

# Spatial Biases in Perception and Cognition

---

Our experience of the world is influenced by numerous spatial biases, most of which influence us without our being aware of them. These biases are related to illusions and asymmetries in our perception of space, relationships between space and other qualities, dynamics of moving objects, dynamics of scene configuration, and dynamics related to perception and action. Consideration of these biases provides insight into how we perceive, remember, and navigate space, as well as how we interact with objects and people in space. This volume introduces and reviews numerous spatial biases and provides descriptions and examples of each bias. The contributors discuss historical and current theories for many biases and provide new explanatory theories. Providing a “one-stop shop” for information on such a key aspect of our experience in the world, this volume will interest anyone curious about our understanding of space.

**Timothy L. Hubbard** is an adjunct faculty member at Arizona State University and Adjunct Doctoral Dissertation Chair at Grand Canyon University, and was previously a full professor at Texas Christian University. He has published 90 papers in peer-reviewed scientific journals, 12 chapters in various academic books, and more than 100 scientific conference presentations. He is a consulting editor for *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics* and *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, and an associate editor for *Auditory Perception & Cognition* and *Frontiers in Psychology*. He has published scholarly reviews and empirical findings on several spatial biases and is a fellow of the Association for Psychological Science and of the Psychonomic Society.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-15498-8 — Spatial Biases in Perception and Cognition  
Edited by Timothy L. Hubbard  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-15498-8 — Spatial Biases in Perception and Cognition  
Edited by Timothy L. Hubbard  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

# Spatial Biases in Perception and Cognition

---

Edited by  
**Timothy L. Hubbard**  
Arizona State University and Grand Canyon University



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-15498-8 — Spatial Biases in Perception and Cognition  
Edited by Timothy L. Hubbard  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
New Delhi – 110025, India  
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.  
It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in  
the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest  
international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781107154988](http://www.cambridge.org/9781107154988)  
DOI: 10.1017/9781316651247

© Cambridge University Press 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and  
to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no  
reproduction of any part may take place without the written  
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British  
Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Names: Hubbard, Timothy L., editor.

Title: Spatial biases in perception and cognition / edited by  
Timothy L. Hubbard, previously of Texas Christian University.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY :  
Cambridge University Press, 2018. | Includes bibliographical  
references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018008153 | ISBN 9781107154988  
(hardback) | ISBN 1107154987 (hardback) | ISBN  
9781316607077 (pbk.) | ISBN 1316607070 (pbk.)

Subjects: LCSH: Space perception. | Spatial behavior. |  
Cognition.

Classification: LCC QP491 .S73 2018 | DDC 152.14/2–dc23  
LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2018008153>

ISBN 978-1-107-15498-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence  
or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet  
websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that  
any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or  
appropriate.

# Contents

*List of Figures* vii  
*List of Tables* x  
*List of Contributors* xi

---

## Introduction: Spatial Biases: What Are They and Why Are They Important? 1

Timothy L. Hubbard

---

## Part I Anisotropies and Illusions

- 1 **Perceptual Biases in Elementary  
 Geometry** 9  
 Michael Morgan
- 2 **Perceptual Anisotropies in  
 Visual Space** 24  
 Jose Antonio Aznar-Casanova  
 and Leonardo Gomes  
 Bernardino
- 3 **Situated Influences on Spatial–  
 Numerical Associations** 41  
 Krzysztof Cipora, Katarzyna Patro, and  
 Hans-Christoph Nuerk
- 4 **S-R Compatibility with Physical and  
 Representational Locations: The  
 Simon, SMARC, and STEARC  
 Effects** 60  
 Carlo Umiltà, Mario Bonato, and  
 Elena Rusconi
- 5 **Unraveling the Paradox of  
 Spatial Pitch** 77  
 Ophelia Deroy, Irune Fernandez-  
 Prieto, Jordi Navarra, and  
 Charles Spence
- 6 **Representational Biases in Space  
 and Language** 94  
 Alexander Kranjec

---

## Part II Dynamics of Objects

- 7 **Mislocalizations at the Onset  
 Position of Moving Stimuli** 109  
 Jochen Müsseler and Dirk Kerzel
- 8 **Influences on Representational  
 Momentum** 121  
 Timothy L. Hubbard
- 9 **The Flash-Lag Effect** 139  
 Timothy L. Hubbard
- 10 **Perceptual and Motor Biases in  
 Reference to Gravity** 156  
 Myrka Zago
- 11 **Auditory Biases in Visual Motion  
 Perception** 167  
 Wataru Teramoto, Souta Hidaka,  
 and Yoichi Sugita
- 12 **Adaptive Biases in Visual and  
 Auditory Looming Perception** 180  
 John G. Neuhoff

---

## Part III Dynamics in Scenes

- 13 **Expanding Space: Does Imagination  
 Affect Boundary Extension for  
 Visual Scenes?** 193  
 Helene Intraub and Kristin M. Gagnier
- 14 **Spatial Contraries and Mirrors** 209  
 Ivana Bianchi and Ugo Savardi
- 15 **Aesthetics and Preferences in Spatial  
 and Scene Composition** 222  
 Timothy L. Hubbard

vi	Contents
16	<b>Spatial Biases in Thought and Judgment: Reference Theory</b> 241 Barbara Tversky
17	<b>Categorical Influences on Spatial Bias</b> 249 Nora S. Newcombe
<b>Part IV Perception and Action</b>	
18	<b>Spatial Bias after Brain Damage: The Case of Visual Neglect</b> 263 Pom Charras, Juan Lupianez, and Paolo Bartolomeo
19	<b>Natural Regularities and Coupled Predictive Perceptual and Cognitive Biases: Why We Evolved to Systematically Experience Spatial Illusions</b> 276 Michael K. McBeath
20	<b>Two “Inhibitions of Return” Bias Orienting Differently</b> 295 Raymond M. Klein and Ralph S. Redden
21	<b>Spatial Biases from Action</b> 307 Jessica K. Witt
22	<b>Spatial Biases in Navigation and Wayfinding</b> 324 Jan M. Wiener and Tobias Meilinger
23	<b>Grounding Social Cognition in Space</b> 336 Caterina Suitner and Thomas W. Schubert
24	<b>Forms of Bias in Cognitive Science: Moving beyond Perception, Action, and Cognition</b> 350 J. Scott Jordan, Vincent Cialdella, Daniel S. Schloesser, and Jiuyang Bai
<hr/>	
<i>References</i> 366	
<i>Index</i> 473	
<i>The plate section can be found between pp 260 and 261</i>	

## Figures

- 1.1 Various configurations investigated by Müller-Lyer, from his 1889 paper ‘Optische Urteilstauschigen’ 17
- 1.2 The Fraser twisted cord. Each vertical line is composed of Gabor wavelets, phase-shifted as they go up the page. The vertical lines do not look parallel, although the separation between them looks constant. Evidently, acuity for parallelism does not depend upon distance acuity. 18
- 1.3 Configurations used by Morgan and Dillenburger (2016) to test the orientation-repulsion effect reported by Blakemore et al. (1970). The task (2AFC) was to decide whether the upper two lines in the configuration on the left were more or less parallel than those on the right. In Experiment 3.4 the task was to decide in which of the two figures the line and the dot were more collinear. For further explanation, see the text. 21
- 2.1 (a) Three-dimensional spatial configuration defined by orthogonal axes (X, Y, and Z), with VS represented as an implicit frustum. (b) Spatial planes starting from the egocentric frame of reference. 25
- 2.2 The Shepard illusion (a), in which the boards of the tables are perceived as being different despite being identical, and the two more common arrangements of the horizontal-vertical illusion (HVI), in L-shape (b) and inverted T-shape (c) 29
- 2.3 Depth interpretation and HVI 31
- 3.1 Overview of relationships between numbers and space 43
- 3.2 Overview of proposed types of situated influences on the SNARC effect 45
- 4.1 Examples of compatibility effects when stimuli and responses share a spatial dimension 70
- 5.1 (A) Results of Chiou and Rich’s (2012, Exp. 1) crossmodal cuing study. (B–C) Results of Chiou and Rich’s (2012, Exp. 4) study of the effects of endogenous attentional orienting and crossmodal correspondence on the magnitude of spatial cuing effects observed in the vertical dimension. 82
- 5.2 Fernández-Prieto and Navarra’s (2017) experimental procedure showing the sequence and timing of events in a trial 83
- 5.3 Results of Sadaghiani et al.’s (2009) neuroimaging study looking at the neural substrates of crossmodal effects of auditory stimulation on visual motion perception 86
- 6.1 To test hypotheses regarding processing biases across hemispheres and information types, the tasks were residualized against each other in order to establish orthogonal measures for particular representational formats (Amorapanth et al., 2010) 98
- 6.2 Results from six matching (Probe-Target) tasks in Kranjec, Ianni, and Chatterjee (2013) 100
- 6.3 Examples of four kinds of “different” trials in Kranjec, Lupyan, and Chatterjee (2014), Exps. 1–3 102
- 6.4 Results from Kranjec et al. (2014) 103
- 7.1 Four localization errors with moving stimuli 110
- 7.2 Simplified assumptions (a) of lateral inhibition with stationary stimuli, (b) cumulative lateral inhibition with moving stimuli with regard to Geer and Schmidt (2006), and

- (c) metacontrast and visual focal attention with regard to Kirschfeld and Kammer (1999, fig. 6) 112
- 7.3 Trial contexts and findings of Müsseler and Kerzel (2004, Exp. 1) 117
- 8.1 An illustration of a typical methodology and results for an experiment assessing representational momentum 122
- 9.1 Illustrations of common stimulus displays in studies of the flash-lag effect 140
- 10.1 Systematic errors in the SVV when participants lie in a roll-tilted posture (60°, 105°, and 150°, from A to C, respectively) 157
- 10.2 Intersection points, for all targets, between the ball surface and the tip of the handheld rod 164
- 10.3 Distributions of positional errors in the occluded trials for each ball acceleration 165
- 11.1 Schematic illustration of spatiotemporal positions of audiovisual stimuli in Freeman and Driver (2008) 169
- 11.2 Example of the visual display and the presentation sequence used in Teramoto, Hidaka, Gyoba, and Suzuki (2010) 170
- 11.3 Schematic illustration of the sound-induced visual motion illusion (Hidaka et al., 2009) 173
- 11.4 Schematic illustration of perceptual associative learning effects between auditory and visual stimuli reported in Teramoto, Hidaka, and Sugita (2010) 177
- 13.1 The figure shows a stimulus view and a drawing made by a participant demonstrating boundary extension 195
- 13.2 The figure shows boundary scores in the explicit imagination experiment conducted by the authors (panel A); the sound effects experiment (Gagnier, 2010; Section 3 experiments) (panels B.1 and B.2); and the discourse experiment (Gagnier, 2010; Section 2 experiments) (panel C) 203
- 14.1 Basic spatial oppositions 211
- 14.2 Diagrams showing reflections in mirrors set in different positions 213
- 14.3 Some false beliefs concerning naive optics; all are represented using aerial views 215
- 14.4 Diagrams showing the relationship between the orientation of a material object or movement and that of its reflection in terms of two vector components 216
- 15.1 Illustrations of the Gestalt principles of perceptual grouping including proximity, similarity, good continuation, closure, common fate, symmetry, parallelism, uniform connectedness, common region, and synchrony 234
- 17.1 Idealized pattern of bias found in experiments on memory for the location of dots in a circle 250
- 17.2 Model of the Category Adjustment Model (CAM) 251
- 17.3 Experimental procedure in Kranjec, Lupyan, and Chatterjee (2014) 257
- 18.1 The four configurations used in Charras et al. (2010) 269
- 18.2 The eight configurations used in the study by Charras, Lupianez, Migliaccio, Toba, Pradat-Diehl, Duret, and Bartolomeo (2012) 271
- 18.3 The mean percentage and SDs of horizontal underestimation and horizontal overestimation as a function of Configuration for Healthy controls and Neglect patients 272
- 19.1 Invariant properties in a Gibsonian flow field 278
- 19.2 Illusion of the rising fastball and the stop-and-drop sinkerball 279



- 19.3 The linear optical trajectory (LOT) interception control strategy: an example of the invariant property of maintaining angular constancy 280
- 19.4 Misjudging the destination of a pop-up 281
- 19.5 The natural regularity of object symmetry 283
- 19.6 The natural regularity of top salience 285
- 19.7 The natural regularity of axis-aligned motion 287
- 19.8 The natural regularity of neoteny in head shape as a cue of age 290
- 20.1 A figure illustrating the flow of information between cognitive modules from perceptual, input-related processing to motoric, output-related processing 298
- 20.2 Diagnostics for determining whether an effect is early (input) or late (decision/output) in processing 299
- 20.3 (A) Results from the target-type diagnostic as employed in Taylor's dissertation (Taylor & Klein, 2000). (B) Results from the speed-accuracy diagnostic as employed in Ivanoff's dissertation (Ivanoff & Klein, 2001, 2006). 300
- 20.4 Patterns of findings when the two diagnostics illustrated in Figure 20.2 are applied to our studies and to the literature 302
- 21.1 A participant performing the visual matching task to estimate hill slant 309
- 21.2 Targets presented up a hill appear farther away than when presented on flat ground 310
- 21.3 Bird's-eye view of visual matching task used to assess perceived distance to objects beyond arm's reach but within reach when using a tool 312
- 21.4 Softball players selected the circle that matched the size of the softball prior to filling out a survey on recent batting performance 313
- 21.5 Triangle adjustment task used as an indirect measure of perceived distance 319
- 22.1 Example of a movement pattern generated by a Lévy process 327
- 22.2 Two sample search trajectories 329
- 22.3 Schematic drawing of a navigation task and two alternative solutions 333

## Tables

3.1 Overview of situational influences on the SNARC effect	46
22.1 Overview of route choice strategies described in this chapter	326

## Contributors

**Jose Antonio Aznar-Casanova**  
University of Barcelona, Spain

**Jiuyang Bai**  
Illinois State University, USA

**Paolo Bartolomeo**  
Inserm U1127 and Sorbonne Université,  
Paris, France

**Leonardo Gomes Bernardino**  
Universidade Federal de Uberlandia,  
Brazil

**Ivana Bianchi**  
University of Macerata, Italy

**Mario Bonato**  
University of Padua, Italy

**Pom Charras**  
University Paul Valery Montpellier,  
France

**Vincent Cialdella**  
Illinois State University, USA

**Krzysztof Cipora**  
University of Tübingen, Germany

**Ophelia Deroy**  
University of London, UK

**Irene Fernandez-Prieto**  
Hospital Sant Joan de Deu,  
Barcelona, Spain

**Kristin M. Gagnier**  
Johns Hopkins University, USA

**Souta Hidaka**  
Rikkyo University, Japan

**Timothy L. Hubbard**  
Arizona State University and Grand  
Canyon University, USA

**Helene Intraub**  
University of Delaware, USA

**J. Scott Jordan**  
Illinois State University, USA

**Dirk Kerzel**  
University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Raymond M. Klein**  
Dalhousie University, Canada

**Alexander Kranjec**  
Duquesne University, USA

**Juan Lupianez**  
University of Granada, Spain

**Michael K. McBeath**  
Arizona State University, USA

**Tobias Meilinger**  
Max Planck Institute for Biological  
Cybernetics, Tübingen, Germany

**Michael Morgan**  
City University of London, UK

**Jochen Müsseler**  
RWTH Aachen University, Germany

**Jordi Navarra**  
Hospital Sant Joan de Deu, Barcelona,  
Spain

**John G. Neuhoff**  
College of Wooster, USA

**Nora S. Newcombe**  
Temple University, USA

**Hans-Christoph Nuerk**  
University of Tübingen, Germany

**Katarzyna Patro**  
Ulm University, Germany

**Ralph S. Redden**

Dalhousie University,  
Canada

**Elena Rusconi**

University of Trento, Italy

**Ugo Savardi**

University of Verona, Italy

**Thomas W. Schubert**

University of Oslo, Norway

**Daniel S. Schloesser**

Illinois State University, USA

**Charles Spence**

University of Oxford, UK

**Yoichi Sugita**

Waseda University, Japan

**Caterina Suitner**

University of Padua, Italy

**Wataru Teramoto**

Kumamoto University, Japan

**Barbara Tversky**

Columbia University, USA

**Carlo Umiltà**

University of Padua, Italy

**Jan M. Wiener**

Bournemouth University, UK

**Jessica K. Witt**

Colorado State University, USA

**Myrka Zago**

IRCCS Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome,  
Italy