

Index

- Access to justice, 1–2, 3, 97, 143, 157–58, 167–68
- Accounting, indirect costs and, 115
- Ackerman, Bruce, 184n.2
- Admissibility
 overview, 144, 150–51, 158
 complementarity, 160–61
electa una via, 159–60
 exclusivity, 159
 exhaustion of domestic remedies, 159
 jurisdiction versus, 150–51, 222n.15
 “willingness to adjudicate,” 160–61
- Adversarial system, 163–64
- Africa Watch, 25
- African Court of Human and Peoples Rights, 223n.29
- Aggregate litigation. *See* Class actions
- Aggregate settlement, 174–75
- Aguinda Salazar, Maria, 2, 65, 70
- Algiers Accords, 31, 33, 188n.58
- Alien Tort Statute
 Bhopal litigation and, 61
 cases filed under, 45
 Chevron–Ecuador litigation and, 65
 constitutional torts and, 90–91
 corporations, actions against, 73, 74–76
 evolution of case law, 72–73
 jurisdiction under, 74
Kiobel case and, 4, 5, 72
 limitations of, 73–74
 presumption against extraterritoriality, 75–76
 reputation, harm to, 118
- Alter, Karen J., 20, 225n.58
- Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 103, 166
- AmazonWatch, 62
- Americans Talk Issues Foundation (ATIF), 38–39
- Americas Watch, 25
- Amnesty International, 25, 48, 177
- Appellate review, 165–66, 176–77
- Arbitration
 in Chevron–Ecuador litigation, 68–69
 inadequacy of, 4–5
 international commercial arbitration, 12,
 36, 100–02
 in US, 91–92
- Argentina
 Consumer Law, 132
 punitive damages in, 132
- Asia Watch, 25
- Attorneys' fees
 conditional fees, 229n.101
 contingency fees, 97, 105, 134, 171, 173–74
 as direct costs, 111
 “English rule,” 98, 173
 retainer agreements, 57
 shifting of, 98, 173
- Australia
 class actions in, 133, 219n.117
 litigation finance in, 128
- Austria, enforcement of foreign judgments
 in, 96–97
- Backlash effects
 overview, 6, 127, 179
 blocking statutes as, 109–10, 131–32
 contingency fees and, 97, 105, 134
 entrepreneurial lawyering and, 128–30, 170–73
 litigation-enabling statutes as, 109–10, 131–32
 litigation finance and, 6, 110, 123, 127–28, 134
 punitive damages as, 132
- Bangladesh, Rana Plaza disaster, 46, 104
- Bassiouni, M. Cherif, 26
- Belgium, class actions in, 133, 169, 170, 209n.72

- Benefit corporations, 135
- Bhopal litigation
 overview, 1, 2, 13, 47, 62
 adequacy analysis, 53–57
 Alien Tort Statute and, 61
 “boomerang litigation” in, 77
 claims processing scheme, 50–51
 collateral attacks on settlement, 60–61
 convenience analysis, 53, 58
 criminal prosecution and, 118
 deaths from accident, 48, 194n.21
 dismissal of US action, 51–52
 effects of accident, 48–49, 194n.21
 enterprise liability in, 59–60
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129
forum non conveniens in, 50–53
 historical background, 47–50
 indirect costs of, 116
 injunctions in, 116
 injuries from accident, 48–49, 194n.21
 jurisdiction and, 155
 missing forum problem and, 3–4
 moral hazard in, 80
 negligence in, 49, 50, 61
 parallel and sequential litigation in, 47, 113
 private interest analysis, 58
 public policy analysis, 57–58
 standing in, 61
 strict liability in, 49
- Bias, 99
- Blocking statutes, 109–10, 131–32
- Blueprint for ICCJ
 overview, 13, 139–40
 FDI and, 137–39
- Bolivia, ICSID and, 119
- “Boomerang litigation”
 overview, 77
 global preclusion and, 123–26
 ICCJ as solution to, 155
 missing forum problem and, 85–87
- British Petroleum, 2, 81, 103, 124
- Bulgaria, class actions in, 133
- Burundi, ICC and, 230n.116
- Bush, George W., 39
- Business case for ICCJ
 overview, 13, 108–11
 backlash effects and (*See* Backlash effects)
 business ethics and, 134–37
 corruption and (*See* Corruption)
 direct costs and (*See* Direct costs of transnational litigation)
 global preclusion and (*See* Global preclusion)
 indirect costs and (*See* Indirect costs of transnational litigation)
- Business ethics, 134–37
- Cambodia, international courts in, 24–25
- Canada
 class actions in, 133, 219n.117
 litigation finance in, 134
 Quebec as mixed jurisdiction, 142
- Carter, Jimmy, 27, 30, 31
- Case studies
 overview, 45–46
 Bhopal litigation (*See* Bhopal litigation)
 Chevron–Ecuador litigation (*See* Chevron–Ecuador litigation)
Kiobel case (*See* *Kiobel* case)
 selection of, 45–46
- Cassese, Antonio, 26
- Center for Constitutional Rights, 76
- Challenges to ICCJ, 180
- Champerty, 127–28
- Chavez, Hugo, 119
- Chevron–Ecuador litigation
 overview, 2, 13, 62–64, 70
 acquisition of Texaco by Chevron, 2, 64–65
 Alien Tort Statute and, 65
 arbitration in, 68–69
 “boomerang litigation” in, 77, 86
 criminal prosecution and, 118
 damages in, 130–31
 diversity jurisdiction in, 153–54
 effects of environmental contamination, 62–63
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129
 global preclusion in, 68–69
 goodwill, harm to, 119
 historical background, 64–65
 indirect costs of, 116–17
 jurisdiction and, 155, 156
 Lago Agrio litigation, 67
 leveraging political and diplomatic capital in, 69–70
 litigation finance in, 109
 missing forum problem and, 3–4
 moral hazard in, 80–81
 parallel and sequential litigation in, 77–78, 113
 “popular suit” in Ecuador, 67
 problems in enforcing judgment, 67–68
 ramifications for Chevron, 108–09
 RICO action in, 69
 US, dismissal of action in, 65–66

- Chicago Council on Global Affairs, 89
 Chile, mixed claims commissions and, 33
 China
 benefits of ICCJ, 7–8
 class actions in, 133
 FDI in, 40–41, 42
 ICSID and, 7–8, 41–42
 New York Convention and, 7–8, 41–42
 as potential holdout against ICCJ, 16, 39–42
 WTO and, 7–9, 41–42
 Choice of forum. *See* Forum shopping
 Choice of law, 95–96, 108
 Civil Rights Act of 1871, 90
 Claims Resolution Tribunals for Dormant
 Accounts in Switzerland, 9
 Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (CAFA), 91
 Class actions. *See also* Collective redress;
 specific country
 contingency fees in, 171
 discovery in, 174
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 170–73
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and, 226n.65
 globalization of, 133–34
 global preclusion and, 125
 growth in, 167–68
 in ICCJ, 43, 106
 jurisdiction over, 170
 litigation finance in, 174
 monetary relief in, 171
 opt-in/opt-out procedures, 168–70
 procedural features of, 162, 168
 punitive damages in, 171
 “quasi-class actions,” 226n.64
 standing in, 168, 170–71
 structure of, 167
 “synthetic class actions,” 129–30
 trust fund for, 173–74
 Cold War, 23–24, 26–27
 Collective redress
 overview, 143, 179
 in European Union, 97–98, 133
 in ICCJ, 43
 Comity, 87
 Committee for Economic Development, 136
 Common Market for Eastern and Southern
 Africa (COMESA) Court of Justice, 223n.29
 Complementarity, 113, 160–61, 226n.62
 Composition of ICCJ, 147–49
 Conditional fees, 229n.101
 “Constitutional moments,” 16, 19–20, 26–27, 184n.2
 Contingency fees, 97, 105, 134, 171, 173–74
 Convention Against Torture, 42
 Convention on the Recognition and
 Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. *See*
 New York Convention (Convention on the
 Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign
 Arbitral Awards)
 Corporate Social Responsibility, 4–5, 135–37, 180
 Corporate structure, abuse of, 78–79
 Correa, Rafael, 65, 68, 118
 Corruption
 in developing countries, 123
 direct costs and, 121
 indirect costs and, 121
 missing forum problem and, 87, 99
 “prisoner’s dilemma” and, 121–23
 rule of law versus, 120–21
 Costa Rica, blocking statutes in, 131, 218n.106
 Costs of transnational litigation
 direct costs (*See* Direct costs of transnational
 litigation)
 in ICCJ, 106, 110
 indirect costs (*See* Indirect costs of
 transnational litigation)
 Court of International Trade, 142, 226n.67
 Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU),
 20, 96, 223n.29
 Crawford, James, 24
 Cremer, Randal, 14, 17
 Criminal prosecution as indirect cost of
 transnational litigation, 118
 Cross-border mass torts defined, 46–47. *See also*
 specific topic
 Daimler AG, 138
 Damages
 monetary relief, 171, 175
 punitive damages (*See* Punitive damages)
 Deepwater Horizon oil spill, 2, 81, 103, 124
 Democracy, international courts and, 18
 Denmark
 class actions in, 133, 169, 170
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
 OECD Guidelines and, 104
 Direct costs of transnational litigation
 overview, 5
 attorneys’ fees as, 111
 corruption and, 121
 defined, 111
 forum shopping as, 111, 112–13
 increases in, 111–12
 parallel and sequential litigation as, 111, 112–13

- Discovery
 in class actions, 174
 in ICCJ, 12
 in US, 92–93
- Diversity jurisdiction, 153–54
- Dole Food Co., 80–81, 108, 109, 118, 132, 212n.10, 214n.44
- Dominica, blocking statutes in, 131, 218n.106
- Dow Chemical, 61
- Due process, 55–56, 87, 96, 196n.61
- East Timor, international courts in, 24–25
- Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) Court of Justice, 223n.29
- Ecuador
 blocking statutes in, 131, 218n.106
 Chevron litigation (*See* Chevron-Ecuador litigation)
 Environmental Management Act of 1999, 65, 109, 131–32
 ICSID and, 119
 rainforest, devastation of (*See* Chevron-Ecuador litigation)
- Electa una via*, 159–60
- Enforcement of foreign judgments
 “boomerang litigation” and, 85–87
 in European Union, 96–97
 global preclusion and, 125
 in US, 84, 86, 87
- “English rule,” 98, 173
- Enron, 214n.46
- Enterprise liability, 59–60, 153–54
- Entrepreneurial lawyering, 98, 105, 123, 128–30, 170–73
- Ethics
 of attorneys, 98, 101
 business ethics, 134–37
 of litigation finance, 127–28
 retainer agreements, 57
- European Convention on Human Rights, 20, 96
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 20, 102, 223n.29
- European Court of Justice (ECJ), 18
- European Union
 Brussels I Regulation (BIR), 94–95
 Charter of Fundamental Rights, 96
 choice of law in, 95–96
 collective redress in, 97–98, 133
 contingency fees in, 97
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
 FDI and, 93
 “foreign cubed” cases in, 95
 “foreign squared” cases in, 94–95
 missing forum problem in, 84–85
 punitive damages in, 97–98, 105–06, 132
 Rome II Regulation (Law Applicable to Non-contractual Obligations), 95–96
- Evidence
 admissibility (*See* Admissibility)
 discovery (*See* Discovery)
- Exclusivity, 10, 113, 159–60, 170
- Exhaustion of domestic remedies, 158, 159
- Expropriation, 119, 120
- Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia, 24–25
- Exxon Valdez oil spill, 56
- Feasibility of ICCJ, 8–9. *See also* Business case for ICCJ
- Federal Arbitration Act, 91–92, 222n.21
- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 52, 92–93, 153, 226n.65
- Filártiga* case, 73
- Financing of ICCJ, 177–78
- Finland
 class actions in, 133
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
- Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 138–39
- Foreign direct investment (FDI)
 backlash against, 119, 180
 in China, 40–41, 42
 European Union and, 93
 global preclusion and, 125–26
 ICCJ and, 1–2, 10, 42–43, 105, 137–39, 145, 156
 in India, 57
 Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) and, 100
 IUSCT and, 35
 South Africa and, 138
 US and, 5, 83
- Forum non conveniens*
 overview, 4
 adequacy analysis, 52–57
 backlash effects and, 6
 in Bhopal litigation, 50–53 (*See also* Bhopal litigation)
 “boomerang litigation” and, 85–86
 convenience analysis, 53, 58
 delay, effect of, 51
 private interest analysis, 53, 58
 public policy analysis, 53, 57–58

- in UK, 95
- in US, 84
- Forum shopping
 - as direct cost, 111, 112–13
 - entrepreneurial lawyering and, 130
 - GATT and, 28
 - ICCJ as solution to, 108, 158, 159–60, 161, 180–81
 - as indirect cost, 119
- France
 - Civil Code, 95, 132
 - class actions in, 133, 170, 171
 - ICC and, 149
 - litigation finance in, 128
 - mixed claims commissions and, 33
 - punitive damages in, 132
- Functionalism, international courts and, 18
- Gambia, ICC and, 230n.116
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), 18, 27–29, 38
- Geneva Convention (1864), 22–23
- Germany
 - Capital Markets Model Case Law (KapMuG), 129, 130, 133
 - class actions in, 133
 - contingency fees in, 134
 - enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
 - entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129, 130
 - Kiobel* case and, 88
 - litigation finance in, 128
 - mixed claims commissions and, 33
 - punitive damages in, 132
- Gibson Dunn (law firm), 108
- Global Compact, 136–37, 180
- Global preclusion
 - overview, 126
 - “boomerang litigation” and, 123–26
 - in Chevron–Ecuador litigation, 68–69
 - class actions and, 125
 - courts, role of, 125
 - enforcement of foreign judgments and, 125
 - FDI and, 125–26
 - in ICCJ, 6, 10–11, 110, 125–26
 - parallel and sequential litigation and, 123–26
 - “peace premium,” 123–24
 - thresholds and, 124, 216n.71
- Goodwill, harm to, 119
- Greece, enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
- Guatemala, blocking statutes in, 131, 218n.106
- Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (OECD), 45–46, 88, 104, 136
- Gulf Coast Claims Facility (GCCF), 103, 124
- Hague Peace Conference (1899), 21
- Hague Peace Conference (1907), 21
- Hariri, Rafik, 26
- Helsinki Watch, 25
- Hong Kong
 - Law Reform Commission, 128
 - litigation finance in, 128
- Hudec, Robert E., 29
- Human rights
 - ICCJ and, 43
 - NGOs and, 25
- Human Rights Watch, 25, 43, 71
- Hybridization of civil and common law, 141–42, 143, 144, 163–64
- ICC. *See* International Criminal Court (ICC)
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice (ICJ)
- “Idea contagion,” 24–25
- Ideational theories of international courts, 18
- Incentives for ICCJ, 5–8
- Independence of ICCJ, 147
- India
 - Bhopal Gas Leak Disaster (Processing of Claims) Act 1985, 50, 51–52, 56–57, 60, 131
 - Bhopal Gas Tragedy Relief and Rehabilitation Department, 48, 57
 - due process in, 55–56, 196n.61
 - FDI in, 57
- Indirect costs of transnational litigation
 - overview, 5–6, 113–14
 - accounting and, 115
 - in Bhopal litigation, 116
 - bonds and, 114
 - in Chevron–Ecuador litigation, 116–17
 - corruption and, 121
 - criminal prosecution as, 118
 - distraction as, 117–18
 - expropriation, 119
 - forum shopping as, 119
 - goodwill, harm to, 119
 - injunctions and, 116
 - investment climate, changes in, 119–20
 - mergers and acquisitions and, 116
 - reputation, harm to, 118–19
 - restraint on business activities, 114–17
 - stock prices and, 114–15
 - uncertainty and, 114–17

- Indonesia
 bilateral investment treaties and, 119
 class actions in, 133
- Injunctions
 indirect costs and, 116
 as interim relief, 176
- Inquisitorial system, 163–64
- Institutional features of ICCJ
 overview, 141–44
 composition, 147–49
 enforcement treaty, 146
 independence, 147
 international organizations, relations with,
 149–50
 statute, 145
 two-tiered structure, 145–47
- Integration of civil and common law, 141–42, 143,
 144, 163–64
- Interactional theories of international
 courts, 18–19
- Inter-American Commission of Human Rights
 (IACHR), 173
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights
 (IACtHR), 148, 223n.29
- Interim relief, 176
- International Centre for Settlement of Investment
 Disputes (ICSID), 7–8, 35, 41–42, 99,
 100, 177–78
- International commercial arbitration, 12,
 36, 100–02
- International Commission for Penal
 Reconstruction and Development, 23
- International Committee of the Red Cross, 22–23
- International Court of Justice (ICJ), 19, 37, 142,
 150, 173, 223n.29, 224n.30, 225n.53, 226n.67
- International courts. *See also specific court*
 overview, 13, 14–16
 “constitutional moments” and, 19–20
 democracy and, 18
 development of, 8–9, 15–16
 features of, 184n.12
 functionalism and, 18
 ICC (*See* International Criminal Court (ICC))
 ideational theories of, 18
 interactional theories of, 18–19
 IUSCT (*See* Iran–United States Claims
 Tribunal (IUSCT))
 Jerusalem Arbitration Center (JAC), 15, 35–36
 political factors, 20–21
 rationalism and, 17–18
 self-interest and, 17–18
 theoretical models, 17–21
 WTO DSU (*See* World Trade Organization
 Dispute Settlement Understanding
 (WTO DSU))
- International Criminal Court (ICC)
 overview, 15, 20, 186n.18
 admissibility in, 160
 appellate review in, 165–66
 applicable law, 155
 change agents, 25–26
 complementarity and, 160, 226n.62
 composition of, 148, 223n.29
 “constitutional moments,” 26–27
 creation of, 22, 26
 criminal liability in, 8
 criticisms of, 211n.97
 financing of, 177
 geopolitical change and, 26–27
 historical background, 21–22
 international organizations, relations with,
 149–50
 jurisdiction of, 150
 legal and institutional precedents, 22–25
 as model for ICCJ, 143–44, 145
 Rome Statute (*See* Rome Statute of the
 International Criminal Court)
 US and, 37, 39
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
 (ICTR), 24–25, 38, 165–66, 176
- International Criminal Tribunal for the
 Former Yugoslavia (ICTY), 24–25, 26, 38,
 165–66, 176
- International Emergency Economic Powers Act
 (IEEPA), 33
- International Labour Organization (ILO),
 Declaration on Fundamental Principles and
 Rights at Work, 42
- International Law Association, Burgh House
 Principles on the Independence of the
 International Judiciary, 148, 223n.26
- International Law Commission
 (ILC), 23–24
- International Military Tribunal (Nuremberg
 Tribunal), 23, 24–25
- International Military Tribunal for the Far East
 (Tokyo Tribunal), 23, 24–25
- International Monetary Fund, 20
- International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea
 (ITLOS), 223n.29
- Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS), 43, 99,
 100, 102–03, 180

- Iran
 hostage crisis, 30–31
 Islamic Revolution, 30
 Iran–United States Claims Tribunal (IUSCT)
 overview, 15
 Algiers Accords, 31, 33, 188n.58
 Claims Settlement Declaration, 31
 Constitutional challenges, 33
 criticisms of, 34
 historical background, 9, 29–31
 jurisdiction of, 150, 152
 precedents, 32–33
 success of, 34–35
- Israel
 class actions in, 133
 contingency fees in, 134
 as mixed jurisdiction, 142
- Italy
 class actions in, 133
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
 punitive damages in, 132
- Jackson, John H., 29
- Japan
 class actions in, 133
 contingency fees in, 134
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129, 130
- Jay Treaty (1794), 9, 32, 143
- Jerusalem Arbitration Center (JAC), 15, 35–36
- Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (JPMDL), 227n.78
- Judiciary Act of 1789, 72
- Jurisdiction
 overview, 144, 150–51
 admissibility versus, 150–51, 222n.15
 under Alien Tort Statute, 74
 applicable law, 154–56
 Bhopal litigation and, 155
 Chevron–Ecuador litigation and, 155, 156
 class actions, 170
 complementarity, 113, 160–61, 226n.62
 cross-border claims and, 153–54
 diversity jurisdiction, 153–54
 enterprise liability and, 153–54
 exclusivity, 10, 113, 159–60, 170
 of ICC, 150
 intentional torts, 155
 of IUSCT, 150, 152
Kiobel case and, 155
 mass claims versus mass torts, 152–53
 negligence, 155
 personal jurisdiction, 73, 93, 108, 157–58
 under Rome Statute, 150, 151, 160
 subject matter jurisdiction (*See* Subject matter jurisdiction)
 temporal jurisdiction, 151
- Jury trials
 in ICCJ, 12
 in US, 92, 93
- Kiobel* case
 overview, 13, 70, 138
 Alien Tort Statute and, 4, 5, 72
 diversity jurisdiction in, 154
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129
 historical background, 70–72
 jurisdiction and, 155
 missing forum problem and, 3–4
 parallel and sequential litigation in, 113
 reputation, harm to, 118
 in US Supreme Court, 75–76
- League of Nations, 23
- Lebanon, international courts in, 26
- Legitimacy considerations, 87–89, 105
- Lithuania, class actions in, 133
- Litigation-enabling statutes, 109–10, 131–32
- Litigation finance
 advantages of, 110, 123, 179–80
 backlash effects and, 6, 127–28
 in Canada, 134
 in Chevron–Ecuador litigation, 109
 contingency fees and, 97
 ethics of, 127–28
 ICCJ and, 174
- Louisiana as mixed jurisdiction, 142
- “Managerial judging,” 142–43, 144
- Mass claims versus mass torts, 152–53
- McLane–Ocampo Treaty, 32
- Mergers and acquisitions, indirect costs of transnational litigation and, 116
- Methyl isocyanate (MIC), 47
- Mexican–American War (1846–1848), 32
- Mexico
 class actions in, 133
 mixed claims commissions and, 32–33, 152–53
- Millennium Development Goals, 20
- Missing forum problem
 overview, 3–5, 13, 83–84, 106–07
 alternative dispute resolution (ADR) as solution, 103

- Missing forum problem (*cont.*)
 arbitration and, 91–92
 Bhopal litigation and, 3–4
 bias and, 99
 “boomerang litigation” and, 85–87
 Chevron–Ecuador litigation and, 3–4
 choice of law and, 95–96
 comity and, 87
 constitutional torts and, 90–91
 contingency fees and, 97
 corruption and, 87, 99
 discovery and, 92–93
 due process and, 87
 in European Union, 84–85
 foreign policy considerations, 87
 global courts, declining to act as, 87–89
 home courts, MNCs bringing actions in, 84–85
 ICCJ as solution, 104–06
 international commercial arbitration and,
 100–02
 jury trials and, 92, 93
Kiobel case and, 3–4
 legitimacy considerations, 87–89, 105
 litigation in courts of jurisdiction where
 injuries occurred, 99–100
 OECD Guidelines as solution, 104
 permanent court of investment disputes and,
 102–03
 punitive damages and, 97–98
 self-regulation as solution, 103–04
 single-issue courts as solution, 103
 in US, 84, 89–93
 Mixed claims commissions, 32–33
 Mixed jurisdictions, 141–42, 180–81
 Monetary relief, 171, 175
 Moral hazard, 79–82
 Moscow Declarations (1943), 23
 Moynier, Gustave, 22–23
 Multidistrict Litigation (MDL), 226n.64, 227n.78
 Multinational corporations (MNCs)
 benefits of ICCJ, 5–6
 “boomerang litigation” and, 77
 corporate structure, abuse of, 78–79
 home courts, bringing actions in, 84–85
 lack of accountability, 2–3
 moral hazard and, 79–82
 multiple entities, abuse of, 78–79
 parallel litigation and, 77–78
 sequential litigation and, 77–78
 subsidiaries, abuse of, 78–79
 Multiparty Multiforum Trial Jurisdiction Act of
 2002, 91, 207n.35
 Negligence
 in Bhopal litigation, 49, 50, 61
 in ICCJ, 155
 Netherlands
 class actions in, 133, 169
 contingency fees in, 134
 Dutch Act on Collective Settlement of Lassa
 Claims (WCAM), 129–30
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129–30
Kiobel case and, 76, 87–88
 litigation finance in, 128
 New York Convention (Convention on the
 Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign
 Arbitral Awards), 7–8, 10–11, 41–42, 146,
 222n.20
 New York Uniform Foreign Country Money-
 Judgments Recognition Act, 52, 68, 199n.144
 Nicaragua
 blocking statutes in, 131, 132, 218n.106
 Law No. 364, 132
 Nigeria
Kiobel case (*See Kiobel* case)
 Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni
 People (MSOP), 70–71, 72, 76
 “Ogoni Nine,” 71
 “resource curse” in, 71
 Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), 25,
 43, 172–73
 Non-monetary relief, 175–76
 Non-representative collective litigation, 166–67
 Norway, class actions in, 133
 Nuremberg Tribunal (International Military
 Tribunal), 23, 24–25
 Obama, Barack, 81
 Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA), 124
Ordre public, 96
 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
 Development (OECD)
 overview, 138–39
 Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises,
 45–46, 88, 104, 136
 Ortega, Daniel, 119
 Parallel litigation
 overview, 77–78
 backlash effects and, 130
 in Bhopal litigation, 47, 113
 as direct cost, 111, 112–13
 global preclusion and, 123–26
 ICCJ as solution to, 10, 155, 158, 159, 161

- “Peace premium,” 123–24
 Peña-Irala, Americo, 73
 Pennzoil, 114–15
 Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA), 68–69,
 150, 224n.30, 40
 Permanent Court of International Justice
 (PCIJ), 19
 Permanent court of investment
 disputes, 102–03
 Personal jurisdiction, 73, 93, 108, 157–58
 PetroEcuador, 64–65, 67. *See also* Chevron–
 Ecuador litigation
 Poland, class actions in, 133
 Portugal, class actions in, 133
 Preclusion. *See* Global preclusion
 “Prisoner’s dilemma,” 121–23
 “Private attorney generals,” 228n.84
 Private interest analysis, 53, 58
 Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995
 (PSRLA), 207n.35
 Procedural features of ICCJ
 overview, 11–12, 105, 144, 161–63
 admissibility (*See* Admissibility)
 aggregate settlement supervision and
 administration, 174–75
 alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 166
 appellate review, 165–66, 176–77
 class actions, 162, 168 (*See also* Class actions)
 integration of civil and common law, 163–64
 interim relief, 176
 jurisdiction (*See* Jurisdiction)
 monetary relief, 171, 175
 non-monetary relief, 175–76
 non-representative collective litigation,
 166–67
 punitive damages, 12, 176
 remedies, 175–76
 routing of claims, 164–66
 screening of claims, 164–66
 Proposal for ICCJ, 10–13
 definitions, 11
 discovery, 12
 enforcement treaty, 10
 exclusivity, 10
 global preclusion, 10–11
 jurisdiction (*See* Jurisdiction)
 jury trials, lack of, 12
 procedural features (*See* Procedural features
 of ICCJ)
 punitive damages, 12
 statute, 10
 two-tiered structure, 10

 Public policy
 enforcement of foreign judgments and, 96
 forum non conveniens and, 53, 57–58
 Punitive damages
 as backlash effects, 132
 in class actions, 171
 in European Union, 97–98, 105–06, 132
 growth in, 132
 in ICCJ, 12, 176

 “Quasi-class actions,” 226n.64
 Quebec as mixed jurisdiction, 142

 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
 Act (RICO), 69, 77, 204n.233
 Rana Plaza disaster, 46, 104
 Rationalism, international courts and, 17–18
 Reagan, Ronald, 31, 90
 Rehnquist, William, 33
 Remedies in ICCJ, 175–76
 Remembrance, Responsibility and the Future
 Foundation, 103
 Representative actions. *See* Class actions
 Reputation, harm to, 118–19
 “Resource curse,” 71
 Retainer agreements, 57
 Rome Statute of the International
 Criminal Court
 effective date, 22
 ICCJ, influence on, 143–44, 145, 149–50, 155
 international courts and, 20
 jurisdiction under, 150, 151, 160
 ratification of, 26
 US and, 39
 Roosevelt, Franklin D., 23
 Royal Dutch Shell, 4, 70, 71–72, 76, 111, 113, 130.
 See also *Kiobel* case
 Rule of law
 corruption versus, 120–21
 in ICCJ, 105
 Rwanda, international courts in, 24–25, 38,
 165–66, 176

 Saro-Wiwa, Ken, 71, 72, 111
 Scotland as mixed jurisdiction, 142
 Screening of claims, 164–66
 Section 1983 actions, 90–91
 Self-interest, international courts and, 17–18
 Self-regulation, 4–5, 103–04
 Sequential litigation
 overview, 77–78
 backlash effects and, 130

- Sequential litigation (*cont.*)
 in Bhopal litigation, 47, 113
 as direct cost, 111, 112–13
 global preclusion and, 123–26
 ICCJ as solution to, 10, 155, 158, 159, 161
 Settlement supervision and administration,
 174–75
 Shell Petroleum Development Co. of Nigeria
 Ltd., 72, 154. *See also* *Kiobel* case
 Shell Transport & Trading Co., 72. *See also*
Kiobel case
 Shifting of fees, 98, 173
 Sierra Leone, international courts in, 24–25
 Singapore, litigation finance in, 128
 Single-issue courts, 4–5, 103
 South Africa
 apartheid in, 138
 FDI and, 138
 ICC and, 230n.116
 litigation finance in, 128
 as mixed jurisdiction, 142
 South Korea
 class actions in, 133
 litigation finance in, 128
 Spain, class actions in, 133
 Special Court for Sierra Leone, 24–25
 Special Panels of the Dili District Court in
 East Timor, 24–25
 Special Tribunal for Lebanon, 26
 Standing
 in Bhopal litigation, 61
 in class actions, 168, 170–71
 in US, 90–91
 States, benefits of ICCJ to, 7–8
 Statute of ICCJ, 10, 145
 Stiglitz, Joseph, 137–39
 Stock prices, indirect costs and, 114–15
 Strict liability, 49
 Subject matter jurisdiction
 applicable law, 154–56
 cross-border claims and, 153–54
 diversity jurisdiction, 153–54
 enterprise liability and, 153–54
 of ICCJ, 152–53
 intentional torts, 155
 mass claims versus mass torts, 152–53
 negligence, 155
 Subsidiaries, abuse of, 78–79
 Substantive law in ICCJ, 105
 Sweden
 class actions in, 133
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 96–97
 Switzerland, ICC and, 149
 “Synthetic class actions,” 129–30
 Taiwan, class actions in, 133
 Temporal jurisdiction, 151
 Texaco, 2, 62, 64–66, 67, 70, 114–15. *See also*
 Chevron-Ecuador litigation; Chevron–
 Ecuador litigation
 Texaco Petroleum Company (TexPet), 62–63,
 64–65, 66, 67, 153–54. *See also* Chevron–
 Ecuador litigation
 Thailand
 class actions in, 133
 punitive damages in, 132
 Theoretical models of international courts, 17–21
 Third party funding, 110, 127–28, 134, 174, 179–80
 Tokyo Round of GAAT Negotiations, 28–29
 Tokyo Tribunal (International Military Tribunal
 for the Far East), 23, 24–25
 Transparency International, 99
 Treaty of Guadalupe-Hidalgo (1848), 32
 Trump, Donald, 7, 42, 135
 Trust fund for class actions, 173–74
 Two-tiered structure of ICCJ, 10, 145–47
 Uniform Foreign Country Money-Judgments
 Recognition Act, 52, 68, 199n.144
 Union Carbide Corp., 47, 49–50, 52, 54, 55–56,
 59–61, 80. *See also* Bhopal litigation
 Union Carbide India Ltd., 47, 49–51, 54, 57,
 59–60, 116. *See also* Bhopal litigation
 United Kingdom
 class actions in, 133, 169, 170
 Competition Appeals Tribunal (CAT), 169
 Consumer Rights Act, 133
 contingency fees in, 134
forum non conveniens in, 95
 Jackson Report, 134
Kiobel case and, 87–88
 litigation finance in, 128
 Scotland as mixed jurisdiction, 142
 United Nations
 Charter, 149
 Commission of Experts on the Situation in
 Yugoslavia, 26
 Compensation Commission, 9
 Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries
 on the Establishment of an International
 Criminal Court, 26
 Global Compact, 136–37, 180
 Guiding Principles on Business and Human
 Rights, 88

- Human Rights Council, 43
 Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Business and Human Rights, 88, 103–04
 United Nations War Crimes Commission (UNWCC), 23
 United States
 Alien Tort Statute (*See* Alien Tort Statute)
 arbitration in, 91–92
 benefits of ICCJ for, 7
 Civil Rights Act of 1871, 90
 Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (CAFA), 91
 class actions in, 91, 226n.64
 constitutional torts, 90–91
 contingency fees in, 173–74
 Court of International Trade, 142, 226n.67
 discovery in, 92–93
 enforcement of foreign judgments in, 84, 86, 87
 entrepreneurial lawyering in, 129
 FDI and, 5, 83
 Federal Arbitration Act, 91–92, 222n.21
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 52, 92–93, 153, 226n.65
 Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 138–39
forum non conveniens in, 84
 GATT and, 38
 ICC and, 37, 39
 ICJ and, 37
 ICTR and, 38
 ICTY and, 38
 International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), 33
 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (JPMDL), 227n.78
 Judiciary Act of 1789, 72
 jury trials in, 92, 93
 Justice Department, 30–31
 litigation finance in, 128
 missing forum problem in, 84, 89–93
 mixed claims commissions and, 32–33
 Multidistrict Litigation (MDL), 226n.64, 227n.78
 Multiparty Multiforum Trial Jurisdiction Act of 2002, 91, 207n.35
 New York Uniform Foreign Country Money-Judgments Recognition Act, 52, 68, 199n.144
 Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA), 124
 as potential holdout against ICCJ, 16, 37–39
 Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PSRLA), 207n.35
 pro-corporate trend of judiciary in, 93
 “quasi-class actions” in, 226n.64
 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), 69, 77, 204n.233
 Section 1983 actions, 90–91
 standing in, 90–91
 State Department, 69–70, 226n.63
 Treasury Department, 20
 WTO and, 38
 United States Chamber of Commerce, 93, 118, 121, 132
 United States-Mexico Special Claims Commission, 152–53
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 3
 Unocal, 73
 Uruguay Round, 28–29
 Venezuela
 ICSID and, 119
 mixed claims commissions and, 33
 Volkswagen, 128
 “Washington Consensus,” 20
 World Bank, 20, 99, 137, 149
 WorldPublicOpinion.org, 89
 World Trade Center Disaster Site litigation, 124
 World Trade Organization (WTO)
 Advisory Centre on WTO Law, 173
 Appellate Body, 102, 165–66, 176, 223n.29
 China and, 7–9, 41–42
 GATT and, 18, 27–29
 US and, 38
 World Trade Organization Dispute Settlement Understanding (WTO DSU)
 overview, 15, 18, 19
 alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 227n.77
 historical background, 27–29
 Yugoslavia
 Commission of Experts on the Situation in Yugoslavia, 26
 international courts in, 24–25, 26, 38, 165–66, 176
 Zhu Rongji, 41