

CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	page xvi
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xviii
<i>Preface and Acknowledgements</i>	xix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxi
1 Introduction	1
MICHAEL FAURE, NIELS PHILIPSEN AND HUI WANG	
1.1 Background for This Book	1
1.2 Objective of This Book	5
1.3 Methodology	5
1.3.1 Legal Analysis	6
1.3.2 Law and Economics	8
1.3.3 Empirics	9
1.3.4 Integration	10
1.4 Structure	10
2 Offshore-Related Damage: Facts and Figures	12
KRISTEL DE SMEDT AND HUI WANG	
2.1 General Issues Concerning Offshore Activities	12
2.1.1 Terminology in Offshore Activities	12
2.1.2 Industry Structure of Offshore Activities	13
2.1.3 Stakeholders	15
2.1.4 Importance of Offshore Activities	18
2.2 Location of Installations and Facilities in the European Union	18
2.2.1 General Information	18
2.2.2 Offshore Interests in the North Sea	20
2.2.3 Offshore Interests in the Mediterranean Sea	21

2.3	Overview of Major Offshore Accidents	22
2.3.1	Sources of Information	22
2.3.1.1	Worldwide Database	22
2.3.1.2	National Regulatory Authorities	24
2.3.1.3	Regional Database	31
2.3.1.4	Industry Database	33
2.3.1.5	Observation from Available Data	36
2.3.2	Overview of Serious Accidents Involving Offshore Facilities	37
2.4	Analysis of Recent Offshore Accidents in Europe: Case Studies	41
2.4.1	Gulfaks C Incident (19 May 2010): A Near Miss	41
2.4.2	Valhall PCP Production Platform Fire (13 July 2011)	41
2.4.3	Escape of Hydrocarbons at Ula Field Norway (12 September 2012)	42
2.4.4	Gannet Alpha Oil Spill (10 August 2011)	43
2.4.5	Elgin Platform Blowout (26 March 2012)	44
2.5	Analysis of Recent Offshore Accidents Outside EU Waters	45
2.5.1	Montara	45
2.5.1.1	Facts of the Incident	45
2.5.1.2	Trans-Boundary Complaints from Indonesia	48
2.5.2	Deepwater Horizon	49
2.5.2.1	Facts of the Incident and Legal Issues	49
2.5.2.2	Overview of Legal Proceedings	53
2.5.2.3	Post-Macondo Responses	56
2.5.2.4	Summary	57
2.5.3	More Accidents in 2015	58
2.5.3.1	Petrobras Brazil Offshore Explosion	58
2.5.3.2	Pemex Mexico Offshore Accidents	59
2.5.4	Risk of a Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill in the European Union?	59
2.5.4.1	Different Depths and Pressures	59
2.5.4.2	Concerns after Macondo	60
2.5.4.3	Expert Evaluation	61
2.5.4.4	Limits of Expert Opinion	64
2.5.4.5	Summary	66

CONTENTS

vii

3	Analysis of Existing Legal Regimes	68
	MICHAEL FAURE, JING LIU AND HUI WANG	
3.1	Introduction	68
3.2	International Legal Framework	69
3.2.1	The Civil Liability Convention and the Fund Convention	70
3.2.1.1	The Civil Liability Convention	71
3.2.1.2	Compensation Funds in the International Regime	77
3.2.1.3	Voluntary Mechanisms	79
3.2.2	UNCLOS	81
3.2.3	MARPOL 73/78	83
3.2.4	The OPRC Convention	84
3.2.5	Ongoing Discussion at the IMO	85
3.3	Offshore Liability Regimes	86
3.3.1	Regional Arrangements	86
3.3.2	North-East Atlantic Ocean (North Sea)	87
3.3.2.1	The OSPAR Convention	87
3.3.2.2	The Nordic Convention	88
3.3.3	The Mediterranean Sea	88
3.3.4	The Baltic Sea	90
3.3.5	The Black Sea	91
3.3.6	Summary of the Regional Seas Arrangements	91
3.4	Current EU Regime on Offshore Activities	91
3.4.1	EU Interest in Offshore Activities	91
3.4.2	Council Directive 92/91 EEC	92
3.4.3	Hydrocarbons Licensing Directive 1994 (94/22/EC)	92
3.4.4	The Marine Strategy Framework Directive	93
3.4.5	Communication of 2010	93
3.4.6	Directive on Safety of Offshore Oil and Gas Operations	94
3.5	Offshore Liability Regimes: Country Studies	97
3.5.1	Introduction	97
3.5.2	United Kingdom	98
3.5.2.1	National Interest in Offshore Activities	98
3.5.2.2	Legal Framework	98
3.5.2.3	Basis of Liability	103

3.5.2.4	Relationship with Regulation	104
3.5.2.5	Causation	104
3.5.2.6	Attribution of Liability	105
3.5.2.7	Damages and Remedies	105
3.5.2.8	Amount of Compensation	107
3.5.2.9	Claim Settlement	108
3.5.2.10	Compensation Mechanisms	109
3.5.3	Norway	115
3.5.3.1	National Interest in Offshore Activities	115
3.5.3.2	Legal Framework	116
3.5.3.3	Basis of Liability	119
3.5.3.4	Relationship with Regulation	120
3.5.3.5	Causation	120
3.5.3.6	Attribution of Liability	121
3.5.3.7	Damages and Remedies	122
3.5.3.8	Amount of Compensation	123
3.5.3.9	Claim Settlement	123
3.5.3.10	Compensation Mechanisms	124
3.5.4	Denmark	126
3.5.4.1	National Interest in Offshore Activities	126
3.5.4.2	Legal Framework	126
3.5.4.3	Basis of Liability	128
3.5.4.4	<i>Relationship with Regulation</i>	129
3.5.4.5	Attribution of Liability	129
3.5.4.6	Damages and Remedies	129
3.5.4.7	Compensation Mechanisms	130
3.5.5	United States	131
3.5.5.1	National Interest in Offshore Activities	131
3.5.5.2	Legal Framework	131
3.5.5.3	Basis of Liability	136
3.5.5.4	Relationship with Regulation	137
3.5.5.5	Causation	137
3.5.5.6	Attribution of Liability	138
3.5.5.7	Damages and Remedies	139
3.5.5.8	Amount of Compensation	141
3.5.5.9	Claim Settlement	144
3.5.5.10	Compensation Mechanisms	146
3.5.5.11	Jurisdictional Issues	153
3.5.5.12	Criminal Liability	153

CONTENTS

ix

3.5.6	Australia	154	
3.5.6.1	National Interest in Offshore Activities	154	
3.5.6.2	Legal Framework	155	
3.5.6.3	Basis of Liability	158	
3.5.6.4	Relationship with Regulation	160	
3.5.6.5	Causation	160	
3.5.6.6	Attribution of Liability	160	
3.5.6.7	Damages and Remedies	161	
3.5.6.8	Amount of Compensation	161	
3.5.6.9	Compensation Mechanisms	161	
3.5.7	Canada	163	
3.5.7.1	National Interest in Offshore Activities	163	
3.5.7.2	Legal Framework	163	
3.5.7.3	Basis of Liability	164	
3.5.7.4	Attribution of Liability	164	
3.5.7.5	Damages and Remedies	164	
3.5.7.6	Amount of Compensation	165	
3.5.7.7	Applicability in Time	165	
3.5.7.8	Compensation Mechanisms	166	
3.5.8	Comparative Analysis	166	
3.5.8.1	Best Practice?	166	
3.5.8.2	Comparison of Legislation	167	
3.5.8.3	Comparative Comments	167	
3.6	Another High-Risk Sector: Nuclear	171	
3.6.1	International Compensation System for Nuclear Damage	172	
3.6.1.1	Origin of the International Regime	172	
3.6.1.2	First-Generation Nuclear Liability Conventions	173	
3.6.1.3	Second-Generation Nuclear Liability Conventions	178	
3.6.2	The Compensation System for Nuclear Damage in the United States	182	
3.6.2.1	Liability for Nuclear Damage	183	
3.6.2.2	The Requirement of Financial Protection	187	
3.6.2.3	Compensation under the Convention on Supplementary Compensation	190	
3.6.3	Critical Comparison	191	
3.7	Concluding Observations	195	

4	Pooling Mechanisms for Offshore Liability	197
	MICHAEL FAURE AND JING LIU	
4.1	Theory: Pooling versus Insurance	198
4.2	OPOL	201
4.2.1	Origins of OPOL	201
4.2.2	Main Features	202
4.2.3	Rules and Membership	203
4.2.4	Financial Responsibility	204
4.2.5	Claims Handling	205
4.2.6	Enforcement	206
4.2.7	Practice	207
4.2.8	Evaluation	208
4.3	OIL and OCIL	210
4.3.1	OIL	211
4.3.2	OCIL	213
4.4	Protection and Indemnity Clubs	214
4.4.1	Origins	214
4.4.2	Coverage	214
4.4.3	Entry and Premiums	215
4.4.4	Available Amount	217
4.5	Risk Pooling in the Nuclear Sector	217
4.5.1	Risk Pooling in the Price-Anderson Act	218
4.5.1.1	A Second Tier of Compensation	218
4.5.1.2	... Collectively Financed Through Retrospective Premiums	219
4.5.2	The Mutual Pool for Property Damage in the United States	220
4.5.3	Mutual Nuclear Pools in Europe	221
4.5.4	Nuclear Liability and Pooling System in Germany	223
4.5.5	Towards a European Pool for Nuclear Liability?	227
4.6	Concluding Observations	229
4.6.1	Advantages of Pooling	229
4.6.2	OPOL	232
4.6.3	P&I Clubs	232
4.6.4	Risk Sharing for Vessel-Based Pollution	233
4.6.5	Summary	234

CONTENTS

xi

5	The Use of Financial Market Instruments to Cover Liability Following a Major Offshore Accident	236
	MICHAEL FAURE AND HUI WANG	
5.1	Self-Insurance	237
5.1.1	Theory	237
5.1.2	Practice	238
5.1.3	Analysis	240
5.2	Capital Market	242
5.2.1	Theory	242
5.2.2	Practice	243
5.2.3	Analysis	244
5.3	Guarantees	244
5.3.1	Theory	244
5.3.2	Practice	245
5.3.3	Analysis	245
5.4	(Re-)Insurance	246
5.4.1	Theory	246
5.4.2	Practice	248
5.4.2.1	Stakeholders in the Insurance Market (Major Insurance/Re-Insurance Providers)	248
5.4.2.2	Structure of Coverage of Offshore Insurance	250
5.4.2.3	Available Insurance Amount	252
5.4.2.4	Cost Estimation	255
5.4.2.5	Calculation of Premiums	257
5.4.2.6	Influence of the Deepwater Horizon Incident on the Insurance Industry	258
5.4.3	Analysis	260
5.5	Risk-Pooling Schemes	261
5.6	OPOL	263
5.7	Combinations	264
6	Potential of Financial and Insurance Instruments to Cover Liability Following a Major Offshore Accident	266
	MICHAEL FAURE AND HUI WANG	
6.1	Potential Costs of an Offshore Incident	267

6.1.1	Potential Costs and Verifications	268
6.1.1.1	Safety Regulation	269
6.1.1.2	Technical Differences in Different Waters	269
6.1.1.3	Differences in Operators	271
6.1.1.4	Different Liability Standards	271
6.1.2	Insolvency Risk and Different Scenarios	273
6.2	Self-Guarantee Through Tax	276
6.3	Expanding Possibility of Insurance?	277
6.3.1	Mandatory Insurance and Increasing Coverage?	277
6.3.2	Proposal of Munich Re-Insurance	280
6.3.2.1	An SOS Cover	280
6.3.2.2	Stakeholders Assessment	283
6.3.2.3	Reaction by Munich Re	284
6.3.2.4	Analysis	285
6.3.3	Cover for Vessel-Based Pollution	286
6.3.4	Developments in the Coverage of Nuclear Risk	287
6.3.5	Summary	289
6.4	Potential of Expanding Risk-Pooling Schemes	289
6.4.1	Expanding OIL and OCIL	290
6.4.2	Expanding OPOL?	291
6.4.3	Noble Energy Proposal	293
6.4.3.1	Background	293
6.4.3.2	Contents of the Proposal	294
6.4.3.3	Implementation?	296
6.4.3.4	Reactions from Stakeholders	297
6.4.3.5	Evaluation	298
6.4.4	Challenges	299
6.5	Summary: Flexibility	301
7	Towards Optimal Liability and Compensation for Offshore Oil and Gas Activities	303
	KRISTEL DE SMEDT, HUI WANG AND MICHAEL FAURE	
7.1	Principles of Efficient Compensation and Liability Rules	304
7.1.1	Why Compensate?	305
7.1.2	Principles of Efficient and Fair Compensation	306
7.2	Efficient Liability Rules	308

CONTENTS

xiii

7.2.1	Strict liability or Negligence?	308	
7.2.1.1	Economic Theory	308	
7.2.1.2	Application to Offshore-Related Risks	312	
7.2.2	Attribution of Liability	313	
7.2.2.1	Channelling of Liability	313	
7.2.2.2	Joint and Several Liability	316	
7.2.3	Financial Cap?	317	
7.3	Liability versus Regulation	319	
7.3.1	Criteria for Safety Regulation	319	
7.3.1.1	Information Asymmetry as a Criterion for Regulatory Intervention	320	
7.3.1.2	Insolvency Risk	320	
7.3.1.3	The Threat of a Liability Suit	321	
7.3.2	The Need to Regulate Offshore-Related Risks	322	
7.3.3	Private or Public Regulation?	324	
7.3.4	Liability and Regulation Combined	326	
7.3.5	Stakeholder Assessment	328	
7.3.6	Policy Conclusions	330	
7.4	Mandatory Financial Security	331	
7.4.1	Criteria for Mandatory Financial Security	331	
7.4.2	Application to Offshore-Related Risks	332	
7.4.3	Stakeholder Assessment in the European Union	333	
7.4.4	(European) Policy Issues	335	
7.4.5	Summary	338	
7.5	Compensation Instrument	339	
7.5.1	Self-Guarantee Through Taxes	339	
7.5.2	Mandatory Insurance and the Munich Re Proposal	340	
7.5.3	Risk-Sharing Pools	341	
7.5.4	A Role for Government in Providing Compensation?	343	
7.5.4.1	Direct Compensation by Government?	343	
7.5.4.2	Arguments in Favour	343	
7.5.4.3	Arguments Against	344	
7.5.4.4	Summary	345	
7.5.5	Re-Insurer of Last Resort?	346	
7.5.5.1	Arguments in Favour	346	
7.5.5.2	Arguments Against	347	
7.5.5.3	Summary	348	

7.5.6	A Compensation Fund?	349
7.5.6.1	Funds versus Insurance	349
7.5.6.2	Economic Principles to Shape a Fund	351
7.5.6.3	Experiences with Environmental Funds	352
7.5.6.4	A Fund for Offshore-Related Risks? Stakeholder Opinions	356
7.6	Rapid Claims Management	359
7.6.1	Existing Schemes	359
7.6.1.1	OPOL	360
7.6.1.2	Norway	360
7.6.1.3	The Civil Liability and Fund Conventions	361
7.6.1.4	Claims Settlement in the Deepwater Horizon Case	363
7.6.2	Normative Analysis	369
7.6.2.1	Need for a Mechanism	369
7.6.2.2	Compensation Funds	371
7.6.2.3	Stimulate Rapid Payment within Liability Law	372
7.6.2.4	Combinations	372
7.6.2.5	Evaluation	374
7.7	Trans-Boundary Harm	376
7.8	Scenarios	379
7.8.1	Scenario 1: Damage Maximum US\$250 Million	379
7.8.2	Scenario 2: Damage between US\$250 Million and US\$750 Million	380
7.8.3	Scenario 3: Damage above US\$750 Million	381
8	Concluding Remarks	383
	MICHAEL FAURE, NIELS PHILIPSEN AND HUI WANG	
8.1	General	383
8.2	Efficient Liability Rules	384
8.3	Mandatory Financial Security	385
8.4	A Role for Government?	386
8.5	Rapid Claims Mechanism	387

CONTENTS

XV

<i>References</i>	388	
<i>Appendix 1: Overview of Interviews with Stakeholders</i>	406	
<i>Appendix 2: Checklist for Country Studies</i>	408	
<i>Appendix 3: Oil Pollution Act Liability Limits, 2012</i>		412
<i>Index</i>	431	