

INFORMATION POLITICS, PROTESTS, AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

We live in a highly complex and evolving world that requires a fuller and deeper understanding of how modern technological tools, ideas, practices, and institutions interact and how different societies adjust themselves to the emerging realities of the digital age. This book conveys such issues with a fresh perspective and in a systematic and coherent way. While many studies have explained in depth the change in the aftermath of the unrests and uprisings throughout the world, they rarely mentioned the need for constructing new human rights norms and standards. This edited collection provides a balanced conceptual framework to demonstrate not only the power of autonomous communication networks but also their limits and the increasing setbacks they encounter in different contexts.





INFORMATION POLITICS, PROTESTS, AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

MAHMOOD MONSHIPOURI

San Francisco State University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York NY 10013

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107140769

© Cambridge University Press 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Monshipouri, Mahmood, 1952– editor of compilation.
Title: Information politics, protests, and human rights in the digital age / edited by Mahmood Monshipouri.

Description: New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2016. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015037453 | ISBN 9781107140769 (Hardback) Subjects: LCSH: Information technology–Political aspects. | Human rights. |

Social media–Political aspects. | Political participation–Technological innovations. |
Protest movements. | Social movments. | BISAC: Political science /
Political Freedom & Security / Human Rights.

Classification: LCC HM851.I53174 2016 | DDC 323-dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015037453

ISBN 978-1-107-14076-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

Contributors vii Acknowledgments xii Foreword: reflections on protests and human rights in the digital world DAVID P. FORSYTHE

- Introduction: protests and human rights in context 1 MAHMOOD MONSHIPOURI
- Framing the digital impact: information society, activism, PART I and human rights
 - Social movements in the digital age 23

JACK J. BARRY

- What does human rights look like? The visual culture of aid, advocacy, and activism
 - JOEL R. PRUCE
- Activism, the Internet, and the struggle for human rights: youth movements in Tunisia and Egypt MAHMOOD MONSHIPOURI, JONATHON WHOOLEY, AND DINA A. IBRAHIM
- PART II Digital dissidence and grassroots politics
 - Grassroots sanctions: a new tool for domestic and transnational resistance for Palestine SHANE WESBROCK, MAHMOOD MONSHIPOURI, AND IESS GHANNAM
 - Social media, Kyiv's Euromaidan, and demands for sovereignty in Eastern Ukraine BRYON J. MORASKI



vi CONTENTS

7 Networks of protest in Latin America 151
JUANITA DARLING

PART III Network politics and social change 175

8 Iran's Green Movement, social media, and the exposure of human rights violations 177

ELHAM GHEYTANCHI

9 The politics of protest and repression in the digital age: Turkey during and after the Gezi Park protests 196 IHSAN DAGI

10 Social media and the transformation of Indian politics in the 2014 elections 221

SANJOY BANERJEE

Promises to keep: the Basic Law, the "Umbrella Movement," and democratic reform in Hong Kong 239

MICHAEL C. DAVIS

12 Conclusion: the quest for human rights in the digital age: how it has changed and the struggle ahead 267

MAHMOOD MONSHIPOURI AND SHADI MOKHTARI

Selected bibliography 294 Index 302



CONTRIBUTORS

SANJOY BANERJEE is Professor of International Relations at San Francisco State University and teaches courses on international relations theory and methodology as well as on South and Southeast Asia. His research interests include the same topics. He has publications in historical structural analysis, the role of national identity and narratives in foreign policy, artificial intelligence in international politics, and political economy. His articles have appeared in *International Studies Quarterly*, *European Journal of International Relations*, *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, and elsewhere. He received his PhD in Political Science from Yale University in 1982.

JACK J. BARRY recently received his PhD in Political Science from the University of Connecticut. His most recent publication include "A Digital Sublime or Divide?" in Anita Breuer and Yanina Welp, eds., Digital Technologies for Democratic Governance in Latin America: Opportunities and Risks (2014). His journal publications include: "Microfinance, the Market and Political Development in the Internet Age," Third World Quarterly, and "Democracy Promotion and ODA: A Comparative Analysis," Contemporary Politics. He has taught courses at various institutions of higher education including University of Connecticut, University of Rhode Island, and Trinity College (Hartford, Connecticut).

IHSAN DAGI is Professor of International Relations at the Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey. His publications have appeared in Journal of Democracy, Turkish Studies, Critique: Critical Middle Eastern Studies, Mediterranean Quarterly: Journal of Global Issues, Journal of Southern Europe, and Black Sea Studies, Middle Eastern Studies, and Perceptions: Journal of International Affairs. He is the author of Turkey between Militarism and Democracy (2009).



viii

CONTRIBUTORS

JUANITA DARLING is Associate Professor and Director of the Latin American Studies Minor at San Francisco State University. She leads the Latin America and Alternative Research Methods in International Relations seminars and teaches undergraduate classes in Latin American Policy, US–Central America Relations, International Relations Analysis and Application, and International Media Politics. She is active in the Latin American Studies Association, International Communications Association, Red de Historiadores de la Prensa y el Periodismo en Iberoamérica, and American Journalism Historians Association.

MICHAEL C. DAVIS, a professor in the Law Faculty at the University of Hong Kong, has held visiting chairs in human rights at Northwestern University and Notre Dame as well as the Schell Senior Fellowship in Human Rights at the Yale Law School. As a public intellectual, Professor Davis has contributed to the debate over constitutional reform and human rights in Hong Kong for over two decades. In the recent debate over political reform, Professor Davis has offered an open letter and a series of commentary in the press on various proposals and government reports relating to political reform. His work has highlighted the importance of democratic reform to Hong Kong's rule of law and promised autonomy.

DAVID P. FORSYTHE is Emeritus University Professor and Charles J. Mach Distinguished Professor of Political Science at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln. Mr. Forsythe has been a consultant to the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement, as well as to the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees. He has also served as President of the Human Rights Committee of the International Political Science Association, as Vice President of the International Studies Association, and as a member of the Committee on Scientific Freedom and Responsibility of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. He has published more than two dozen books, including *The Politics of Prisoner Abuse: The United States and Enemy Prisoners after* 9/11 (2011). He is currently a Senior Fulbright Chair in Denmark.

JESS GHANNAM is Clinical Professor of Psychiatry and Global Health Sciences at the University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, and Adjunct Professor of Ethnic Studies at San Francisco State University. He is a psychoanalyst and practices in San Francisco and the East Bay. Dr. Ghannam specializes in working with chronic illness,



CONTRIBUTORS

ix

including chronic pain and cancer. He also works and does research in the area of global health and post-traumatic stress disorder. He is also a founding member of the US Campaign for the Academic and Cultural Boycott of Israel (USACBI).

ELHAM GHEYTANCHI teaches sociology at Santa Monica College. Her research focuses on media, online activism, and civic engagement. Her scholarly articles, opinion columns, essays, and book reviews on the impact of digital media on women's rights movements in the Middle East and North Africa have appeared in academic journals as well as a host of online and print media. She has previously collaborated on programming for *To the Point*, a popular show on National Public Radio, as an associate producer.

DINA A. IBRAHIM is Associate Professor of Broadcast and Electronic Communication Arts at San Francisco State University and the Director of the California State University Entertainment Industry Initiative. She teaches broadcast news production and media performance. Her articles on the framing of Arab countries and Islam post-9/11 have appeared in the International Communication Gazette and the Journal of Arab & Muslim Research. She is the coauthor of Television and Radio Announcing (2013). Her research interests include the psychological impact of television news on audiences, analyzing American television representations of the Mideast, and the post–Arab Spring role of journalists in Egypt.

SHADI MOKHTARI is Assistant Professor in the School of International Service of American University. Her teaching and research focuses on human rights, Middle East politics, and political Islam. She is the author of *After Abu Ghraib: Exploring Human Rights in America and the Middle East* (2009), which was selected as the co-winner of the 2010 American Political Science Association Human Rights Section Best Book Award. From 2003 to 2013, she served as Editor in Chief of the *Muslim World Journal of Human Rights*. She holds an LLM and PhD from Osgoode Hall Law School, York University, and a JD from the University of Texas.

MAHMOOD MONSHIPOURI is Professor of International Relations at San Francisco State University. He is also a visiting professor at the University of California, Berkeley. He is author of *Democratic Uprisings in the New Middle East: Youth, Technology, Human Rights, and US Foreign*



X CONTRIBUTORS

Policy (2014), Terrorism, Security, and Human Rights: Harnessing the Rule of Law (2012), and editor of Human Rights in the Middle East: Frameworks, Goals, and Strategies (2011). His new edited volume is entitled Inside the Islamic Republic: Social Change in the Post-Khomeini Iran (forthcoming, 2016).

BRYON J. MORASKI is Associate Professor of Political Science at the University of Florida. His articles have appeared in *The American Journal of Political Science, Government and Opposition, The Journal of Politics, Party Politics*, and elsewhere. His current book manuscript (coauthored with Bill Reisinger at the University of Iowa) considers the links between federal elections and gubernatorial selection in Russia and their influence on the country's post-Soviet trajectory. While much of his work focuses on Russia, he has also conducted research in Armenia, Georgia, and Ukraine

JOEL R. PRUCE is Assistant Professor of Human Rights Studies at the University of Dayton. He recently served as a postdoctoral fellow in human rights studies and joined the faculty as an assistant professor in the fall of 2014. His publications have appeared in Human Rights & Human Welfare, Journal of Human Rights, and Millennium: Journal of International Studies. He has contributed several book chapters, including "Spectacle of Suffering and Humanitarian Intervention in Somalia" in Media, Mobilization, and Human Rights: Mediating Atrocity, edited by Tristan Anne Borer (2012), and "Constituencies of Compassion: The Politics of Human Rights and Consumerism" in Uses and Misuses of Human Rights, edited by George Andreopoulos and Zehra K. Arat (2014).

SHANE EDWIN WESBROCK has been involved in social justice activism for many years, most recently conducting research for If Americans Knew, an NGO concerned with the special relationship between the United States and Israel. In March 2014, he also participated in organizing the National Summit to Reassess the US–Israel Special Relationship. He has closely studied the relations between the US, Israel, and the Palestinian territories since living in Israel and working with Palestinians in the West Bank in the 1990s. Currently, he is a graduate student in the Department of International Relations at San Francisco State University and serves as research assistant and teaching assistant in courses such as International Political Economy.



CONTRIBUTORS

хi

JONATHON WHOOLEY, PhD, is an adjunct lecturer at the University of San Francisco and San Francisco State University, where he teaches human rights, strategy and war, American foreign policy, gender, and global politics. His research interests include the historical construction of American foreign policy related to the Middle East, identity, gender, human rights, and conflict. His articles have appeared in the *Journal of Women, Politics, and Policy* and the *International Studies Journal*. He has also coauthored book chapters with Laura Sjoberg in *The Arab Spring and Arab Thaw: Unfinished Revolutions and the Quest for Democracy* (2013), and with Mahmood Monshipouri in *Human Rights in the Middle East: Frameworks, Goals, and Strategies* (2011).



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Since the 2011 uprisings in the Middle East and North Africa, I have worked on the idea of editing a book on the emancipatory potential of the digital media as well as its limits, even as I have struggled to keep my optimism concerning the empowering tools that social media and new technologies have offered us. I have also incurred enormous intellectual debts. Let me start by acknowledging how much I have learned by putting this volume together and how much I have benefited from the contributors to this volume and their unique perspectives and experiences regarding this globe-spanning subject matter. I could not have assembled a better team of supremely competent, knowledgeable, and able teachers and scholars in the field with whom to work.

I would also like to extend special words of thanks to Professor David P. Forsythe, who graciously consented to write the Foreword to this volume. Throughout the past four decades, Professor Forsythe has been an inspiration not only to his students and colleagues, but equally to the human rights community at large. The comments made on the final revisions of the conclusion by my extraordinary colleague Professor Juanita Darling were truly invaluable. Equally important was Professor Shadi Mokhtari's participation in finalizing this project. Her comments throughout this project considerably boosted the quality of this volume.

Finally, I give a special thanks to my former student, now a PhD holder at the University of Florida and adjunct professor at the University of San Francisco as well as San Francisco State University, Jonathon Whooley, for sharing his sharp intellectual insights and offering generous assistance along the way, without which this project would not have moved along smoothly. I owe an especially deep intellectual debt to John Berger, Senior Editor at Cambridge University Press, and Ezhillmaran Sugumaran, Senior Project Manager at Cambridge University Press, whose enduring feedback and systematic communication helped me to improve this project. Most of all, I offer gratitude to several anonymous reviewers for providing eminently helpful suggestions and comments by raising relevant and substantive questions.



FOREWORD

Reflections on protests and human rights in the digital world

It seems clear that all inventions carry within themselves the potential for positive or negative developments. The telephone can be used to call for help in an emergency, and it can be used by commercial enterprises to invade your privacy and try to get you to buy something you don't want and don't need. Television can be used to spread high culture as well as important news, and it can be used to disseminate cultural garbage as well as falsehoods. Airplanes can be used for family reunions and relaxation or turned into weapons to attack civilians and to make a political point. So it is with the digital world and computerized electronics.

There is no doubt, as the following pages make clear, that computerized social media can be a great asset to those who are politically active and seek to mobilize in order to advance human rights and democracy. Examples are abundant – from Turkey to Hong Kong and from Egypt to Ukraine. But threatened elites are not oblivious to developments, and they take steps to control and coerce in defense of their power, as this book also shows. One could use the very same examples to demonstrate elite efforts to block Internet usage and/or spy on progressive activists.

Other negative examples of contemporary digital politics can and should be mentioned. The Islamic State (ISIS or ISIL) uses slick propaganda through electronic journals on the Internet to recruit alienated and atomized individuals from around the world to fight in its campaign of total war in a woefully misguided effort to create a multinational Islamic caliphate. This bloody movement, abjuring all human rights and humanitarian limitations, has been successful in its digital reach. It has found ways to appeal to those who feel marginalized and disinherited, despite its policies of murder, rape, extortion, and so on in a litany of true atrocities. Since ISIS is supported by no government anywhere, its ability to recruit adherents is crucial to its continued existence and success,

xiii



xiv foreword

along with other factors such as its effective hard power and ability to raise money through capturing banks, levying "taxes" on road and water use, and obtaining ransom payments for hostages. But the Internet remains a crucial playing field for ISIS.

Unfortunately, the misuse of electronics is not limited to ISIS, al-Qaeda, and other armed nonstate actors. The US government, supposedly democratic, committed to human rights, and endorsing the rule of law, has collected massive data on its citizens and others who have no links to terrorism or other legal violations. At Guantanamo Bay, military authorities, having promised the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) confidential interviews with detainees, almost certainly listened in by electronic means when prisoners described their abusive interrogations. Some prisoners were sanctioned for what they said, with the result that other prisoners refused to talk to ICRC delegates. It seems highly probable that this pattern of electronic violation of confidentiality is not limited to the United States.

There is no doubt that cyber war will be a feature of any future armed conflict between or among technologically sophisticated fighting parties. Already, various states use electronic means not only to spy on each other but also to hack into various databases and computer systems in order to steal information, perhaps for potential blackmail or worse. In the future, it is almost certain that a sophisticated belligerent will try to disrupt an enemy's banking system, and/or electrical grid, and/or water supply by electronic means. There will be damage to civilians and civilian structures, not just to combatants and their weapons. Various weapons systems, themselves highly computerized, will be attacked by electronic means. Already, if press reports can be believed, the Americans and Israelis tried to destroy Iranian energy-related systems with a computer virus. If one destroys a weapons-related system by electronic means rather than by kinetic (explosive) means, does that constitute an armed attack?

Second, in all these examples and many others that could be cited, as this fine book makes clear, digital politics is not so much the new controlling feature of political struggles as it is a new dimension to old and pervasive features. The Internet and computerized connections provide something new that was not there about twenty-five years ago. But rather than something completely sui generis and all controlling, digital space provides a new extension of controversies we have already faced.

There was already a search for information by governments hyperconcerned about security and stability versus a claimed right to privacy



FOREWORD XV

by citizens fearing an overreaching public authority. There was already hijacking of airplanes by those trying to find a way to publicize their cause, even before al-Qaeda-central and its various franchises took airplane suicide bombings to a new level. There was already humint (human intelligence) or human spying before electronic eavesdropping. There was already governmental disruption of peaceful protests before electronic tracking and blocking. There were already court cases about governmental searches and seizures before the issue arose of electronic searches and storing of presumably private information.

This book does a good job of reminding readers that digital developments, whether positive or negative, have to be placed in political context. NATO takes satellite photos and collects other evidence by electronic means, showing Russian military involvement in Eastern Ukraine. Yet as long as the Putin regime is in search of respect from NATO powers and determined to build a non-Western *cordon sanitaire* in its near abroad, it will continue with its deceptions and intervention. The existence of NATO evidence, collected in sophisticated ways, is only one factor in the political big picture.

Likewise, one can observe and protest against China's censoring of Internet sites via the Great Firewall. But as long as Chinese elites are preoccupied with that country's past instability, and as long as they view human rights norms as something imported from the West and dangerous to future stability, it is unlikely that China will soon prioritize individual freedom of thought, speech, and assembly. The 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights may speak about an individual right to seek and receive information across borders, but those words are likely to have little impact on a Chinese ruling elite consumed by fears of loss of control (especially after Gorbachev tried to expand civil freedoms in the old Soviet Union but wound up presiding over the implosion of that country).

Various persons and groups in Syria use their cell phone cameras to send pictures to the rest of the world about that humanitarian disaster. But as long as the United States is war weary after long involvements in Afghanistan and Iraq, and as long as European military powers are less interested in action there as compared with Libya in 2011, and as long as Russia and Iran (and Hezbollah) continue to back the Assad regime, those computerized photos, while important, are not likely to push outside powers into decisive intervention to end the atrocities. The broader context must indeed be understood for a proper understanding of digital developments.



xvi FOREWORD

Third, it seems clear enough that persons will differ in their approach to the proper regulation of digital politics. Disagreement seems the pervasive human condition. It has been noted in the following pages that certain UN circles have pushed for the idea of a human right to Internet access. Others have expressed opposition, however, because such a right would be dependent on an antecedent right – not mentioned in international documents – to adequate electrical energy. And at the moment, this antecedent right would itself be dependent on more burning of fossil fuels, which is not easily compatible with an asserted right to a safe and healthy environment. Even the discourse about human rights and Internet access leads to varying views. While the more affluent among us debate the Internet and rights, most of the world's population continues to scratch out a subsistence living without adequate food, shelter, and health care.

One might also note that in 2014 the European Court of Justice, part of the European Union, declared a right to be forgotten as a dimension of an individual's right to privacy. In the Costeja case, the Court affirmed that a Spanish citizen was within his legal rights to demand that Google remove his name from the Internet in conjunction with a property foreclosure case. Since the financial matter had been resolved, the Court held that Internet information should not be posted ad infinitum, which otherwise constituted a negative stigma for individuals. Other courts in other jurisdictions, however, had reached different conclusions about a right to personal privacy versus the public's right to know various facts.

All sorts of digitized electronic developments led down the road of inherent and inescapable debate about proper public policy, whether the subject was drone strikes, cyber war, automated or automatic weapons, laser weapons, and so on. Needless to say, the views of ICRC lawyers were not often the same as Pentagon lawyers as to the proper international law on these matters. If we take the issue of international drone strikes, as for now we do not even have full access to still-classified information about the process. It will be some time before we are in a position to evaluate the use of weaponized unmanned aerial aircrafts (UAVs). Perhaps when numerous powers possess this capability to kill from half a planet away via UAVs, reciprocity will propel us to agreement on regulation. In the meantime, we continue to debate.

As a final observation, one might be excused for thinking that we might be headed for an Orwellian world in which "Big Brother" is always watching and listening and perhaps photographing on the basis of digital developments. Yet the various court cases here and there, such as *Costeja*,



FOREWORD XVII

the fact of democracy demonstrations in places like Hong Kong, the outcome of elections in Turkey, the evolution of politics in Tunisia, and other factors serve to remind us of broad and continuing concern for individual human rights. There are courageous people, organizations, and social movements across various nations determined not to let science lead us into that Orwellian world. What is clear is that, as before, the protection of human rights will occur to the extent that people of good will are prepared to struggle for that rights-based outcome. Some elites pushed back against a US policy of torture after 9/11 (e.g., John McCain, Diane Feinstein). They were joined by various organizations (e.g., the American Civil Liberties Union), journalists (e.g., Jane Mayer of the *New Yorker*), and others. Without doubt, various persons will contest to the misuse of digital developments. Human rights principles will be of great use in that struggle. The outcome is not certain. But that has always been the case with the struggle for human rights.

David P. Forsythe Lincoln, NE