

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12764-7 - Clarity of Responsibility, Accountability, and Corruption

Leslie A. Schwindt-Bayer and Margit Tavits

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- Accountability
 clarity of responsibility and, 17, 29–31, 149
 for corruption, 92–106
 corruption voting and, 29–31, 149
 electoral, 4, 24–5, 28, 149, 150–2
 executive vote and, 83
 government vote and, 83
 horizontal, 152
 measures of, 58–63
 in parliamentary systems, 20
 in presidential systems, 20, 28, 63–6
 vertical, 152
 for voters and elites, 152–4
 weak opposition and, 21
- Address-based sampling (ABS), 93
- Afrobarometer, 42
- Aggregate corruption, measuring, 42–6
- Albania
 anticorruption program in, 109
 corruption level in, 61
 corruption voting in, 80
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 omnibus index, 113
 perception of corruption in, 70
- Americas Barometer, 42
- Anticorruption legislation, 110–11
 and clarity of responsibility, 117–19, 121*f*,
 122*f*, 122*t*, 173*t*
 countries and years for global dataset, 171*t*
 descriptive statistics for, 173*t*
 global patterns in, 116–23
 measuring, 117–19
- Anticorruption programs
 anticorruption legislation, 110–11
 categories of, 110–11
 and clarity of responsibility, 109–10
 in Eastern Europe, 109–10
 measuring, 110–11
 omnibus reform programs, 110
 sub-indexes, 111, 171*t*
- Anti-money laundering law, 110–11
- Argentina
 anticorruption legislation in, 117
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138,
 140
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 perception of corruption in, 84
- Asia Barometer, 42
- Australia
 clarity of responsibility in, 56
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Austria
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Bangladesh
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Belgium
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Benin, CPI score of, 3*t*

- Between-subjects design, 96–7
- Bicameral opposition, 18, 22
- Bolivia
- antigovernment protests in, 31
 - CPI score of, 3*t*
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Botswana
- CPI score of, 3*t*
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Brazil
- corruption in, 6–7
 - CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 - re-election rates in, 30
- Bribes, 5
- Bulgaria
- anticorruption program in, 109
 - corruption in, 7
 - corruption voting in, 80
 - CPI score of, 3*t*
 - high clarity of responsibility in, 115
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 - manifesto on corruption in, 130
 - omnibus index, 113
- Cabinet duration, 59, 62
- Canada
- clarity of responsibility in, 60
 - CPI score of, 3*t*
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 - single-party majority government in, 49
- Categorical variables, frequencies for, 157*t*
- Catholicism, 10
- Chile, 10
- CPI score of, 3*t*
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
- Civic Democratic Party (Hungary), 132–7
- Civil service law, 110–11
- Clarity of responsibility, 12, 17–33, 148–9
- and aggregate corruption perceptions, 47–67
 - control variables, 50–1
 - variables, 47–8
 - and anticorruption legislation, 117–19, 120*t*, 173*t*
 - and anticorruption reform indexes, 171*t*
 - application of, 23–4
 - argument for, 24–8
 - concept of, 17–24
 - and conventions index, 114*f*
 - and corruption, 149
 - blaming for, 104*f*, 170*t*
 - as elites' campaign issue, 125–37
 - imputed data, 159*t*
 - levels of, 28–9
 - models, ISSP dataset, 88*t*
 - in parliamentary systems, 161*t*
 - in pure parliamentary and pure presidential systems, 160*t*
 - without lagged dependent variable and region dummies, 160*t*
 - and corruption voting, 29–31, 149
 - CSES data, 76*t*, 69–82
 - effect on, 103*f*
 - ISSP data, 82–91
 - regression analysis, 170*t*
 - definition of, 17, 148
 - economic voting and, 12
 - and elites
 - attitudes toward corruption, 107–24, 137
 - incentives to combat corruption, 108–9
 - perception of corruption, 144*t*, 176*t*
 - response to corruption voting, 31–2, 149
- future inquiry, 154–6
- and governing parties, 132–7
 - high vs. low, 12–13
 - hypotheses, 28–32
 - identifiability and, 23
 - incorruptibility and, 142*f*, 142*t*
 - index, 60, 61
 - indicators of
 - bicameral opposition, 22
 - duration of cabinets, 20–1
 - government versus opposition patterns of political competition, 21–2
 - number of political parties, 21
 - party cohesiveness, 22
 - primacy of majority government, 18–20
 - and intensity of anticorruption index, 116*f*, 115–16
- and legal index, 113, 114*f*
- majority government in, 23
- measures of, 13, 48–50, 58–63, 110–11
- cabinet duration, 59, 62
 - clarity of responsibility index, 60, 61
 - few parties, 59, 62
 - majority status, 59, 62
 - majority status of government variable, 48–9, 51–3
 - opposition influence, 60, 62

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12764-7 - Clarity of Responsibility, Accountability, and Corruption

Leslie A. Schwindt-Bayer and Margit Tavits

Index

[More information](#)

Index

193

- moderating role of, 74*f*, 87–91
- and omnibus index, 112*f*
- overview, 1–2
- policy implications of, 152–4
- power of, 148–9
- in presidential systems, 66*f*, 63–6
- reduction of corruption in, 24–5
- scholarly implications of, 150–2
- votes for incumbent, effect on, 99*f*, 100*t*, 169*t*
- Clientelism, 7–8
- Coalition government, 19
 - clarity of responsibility and, 27–8, 48
 - corruption and, 78
 - corruption voting and, 78–9
- Colombia
 - CPI score of, 3*t*
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Comparative Manifesto Project (CMP), 128–9
- Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES), 4, 42, 68
 - clarity of responsibility in, 69–82
 - corruption voting in, 69–82
 - corruption voting models
 - with fixed effects, 165*t*
 - with ideological proximity and government performance control variables, 166*t*
 - descriptive statistics for categorical variable, 164*t*
 - descriptive statistics for continuous variable, 164*t*
 - effect of corruption on vote choice, 167*f*
- Consensual democracy, 35
- Continuous variables, descriptive statistics for, 157*t*
- Control of corruption index, 44
- Conventions index, 111, 115
- Corruption, 129–30
 - accountability and, 92–106
 - clarity of responsibility and levels of, 28–9, 52*t*, 57*f*
 - long-term effects, 58*f*
 - clarity of responsibility theory of. *See* clarity of responsibility
 - cultural explanations for, 9–11
 - dataset of democracy and, 34–46
 - definition of, 4–9
 - democracy and, 9–10
 - in democratic countries, 1–2
 - economic costs of, 8
 - as elites' campaign issue, 125–37
 - emphasis on, 128, 130, 131*f*, 133–6, 174*t*, 175*t*
 - evils of, 8
 - frequency of, 129–32
 - government inefficiencies and, 8
 - grand, 5
 - importance of, 140
 - institutional explanations of, 11–12
 - perceptions of, 5–6
 - petty, 5
 - polarization of, 130, 132*f*
 - political cost of, 8–9
 - political explanation of, 9–11
 - politicization of, 129, 130, 136, 174*t*, 175*t*
 - reduction of, with clarity of responsibility, 24–5
 - social cost of, 8
 - socioeconomic explanation of, 9–11
 - types of, 5
 - and vote choice, 167*f*, 168*f*
 - who voters blame for, 103–5
- Corruption perception
 - clarity of responsibility and, 47–67
 - measuring, 42–6
 - international organizations, 43–4
 - public opinion surveys, 42–3
- Corruption Perception Index (CPI), 1, 3*t*, 34, 44, 158–9
- Corruption voting, 29–31, 68–91
 - clarity of responsibility and, 149
 - Comparative Study of Electoral Systems dataset, 74*t*, 69–75, 82
 - elite response to, 31–2, 149
 - executive vote and, 69–70
 - government vote and, 69–70
 - International Social Survey Programme dataset, 84*f*, 86*t*, 82–7, 91
 - models
 - with fixed effects, 165*t*
 - with ideological proximity and government performance control variables, 166*t*
 - predicted probabilities of, ISSP dataset, 86*f*
 - voters' admission of, 102–3
- Costa Rica
 - clarity of responsibility in, 56
 - CPI score of, 3*t*
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12764-7 - Clarity of Responsibility, Accountability, and Corruption

Leslie A. Schwindt-Bayer and Margit Tavits

Index

[More information](#)

194

Index

- Council of Europe Civil Law Convention on Corruption, 111
- Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime, 111
- Council of Europe Criminal Law Convention on Corruption, 111
- CPI. *See* Corruption Perception Index
- Croatia
 anticorruption program in, 109
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 omnibus index, 113
- CSES. *See* Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
- Cyprus
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Czech Republic, 11, 132–7
 anticorruption program in, 109
 corruption level in, 61
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 omnibus index, 113
- Czechoslovakia, 129–30
 manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Decentralization, 22
- Deliberative democracy, 35
- democracy, 9–10
 classification of, 37–40
 dataset of corruption and, 34–46
 deliberative, 35
 egalitarian, 35
 electoral, 35
 liberal, 35
 majoritarian, 35
 measuring, 35–7
- Democratic countries
 corruption level in, 1–2
 dataset of, 37
 ICRG corruption scores in, 45*t*
 two- and three-way classification of, 38*t*
- Democratic development, 50, 53, 119–20
- Democratic Renewal Party (Portugal), 130
- Denmark
 coalition government in, 49
 CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 perception of corruption in, 83
- Descriptive statistics, 157*t*
 for anticorruption legislation, 173*t*
 for attitudes toward corruption, 175*t*
 for categorical variables, 164*t*, 165*t*
 for continuous variables, 164*t*
 for demographic and political control variables, 168*t*
 for party attention to corruption in campaigns, 173*t*
- Dominican Republic
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Eastern Europe
 anticorruption programs in, 109–10
 manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Eastern Orthodoxy, 10
- Economic development, 10, 50, 53, 119–20
- Economic voting, 12, 17
- Ecuador
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- effective number of parliamentary parties (ENPP), 59
- egalitarian democracy, 35
- El Salvador
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Electoral democracy, 35
- Electoral Studies*, 129
- Electoral volatility, 22
- elites, 125–47
 attention to corruption, 125–47, 149–50
 frequency of corruption, 129–32
 measurement of, 128–9
 attitudes toward corruption, 137–46
 clarity of responsibility and, 24–5, 107–24
 attitudes toward corruption, 107–24, 137
 incentives to combat corruption, 108–9
 perception of corruption, 144*t*, 176*t*
 response to corruption voting, 31–2, 149
 corruption as a campaign issue for, 125–37, 149–50
 engaging in corruption, 25–7
 incentives to combat corruption, 108–9
 incentives to emphasize corruption in their election campaigns, 125–37
 individual, 25

Index

195

- party, 25
 - response to corruption voting, 31–2
- Élites Parlamentarias de América Latina* (PELA), 137
- Estonia
 - anticorruption program in, 109
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
 - omnibus index, 113
- Eurobarometer, 42
- European Journal of Political Research*, 129
- Executive vote, 69–70, 75, 79, 81, 83, 85–9, 166f
- Federalism, 22, 50, 53
- Financial disclosure law, 110–11
- Finland
 - coalition government in, 49
 - CPI score of, 1, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
 - perception of corruption in, 83
- France
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Freedom House measures, 36
- Freedom of information law, 110–11
- Freedom Party of Austria, 130
- Germany
 - coalition government in, 49
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Ghana
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Global Integrity Report, 116
- Governing parties, 132–7. *See also* political parties
 - effect on the seriousness of corruption as a problem, 144f
 - and elite perception of corruption, 144t
- Government
 - coalition, 19
 - clarity of responsibility and, 27–8, 48
 - corruption and, 78
 - corruption voting and, 78–9
 - majority
 - corruption and, 78
 - corruption voting and, 78–9
 - in presidential system, 19
 - primacy of, 18–20
 - single-party, 23
 - minority, 18
 - clarity of responsibility and, 48
 - corruption voting and, 78–9
 - in parliamentary system, 18–19
 - parliamentary system of. *See* parliamentary systems
 - presidential system of. *See* presidential systems
- Government vote, 69–70, 74f, 75, 81, 83, 85–9
 - effects of corruption on, 89f
- Grand corruption, 5
- Greece
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Guatemala
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Guyana
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Honduras
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Horizontal accountability, 152
- Hungary, 11
 - anticorruption program in, 109
 - corruption level in, 61
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
 - omnibus index, 113
- Hypothetical bias, 95
- Iceland
 - coalition government in, 49
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
 - manifesto on corruption in, 130
- ICRG. *See* International Country Risk Guide
- Identifiability, 19–20, 23
- Inclusive committee structures, 18
- Incorruptibility, 140–6
- India
 - anticorruption legislation in, 117
 - CPI score of, 3t
 - ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Information hypothesis, 30

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12764-7 - Clarity of Responsibility, Accountability, and Corruption

Leslie A. Schwindt-Bayer and Margit Tavits

Index

[More information](#)

196

Index

- Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI), 9
- Intensity of anticorruption index, 111, 116f, 115–16
- International Country Risk Guide (ICRG), 34, 43, 45t, 44–6, 55f, 54–5
- International Social Survey Program (ISSP), 4, 42, 68
- clarity and corruption voting in, 82–91
- clarity of responsibility and, 87–91
- descriptive statistics for categorical variables, 165t
- descriptive statistics for continuous variables, 164t
- effects of corruption on vote choice, 168f
- vote choice questions, 163
- Ireland
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Islam, 10
- Israel
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Italian Social Movement, 130
- Italy
- anticorruption legislation in, 117
- corruption level in, 54
- CPI score of, 3t, 10
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Jamaica
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Japan
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Kaczyński, Jaroslaw, 6
- Kalvitis, Aigars, 80
- Knowledge Networks, 93
- Kostov, Ivan, 80
- Lagged dependent variable, 51
- Latin America, 30
- Latin Barometer, 42
- Latvia
- anticorruption program in, 109
- clarity of responsibility in, 60
- corruption voting in, 30, 80–1
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Legal index, 111, 115
- Liberal democracy, 35
- Lithuania
- anticorruption program in, 109
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- omnibus index, 113
- Low party cohesiveness, 18
- Lula da Silva, Luis Ignacio, 6–7
- Luxemburg, manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Macedonia, CPI score of, 3t
- Machine politics. *See* clientelism
- Majoritarian democracy, 35
- Majority government
- corruption and, 78
- corruption voting and, 78–9
- in presidential system, 19
- primacy of, 18–20
- single-party, 23
- Majority status, 48–9, 51–3, 59, 62, 65
- Malawi
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Malaysia
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Mali
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Mexico
- corruption in, 9–10
- corruption voting in, 70
- CPI score of, 3t, 9
- elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- perception of corruption in, 70
- Miller, Leszek, 6
- Minority government, 18
- clarity of responsibility and, 48
- corruption voting and, 78–9
- in parliamentary system, 18–19
- Mongolia
- corruption level in, 54
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Mozambique
- CPI score of, 3t
- ICRG corruption score of, 45t
- Multilevel model (MLM), 51
- Multiparty systems, 21
- opposition in, 21

Index

197

- Namibia
 corruption level in, 54
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- National Action Party (PAN), 9–14
- The Netherlands
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 perception of corruption in, 83
- New Zealand
 clarity of responsibility in, 55–6
 CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 perception of corruption in, 83
 single-party majority government in, 49
- Nicaragua
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Norway
 coalition government in, 49
 corruption level in, 61
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 perception of corruption in, 83
- OECD Anti-bribery Convention, 111
- Omnibus index, 111, 112–13
- Omnibus reform programs, 110
- Opposition
 bicameral, 22
 clarity of responsibility and, 21–2
- Opposition influence, 60, 62
- Panama
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Papua New Guinea
 corruption and minority government in, 49
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Paraguay
 CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Parliamentary systems. *See also* presidential systems
 accountability in, 20
 clarity of responsibility and, 27–8
 coalition government in, 19, 27–8
 majority government in, 18–19
 measuring, 37–42
 minority government in, 18–19
 vs. presidential system, 40
 vs. semipresidential system, 40
 single-party majority government in, 18–19, 27–8
- Participatory committee structures, 18
- Participatory democracy, 35
- Party cohesiveness, 22
- Perceptions of corruption, 5–6, 70–2
 across countries, 71*f*, 84*f*
- Personalization, 64
- Peru
 corruption voting in, 70
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138, 140
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 perception of corruption in, 70
- Petty corruption, 5
- Philippines
 anticorruption legislation in, 117
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
- Poland, 11
 anticorruption program in, 109
 clarity of responsibility in, 60
 corruption in, 6
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 omnibus index, 113
 perception of corruption in, 84
- Political parties, 21
 attention to corruption in campaigns, 128–9
 frequency of corruption, 129–32
 manifesto on corruption, 129–30
 size of, 22
- Political party financing law, 110–11
- Political Risk Services Group (PRS), 43
- Politicians, 83
- Politicization of corruption, 129, 130, 136, 174*t*, 175*t*
- Polity measure, 36
- Portugal
 clarity of responsibility in, 60
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Presidential power, 64, 65

- presidential systems, 28. *See also*
 parliamentary systems
 accountability in, 20, 28, 63–6
 alternative models for, 162*t*
 clarity of responsibility in, 20, 66*f*, 63–6
 electoral cycle in, 63
 legislative electoral rules in, 64
 majority government in, 19
 measuring, 37–42
 vs. parliamentary system, 40
 presidential power in, 64
 vs. semipresidential system, 40
 separation of powers in, 19–20
 Protestantism, 10, 50, 53, 55
 public opinion surveys, 42–3
 public procurement law, 110–11

 Region dummies, 51
 Religion, 10–11
 Republican Party of Czechoslovakia, 130
 Robustness tests, 158–9
 Romania
 anticorruption legislation in, 117
 anticorruption program in, 109
 corruption in, 7
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*

 Semipresidential systems, 40
 Single-party majority government, 23
 clarity of responsibility and, 27–8
 corruption and, 78
 Slovakia, Republic of, perception of corruption
 in, 84
 Slovakia
 anticorruption program in, 109
 corruption level in, 54
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 omnibus index, 113
 Slovenia, 11
 anticorruption program in, 109
 corruption level in, 54
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 omnibus index, 113
 South Africa
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 South Korea
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*

 Spain
 clarity of responsibility in, 48–9
 CPI score of, 3*t*, 10
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 Sri Lanka
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 Stability Pact Anticorruption Initiative, 111
 Sub-indexes, 111
 Sweden
 coalition government in, 49
 CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 Switzerland
 corruption level in, 61
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*

 Thailand
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 The American Panel Survey (TAPS), 93, 97
 Transparency International, 1, 44
 Trinidad and Tobago
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 Turkey
 corruption level in, 61
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 two-party system, opposition in, 21

 Ukraine
 anticorruption program in, 109
 corruption and minority government in, 49
 CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 low clarity of responsibility in, 115
 omnibus index, 113
 protests in, 8
 Union of Liberal and Leftists (Iceland), 130
 United Kingdom
 clarity of responsibility in, 60
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 United States
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 Uruguay, 10
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*

Index

199

- Varieties of Democracy (V-DEM) project, 35
- Venezuela
 corruption and minority government in, 49
 CPI score of, 1, 3*t*
 elite attitudes toward corruption in, 138
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*
 protests in, 8
- Vertical accountability, 152
- Voters
 admissions of corruption voting, 102–3
 blame for corruption, 103–5
 clarity of responsibility and, 24
 corruption voting, 29–31
 distaste for corruption, 25
 turnout of, 22
- Votes. *See also* corruption voting
 executive, 69–70, 75, 79, 81, 83, 85–9, 166*f*
 government, 69–70, 74*f*, 75, 81, 83, 85–9
 for incumbent, 98–9
 clarity of responsibility, effect of, 99*f*, 100*t*
- Wald test, 97
- West European Politics*, 129
- Western Europe, manifesto on corruption in, 130
- Within-subjects crossover design, 96–7
- Women in parliament, 51, 54, 119–20
- Worker's Party, 6–7
- World Bank, 34, 44, 158–9
- World Values Survey (WVS), 42
- Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI), 34, 44
- Zambia
 CPI score of, 3*t*
 ICRG corruption score of, 45*t*