 202–204
 legal, 171, 204–209, 342, 350
 LUIMA, *see* LUIMA, type system
 mentions, 203, 204, 208, 283, 302, 334, 373, 389
 statutory, 283, 345

 undercutting argument, 132
 undermining argument, 63, 132

- Unstructured Information Management
 Architecture, UIMA, 14, 31, 34–36,
 202–204, 208, 258, 285, 299, 309, 370, 373
 RUTA rules, 298, 302
 use case, 240, 384–387, 389
- Vaccine/Injury Project, V/IP, 161, 208, 299, 300,
 321
 vagueness, 40
- Value Judgment-based Argumentative Prediction,
 VJAP, 149–160, 164, 185, 188, 293, 331,
 333–335, 359, 361–365, 382, 383
- van Engers, T., 174, 346
- Van Kralingen, R., 176, 199
- vector space, 108, 217, 236, 238, 242, 251, 291, 296,
 297, 321
 similarity, 218, 321
- Verheij, B., 160
- Wagner, K., 57
- Walker, V., 160–162, 168, 299–302, 365, 369–370,
 383
- Walton, D., 129–138, 142, 185
- Waterman, D., 8–10, 40, 48, 56, 62, 64, 75, 76, 88,
 132, 165
- Watson, 4, 14–18, 23, 26–28, 30, 31, 34–37, 165–167,
 202–204, 351
 Developer Cloud, 30, 31, 36, 37, 308, 387
- Westlaw History Project, 248–254, 304
- Winkels, R., 12, 173, 174, 176, 227, 261, 262,
 266–268, 345
- Wittgenstein, L., 383
- Wyner, A., 150, 173, 174, 176, 178, 262, 268, 298,
 309, 335, 344
- Yoshida, Y., 262, 274
- Yoshino, H., 21
- Zeni, N., 262, 273
- Zhang, P., 224–226, 286, 315