

The Study of Word Stress and Accent

Stress and accent are central, organizing features of grammar, but their precise nature continues to be a source of mystery and wonder. These issues come to the forefront in the phonetic manifestation of stress and accent, their crosslinguistic variation and the subtle and intricate laws they obey in individual languages. Understanding the nature of stress and accent systems informs all aspects of linguistic theory, methods, typology and especially the grammatical analysis of language data. These themes form the organizational backbone of this book. Bringing together a team of world-renowned phonologists, the volume covers a range of typological and theoretical issues in the study of stress and accent. It will appeal to researchers who value synergistic approaches to the study of stress and accent, careful attention to crosslinguistic variation, and detailed analyses of both well-studied and understudied languages. The book is a lively testimony of a field of inquiry that shows progress, while also identifying questions for ongoing research.

ROB GOEDEMANS is Information Manager in the Humanities Faculty of Leiden University. His research focuses on phonetics, phonology and typology of stress in the languages of the world in general, and the languages of Aboriginal Australia and Indonesia in particular. Together with Harry van der Hulst, he has worked on several publications based on the StressTyp database, with which he has been involved since its inception.

JEFFREY HEINZ is Professor in the Department of Linguistics and the Institute of Advanced Computational Science at Stony Brook University. He conducts research at the intersection of theoretical linguistics, theoretical computer science and computational learning theory. With Rob Goedemans and Harry van der Hulst, he helped develop the StressTyp2 database, which organizes and presents information on the stress and accent patterns in hundreds of languages around the world.

HARRY VAN DER HULST is Professor of Linguistics at the University of Connecticut. He specializes in phonology, which is the study of the sound systems of languages, as well as the visual aspects of sign languages. He has published 25 books and over 130 articles and has been Editor-in-Chief of the international linguistic journal *The Linguistic Review* since 1990. With Rob Goedemans and Jeff Heinz he helped develop the StressTyp2 database, which organizes and presents information on the stress and accent patterns in hundreds of languages around the world.



The Study of Word Stress and Accent

Theories. Methods and Data

Edited by

Rob Goedemans

Leiden University

Jeffrey Heinz

Stony Brook University

Harry van der Hulst

University of Connecticut





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107164031

DOI: 10.1017/9781316683101

© Cambridge University Press 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Goedemans, Rob, editor. | Heinz, Jeffrey, 1974– editor. | Hulst, Harry van der. editor.

Title: The study of word stress and accent: theories, methods and data / edited by Rob Goedemans, Jeffrey Heinz, Harry van der Hulst.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge

University Press, 2018. | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018011858 | ISBN 9781107164031 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Accents and accentuation. | Grammar, Comparative and

general - Phonology.

Classification: LCC P231 .S78 2018 | DDC 414/.6-dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018011858

ISBN 978-1-107-16403-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Li	st of Figures	page vii
Li	st of Tables	ix
Li	st of Contributors	xi
	Introduction ROB GOEDEMANS, JEFFREY HEINZ AND HARRY VAN DER HUL	1 ST
Pa	art I Phonetic Correlates and Prominence Distinctions	
1	Acoustic Correlates and Perceptual Cues of Word and Sentence Stress: Towards a Cross-Linguistic Perspective VINCENT J. VAN HEUVEN	15
2	Positional Prominence versus Word Accent: Is There a Difference? LARRY M. HYMAN	60
3	Explaining Word-Final Stress Lapse ANYA LUNDEN	76
4	What Danish and Estonian Can Show to a Modern Word-Prosodic Typology NATALIA KUZNETSOVA	102
Pa	art II Typology	
5	Mora and Syllable Accentuation: Typology and Representation RENÉ KAGER AND VIOLETA MARTÍNEZ-PARICIO	147
6	Word Stress, Pitch Accent, and Word Order Typology with Special Reference to Altaic HISAO TOKIZAKI	187

V



vi Contents

Part	Ш	Case	Studies
1 al t	111	Casc	Studies

7	Persistence and Change in Stem Prominence in Dene (Athabaskan) Languages KEREN RICE	227
8	Spanish Word Stress: An Updated Multidimensional Account IGGY ROCA	256
9	Metrically Conditioned Pitch Accent in Uspanteko BJÖRN KÖHNLEIN	293
10	Focus Prosody in Kagoshima Japanese HARUO KUBOZONO	323
11	Where Is the Dutch Stress System? Some New Data BJÖRN KÖHNLEIN AND MARC VAN OOSTENDORP	346
12	Morphologically Assigned Accent and an Initial Three-Syllable Window in Ese'eja NICHOLAS ROLLE AND MARINE VUILLERMET	361
13	The Scales-and-Parameters Approach to Morpheme-Specific Exceptions in Accent Assignment ALEXANDRE VAXMAN	387
	guage Index	425 428
Sub	Subject Index	



Figures

1.1	Duration (ms) of seven segments in the sequence	
	/pVpVp/ as a function of stress position (initial, medial,	
	final) in accented versus unaccented non-words with short	
	(lax) and long (tense) vowels	page 23
1.2	Effect of normal versus raised voice on volume-velocity of	
	airflow through glottis and its first derivative	26
1.3	Effects of sentence and word stress on spectral tilt	28
1.4	F ₁ and F ₂ (Bark) of three Dutch tense peripheral vowels	
	produced by 15 male speakers in five stress conditions	30
1.5	Correct classification (%) by Linear Discriminant Analysis	
	of sentence stress (+F) and word stress (-F) in American-	
	English reiterant disyllables /bibi/, /bɛbɛ/ and /baba/	35
1.6a–b	(a) Initial stress perceived (%) as a function of intensity	
	difference between V ₁ and V ₂ (in dB) and of duration ratio	
	between V_1 and V_2 in minimal stress pairs in English. (b) As	
	for 6a but for Dutch.	37
1.7a–b	Percentage of initial stress perceived as a function of	
	temporal structure (duration of V ₁ and V ₂ , horizontal) and of	
	intensity difference (vertical)	39
1.8	Per cent stress perceived on first syllable as a function of	
	relative duration of manipulated segment (onset, vocalic	
	nucleus, coda) in either first or second syllables with short/	
	lax or long/tense vowel	41
1.9a	Percentage initial stress perceived in English as a function of	
	V_1/V_2 duration ratio and of vowel reduction in F_1 , F_2 or	40
1 01	both in either V ₁ (steps f1–f3) or V ₂ (steps f4–f6)	42
1.9b	Percentage of initial stress perceived in Dutch as a function	12
1 10	of V_1/V_2 duration ratio and spectral reduction in V_1	42
1.10	Automatic classification of syllables as stressed or	
	unstressed (per cent correct) for two variable-stress	
	languages (Spanish, Greek) and two fixed-stress languages (Hungarian, Turkish)	49
	(Hungahan, Hukish)	49
		v/ii



iii	List of Figures	
1.11	Stress perceived (%) by native listeners of four different languages on deviant syllable as a function of duration increment of deviant vowel in sequences /bibibibi/	
	synthesized on a 100-Hz monotone	50
1.12	syllables with and without word stress and words with and	
	without sentence stress (+focus and -focus, respectively)	52
1.13	Per cent correct automatic classification of stress and lexical tone in Samate Ma'ya on the basis of the same four acoustic	
	parameters	54
6.1	Fixed stress locations and the order of genitive and noun	191
6.2	Weight-sensitive stress and the order of genitive and noun	191
6.3	Fixed stress locations and the order of object and verb	191
6.4	Weight-sensitive stress and the order of object and verb	191
10.1	Typical F0 contours of /A.me/ 'candy' and /A.me?/ '(Is it) candy?' in KJ	329
10.2	Typical F0 contours of /a.ME/ 'rain' and /a.ME?/ '(Is it) rain?' in KJ	329
10.3	Typical F0 contours of (a) /TOo?/ 'ten?' (Type A) and (b) /TOo?/ 'tower?' (Type B, lexically /TOO/) in interrogative	
	forms in KJ	330
10.4	Typical F0 contours of /A.me/ 'rain' and /A.me?/ 'rain?'	
	in TJ	331
10.5	Typical F0 contours of /a.ME/ 'candy' and /a.ME?/ '(Is it)	
	candy?' in TJ	331
12.1	Word-level pitch and intensity peaks	377



Tables

1.1	Initial stress perceived (%) on <i>undertaking</i> for five temporal	
	organizations (onset and rhyme durations in ms)	
	systematically combined with three f_0 patterns (level f_0 on	
	successive syllables, in Hz)	page 45
1.2	Number of (sentence) stresses perceived on first, second and	
	third syllable in the Dutch nonsense word /sæsæsæs/ by 45	
	Dutch listeners (free choice) for 27 f ₀ configurations (rise,	
	fall, rise-fall) with 3-st and 6-st excursion sizes	46
6.1	Word-stress locations and the number of languages	189
6.2	Prefixing versus suffixing in inflectional morphology	
	(Affix-Stem)	190
6.3	Order of genitive and noun (N-G)	190
6.4	Order of adposition and noun phrase (P-NP)	190
6.5	Order of object and verb (V-O)	190
6.6	Order of adverbial subordinator and clause (C- <u>IP</u>)	190
6.7	Left/right-hand stress and the order of genitive and noun	192
6.8	Left/right-hand stress and the order of object and verb	192
6.9	Number of genera, left/right-hand stress and the order of	
	adposition and noun	193
6.10	Number of genera, left/right-hand stress and suffixing/	
	prefixing	194
6.11	Word-stress location of Altaic languages in WALS, Schiering	
	and van der Hulst (2010) and StressTyp2 with the word order	
	data in WALS 2013	197
6.12	Stress location in Altaic languages with the word order data in	
	WALS 2013	213
6.13	The word-stress location and word orders of Uralic languages	215
9.1	Ten basic generalizations (GEN I-X) on the placement of	
	stress and pitch accent in Uspanteko monomorphemic words	
9.2	Combined effects of high-ranked Edgemost and All-Ft-R in	
	Uspanteko	305

ix



	List of Tables	
9.3	Schematic comparison of my analysis and the analysis	21.
10.1	proposed by B&H	314
12.1	Morphological template for verbal predicates	363
12.2		366
12.3	Transitive verb roots	366
12.4	Tense/mood suffixes forming different Suffix Accent Groups	
	(SAGs)	367
12.5	Ambitransitive <i>shiye</i> - illustrating different accent patterns	
	conditioned by transitivity	367
12.6	Transitivity insensitivity with roots marked by -ka	368
12.7	SAG accent with intransitive roots	371
12.8	Schematized SAG accent types	372
12.9	Exemplification of accent resolution with the different suffix	
	group	372
2.10	Iterative feet and word level LEFTMOST constraint	375
12.11	Summary of our analysis compared to the ternary analysis	
	alternative	379
2.12	Window external accent "repairs"	380
2.13	Accent changes with nouns	382
13.1	Examples of phonological weight scales	394
13.2	The count of the parameter settings in SGT	416
13.2	The count of the parameter settings in SGT	41



Contributors

ROB GOEDEMANS, Leiden University

JEFFREY HEINZ, Stony Brook University

LARRY M. HYMAN, University of California, Berkeley

RENÉ KAGER, Utrecht University

BJÖRN KÖHNLEIN, Ohio State University

HARUO KUBOZONO, National Institute for Japanese Languages and Linguistics

NATALIA KUZNETSOVA, Institute for Linguistic Studies, Russian Academy of Sciences

ANYA LUNDEN, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA

VIOLETA MARTÍNEZ-PARICIO, University of Valencia

KEREN RICE, University of Toronto

IGGY ROCA, University of Essex

NICHOLAS ROLLE, University of California, Berkeley

HISAO TOKIZAKI, Sapporo University

VINCENT J. VAN HEUVEN, University of Pannonia, Veszprém

MARC VAN OOSTENDORP, Radboud University, Nijmegen

HARRY VAN DER HULST, University of Connecticut

ALEXANDRE VAXMAN, University of Connecticut

MARINE VUILLERMET, Laboratoire Dynamique Du Langage, CNRS & Université de Lyon

хi