CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

UNITED STATES - COUNTERVAILING MEASURES ON CERTAIN HOT-ROLLED CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS FROM INDIA

Report of the Panel
WT/DS436/R and Add.1

Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
on 19 December 2014
as Modified by the Appellate Body Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1. INTRODUCTION 2203
   1.1 Complaint by India 2203
   1.2 Panel Establishment and Composition 2203
   1.3 Panel Proceedings 2204
      1.3.1 General 2204
      1.3.2 Working procedures on Business Confidential Information (BCI) 2204
      1.3.3 Preliminary ruling 2205
         1.3.3.1 Introduction 2205
         1.3.3.2 Arguments of the Parties 2206
         1.3.3.3 Evaluation 2209
2. FACTUAL ASPECTS 2216
   2.1 The Measures at Issue 2216
3. PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 2219
4. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES 2221
5. ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES 2221
6. INTERIM REVIEW 2222
   6.1 Introduction 2222
   6.2 United States' Requests for Changes to the Interim Report 2222

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

6.2.1 Paragraph 7.51 (paragraph 7.51 of the Final Report) ... 2222
6.2.2 Paragraph 7.63 (paragraph 7.62 of the Final Report) ... 2223
6.2.3 Paragraph 7.87 (paragraph 7.86 of the Final Report) ... 2223
6.2.4 Paragraph 7.124 (paragraph 7.123 of the Final Report) ... 2224
6.2.5 Paragraph 7.179 (paragraph 7.178 of the Final Report) ... 2224
6.2.6 Paragraph 7.186 (paragraph 7.185 of the Final Report) ... 2224
6.2.7 Paragraph 7.199 (paragraph 7.198 of the Final Report) ... 2225
6.2.8 Paragraph 7.251 (paragraph 7.250 of the Final Report) ... 2225
6.2.9 Paragraph 7.311 (paragraph 7.310 of the Final Report) ... 2225
6.2.10 Paragraphs 7.324 and 7.325 (paragraphs 7.323 and 7.324 of the Final Report) ... 2226
6.2.11 Paragraph 7.376 and footnote 521 to paragraph 7.390 (paragraph 7.375 and footnote 646 to paragraph 7.389 of the Final Report) ... 2226
6.2.12 Paragraphs 7.410, 7.415-7.418, 7.421 and 7.299 (paragraphs 7.409, 7.414-7.417, 7.420 and 7.298 of the Final Report), and abbreviations table ... 2226
6.2.13 Paragraph 7.419 (paragraph 7.418 of the Final Report) ... 2227
6.2.14 Paragraphs 7.453 and 7.460 (paragraphs 7.452 and 7.459 of the Final Report) ... 2227
6.3 India's Requests for Changes to the Interim Report ... 2227
6.3.1 Paragraphs 1.34-1.38 (paragraphs 1.34-1.38 of the Final Report) ... 2227
6.3.2 Section 7.2: India's third ground ... 2228
6.3.3 Section 7.2: India's sixth ground ... 2229
6.3.4 Paragraph 7.20 (Paragraph 7.20 of the Final Report) ... 2229
6.3.5 Paragraphs 7.19 and 7.31 (paragraphs 7.19 and 7.31 of the Final Report) ... 2230
6.3.6 Section 7.2: India's second ground ... 2231
6.3.7 Paragraphs 7.26 and 7.28 (paragraphs 7.26 and 7.28 of the Final Report) ... 2231
6.3.8 Section 7.2.5.2: comparative advantage ... 2231
6.3.9 Paragraph 7.47 (paragraph 7.47 of the Final Report) ... 2232

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

6.3.10 Paragraph 7.49 (paragraph 7.49 of the Final Report).... 2232
6.3.11 Paragraph 7.60 (paragraph 7.60 of the Final Report).... 2233
6.3.12 Section 7.2.6.3: foreclosure of examination of prevailing market conditions.... 2234
6.3.13 Paragraph 7.62 (deleted from the Final Report).... 2234
6.3.14 Section 7.3.1.4.... 2235
6.3.15 Footnote 131 (footnote 245 of the Final Report).... 2235
6.3.16 Paragraph 7.82 and footnote 130 (paragraph 7.81 and footnote 244 of the Final Report).... 2235
6.3.17 Paragraph 7.83 (paragraph 7.82 of the Final Report).... 2236
6.3.18 Paragraphs 7.85-7.87 (paragraphs 7.84-7.86 of the Final Report).... 2237
6.3.19 Paragraph 7.87: content of websites (paragraph 7.86 of the Final Report).... 2237
6.3.20 Paragraph 7.87: consideration of the record (paragraph 7.86 of the Final Report).... 2238
6.3.21 Paragraph 7.87: government involvement (paragraph 7.86 of the Final Report).... 2238
6.3.22 Paragraphs 7.87-7.89 (paragraphs 7.86-7.88 of the Final Report).... 2239
6.3.23 Paragraph 7.88 (paragraph 7.87 of the Final Report).... 2239
6.3.24 Paragraph 7.147 (paragraph 7.146 of the Final Report).... 2240
6.3.25 Paragraph 7.160-7.166 (paragraphs 7.159-7.165 of the Final Report).... 2240
6.3.26 Paragraph 7.183 (paragraph 7.182 of the Final Report).... 2240
6.3.27 Section 7.4.1.3.... 2241
6.3.28 Section 7.4.3.4.... 2241
6.3.29 Paragraph 7.247 (paragraph 7.246 of the Final Report).... 2242
6.3.30 Paragraph 7.261 (paragraph 7.260 of the Final Report).... 2242
6.3.31 Paragraph 7.262 (paragraph 7.261 of the Final Report).... 2243
6.3.32 Paragraph 7.264 (paragraph 7.263 of the Final Report).... 2243
6.3.33 Paragraphs 7.404-7.407 (paragraphs 7.403-7.406 of the Final Report).... 2243

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

6.3.34 Paragraph 7.407 (paragraph 7.406 of the Final Report) ... 2245
6.3.35 Paragraph 7.440 (paragraph 7.439 of the Final Report) and Section 7.5.5.2.1 ... 2245
6.3.36 Paragraph 7.465 (paragraph 7.464 of the Final Report) ... 2246
6.3.37 Section 7.7.5.2.9 ... 2247
6.3.38 Paragraphs 7.501-7.509 (paragraphs 7.500-7.508 of the Final Report) ... 2248
7. FINDINGS ... 2248
7.1 General Principles Regarding Treaty Interpretation, the Applicable Standard of Review and Burden of Proof ... 2248
7.1.1 Treaty interpretation ... 2248
7.1.2 Standard of review ... 2249
7.1.3 Burden of proof ... 2249
7.2 The United States' Benchmarking Mechanism ... 2250
7.2.1 Relevant WTO provision ... 2250
7.2.2 Relevant US provision ... 2251
7.2.3 Whether Section 351.511(a)(2)(i) to (iii) is inconsistent with Article 14(d) because it fails to assess the adequacy of remuneration from the perspective of the government provider, before assessing whether there is benefit to the recipient ... 2252
7.2.3.1 Main arguments of the parties ... 2252
7.2.3.2 Main arguments of the third parties ... 2255
7.2.3.3 Evaluation ... 2256
7.2.4 The exclusion of government prices from Tier I and II benchmarks ... 2259
7.2.4.1 Main arguments of the parties ... 2259
7.2.4.2 Evaluation ... 2260
7.2.5 The use of world market prices as Tier II price benchmarks ... 2263
7.2.5.1 Main arguments of the parties ... 2263
7.2.5.2 Evaluation ... 2263
7.2.6 Whether Section 351.511(a)(2)(iv) is inconsistent with Article 14(d) because it requires the use of delivered prices for benchmarking ... 2265
7.2.6.1 Main arguments of the parties ... 2265
7.2.6.2 Main arguments of the third parties ... 2266

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

7.2.6.3 Evaluation 2266
7.2.7 Conclusion 2268
7.3 Claims Regarding the Imposition of Countervailing Duties in Respect of Iron Ore Supplied by NMDC 2268
7.3.1 The treatment of NMDC as a public body: Article 1.1(a)(1) 2269
7.3.1.1 Relevant WTO provision 2269
7.3.1.2 Main arguments of the parties 2269
7.3.1.3 Main arguments of the third parties 2272
7.3.1.4 Evaluation 2274
7.3.2 USDOC's finding of de facto specificity 2281
7.3.2.1 Relevant WTO provision 2281
7.3.2.2 Main arguments of the parties 2282
7.3.2.3 Main arguments of the third parties 2289
7.3.2.4 Evaluation 2290
7.3.3 USDOC's determination of the existence and quantum of benefit: Article 14 2299
7.3.3.1 Relevant WTO provision 2300
7.3.3.2 USDOC's use of benchmark price comparisons to assess benefit to the recipient, without first considering the adequacy of the remuneration for NMDC 2300
7.3.3.3 USDOC's treatment of Tier I benchmarks 2301
7.3.3.4 Claims against the USDOC's use of "as delivered" price benchmarks 2308
7.3.3.5 The inconsistent treatment of NMDC export prices 2313
7.3.4 Conclusion 2315
7.4 The USDOC's Findings Regarding the Captive Mining of Iron Ore Programme and the Captive Mining of Coal Programme: Articles 1.1, 2, 12.5 and 14 of the SCM Agreement 2316
7.4.1 The existence of the Captive Mining of Iron Ore Programme (Article 12.5) 2317
7.4.1.1 Relevant WTO provision 2317
7.4.1.2 Main arguments of the parties 2317
7.4.1.3 Evaluation 2319
7.4.2 Specificity of the Captive Mining of Iron Ore Programme (Article 2.1) 2322

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

7.4.3 The provision of goods through the grant of mining rights ... 2323
7.4.3.1 Relevant WTO provision ... 2323
7.4.3.2 Main arguments of the parties ... 2323
7.4.3.3 Main arguments of the third parties ... 2326
7.4.3.4 Evaluation ... 2327
7.4.4 Whether Tata was provided captive coal mining rights by the GOI under the Coal Mines Nationalization Act/Captive Mining of Coal Programme ... 2330
7.4.4.1 Main arguments of the parties ... 2331
7.4.4.2 Evaluation ... 2331
7.4.5 Whether the USDOC properly determined that the Captive Mining of Coal Programme/Coal Mining Nationalization Act is de jure specific ... 2335
7.4.6 USDOC's determination of benefit regarding the iron ore and coal programmes ... 2335
7.4.6.1 India's claims against the USDOC's notional government price methodology ... 2335
7.4.6.2 India's claims against the Tier I and Tier II price benchmarks applied by the USDOC ... 2337
7.4.7 Conclusion ... 2338
7.5 Alleged Inconsistencies with Respect to the USDOC's Treatment of Loans Provided under the Steel Development Fund ... 2338
7.5.1 The USDOC's determination that direct transfers of funds were provided by a public body: Article 1.1(a)(1) ... 2338
7.5.1.1 Whether SDF loans are provided by a public body ... 2339
7.5.1.2 Whether the USDOC properly determined that SDF Managing Committee provided "direct" "transfers" of funds ... 2343
7.5.1.3 The USDOC's determination that SDF loans constitute potential direct transfers of funds ... 2348
7.5.2 Alleged inconsistencies with respect to the USDOC's determination of benefit ... 2349
7.5.2.1 Main arguments of the parties ... 2350
7.5.2.2 Evaluation ... 2351

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

7.5.3 Conclusion 2353
7.6 USITC's Injury Assessment 2353
7.6.1 Whether the SCM Agreement permits "cross-cumulation" in original investigations 2354
7.6.1.1 Relevant WTO provisions 2354
7.6.1.2 Factual background 2356
7.6.1.3 Main arguments of the parties 2357
7.6.1.4 Main arguments of the third parties 2362
7.6.1.5 Evaluation 2363
7.6.2 Whether Article 15 of the SCM Agreement applies to sunset reviews 2377
7.6.2.1 Relevant WTO provisions 2377
7.6.2.2 Factual background 2378
7.6.2.3 Main arguments of the parties 2379
7.6.2.4 Evaluation 2382
7.6.3 Whether certain economic factors were evaluated by the USITC in its injury determination 2385
7.6.3.1 Relevant WTO Provisions 2385
7.6.3.2 Main arguments of the parties 2385
7.6.3.3 Evaluation 2386
7.7 Whether the Use of "facts available" is Consistent with Article 12.7 of the SCM Agreement 2393
7.7.1 Relevant WTO provisions 2393
7.7.2 Factual background 2394
7.7.3 Main arguments of the parties 2396
7.7.3.1 India 2396
7.7.3.2 United States 2397
7.7.4 Main arguments of the third parties 2398
7.7.4.1 Canada 2398
7.7.4.2 China 2399
7.7.4.3 European Union 2400
7.7.4.4 Turkey 2401
7.7.5 Evaluation 2401
7.7.5.1 India's "as such" claims of inconsistency with Article 12.7 of the SCM Agreement 2401
7.7.5.2 India's "as applied" claims of inconsistency with Article 12.7 of the SCM Agreement 2407

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-14906-9 - Dispute Settlement Reports: 2014: Volume VI: Pages 2187-2652
Table of Contents
More information

7.8 Whether New Subsidy Allegations May Be Examined in Administrative Reviews ... 2424
7.8.1 Relevant WTO provisions ... 2424
7.8.2 Main arguments of the parties ... 2426
7.8.2.1 India ... 2426
7.8.2.2 United States ... 2427
7.8.3 Main arguments of the third parties ... 2428
7.8.3.1 Canada ... 2428
7.8.3.2 European Union ... 2428
7.8.4 Evaluation ... 2429
7.9 Alleged Inconsistencies With Respect to the USDOC's Public Notice: Article 22.5 ... 2433
7.9.1 Relevant WTO provision ... 2433
7.9.2 Main arguments of the parties ... 2434
7.9.2.1 India ... 2434
7.9.2.2 United States ... 2436
7.9.3 Evaluation ... 2438
7.9.3.1 The USDOC's explanation of its treatment of SDF levies ... 2442
7.9.3.2 In relation to the USDOC's rejection of certain in-country benchmarks when assessing benefit conferred by NMDC's sales of iron ore ... 2443
7.9.3.3 In relation to USDOC's determination that GOI granted captive coal mining rights to Tata ... 2444
7.9.3.4 In relation to the *de facto* specificity of the Captive Mining of Iron Ore Programme ... 2444
7.9.3.5 In relation to NMDC export prices ... 2444
7.10 Consequential Claims Under Articles 10, 19.3, 19.4, 32.1 and 32.5 of the SCM Agreement, Article VI of the GATT 1994 and Article XVI:4 of the WTO Agreement ... 2445
8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION ... 2445
8.1 Conclusions ... 2445
8.2 Recommendation ... 2450

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org