

RELATIONAL EGALITARIANISM

Over the last twenty years, many political philosophers have rejected the idea that justice is fundamentally about distribution. Rather, justice is about social relations, and the so-called distributive paradigm should be replaced by a new relational paradigm. Kasper Lippert-Rasmussen seeks to describe, refine and assess these thoughts and to propose a comprehensive form of egalitarianism which includes central elements from both relational and distributive paradigms. He shows why many of the challenges which luck egalitarianism faces reappear, once we try to specify relational egalitarianism more fully. His discussion advances our understanding of the nature of the relational ideal and introduces new conceptual tools for understanding it and for exploring the important question of why it is desirable in the first place to relate as equals. Even severe critics of the distributive understanding of justice will find that this book casts important new light on the ideal to which they subscribe.

KASPER LIPPERT-RASMUSSEN is Professor of Political Theory, University of Aarhus, and Professor II in Philosophy, University of Tromsø. His books include *Born Free and Equal?* (2013) and *Luck Egalitarianism* (2015).



RELATIONAL EGALITARIANISM

Living As Equals

KASPER LIPPERT-RASMUSSEN

Aarhus Universitet, Denmark





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8B5, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107158900 DOI: 10.1017/9781316675847

© Kasper Lippert-Rasmussen 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-15890-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Cacilie, Hannah, Kira, Mona, Samuel and William



Contents

Preface Acknowledgements		
	1.1 The Distributive Ideal of Justice	I
	1.2 The Relational Ideal of Justice	4
	1.3 Relational Egalitarianism: A Thumbnail Sketch of Its Recent History	II
	1.4 An Overview of the Book	16
	1.5 Summary	20
P A	ART I NATURE	
2	Relational Egalitarianism	23
	2.1 Introduction	23
	2.2 Luck Egalitarianism versus Relational Egalitarianism	24
	2.3 Anderson's Critique of Luck Egalitarianism	28
	2.4 Democratic Equality	36
	2.5 Scheffler's Critique of Luck Egalitarianism	41
	2.6 The Egalitarian Deliberative Constraint	51
	2.7 A Comparison	57
	2.8 Conclusion	59
3	Relating to One Another As Equals	61
	3.1 Introduction	61
	3.2 Equals with Regard to What?	63
	3.3 Relating, Regarding and Treating	70
	3.4 Treating As	73
	3.5 Equals	80
	3.6 Regarding As Equals	85
	3.7 The Ideal of Relational Equality and Ideal Ways of Relating As Equals	87
	3.8 Conclusion	92

vii



viii	Contents	
4	Equality and Being in a Position to Hold Others Accountable: A Case Study Introduction What Is Hypocritical Blame? Wallace's Egalitarian Account of the Distinctive Wrongness of Hypocrisy Why Not Hypocrisy? Hypocrisy and Relational Equality Conclusion	92 92 97 102 109 110
PA	RT II SITE, SCOPE AND JUSTIFICATION	
5	Egalitarian Relations: Time, Site and Scope 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Intergenerational Justice 5.3 Age 5.4 Site 5.5 Scope 5.6 Conclusion	12: 12: 13: 13: 14: 15:
	Justification of and by the Ideal 6.1 Introduction 6.2 Instrumentally Valuable 6.3 Non-Instrumentally Valuable for Persons 6.4 Impersonally Valuable 6.5 Not (Primarily) Valuable, but Required 6.6 Aims of Real-Life Egalitarians and the Value of Equality 6.7 Conclusion	152 152 153 166 170 172
PA	RT III RELATIONAL AND DISTRIBUTIVE EQUALITY	
	Pluralist Egalitarianism 7.1 Introduction 7.2 Consistency 7.3 An Underlying Disagreement about Justification? 7.4 Reduction 7.5 Dispositional Egalitarianism 7.6 Pluralist Egalitarianism 7.7 Conclusion	18: 18: 18: 18: 19: 20: 20: 21:
8	Often the Twain Meet 8.1 Introduction 8.2 Anderson on Equality of Opportunity and/or Capability 8.3 Offensive Tastes 8.4 Snobbery	2II 2II 2II 2II 22I



	Contents	ix
	8.5 Dworkinian Bureaucracy	227
	8.6 Cohen on Justificatory Community	230
	8.7 Communal Camping	233
	8.8 Conclusion	235
9	Conclusion	236
	eferences	239
In	ndex	247



Preface

The main title of this book might seem slightly odd. Is not any idea about equality relational? After all, by definition equality is a relation that obtains between individuals when they have equal amounts of, say, resources. In a sense this is true. However, 'relational equality' refers to a particular kind of relations, namely egalitarian social relations; and this important addendum largely vindicates the title, since in much of the literature on justice, it is assumed that one can specify what justice, or at least distributive justice, requires without saying anything — or at any rate not that much — about *social* relations.

Over the last twenty years or so, many political philosophers have rejected this view, suggesting that, fundamentally, justice is all about social relations and that the so-called distributive paradigm, which was once dominant in political philosophy, should be replaced by a new relational paradigm. This book seeks to describe, refine and assess these thoughts. Pace the paradigm replacement view just described, I propose an ecumenical form of egalitarianism, which includes central elements from both relational and distributive paradigms. In the course of doing so, I show why the family of different relational views on justice is much larger than the present body of literature might lead one to think, and that many of the differences between different relational views mirror differences between different distributive views. Specifically, I will show why many of the challenges faced by luck egalitarianism reappear, mutatis mutandis, once we try to specify relational egalitarianism more fully. This suggests that the two strands of thinking about justice and equality are not that different at all and, in particular, that the differences – and I am not denying that there are important differences – are not so large that an ecumenical project of the sort this book engages in makes no sense. Last, but definitely not least, I also seek to advance our understanding of the relational ideal, for example by offering a broader view of the way in which it is embodied in our practice of giving and receiving blame and by introducing new conceptual



xii Preface

tools for understanding this view (and its many variations) and for exploring the important question of why it is desirable in the first place to relate as equals. Hence, I hope that even readers of a relational egalitarian persuasion who reject any ecumenical ambitions of the sort I pursue in the book will find that, nevertheless, it casts important new light on the ideal to which they subscribe.

I should like to acknowledge a deep academic debt to my former D.Phil. supervisor in connection with this book. When I worked with - or, perhaps more correctly, in academic respects at least, under -G. A. Cohen (an especially noteworthy fact, given the topic of this book), I did not see as clearly as I should have what a brilliant mind he was. At the time I did not work on equality, but on deontology (which is not to say that the two topics are unrelated). My interest in equality came later and I have always found Jerry's work on equality tremendously insightful and inspiring. With all due modesty, I would like to think of this book as one that brings together two broad lines of thought in Jerry's work about justice (and more generally in egalitarian political philosophy) which, to my knowledge, he never explicitly connected in any worked-out way: the idea of luck egalitarianism and distributive equality, on the one hand, and the idea of relating as equals, on the other. As we shall see, Jerry was very sympathetic to both ideas, despite the impression one might reasonably develop if one reads some of the contemporary relational egalitarian critiques of luck egalitarianism in general and critiques of Jerry's work in particular. Hence, I like to think of this book not only as one that reconciles two ways of thinking about egalitarian justice which, presently, are often thought of as incompatible, but also, and partly for more personal reasons, as a book that brings to fruition two lines of thought that were present in Jerry's work and which, due to his untimely death, he never put together – at least not at length and systematically.



Acknowledgements

In part, this manuscript builds on work that I have presented on a number of occasions over the last five years. Hence, I would like to thank the following persons for useful feedback: Andreas Albertsen, Richard Arneson, Gustaf Arrhenius, Cristina Astier, David Axelsen, Katharina Berndt Rasmussen, Juliana Bidadanure, Simon Birnbaum, Åsa Carlson, Erik Carlsson, Ian Carter, Paula Casal, Naima Chahboun, Andreas Christiansen, Matthew Clayton, Hugh Collins, Roger Crisp, Jens Damgaard Thaysen, Göran Duus-Otterström, Eva Erman, David Estlund, Nir Eyal, Jessica Flanagan, Carina Fourie, Anca Gheaus, Pablo Gilabert, Antje Gimmler, Sara Goering, Axel Gosseries, Les Green, Siba Harb, Deborah Hellman, Blake Hereth, Iwao Hirose, Nils Holtug, Brad Hooker, Kristian Højer Toft, Pietro Intropi, Magnus Jedenheim-Edling, Kristian Jensen, Jens Johansson, Klemens Kappel, Tarunabh Khaitan, Nadim Khoury, Frej Klem Thomsen, Carl Knight, Kjartan Koch Michalsen, Annabelle Lever, Sune Lægaard, Martin Marchman Andersen, Andrew Mason, Tim Meijers, Zoltan Miklosi, Sophia Moreau, Per Mouritsen, Viki Møller Lyngby Pedersen, Chris Nathan, Charlotte Newey, Geraldine Ng, Lasse Nielsen, Serena Olsaretti, Mike Otsuka, Tom Parr, Fabienne Peter, Adina Preda, Massimo Renzo, Juha Räikkä, Raffaele Rodogno, Eric C. Rowse, Shlomi Segall, Johanna Seibt, Thomas Sinclair, Adam Slavny, David Sobel, Rasmus Sommer Hansen, Katie Steel, Asbjørn Steglich-Petersen, Zofia Stemplowska, Philip Stratton-Lake, Julie Suk, Adam Swift, Jørn Sønderholm, Victor Tadros, Robert Talisse, Folke Tersman, Patrick Tomlin, Kartik Upadhyaya, Laura Vallentini, Peter Vallentyne, Tore Vincent Olsen, Annamari Vitikainen, Kristin Voigt, Alex Voorhoeve, Steve Wall and Andrew Williams. I thank Søren Flinch Midtgaard in particular for providing helpful comments on the entire manuscript and Kate Thulin for proofreading.

I should also like to thank the Norwegian Research Council for its financial support in connection with my work on this book, which in



xiv

Acknowledgements

part was conducted in relation with the *Globalizing Minority Rights* (GMR) project (NFR 259017).

Finally, I thank the relevant publishers (Wiley, and Taylor & Francis, respectively) for permission to reprint material (Lippert-Rasmussen, 2013b; 2015b), details of which can be found in the References section of the present book.