

Faith and Social Movements

How do we understand the multitude of faith movements in our post-secular world? *Faith and Social Movements* explores this question by analyzing the theology and practice as well as the transformation of two discrepant religious movements in contemporary India. Drawing on the sociological tradition that perceives dissent, protest and charismatic critique to be integral to the institution of religion, the book begins by questioning the relevance of the reigning paradigms of Sanskritization and Islamization in the study of religious movements. The book is divided into two parts. The first part dwells on Svadhyaya, a Hindu reform movement and the second part on the Tablighi Jamaat, an Islamic reform movement. The multi-sited ethnography in western India deftly traces the emergence, soteriology, new rituals, network and leadership in the movements. As the sociological gaze remains firmly focused on the village and the volunteers, the book argues for a contextual discourse on faith movements. In doing so, it challenges the perspective where diverse faith movements remain either under-theorized or lumped together as ‘communal forces’ or ‘Wah‘habi Islam’. It shows how projects of faith and self-reform have multiple trajectories and outcomes: intended as well as unintended. The insights open up a conversation between sociology of religion and social movements. Focusing on the internal dynamics of the movements and the ‘unintended consequences’ of piety, the author argues that it is only by raising new questions vis-à-vis religion, secularity and civil society that their entanglement can be unraveled. The book aims to raise some of these questions.

Anindita Chakrabarti teaches in the Department of Humanities and Social Sciences at IIT Kanpur. She was also Visiting Professor at Leipzig University in 2013. Her research interests are sociology of religion, sociology of social movements, sociology of work and sociology of law.

Faith and Social Movements

Religious Reform in Contemporary India

Anindita Chakrabarti



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-16662-2 — Faith and Social Movements
Anindita Chakrabarti
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107166622

© Anindita Chakrabarti 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

Printed in India

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Chakrabarti, Anindita (Of IIT Kanpur), author.

Title: Faith and social movements : an ethnography of religious reform in India / Anindita Chakrabarti.

Description: New York : Cambridge University Press, 2017. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017022984 | ISBN 9781107166622 (hardback : alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Hindu renewal--India. | Islamic renewal--India.

Classification: LCC BL1153.5 .C53 2017 | DDC 206/.50954--dc23 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2017022984>

ISBN 978-1-107-16662-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xiii</i>
Introduction: Dissent, Religion and Civil Society	1
Part I	
Svadhya Ethics and the Spirit of Voluntarism	
1. Theologies of Self-reform: What Transforms the Cross?	29
2. Praxis of an Emergent Congregation: Metaphysics of Reform and Rebirth	59
3. The Structure of <i>Lokasamgraha</i> : Volunteers, Networks and Training	88
4. Succession, Routinization of Charisma and Judicial Religion	112
Part II	
The Tablighi Jamaat's Call for Self-reform	
5. Pedagogy of Tablighi Reform: The Mission and the Messenger	135
6. 'Unintended Consequences' of Piety and Discourses of Islamic Reform	156
Conclusion: Religion, Movements and Secularity	178
<i>Glossary</i>	195
<i>Bibliography</i>	207
<i>Index</i>	227

Figures

1.1	Disciples on their way to Ashiti Vandana on the banks of Narmada, December 2000	40
1.2	Disciples dig a well as an act of bhakti, Bharuch district, May 2001	40
1.3	Eating together as a part of Svadhyaya events, May 2000	41
1.4	Diamond-cutter devotees at work at Hiramandir, Surat city, June 2000	42
1.5	Devotees volunteering at a Vriksmandir, Surat district, January 2001	42
1.6	The Pathshala in Mumbai, May 2000	58
1.7	The downtown area of Mumbai where the Pathshala and Nirmal Niketan are located	58
2.1	Disciples at Bhavnirjhar temple, Ahmedabad city, May 2000	64
2.2	Bhumipujan ceremony, Surat district, April 2001	65
2.3	Matru-Pitru Pujan being celebrated in Harshupur, April 2001	65
2.4	Sagarpujan ceremony in Belapur, August 2001	66
2.5	<i>Janmashtami</i> celebration at Varaccha, Surat city, August 2001	67
2.6	A Svadhyaya event at Harshupur, October 2001	68
2.7	A woman contestant at an elocution competition at Harshupur, December 2001	68
3.1	Svadhyaya leadership structure	93
3.2	Camp for training local leaders at a mango orchard, Palsana taluka, Surat district, May 2001	96

viii *Figures*

- | | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.3 | A Bal Sankar Kendra at a boys' hostel, Mumbai, November 2000 | 96 |
| 3.4 | Villagers attending a meeting in a Saurashtra village, Amreli district, July 2001 | 97 |
| 3.5 | A woman leader addressing the villagers late into the night, Amreli district, July 2001 | 97 |

Preface

The car crash took place after we dropped off Maheshbhai and his family at Harshapur around three in the morning. We were in the outskirts of Surat city. For a moment, I thought that a truck or a bus had hit our car. But we were rolling down a ditch as Sunilbhai who was at the wheel for many long hours had dozed off. It felt like being tossed inside a can. Finally, the roller-coaster journey came to a halt and we lay in a state of stupor. I heard Sunilbhai and his wife moaning in pain. We called out and assured one another that we were alive. I cannot remember how long we lay there till farmers in a tractor ferrying vegetables to the city spotted us and pulled us out of the mangled car. They took us to a nearby medical centre and woke up a man, probably the compounder. He attended to our wounds and administered injections. I remember being worried about the authenticity of the ‘disposable’ syringes and the lack of cleanliness of the place. Maheshbhai was contacted and he rushed from his village in his neighbour’s car. When he saw our blood-stained faces, he hugged us and wept like a child. All of us had been travelling together for two days to attend a large-scale Svadhyaya programme on the banks of the Narmada River. For us, the journey ended with a jolt.

More help was mobilized and we were taken back to Surat city. When I woke up the next morning, my body ached as though it had been put through a wringer. The physical trauma was matched by emotional turmoil. The ‘subjects’ of my investigation were now busy nursing me, as I lay immobilized in pain. The accident had changed the balance between the observer and the observed. Soon I realized that the traumatic event had established a new bond. When I went back to the villages, I was introduced not only as a researcher from Delhi but someone who survived a car crash while travelling with the members of the group. Though I did not share their religious beliefs, I did share their misfortune! It evoked empathy: a vital precondition for acceptance. I was seen as someone who was not a member of the group but one willing

to share the same trials and tribulations. But many wondered, why? Why was I following a religious movement braving such hardship, in the midst of completely unknown places and people? They knew that I was travelling the length and breadth of Gujarat and the lanes and by-lanes of Mumbai and Delhi. What was the nature of the project that made an otherwise respectable woman 'loiter'? Anybody could guess that I had queries but it was difficult to fathom what they were. To them, my explanations seemed implausible. They simply did not add up. It was fine to have intellectual queries but hardly anyone was convinced that it was reason enough for a woman to travel alone leaving her own family in a far away city. 'What kind of a man is your husband? And what about your in-laws?' I was asked in the villages.

I had come across Svadhyaya during my involvement with voluntary organizations – referred to as non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and/or people's movements – in the late 1990s. As I grappled with the practical aspects of voluntarism and collective-action, it was of great interest for me to see how people worked spontaneously and voluntarily for Svadhyaya. The difficulty of invoking voluntary participation in community activities was discussed among the practitioners of the NGO movement. The spirit of voluntarism in religious movements such as Svadhyaya seemed exemplary in this context. I was also intrigued by the question and taking a closer look at the movement, I found that its founder Pandurang Shastri Athavale, or Dadaji, as he is addressed by his followers, did something simple, yet unique. He told his disciples that one's own salvation was possible only when one was taking care of the salvation of others in society. Therefore, one needed to go to the disadvantaged in society, not to save them, but to save oneself. And this was not the prerogative of the celibate ascetics but of ordinary householders who are otherwise busy with the mundane chores of everyday life. Svadhyaya foregrounded the question of the agent of change clearly and unequivocally in the discourse of social change and movements. It also obliquely questioned the quintessential non-reciprocity and hierarchy that undergird the very idea of 'development.' The Svadhyayis tell the people that they have not come to save or deliver them from anything; on the contrary, they have come to save themselves.

In the field, I got acquainted with the Tablighi Jamaat. I was struck by the similarity of their appeal for self-reform to their co-religionists. I decided to follow the movement in the village context. As my fieldwork progressed – following Svadhyaya through participant observation and the Tablighi Jamaat through interviews with local leaders and volunteers – the field shattered in 2002 with the Godhra carnage. It was almost the end of my fieldwork and I

came home for what was supposed to be a brief break before the final wrapping up. The break became much longer as I conceived and could not go back to the field. But when I did, sixteen months later, it was not the same field. The carnage had shattered the social fabric and the sense of basic trust that we have on one another as members of a civil society. In this context, I found the Tablighi Jamaat in a completely different role. In the midst of mayhem, it was one of the very few networks which could offer help and refuge to the victims. Despite their ‘apolitical’ self-description, these were political acts.

But how did one explain these in terms of sociological analysis? The oft-cited theories of Sanskritization and Islamization – the dated but reigning paradigms – could no longer make sense of such complex movements. As its practitioners were preoccupied with studying the processes of secularization and the debates around the notion of secularism, sociology seemed quite ill-equipped to take up the challenge of understanding the role of religion in the modern, ‘disenchanted’ world. Moreover, the study of religious movements and sects remained cloistered within the sub-discipline of sociology of religion, rarely in dialogue with the theories of social movements and collective action. Though the events since 9/11 have made religion once again a relevant topic, the discipline faces a serious ‘theoretical lag’ in its attempts to account for the so-called resurgence of religion. Sociologists engaged in research have pointed out the need for a rethink of its conceptual and methodological tools.

This book approaches religion through an ethnographic method. It focuses on the beliefs, rituals, mobilization and internal dynamics of the movements as well as the intended and unintended consequences of piety. It argues that traditions are neither static, nor ‘invented.’ On the contrary, they emerge in continuous tension between belief and practice; the ideal and the real, in conversation with their own resources as well as in interaction with the wider socio-political forces. The book aims to bridge the gap between the works in the field of religious studies and those in the field of sociology of movements and thereby, it charts an unusual terrain. It claims that no vision of social change is without a soteriology. Both modernity and religion have their visions of change and their own soteriology. At a ‘post-secular’ moment, their entanglement needs to be uncovered by raising a new set of questions vis-à-vis religion, secularity and civil society. The book aims to fulfill this task.

Acknowledgments

This book has taken several years to finish and in the process I have incurred considerable debt to many individuals and institutions. First and foremost, I wish to express my gratitude to Dadaji for allowing me to undertake the study of Svadhyaya. The study would not have been possible without the support extended and homes opened out to me by the Svadhyayis at different places: Gujarat, Delhi and Mumbai. Gaining access to Svadhyaya was initially difficult and I would like to thank Rajiv Vora and Neeru Vora who were extremely kind in introducing me to the Svadhyaya leaders in 1999. During fieldwork, many people have extended their support and I would like to mention the warm welcome I received from Professor N. R. Sheth in Ahmedabad and Professor Lancy Lobo in Surat/Vadodara. I am also thankful to Dr S. Zainuddin for sharing his work and observations on the Tablighi Jamaat with me. In the villages, Svadhyayis as well as Tablighi Jamaat activists welcomed me. I am indebted to them and other community organizers who trusted an itinerant Bengali researcher who claimed to live in Chennai while studying at Delhi University and researching in Gujarat. My host and his family in the village were unmatched in their generosity. All were equally eager that I completed my studies.

I owe my primary intellectual debt to the Department of Sociology, Delhi University where this project began. Many ideas addressed in this book were discussed with Professor J. P. S. Uberoi, our D School (tor)mentor. My supervisor Professor Tulsi Patel kept me on the track when I tended to get 'lost' in the field. I am grateful for her unstinting support to this project at a time when religion was not a trending topic in sociology. Professor Deepak Mehta has given insightful comments on this work and has always been generous with his time. I have also gained from my discussions with Professor A. M. Shah who always encouraged me, pointing out the paucity of sociological research on religion in India. I would like to thank Professor André Béteille, a source of inspiration since 1993, and Dr Ravindra Ray for their goodwill.

At the University of California, Berkeley, I have gained from my interactions with Professor Alexander von Rospatt. In Trivandrum, Dr J. Devika and Dr Praveena Kodoth were great intellectual company and I would like to thank them for their generosity and good humour. I would like to thank Dr Sudha Sitharaman and Dr Rashmi Sadana for their constant support and varied intellectual inputs. Professor Monika Wohlrab-Sahr's invitation to Leipzig University and the discussions that we had during my stay in October 2013 have helped me to problematize some of the research questions addressed in the book. I am also thankful to the anonymous referees of the Cambridge University Press for their comments and important suggestions.

Sections of the book originally appeared in earlier versions in the following articles: 'Soteriological Journeys and Discourses of Self-Transformation: the Tablighi Jamaat and Svadhyaya in Gujarat' in *South Asian History and Culture*; 'Initiation, "Re-birth" and the Emergent Congregation: An Analysis of the Svadhyaya Movement in Western India', in *Ritual Matters: Dynamic Dimensions in Practice*; 'Judicious Succession and Judicial Religion: Internal Conflict and Legal Dispute in a Religious Reform Movement in India', in *Permutations of Order: Religion and Law as Contested Sovereignties*; 'Assertive Religious Identities, the Secular Nation-State and the Question of Pluralism: the Case of the Tablighi Jamaat' in *Assertive Religious Identities: India and Europe*.

The financial support for the study came from a Junior Research Fellowship awarded by the University Grants Commission for a period of five years and a Sepsis fellowship for one year. I also received an Education Abroad Programme fellowship that gave me the opportunity to spend a semester at the Centre for South Asia Studies at Berkeley, California. The last leg of fieldwork was supported by an Initiation Grant from IIT Kanpur. I thank them all.

I have enjoyed the hospitality of research institutes such as the Madras Institute of Development Studies, Chennai; the Centre for Social Studies, Surat; and the Centre for Development Studies, Thiruvananthapuram. I want to thank the faculty and the library staff of these institutes. I also wish to thank the library staff of the Theosophical Society Library in Chennai, and the University of California, Berkeley and, above all, the Ratan Tata Library, Delhi School of Economics.

My colleagues and friends at IIT Kanpur, where I finished the book, have provided a wonderful work environment. I am grateful for their collegiality and support. The students at IIT Kanpur, both doctoral as well as the inquisitive undergraduates, have raised important issues that reaffirmed that sociology of religion needs fresh theorization. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude towards both the families – natal and affinal – for their love and indulgence. And our two boys who are growing up thinking that Sundays are working days for working moms. That much of subterfuge, I hope, doesn't harm.