

Contents

| | |
|--|----------------|
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> | <i>page xi</i> |
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1 The rule of law: a basic account | 7 |
| I Opening technicalities | 8 |
| II The weak version of the rule of law in two principles | 12 |
| A Regularity | 12 |
| B Publicity | 15 |
| III Vertical equality | 18 |
| A Respect and hubris | 19 |
| B Terror | 20 |
| C Normative robustness | 22 |
| IV Closing technicalities | 24 |
| 2 The strong version of the rule of law | 28 |
| I Generality and the idea of a relevant distinction | 29 |
| A Many conceptions of generality | 29 |
| B Against the formal conception of generality | 29 |
| C Public reason as relevance criterion | 33 |
| II How to apply the public reason conception of generality | 33 |
| A Public reason: expressive | 33 |
| B Finding the expressive content of a law | 35 |
| 1 Reasons and meanings | 35 |
| 2 Proof of concept | 38 |
| III Generality as egalitarian principle | 40 |
| 3 Generality and hierarchy | 42 |
| I The literacy tests: a model of nongeneral law | 42 |
| II The rule of law and social facts | 45 |
| A The disjunctive character of rule of law commands | 45 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| III | The rule of law and the criminalization of poverty | 45 |
| A | The rule of law critique of economic injustice | 47 |
| IV | Is this still the rule of law? | 48 |
| V | Private power and ordinary citizens | 51 |
| A | Does the rule of law require ordinary citizens to obey the law? | 51 |
| B | The Jim Crow challenge | 53 |
| 4 | Egalitarian liberty and reciprocity in strategic context | 58 |
| I | The rule of law as a technology of constraint | 59 |
| II | Some arguments for the liberty thesis | 62 |
| A | The incentives argument | 62 |
| B | The chilling effects argument | 63 |
| 1 | The problem of complexity | 66 |
| C | The planning argument | 68 |
| D | Neorepublican liberty | 70 |
| E | Democratic liberty | 73 |
| III | Libertarian equality | 74 |
| 5 | Isonomia: The dawn of legal equality | 78 |
| I | How was the rule of law implemented in Athens? | 79 |
| A | An overview of the Athenian legal system | 79 |
| B | The rule of law and the oligarchy | 80 |
| C | The Athenian rule of law | 80 |
| 1 | Regularity | 81 |
| 2 | Publicity | 83 |
| 3 | Generality | 84 |
| II | Equality and the Athenian rule of law | 85 |
| A | A catalog of Athenian evidence | 86 |
| 1 | Forensic evidence for the Athenian equality thesis | 86 |
| 2 | Evidence from poets, philosophers, and historians | 89 |
| III | But is the rule of law really consistent with egalitarian democracy? | 91 |
| A | The conceptual objection: constitutionalism as the rule of law | 91 |
| B | The practical objection: arbitrary democracy and the trial of the generals | 93 |
| C | The problem of informality | 94 |
| IV | Law contra oligarchy | 95 |
| V | Appendix: A brief time line of the late-fifth-century Athenian upheavals | 95 |
| 6 | The logic of coordination | 97 |
| I | The strength <i>topos</i> and the amnesty | 97 |
| A | The struggle between oligarchs and democrats, an overview | 98 |
| B | The puzzle of the amnesty | 99 |

| <i>Contents</i> | | ix |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| | C Did the Athenians learn from experience? | 103 |
| | D The problems of commitment: disagreement and temptation | 105 |
| | E Athens as a case of transitional justice | 108 |
| | II Formalizing and generalizing Athens | 109 |
| | A The model | 112 |
| | 1 Proof | 117 |
| | 2 Analysis | 117 |
| 7 | Parliament, Crown, and the rule of law in Britain | 120 |
| | I The British rule of law: illusory? | 121 |
| | A Hobbesian sovereignty and the absolute-power coalition | 123 |
| | B Constraint, coordination, custom, and the constitution | 124 |
| | C A historical precedent: customary manorial courts | 128 |
| | II The rule of law and equal status in seventeenth-century England | 129 |
| | A Magna Carta as egalitarian text | 130 |
| | B The parliamentary debates of 1628 | 134 |
| | 1 Villeins and status | 136 |
| | 2 Dishonor, fear, and contempt | 137 |
| | 3 Political liberty and coordination | 139 |
| | 4 Reviewing the evidence | 140 |
| | III Civic trust and the British rule of law in later years | 141 |
| 8 | The logic of commitment | 143 |
| | I The rule of law's teleology of equality? | 145 |
| | A Commitment, full generality, and the internal point of view | 151 |
| | II Commitment and institutions | 154 |
| | A Democracy and the rule of law | 158 |
| | III Diversity, generality, and democracy | 160 |
| | IV Simulating legal stability | 161 |
| 9 | The role of development professionals: measurement and promotion | 168 |
| | I Rule of law development | 168 |
| | A Persuasive commitment-building | 171 |
| | B Generality development | 172 |
| | C Radical localism | 172 |
| | 1 Locally driven project design | 175 |
| | II Studying the rule of law: new empirical directions | 176 |
| | A The new measure: methods | 179 |
| | 1 Structure and scaling | 179 |
| | 2 Item selection and scale-fitting | 181 |
| | B Limitations | 183 |
| | C Behavior of the measure | 183 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| III Appendix: Scores and states | 184 |
| A Rule of law scores | 185 |
| B The rule of law and other measures of political well-being | 186 |
| Conclusion: a commitment to equality begins at home | 189 |
| <i>Notes</i> | 197 |
| <i>References</i> | 249 |
| <i>Index</i> | 271 |