

## Index

- Abele v. Markle*, 163
- abortion
- after rape, 249–50
  - birth control laws, 99, 104
  - bodily integrity, 364, 365, 367
  - burden, pregnancy as, 155–56, 164–65
  - clinic protests, 246, 375
  - constitutional equality, 147, 153–54, 162–66
  - constitutional standards for review of
    - legislation, 147, 149, 150, 154, 166, 362, 366, 368–69
  - counseling requirements, 159, 374–75
  - due process, 147, 162–63
  - Due Process Clause, 153–54
  - duress against women, laws as, 167
  - equal protection approach, 147–49
  - Equal Protection Clause, 153–54, 162–66
  - federal funding, 189, *see also Harris v. McRae*
  - fetal health, state interest in, 158–60, 161–62, 364
  - fetal viability, relevance of, 161
  - formal equality, 140–41
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 153–54, 162–66
  - gender equality, 367–68
  - Hyde Amendment, 242, 243–44, 245–46, 247, 248–53, 254–55, 256
  - informed consent, 374–75, 381–82
  - life endangerment in pregnancy, 248–50
  - Medicaid funding, 189, 247, 250, *see also Harris v. McRae*
  - as medical procedure, regulation
    - of, 160–61
  - medical progress and fetal life, 161
  - Native American women, 379–80
  - parental notification, 361, 381–82
  - Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act of 1982, 361, 362, 365–66
  - poor women and, 148, 155, 255, 380
  - pregnant women, state respect for, 159–60
  - privacy rights, 147, 154–55, 367, 368–69
  - record-keeping and reporting
    - requirements, 382–83
  - rights of women, 150–51
  - rural women in Pennsylvania, 363, 364, 378–79
  - socioeconomic class, 155
  - spousal consent/notification, 361, 362, 363, 364, 366, 370–74, 376, 511
  - state interests in, 157–59, 362–63, 368–69
  - strict scrutiny, 363, 368, 369, 375, 380
  - trimester framework, 147, 151, 156–57, 369
  - waiting period requirements, 147, 363, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380–81
  - woman question, asking the, 362
  - women's health, state interest in, 158, *see also Harris v. McRae; Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey; pregnancy; reproductive rights; Roe v. Wade; Stanley v. Illinois*
- Abrams, Jamie R., 272
- Abrams, Kathryn, 532
- accountability, judicial, 488
- adoption
- formal equality, 140–41
  - Stanley v. Illinois*, 140–41
  - unmarried fathers, consent from, 139–40
- Advisory Panel, 8
- affirmative action
- Civil Rights Act 1964 Title VII, 216
  - constitutional law, 21–22, 29, 457–58

- affirmative action (*cont.*)  
*Johnson v. Transportation Agency*,  
 327–29, 333–40  
 manifest imbalance, 334–35  
 remedies for gender discrimination, 45, 322,  
 323–24, 325, 326  
*Steelworkers v. Weber*, 333–34  
 Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 216,  
 239, 333–34, *see also Johnson v.*  
*Transportation Agency*
- African American women, 300, 309–12
- Aloni, Erez, 527
- American Civil Liberties Union, 121
- anti-essentialism, 21, 127–30, 411
- anti-miscegenation laws  
 appeals against in *Loving v. Virginia*, 121–22  
 context for *Loving v. Virginia*, 115–17  
 Due Process Clause, 135–36  
 Equal Protection Clause, 117–18, 134–35  
 Fourteenth Amendment, 134–36  
 impact of *Loving v. Virginia*, 114  
 Racial Integrity Act 1924, 130–33  
 racism/sexism intersection in, 117–18  
 scientific racism, 130–33  
 slavery, 124–25
- anti-stereotyping, 20–21, 188, 282–83, 351–53,  
*see also* gender stereotypes; sex  
 stereotyping
- anti-subordination, 18–19, 49–50, 188, 388
- armed services, *see* military service
- autonomy, 21–22  
 entering into contracts, 84, 87, 94–95  
 sexual, 101, 486–88, 490–96
- Ball, Carlos, 14, 22, 529, 530–32
- Bartlett, Katharine, 8, 17n27, 37, 362
- Bartow, Ann, 14, 16, 426, 428–30
- ‘because of sex’ requirement, 231, 408, 409,  
 412, 413, 414, 415, 416–24
- Berger, Linda, 7
- bias, implicit, 229, 324, 341, 343–45, 355, 457,  
 458, 463–64, 467
- birth control, *see* reproductive rights
- Board of Regents v. Roth*, 516, 518–19
- Bowers v. Hardwick*, 17, 489  
 apologies for decision in, 500–03  
 lesbians, harm done to by, 17, 502–03
- Bradwell, Myra, 59–60, 74, *see also Bradwell*  
*v. Illinois*
- Bradwell v. Illinois*, 14, 17, 47, 163, 177, 178, 234  
 background, 55–57  
 citizenship, 60, 62–63, 67–68  
 commentary, 55–60  
 dissenting opinion, 60–77  
 Due Process Clause, 71–72  
 Equal Protection Clause, 57–58, 70–71  
 feminist arguments contemporary to, 10  
 feminist judgment, 58–77  
 Fourteenth Amendment, 57–58, 60,  
 62–65, 69, 72  
 gender ideology, 59  
 inconsistent opinions, 72–73  
 original opinion, 57–58  
 Privileges and Immunities Clause,  
 63, 66–68  
 procedural history, 61–62  
 separate spheres ideology, 59, 74–76  
 sex vs. racial classifications, 64–65, 69, 71  
*Slaughter-House cases*, 57, 58, 61–62, 63, 64,  
 65, 66–67, 68–69, 70, 71–73
- Brandeis, Louis, 78, 79, 492
- Brandeis brief, 78, 78n2
- Brennan, William J., 169, 171–72, 259, 260,  
 265, 274, 275, 280, 282, 285, 322, 350,  
 353, 355
- Broussard, Patricia A., 504
- Brown v. Board of Education*, 292, 294
- Brownmiller, Susan, 223, 224, 268, 269, 310
- Burwell v. Hobby Lobby*, 40, 189
- Bush, George H.W., President, 42
- Bush, George W., President, 42
- Buxton, C. Lee, 103–04, *see also Griswold v.*  
*Connecticut*
- Califano v. Goldfarb*, 231, 234
- Carbado, Devon, 8, 21
- Carter, Jimmy, President, 41
- Case, Mary Anne, 277n28, 530n14, 531n21
- Casey*, *see Planned Parenthood of*  
*Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*
- Cecka, Dale, 341
- Chamallas, Martha, 17, 20, 38, 341,  
 343, 344–45
- Chemerinsky, Erwin, 41n99, 426n3
- Cherry, April, 8
- child custody  
 unwed fathers, 139–45, *see also*  
 father’s rights
- child sexual abuse  
 actual notice standard, 426, 427, 428, 429,  
 430–31, 432, 433, 441  
 agency standard, 426, 428, 430, 431, 432,  
 444–45, 446  
 constructive notice, theory of, 442–43, 445

- deliberate indifference standard, 427, 429,  
430–31, 432, 433, 443
- educational settings, 429–30
- grooming, 437–38, 439
- liability, school, 432–33, 440–43, 445
- reaction of victim to, 438–39
- reporting, 432–33, 440–42, 446
- statutory rape, 257–58, 262, 264–65,  
269–71, *see also Gebser v. Lago Vista  
Independent School District*
- child support, 45, 374, 544
- children
- non-marital, 476–77, *see also Nguyen  
v. INS*
  - parent-child relationships, 107–08
  - responsibility for care of, 89–90, 139–45, *see  
also* child custody; child sexual abuse;  
child support; *Griswold v. Connecticut*;  
*Planned Parenthood of Southeastern  
Pennsylvania v. Casey*; *Roe v. Wade*;  
*Stanley v. Illinois*
- citizenship
- Bradwell v. Illinois*, 60, 62–63, 67–68
  - children (*see Nguyen v. INS*)
  - and contraception for women, 110–11
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 64–65
  - Griswold v. Connecticut*, 102–03
  - non-marital children, 476–77, *see also  
Nguyen v. INS*
  - Privileges and Immunities Clause, 66–68
  - subordination of women, 388, 390
- City of Los Angeles Department of Water &  
Power v. Manhart*, 17
- commentary, 228–33
- differential retirement benefits, 229–30,  
231–32, 233, 234–41
- feminist judgment, 229–41
- gender stereotypes, 230–31
- gendered economic assumptions,  
230, 233–34
- inconsistency in precedents, 231–32
- inequitable remedies, 232
- original opinion, 228–29
- remedial relief, 238–41
- sex discrimination, 231–32
- Civil Rights Act 1866, 63–64, 125
- Civil Rights Act 1964 Title VII
- affirmative action, 216, 239, 333–34
  - ‘because of sex’ requirement, 231, 408, 409,  
412, 413, 414, 415, 418–24
  - bona fide occupational qualification,  
208–09, 210, 213, 214, 218–27
  - burden of proof, 414, 418–19
  - constructive discharge, 313
  - differential retirement benefits, 229–30,  
231–32, 233, 234–41
  - disparate impact, 102, 103, 109, 208, 209, 214,  
215–17, 342
  - EEOC interpretation, 197
  - gender stereotypes, 208–09, 218, 220–22,  
344, 354, 359, 409, 413, 422
  - Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson*, 308
  - purpose of, 213–14
  - same-sex sexual harassment, 408
  - sexual discrimination, 197, 231–32, 345
  - sexual harassment, 226–27, 297, 303, 308,  
317, 416, 417–18
  - standard of review, 239
  - tangible or economic injury, 314
  - tort law, 349–50, *see also Dothard v.  
Rawlinson*; *Johnson v. Transportation  
Agency*; *Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore  
Services, Inc.*; *Price Waterhouse  
v. Hopkins*; Virginia Military  
Institute (VMI)
- civil rights movement, 121
- class, *see* socioeconomic class
- Clinton, Bill, President, 42
- Code of Conduct for judges, 45–48
- Cohen, David, 20, 275–77
- Colker, Ruth, 387
- Commerce Clause, 447, 448–50, 452–53, 456,  
458–59, 460, 467, 511
- Comstock Act 1873, 99, 104
- condoms and male/female inequality, 109–10
- congressional intent
- Military Selective Service Act, 291–93
  - Violence Against Women Act, 466
- consciousness-raising, 38
- constitutional equality
- abortion, 147, 153–54, 162–66, 189, 364–65
  - analogies between race and sex, 64–65, 69
  - intersectionality, 102, 117–18, 147, 246
  - sex vs. racial classifications, 64–65, 69, 71
  - substantive view of, 149, *see also* father’s  
rights; LGBTQI individuals/families;  
military service; parent-child  
relationships; same-sex marriage;  
sodomy/sodomy laws
- constitutional interpretation – rights as  
relationship, 101
- constitutional law
- affirmative action, 21–22, 29, 457–58
  - domestic violence, 370–73

- constitutional law (*cont.*)  
 Establishment Clause, 242, 244, 245, 246, 247, 250, 252, 253, 254  
 Free Exercise Clause, 244, 246, 247, 254  
 freedom of association, 108, 466, 499  
 Hyde Amendment, 242, 243–44, 245–46, 247, 248–53, 254–55, 256  
 Privileges and Immunities Clause, 56, 66–68  
 sex classification as basis, 178–79, 180  
 Violence Against Women Act validity, 447, *see also* constitutional equality; Due Process Clause; gender stereotypes; race/racism: stereotype; reproductive rights; same-sex marriage; sex stereotyping; sexual harassment; sexuality
- Constitutional standards for review of legislation  
 abortion, 147, 148, 149, 150, 154, 166, 362, 366  
 exceedingly persuasive, 282, 386, 471, 472, 475, 477, 497, 498, 538  
 gender classification, 388, 396–401  
 heightened scrutiny, 148, 149, 150, 186, 194, 200, 201, 273, 392–93, 468, 470, 471, 472, 473, 475, 482, 484, 532, 537–38  
 intermediate scrutiny, 170, 256, 265, 266, 273, 274, 275, 276, 280, 281, 282, 285, 290–91, 293, 386, 388, 389, 397, 399, 402  
 national origin classifications, 200, 397, 401  
 pregnancy discrimination claims, 186, 187, 194, 199–200, 201  
 rational basis, 106, 133, 134, 150, 170, 171, 175, 186, 187, 194, 199–200, 204, 255–56, 274, 281, 293, 467  
*Rostker v. Goldberg*, 280–82  
 strict scrutiny, 18, 118, 135, 147, 149, 169–71, 172–73, 180, 200, 201, 275, 280, 281, 283, 362, 363, 368, 369, 375, 380, 388, 390, 397, 401, 490, 493, 539  
 Title VII, 232, 239  
*U.S. v. Virginia*, 386, 388, 389, 392–93, 396–401  
 constructive notice, theory of, 442–43, 445  
 contextualization of feminist judgments, 49–50  
 contraception, *see* reproductive rights  
 contracts, autonomy in entering into, 84, 87, 94–95  
 Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, 389  
*Corfield v. Coryell*, 63, 68  
 corporate culture, 344–45, 357–59  
 coverture, 56, 74, 94, 176, 535  
*Craig v. Boren*, 273, 281  
 Crawford, Bridget J., 7  
 Crenshaw, Kimberlé, 8, 21n41, 30n24, 48n25  
 cultural literacy approach, 102  
 cultural feminism, *see* feminism: cultural  
 Czapanskiy, Karen, 18, 139, 140–41, 142
- Davis, Angela, 310  
 DeBose, Cynthia Hawkins, 98  
 Declaration of Sentiments, 76n35  
 Delgado, Richard, 116, 433–34  
 dignity, 411, 485, 487  
 disability, 92  
 disability insurance  
 claims in *Geduldig v. Aiello*, 193  
 pregnancy discrimination, 187  
 program for, 192–93  
 sex discrimination, pregnancy discrimination as, 187–88, 195–99  
 disparate impact, 102, 103, 109, 208, 209, 214, 215–17, 342  
 domestic violence  
 discretion, police, in enforcement of order, 521–23  
 Due Process (*see* Due Process Clause)  
 gender stereotyping, 508  
 history of, 509–11  
 protected property interests, 518–21  
 Protective Orders, enforcement of (*see* Due Process Clause)  
 spousal notification of abortion, 370–73, 511, *see also* equal protection; violence, gender-motivated
- dominance feminism, 18–19  
 Catharine MacKinnon and, 18–19, 19n35  
 Doneff, Andrea, 78  
*Dothard v. Rawlinson*, 14, 20, 269  
 background, 214  
 bona fide occupational qualification, 210, 214, 218–27  
 commentary, 208–13  
 contact positions, gender requirements for, 214–15  
 disparate impact analysis, 215–17  
 feminist judgment, 209–27

- gender and sexual stereotypes,  
210–12, 220–22
- height/weight requirements for prison  
guards, 208, 215–17
- importance of, 208
- racism in original decision, 210, 222–23
- rape, workplace tolerance of risk of, 210
- sex/sexuality in original opinion, 223–27
- sexual harassment, 226–27
- women's ability as prison guards, 219–23
- workplace design, 217, 226–27
- double bind, 38, 211, 352
- Dowd, Nancy, 413
- draft registration, *see* *Rostker v. Goldberg*
- Dred Scott v. Sanford*, 130
- Due Process Clause
- abortion, 147, 153–54, 162–63
  - Bradwell v. Illinois*, 71–72
  - domestic violence claims, 515–18, 519,  
522, 525
  - Griswold v. Connecticut*, 101
  - Lawrence v. Texas*, 488–89, 490–96
  - LGBTQI individuals, 488–89, 490–96
  - Lochner v. New York*, 85
  - Loving v. Virginia*, 118, 122, 135–36
  - Muller v. Oregon*, 85, 86–87
  - Protective Orders, enforcement of, 505–09,  
511–13, 514–18, 523–25
  - same-sex marriage, 528, 543–46
  - sexual autonomy, 488–89, 490–96
  - substantive due process, 87
  - Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales*, 505–06,  
508–09, 515–18
- Durst, Ilene, 21, 472–73
- education
- sexual harassment in educational settings  
(*see* *Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent  
School District*)
  - in single-sex institutions (*see* *U.S. v.  
Virginia*; Virginia Military Institute  
(VMI); Virginia Women's Institute for  
Leadership (VWIL))
- Education Amendments of 1972 Title IX
- actual notice standard, 426, 427, 428, 429,  
430–31, 432, 433, 441
  - agency standard, 445
  - deliberate indifference standard, 427, 429,  
430–31, 432, 433, 443, 515
  - Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School  
District*, 426, 427
  - respondent superior liability, 298–99,  
302, 307–08
  - sexual harassment, 298–99, 302,  
307–08, 426
- Eisenhower, President Dwight, 40
- Eisenstadt v. Baird*, 138, 154
- employee benefits, *see* employment;  
retirement benefits
- employer liability for sexual harassment,  
298–99, 302, 307–08
- employment, 177–78
- affirmative action, 45, 322, 323–24, 325, 326,  
327–29, 333–40
  - corporate culture, 344–45
  - glass ceiling, 341, 345, 346, 400
  - implicit bias, 343–45, 354–56
  - male employees' rights, 338–39
  - manifest imbalance, 334–35
  - meritocracy myth, 322, 324–26
  - mixed motive framework, 342, 349–50
  - neutrality, law's purported, 326
  - sex segregation, 335–36
  - subconscious bias, 342, 344
  - Title VII and sex discrimination in, 197, 323
  - tokenism, 341, 345, 346, 346m18, 347,  
351, 352–53, 357, 358, 359. *see also*  
pregnancy discrimination; prison  
guards and sex discrimination;  
retirement benefits
- Enforcement Clause, 450–51, 464
- Equal Employment Opportunity  
Commission, 183, 197, 218, 235, 318,  
327, 331, 422, 507m14
- Equal Pay Act, 28, 213
- equal protection
- Equal Protection Clause
    - abortion, 147–49, 153–54, 162–66
    - anti-miscegenation laws, 134–35
    - Bradwell v. Illinois*, 57–58, 70–71
    - Griswold v. Connecticut*, 101, 102
    - Lawrence v. Texas*, 488–89
    - Loving v. Virginia*, 117–18, 122–23, 134–35
    - Muller v. Oregon*, 85
    - Obergefell v. Hodges*, 534–43
    - pregnancy discrimination, 194, 195–99
    - Reed v. Reed*, 174–75
    - same-sex marriage, 528, 534–43
    - United States v. Morrison*, 463–64
    - Harris v. McRae*, 244
    - Rostker v. Goldberg*, 272–75  - Equal Rights Amendment, 171–72, 180–81

- equality theory  
 formal equality, 18–19, 55, 109, 140–41, 188,  
 230, 260, 262, 269  
 radical feminism, 32  
 substantive equality, 49, 149, 260, 262,  
 269, 323
- essentialism  
 anti-essentialism, 21, 127–30, 411  
 cultural feminism, 34  
*Muller v. Oregon*, 79–80, 90–91  
 non-essentialism, 38  
 sexuality, 210, 223–25
- Establishment Clause, 242, 244, 245, 246, 247,  
 250, 252, 253, 254
- establishment of religion, *see*  
 Establishment Clause
- Estrich, Susan, 210, 387
- ethic of care, *see* Gilligan, Carol
- eugenics, 130–31
- expert witnesses, use of, 342, 344, 351–53, 354,  
 355, 357, 358
- families, *see* father's rights; LGBTQI  
 individuals/families; *Nguyen v. INS*;  
 parent-child relationships; reproductive  
 rights; same-sex marriage
- father's rights  
 adoption consent from unmarried  
 fathers, 139–40  
 citizenship of children (*see* *Nguyen v. INS*)  
 formal equality, 140–41  
 gender stereotypes, 144, 287  
 legal parentage, 141  
 non-biological parents, 141  
 unmarried fathers, 139–45, 468–69, 473,  
 474, 478–80, 481, 482–84
- federal funding of abortion, *see* *Harris*  
*v. McRae*
- femininity, stereotypes of, 20, 348–49, 352, 394
- feminism  
 agency and autonomy, 21–22  
 alternative frameworks, 30  
 alternative labels, 26  
 anti-essentialism, 21  
 anti-stereotyping, 20–21  
 anti-subordination, 18–19  
 and class, 30, 32  
 cultural, 33–34, 149  
 difference, 27, 386–87  
 dominance, 18–19, 102  
 equality, 386  
 first-wave, 28  
 fluidity of strands within, 30  
 formal equality, 18–19  
 goals of Feminist Judgments Project, 4–6  
 guidance given to authors, 8–9  
 intersectionality, 21, 30  
 lesbian, 32–33  
 liberal, 30–31, 149  
 Marxist and socialist, 32  
 masculinities, 20–21  
*Muller v. Oregon*, importance of to, 78  
 multidimensionality, 25  
 origin of term, 26  
 perspective on, 3–4  
 postmodern, 35–36, 101  
 and pregnancy, 149  
 radical, 31–32  
 relational, 22  
 and same-sex marriage, 529–30  
 second-wave, 28–29  
 sex positive, 22, 102  
 third-wave, 29  
 wave metaphor, 27–28  
 'woman question', 26–27  
 women-of-color, 34–35  
 Xicanista, 26
- Feminist Judgments Projects  
 Australia, 6–7, 11112  
 Canada (*see* Women's Court of Canada)  
 Ireland, 6–7  
 New Zealand, 6–7  
 United Kingdom, 6–7  
 United States  
 Advisory Panel, 8  
 authors, selection of, 8–9  
 commentaries, purpose of, 8  
 future projects, 7  
 goals of, 4–6  
 guidance given to authors, 8–9  
 judicial voice in, 10–11  
 methodology, 7–9  
 organization of cases, 12–13  
 scope, 7  
 selection of cases, 6–7  
 Supreme Court, use of cases from, 7  
 topics covered, 12
- feminist legal theory, 3–4, 20–21, 26, 31, 149,  
 386–87, 410, 411, 413
- feminist methods  
 application of to cases, 4  
 breaking rhetorical conventions, 16–17  
 consciousness-raising, 38  
 intersectionality, 38

- moves, six, 38
- multidimensionality, 38
- narrative, 15–16
- non-essentialism, 38
- plural, 3–4
- practical reasoning, 15, 37–38, 428
- purpose of, 37
- theoretical tools, 38
- use of, 36–37
- widening the lens, 17
- woman question, 37, 38
- Fifth Amendment, 174, 250
- Fineman, Martha, 8, 149n16
- Finley, Lucinda, 15, 16, 187–88, 189–90, 542
- first wave feminism, *see* feminism: first wave
- Fiske, Susan, 342, 344, 351–53, 354, 355, 357, 358
- Fitzpatrick v. Bitzer*, 232, 240
- formal equality, 18–19, 55, 109, 140–41, 188, 230, 260, 262, 269
- Fourteenth Amendment, 57–58
  - abortion, 153–54, 162–66
  - anti-miscegenation laws, 134–36
  - Bradwell v. Illinois*, 58, 60, 62–65, 69, 72
  - citizenship, 64–65
  - Enforcement Clause, 450–51, 464
  - Griswold v. Connecticut*, 101
  - Lochner v. New York*, 85
  - Loving v. Virginia*, 122–23, 134–36
  - Muller v. Oregon*, 85
  - pregnancy discrimination, 194, 195–99
  - Reed v. Reed*, 174–75
  - sexual relationships, 108
  - Slaughter-House cases*, 65
  - United States v. Morrison*, 462–65, *see also* Due Process Clause; Equal Protection Clause; Privileges and Immunities Clause
- Franke, Katherine, 412, 419
- free exercise of religion, 244–45, 246
  - Free Exercise Clause, 244, 246, 247, 254
- freedom of association, 108, 466, 499
- Frontiero v. Richardson*, 18, 273
  - administrative convenience
    - justification, 182–84
  - classifications based on sex, 174–80
    - commentary, 168–73
  - Equal Rights Amendment, 171–72, 180–81
  - feminist judgment, 171–84
  - gender stereotypes, 171
  - sex vs. racial classifications, 172, 175, 178
  - significance of, 168–69
  - spousal military benefits, 173–74, 181–84
  - strict scrutiny argument, 170–71, 172–73
  - wage gap, 182, *see also* sexual discrimination
- Gambert, Iselin M., 168
- gay men, *see* LGBTQI individuals/families
- Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District*, 14, 16
  - actual notice standard, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430–31, 432, 433, 441
  - agency standard, 426, 428, 430, 431, 432, 444–45, 446
  - arrest and conviction of perpetrator, 440
  - children effected by opinion, 431–32
  - commentary, 426–30
  - constructive notice, theory of, 442–43, 445
  - culpability focus, 436–37
  - deliberate indifference standard, 427, 429, 430–31, 432, 433, 443
  - educational settings, sexual harassment
    - in, 429–30
  - failures of original opinion, 429
  - feminist judgment, 428–46
  - grooming for child sexual abuse, 437–38, 439
  - impact of, 426
  - language used in, 434–36
  - liability, school, 432–33, 440–43, 445
  - name, use of victim's, 434
  - narrative, feminist method of, 426, 428, 434
  - preceding cases, 427
  - rape, teacher's action as, 431
  - reaction of victim to sexual abuse, 438–39
  - reporting child sexual abuse, 432–33, 440–42, 446
  - school response to claims, 439–40
  - Title IX Education Amendments of 1972, 426, 427
- Geduldig v. Aiello*, 15, 16, 148, 266
  - background to case, 191–92
  - commentary, 185–90
  - feminist judgment, 187–207
  - formal equality, 188
  - impact of original/feminist opinions, 189–90
  - normal/abnormal pregnancies, 194–95
    - as not sex discrimination, 186–87
  - original opinion, 186–87
  - pregnancy discrimination claims, 193
  - reaction to, 185
  - reproductive liberty and sex equality, 188
  - result of claims, 194
  - sex discrimination, pregnancy
    - discrimination as, 187–88, 202–07

- gender  
 abortion, 367–68  
 economic assumptions based on,  
 230, 233–34  
 essentialism, 21, 79–80, 210  
 hostility as motivation for sexual  
 harassment, 410, 412–13, 419–20  
 ideology in *Bradwell v. Illinois*, 59  
 intersection of race/gender/tape, 297,  
 300, 309–12  
 legislative changes 1970s, 138–39  
 neutrality, limitations of approach, 4, 26  
*Planned Parenthood of Southeastern  
 Pennsylvania v. Casey*, 367–68  
 separate spheres ideology, 59, 74–76  
 standards of review and classification of,  
 396–401  
 gender identity, 289  
 policing gender roles, 420  
 vs. biological sex, 31, *see also* gender  
 stereotypes  
 gender stereotypes  
 African American women, 300, 310–11  
 anti-stereotyping, 20–21  
 California Penal Code, 265–66  
 Civil Rights Act 1964 Title VII, 413, 422  
 corporate culture, 357–59  
 domestic violence, 508  
*Dothard v. Rawlinson*, 210–12  
 father's rights, 144, 287  
*Frontiero v. Richardson*, 171  
*Geduldig v. Aiello*, 194  
 harm done by, 171, 288–90  
 height/weight requirements for prison  
 guards, 216–17  
 legal profession, women's entry into (*see*  
*Bradwell v. Illinois*)  
 masculinity theory, 20–21, 413, 421–24  
*Michael M. v. Superior Court*, 258  
*Muller v. Oregon*, 79–80, 90–91  
*Nguyen v. INS*, 471  
 parenting, 144  
 personal appearance, 298, 301–02,  
 306, 318–19  
 pregnancy discrimination, 194, 202–07  
*Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, 342–43  
 prison guards and sex discrimination,  
 210–12, 220–22  
 retirement benefits, 230–31  
*Rostker v. Goldberg*, 276–77, 282–83,  
 285–90, 292  
 same-sex marriage, 531–32  
 sex roles, 267–68  
 sexual assault and, 223–25  
 social construction of gender, 169  
 Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 208–09,  
 359, 409  
*Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales*, 508, *see*  
*also* military services; sex stereotyping  
*General Electric v. Gilbert*, 231–32, 237  
 Gilligan, Carol, 33–34, 387, 387n17  
 Ginsburg, Ruth Bader, 20, 37, 39, 43, 47, 82,  
 168–71, 172–73, 281, 282, 384, 385, 386,  
 400, 427, 446, 475, 478, 481, 506, 532  
 glass ceiling, 341, 345, 346, 400  
 Godsoe, Cynthia, 14, 18, 259–60, 261–62  
*Goesart v. Cleary*, 179  
 Goldfarb, Phyllis, 10, 14, 17, 58–59, 60  
 Gordon, Deborah, 322  
 government, deference to, 283–84  
 Griffin, Leslie, 14, 242, 245–47  
*Griggs v. Duke Power*, 213  
 Griswold, Estelle, 103–04, *see also* *Griswold v.*  
*Connecticut*  
*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 14, 17, 22, 99  
 background, 105–06  
 citizenship, 102–03  
 citizenship, women's, 110–11  
 commentary, 98–103  
 Comstock Act 1873, 99, 104  
 condoms and male/female  
 inequality, 109–10  
 Connecticut statutes, 104–06  
 cultural literacy approach, 102  
 Due Process Clause, 101  
 Equal Protection Clause, 101, 102  
 feminist judgment, 101–13  
 Fourteenth Amendment, 101  
 legacy cases, 98  
 liberty analysis, 101–02, 106–09  
 married/unmarried individuals, 112–13  
 and privacy, 98–99  
 privacy rights, 166–67  
 race suicide, 105  
 reproductive rights, 103, 109–13  
 rights as relationship, 101  
 separate spheres ideology, 105  
 sex positive focus, 102  
 sexual pluralism, 103  
 sexual relationships, 107–09  
 Supreme Court Opinion, 100  
 Harris, Angela C., 210  
*Harris v. McRae*, 14



- background, 242–45  
 commentary, 242–47  
 equal protection, 244  
 Establishment Clause, 242, 244, 245, 246, 247, 250, 252, 253, 254  
 establishment grounds, 253–54  
 feminist judgment, 245–56  
 Free Exercise Clause, 244, 246, 247, 254  
 free exercise of religion, 244–45, 246  
 Hyde Amendment, 242, 243–44, 245–46, 247, 248–53, 254–55, 256  
 importance of, 242  
 life endangerment in pregnancy, 248–50, 251  
 Medicaid funding of abortion, 243, 244, 247  
 moral objection to abortion, 250–51  
 religious neutrality in government, 250–53  
 secular motive, lack of for Hyde Amendment, 250–53
- Havard, Cassandra J., 228
- health and legislation, 85–87
- heightened scrutiny, 148, 149, 150, 186, 194, 200, 201, 273, 392–93, 468, 470, 471, 472, 473, 475, 482, 484, 532, 537–38
- Hernández-Truyol, Berta Esperanza, 9, 13
- heteronormativity, 33, 412, 419
- historical background of feminism  
 alternative frameworks, 30  
 alternative labels, 26  
 first wave, 28  
 homophobia, 32–33  
 intersectionality, 30  
 origin of term, 26  
 second-wave, 28–29  
 third-wave, 29  
 ‘woman question’, 26–27
- Holdern v. Hardy*, 86
- homophobia, 30, 32–33, 329
- homosexuality  
 sexual autonomy, 486–88, *see also* *Bowers v. Hardwick*; LGBTQI individuals/families; same-sex marriage
- Hoyt v. Florida*, 179–80, 281
- human rights and gender-motivated violence, 451, 465–66
- Hyde, Henry, 243, 248, 250, *see also* Hyde Amendment
- Hyde Amendment, 242, 243–44, 245–46, 247, 248–53, 254–55, 256
- illegitimate children, *see* non-marital children
- immigration  
 citizenship of children (*see* *Nguyen v. INS*)  
 unmarried fathers, 468–69, 473, 474, 479–80, 481, 482–84, *see also* national origin
- Immigration and Nationality Act, 468–69, 472–73, 474
- implicit bias, 229, 324, 341, 343–45, 354–56, 457, 458, 463–64, 467
- incapacity, women’s, 93–96
- inequalities, structural, 32
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), 507
- interdisciplinarity in feminist judgments, 49
- intermediate scrutiny, 170, 256, 265, 266, 273, 274, 275, 276, 280, 281, 282, 285, 290–91, 293, 386, 388, 389, 397, 399, 402
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 451, 453, 465–66
- interracial marriage, 127–33
- intersectionality  
 abortion, religion and antifeminism, 246  
 constitutional equality, 102, 117–18, 147, 246  
 and feminism, 30  
 feminist methods, 38  
 gender, race and illegitimacy, 472, 479–80  
*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 102  
 grooming policies, 403  
 multiple oppressions, 21  
*Nguyen v. INS*, 472, 479–80  
 poverty, 102  
 pregnancy, 147  
 race, gender and rape, 297, 300, 309–12  
 racism/sexism in anti-miscegenation statutes, 117–18  
 as theme, 21  
 women of color feminism, 34–35  
 Xicanista feminism, 26
- Johnson, Margaret, 8, 408, 411n22, 411n23
- Johnson v. Transportation Agency*, 13, 16  
 affirmative action plan, 322, 323–24, 325, 326, 327–29, 333–40  
 background, 329–32  
 commentary, 322–27  
 feminist judgment, 324–40  
 male employees’ rights, 338–39  
 manifest imbalance, 334–35  
 meritocracy myth, 322, 324–26, 332–33  
 neutrality, law’s purported, 326  
 original opinion, 322–23  
 sex segregation in employment, 335–36  
 substantive equality, 323

## judges

- Code of Conduct, 45–48
- neutrality, 4–5, 9, 448
- number of male/female judges, 40–43

## judiciary, women in

- criminal cases, 44–45
- discrimination cases, 44–45
- family law, 45
- feminist judgments, 45–50
- impact of, 43–45
- ‘panel effect’, 44, 45
- personal experience, importance of, 39–40
- political party affiliation, 44
- presidential appointment of, 40–43

Kagan, Elena, 43, 473

Kant, Immanuel, 486, 487

Kaplan, Margo, 257

Katyal, Sonia, 8

Kennedy, Anthony, 151, 382, 397, 427, 470, 482, 485, 486, 528, 529

*Korematsu v. United States*, 283–84labor conditions, *see Muller v. Oregon*

## language

- breaking rhetorical conventions, 16–17
- goals of Feminist Judgments Project, 6
- provocative speech by sexual harassment complainants, 302, 319

Latinas, 26

Laufer-Ukeles, Pamela, 15, 78, 80n17, 81–82, 83

Laurent, Inga N., 114

law, practice of, *see Bradwell v. Illinois*;  
constitutional law; judiciary, women in*Lawrence v. Texas*, 14, 17, 101

accountability, judicial, 488

commentary, 485–88

dignity, 485, 486, 492–93

Due Process Clause, 488–89, 490–96

Equal Protection Clause, 488–89

exceedingly persuasive justification, 497, 498

feminist judgment, 486–503

related cases, 485

sexual autonomy, 486–88

story of, 489

strict scrutiny, 490, 493, 539

*Washington v. Glucksberg*, 494–96legal profession, women in, *see Bradwell v.**Illinois*; judiciary, women in

Leong, Nancy, 8

lesbians/lesbianism, *see* LGBTQI individuals/  
families*Levy v. Louisiana*, 541

## LGBTQI individuals/families

- accountability, judicial, 488
- apologies for previous decisions, 500–03
- dignity, 485, 486, 492–93
- Due Process Clause, 488–89, 490–96
- Equal Protection Clause, 496–500
- exceedingly persuasive justification, 497, 498
- exclusion from women’s movement, 32–33
- fundamental rights, recognition of, 494–96
- harm done to by *Bowers v. Hardwick*, 17, 502–03
- privacy rights, 492
- sexual autonomy, 486–88, 490–96
- strict scrutiny, 490, 493, 539
- Washington v. Glucksberg*, 494–96, *see also* same-sex marriage

liberal feminism, *see* feminism: liberal

## liberty

- and dignity, 487
- Griswold v. Connecticut*, 101–02, 106–09
- parent-child relationships, 101–02, 107–08
- pregnancy and contraception, 108–09
- reproductive liberty and sex equality, 188, 189–90

*Lochner v. New York*, 79, 85–88, 495–96

Lorde, Audre, 30

*Loving v. Virginia*

- appeals against anti-miscegenation statutes, 121–22

civil rights movement, 121

commentary, 114–19

Due Process Clause, 118, 122, 135–36

Equal Protection Clause, 117–18,

122–23, 134–35

feminist judgment, 117–36

first indictment and judgment, 120

Fourteenth Amendment, 122–23, 134–36

historical, social and political context for, 115–17

interracial marriage, 127–30

letter to ACLU from Mildred Loving, 121

marriage in slavery, 123–27

Racial Integrity Act 1924, 130–33

racism/sexism intersection in

anti-miscegenation statutes, 117–18

rational basis review, 130–33

scientific racism, 130–33

story of, 115, 119–20, 121

- MacKinnon, Catharine, 8, 18–19, 19n32, 19n35, 210, 261, 308, 349, 428n13, 449–50, 461, 492
- Maillard, Kevin Noble, 114
- Manian, Maya, 185
- marriage
- anti-miscegenation laws, 115–17, 118, 127–30
  - coverture, 56, 74, 94, 176
  - Fourteenth Amendment and freedom of, 134–36
  - interracial, 127–33, 479–80
  - legislative changes 1970s, 138–39
  - opposite sex, 528–29
  - privacy rights, 98–99, 100, 112
  - reproductive rights, 112–13
  - in slavery, 123–27, *see also* same-sex marriage
- Marshall, Thurgood, 220, 221, 224, 229, 232, 233, 265, 269, 272, 275, 324, 377, 444, 446
- Marxist feminism, *see* feminism: Marxist and socialist
- masculinities, *see* gender stereotypes
- McDaniel-Miccio, Kris, 485
- McDonnell-Douglas test, 342
- McGinley, Ann, 21, 387, 408, 411, 412–13, 414
- McLaughlin v. Florida, 116
- McMurtry-Chubb, Teri, 16, 21, 117–18, 119
- Medicaid funding of abortion, 243, 244, 247, 250, *see also* Hyde Amendment
- Medina, M. Isabel, 507–08, 511
- meritocracy myth, 322, 324–26, 332–33
- Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson, 14, 409
- actionable sexual harassment, 297–98
  - aftermath of original opinion, 299
  - background, 304–07
  - commentary, 297–303
  - employer liability for sexual harassment, 298–99, 302, 307–08
  - exclusion of other women's testimony, 317–18
  - factual record, lack of, 312–14
  - feminist judgment, 297–98, 300–21
  - hostile work environment sexual harassment, 297, 300–01, 308–09, 314–19
  - intersection of race/gender/rape, 297, 300, 309–12
  - original opinion, 298–99
  - provocative speech by complainant, 302, 319
  - quid pro quo sexual harassment, 298, 302, 308, 312–14
  - tangible or economic injury, 314
  - Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 297, 308
  - welcomeness requirement, 316–17
- methodology for project, 7–9
- Michael M. v. Superior Court, 14, 18
- age of rape victims/offenders, 269–71
  - background, 257–58
  - biological differences, male/female, 269
  - California Penal Code, 265–66
  - commentary, 257–62
  - feminist judgment, 259–71
  - forcible rape, 262–65
  - formal/substantive equality, 262
  - gender stereotypes, 258
  - legal system's response to rape, 263–64
  - original opinion, 258–59
  - statutory rape, 257–58, 262, 264–65, 269–71
  - teen pregnancy, 265–66
  - victimization, male/female differences, 269
  - victim's conduct, scrutiny of, 263
- Military Selective Service Act, 272, 278, 280, 286, 290
- military service
- academies, sex discrimination in, 177, *see also* Virginia Military Institute (VMI)
  - combat exclusion, 293–94
  - gender stereotypes, 171
  - mandatory draft registration, 278–80, *see also* Rostker v. Goldberg
  - spousal benefits, 173–74, 181–84
  - women's participation in, 278
- Miller v. Albright, 469, 481–82
- misogyny, 17, 212, 388, 402, 495
- mixed motive framework, 342, 349–50, 353–54, 356–58
- Moran, Rachel, 8
- Muller v. Oregon, 15, 179, 234
- autonomy in entering into contracts, 84, 87, 94, 95
  - background, 83–84
  - benefits from legislation as burdens, 91–92
  - classification for legislation, 85
  - commentary, 78–83
  - differences, women's, 89–90
  - differentiation among women, 90–91
  - dissenting opinion, 83–97
  - Due Process Clause, 85, 86–87
  - entering wedge strategy, 80–81
  - Equal Protection Clause, 85
  - essentialism, 90–91
  - feminist judgment, 81–83

*Muller v. Oregon (cont.)*

- Fourteenth Amendment, 85
- gender essentialism, 79–80
- health and legislation, 85–87
- importance of to feminism, 78
- incapacity, women's, 93–96
- legislation limiting working hours, 84
- Lochner v. New York*, 79, 85–88
- original opinion, 79–81
- precedential impact, 88–89
- pregnant women, 90–91
- Progressive Era, case as product of, 80
- protective legislation, 78
- social science, use in judicial opinions, 80
- tailoring of legislation to specific issues, 92, 95
- multiracial feminism, *see* feminism:
  - women-of-color
- Murray, Melissa, 8, 100n11
- Mutcherson, Kim, 14, 22, 146–51
- 'myth of meritocracy', 322, 324–26, 332–33

## narrative

- feminist, 15–16, 426
- Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District*, 426, 428, 434
- theory, 411
- Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales*, 508

national origin classifications, 200, 397, 401

National Women's Law Center, 444

## neutrality

- gender, limitations of approach, 4, 26
- law's purported, 4–5, 9, 16, 326, 448, *see also* objectivity, critique of meritocracy myth, 322, 324–26
- religious, in government, 250–53

*Nguyen v. INS*, 21

- commentary, 468–73
- differential treatment of fathers and mothers, 470–71, 475, 477–80
- exceedingly persuasive justification, 471, 472, 475, 477
- feminist judgment, 472–84
- gender stereotypes, 471
- heightened scrutiny, 468, 470, 471, 472, 473, 475, 482, 484
- Immigration and Nationality Act, 468–69, 472–73, 474
- intersectionality analysis, 472, 479–80
- legacy, 471
- non-marital children, 476–77, 483–84

- sex-based classifications, 475
- sex stereotyping, 478–79, 481, 482–84
- stereotyping of illegitimacy, 483–84
- story of, 474
- unmarried fathers, 468–69, 473, 474, 479–80
- Nineteenth Amendment, 60, 286–87
- non-marital children, 476–77, *see also* *Nguyen v. INS*

Obama, Barack, President, 42–43

*Obergefell v. Hodges*, 14

- commentary, 527–32
- Equal Protection Clause, 534–43
- exceedingly persuasive justification, 538
- feminist judgment, 527–32
- gender stereotyping, 531–32
- heightened scrutiny, 537–38
- impact of, 527
- love and commitment in same-sex marriage, 532–34
- original opinion, 528–29, 530
- related cases, 485
- sex classifications, bans on same-sex marriage as, 536–39, 544–45
- sexual orientation classifications, bans on same-sex marriage as, 536–39, 544–45

## objectivity

- critique of, 4–5, 34, 35, 38, 487
- masculine bias as, 345
- medicine and science, 156–57
- 'myth of meritocracy', 324
- personal accounts and, 411
- reasonable person perspective, 315, *see also* MacKinnon, Catharine
- O'Connor, Sandra Day, 41, 337, 339, 356, 382, 427, 433, 434–36, 440, 442, 470–71, 472, 475, 482
- Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*, 21
  - actionable, same-sex sexual harassment as, 410
  - 'because of sex' requirement, 408, 409, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416–24
  - burden of proof, 414, 418–19, 424
  - Civil Rights Act 1964 Title VII, 416, 417–18
  - commentary, 408–14
  - dignity, 411
  - facts alleged, 415–16
  - feminist judgment, 410–25
  - heteronormativity, 412
  - hostile work environment, 417–18

- hostility as motivation, 410, 412–13, 419–20  
 impact of, 410  
 masculinity theory, 413, 421–24  
*Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson*, 409  
 narrative theory, 411  
 original opinion, 408–10, 415  
 policing gender roles, 420  
 preceding cases, 409–10  
*Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, 409, 413, 421–24  
 as problematic, 408  
 sex stereotyping, 413–14, 421–24  
 Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 409  
 Ontiveros, Maria, 14, 20, 209–10  
 Onwuachi-Willig, Angela, 8, 14, 19, 297–98, 300–03, 302n24  
*Orr v. Orr*, 286, 292  
  
*Pace v. Alabama*, 116  
 parent-child relationships, 107–08, 141–42, 530  
   same-sex marriage, 539–43, *see also* father's rights; *Griswold v. Connecticut*; *Nguyen v. INS*  
 Park, Sandra, 468  
 patriarchy, 31–32, 448, 461  
 Pennsylvania, *see* *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*  
 Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act of 1982, 361, 362, 365–66, *see also* *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*  
 personal appearance  
   sexual harassment, 298, 306, 318–19  
   welcomeness requirement, 301–02  
*Phillips v. Martin Marietta Corp.*, 213  
 physical appearance and race, 130–31  
 physical differences of men/women, 89–90, *see also* abortion; pregnancy  
 Planned Parenthood, 100  
*Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*, 511  
   bodily integrity, 364, 365, 367  
   commentary, 361–65  
   constitutional rights, preeminence of, 364–65  
   constitutional standards for review of legislation, 366, 368–69  
   counseling, 374–75  
   feminist judgment, 362–83  
   fetal viability, 364  
   gender equality, 367–68  
   impact of, 361–62  
   informed consent, 381–82  
   Native American women, 379–80  
   original opinion, 363, 366  
   Pennsylvania Abortion Control Act of 1982, 361, 362, 365–66  
   poor women and abortion, 380  
   privacy rights, 367, 368–69  
   record-keeping and reporting requirements, 382–83  
   rural women in Pennsylvania, 363, 364, 378–79  
   spousal consent/notification, 363, 364, 366, 370–74, 376  
   state interests in abortion, 368–69  
   state interests in fetal life, 362–63  
   strict scrutiny, 363, 368, 369, 375, 380  
   trimester framework, 369  
   waiting period requirements, 363, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380–81  
   woman question, asking the, 362  
 Polikoff, Nancy, 8, 137  
 postmodern feminism, *see* feminism: postmodern  
 poverty and poor women  
   abortion, 155  
   *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 102, 105  
   Medicaid funding of abortion, 243, 244, 247, 250, *see also* *Harris v. McRae*; *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*; *Stanley v. Illinois*  
 power dynamics, sexual, *see* dominance feminism  
 practical reasoning, 15, 37–38  
 pregnancy  
   burdens and consequences of, 164–65  
   California Penal Code, 265–66  
   feminism and, 149  
   liberty, 108–09  
   in slavery, 164n27  
   state respect for, 159–60  
   teen, 265–66  
   and work, 89, 90–91, 92, 95, *see also* abortion; *Geduldig v. Aiello*; *Griswold v. Connecticut*; *Harris v. McRae*; *Michael M. v. Superior Court*; *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*; *Roe v. Wade*  
 pregnancy discrimination  
   anti-stereotyping, 188  
   anti-subordination, 188

- pregnancy discrimination (*cont.*)
- claims, 148
  - claims in *Geduldig v. Aiello*, 193
  - constitutional standards for review of
    - legislation, 148
  - disability insurance, 187, 192–93
  - in employment, 189
  - Equal Protection Clause, 194, 195–99
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 194
  - gender stereotypes, 194
  - historical context, 187–88, 190–91
  - normal/abnormal pregnancies, 194–95
  - as not sex discrimination, 186–87
  - original opinion in *Geduldig v. Aiello*, 186–87
  - as sex discrimination, 187–88,
    - 195–99, 202–07
  - Title VII, EEOC interpretation, 197
  - 'uniqueness' of pregnancy, 197–98
  - women's experiences, 187–88
- Pregnancy Discrimination Act, 148, 185, 189
- Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, 17, 20, 413
- commentary, 341–45
  - corporate culture, 344–45, 357–59
  - expert witnesses, use of, 342, 344, 351–53,
    - 354, 355, 357, 358
  - femininity, criticism for lack of, 348–49
  - feminist judgment, 344–60
  - gender disparities and bias after, 343–44
  - impact of, 341
  - implicit bias, 343–45, 354–56
  - methods of proof, 356–58
  - mixed motive framework, 342, 349–50,
    - 353–54, 356–58
  - Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*, 409, 413, 421–24
  - partnership candidacy, 347–48
  - performance record of Hopkins, 348
  - sex-linked obstacles faced, 347
  - sex stereotyping, 342–43, 348–49,
    - 351–53, 421–24
  - subconscious bias, 229, 324, 341, 342,
    - 343–45, 354–56, 457, 458, 463–64, 467
  - Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 345
  - tokenism, 341, 345, 346, 346n18, 347, 351,
    - 352–53, 357, 358, 359
- prison guards
- bona fide occupational qualification, 210,
    - 214, 218–27
  - disparate impact analysis, 215–17
  - feminist judgment, 209–27
  - gender and sexual stereotypes,
    - 210–12, 220–22
  - height/weight requirements, 208, 215–17
  - male-on-male rape, 212
  - racism in original decision, 222–23
  - rape, workplace tolerance of risk of, 210
  - sex as BFOQ, 208–09
  - sex/sexuality in original opinion, 223–27
  - sexual harassment, 226–27
  - women's ability as prison guards, 219–23
  - workplace design, 217, 226–27
- privacy rights
- abortion, 147, 154–55, 367, 368–69
  - Griswold v. Connecticut*, 98–99, 100, 166–67
  - marriage, 100, 112
- Privileges and Immunities Clause, 491
- Bradwell v. Illinois*, 63, 66–68
  - constitutional law, 56
- procreation, *see* reproductive rights
- professional appearance, *see* personal appearance
- property interests and protective orders, 518–21
- protective legislation for women
- autonomy in entering into contracts,
    - 84, 87, 95
  - benefits from as burdens, 91–92
  - California Penal Code, 266–68
  - entering wedge strategy, 80–81
  - Lochner v. New York*, 85–88
  - Muller v. Oregon*, 78, 82–83, 88–89
  - sex-based, as oppression, 178–79, 180
  - tailored to specific issues, 92, 95
- Pruitt, Lisa, 21, 362–65
- Purvis, Dara, 18, 169, 171–73
- race/racism
- affirmative action in *Steelworkers v. Weber*, 333–34
  - anti-miscegenation statutes, 117–18, 127–30
  - Civil Rights Act 1866, 63–64, 125
  - Dothard v. Rawlinson*, 210, 222–23
  - Dred Scott v. Sanford*, 130
  - eugenics, 130–31
  - interracial marriage, 127–33
  - intersectionality, 34–35, 309–12
  - Latinas, 26
  - Native American women, 379–80
  - race suicide, 105
  - Racial Integrity Act 1924, 130–33
  - scientific racism, 130–33

- stereotypes, 300, 309–12, *see also*  
 anti-essentialism; anti-miscegenation  
 laws; Crenshaw, Kimberlé;  
 essentialism; Fourteenth Amendment;  
*Loving v. Virginia*; *Meritor Savings  
 Bank v. Vinson*; *Nguyen v. INS*;  
*Planned Parenthood of Southeastern  
 Pennsylvania v. Casey*; *Roe v. Wade*;  
*Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales*;  
 women of color
- Racial Integrity Act 1924, 130–33
- Rackley, Erika, 6
- radical feminism, *see* feminism: radical
- rape  
 abortion following, 249–50  
 age of victim/offender, 269–71  
 California Penal Code, 265–69  
 campus violence and (*see United States v.  
 Morrison*; violence, gender-motivated)  
 forcible, 262–65  
 gender stereotypes and, 223–25  
 improvements in legal system, 265  
 legal system's response to, 263–64  
 male-on-male rape, 212  
 reporting of, 264, 265n24  
 statutory, 257–58, 262, 264–65, 269–71, *see  
 also Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent  
 School District*  
 victimization, male/female differences, 269  
 victim's conduct, scrutiny of, 263  
 workplace tolerance of risk of, 210
- rational basis review, 106, 130–33, 134, 150, 170,  
 171, 175, 186, 187, 194, 199–200, 204,  
 255–56, 274, 281, 293, 467
- Rawls, John, 486, 487
- Reagan, Ronald, President, 41–42
- Rebouché, Rachel, 146
- Reconstruction Amendments, 55
- Reed v. Reed*, 138, 169–70, 174–75, 176, 200,  
 281, 295
- relational feminism, *see* feminism: relational
- religion  
 free exercise of, 244–45  
 neutrality in government, 250–53, *see also*  
 Establishment Clause
- reproductive rights  
 abstention from intercourse, 111  
 birth control, 39–40  
 citizenship, women's, 110–11  
 condoms and male/female  
 inequality, 109–10  
*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 100, 103, 109–13  
 liberty, 108–09  
 married/unmarried individuals, 112–13  
 and sex equality, 188, 189–90, *see also*  
 abortion; Fourteenth Amendment;  
*Geduldig v. Aiello*; *Harris v. McRae*;  
*Planned Parenthood of Southeastern  
 Pennsylvania v. Casey*; *Roe v. Wade*
- responsibility, judicial, 488
- retirement benefits  
 Civil Rights Act 1964 Title VII,  
 229–30, 234–41  
 commentary, 228–33  
 differential, 229–30, 231–32, 233, 234–41  
 feminist judgment, 229–41  
 gender stereotypes, 230–31  
 gendered economic assumptions,  
 230, 233–34  
 inconsistency in precedents, 231–32  
 inequitable remedies, 232  
 original opinion, 228–29  
 remedial relief, 238–41  
 sex discrimination, 231–32
- Rhode, Deborah, 13, 16, 322, 324–27
- rights as relationship, 101
- Roberts, Dorothy, 8
- Robson, Ruthann, 14, 17, 486, 487, 488
- Rodriguez, Daniel, 8
- Roe v. Wade*, 22, 138, 368–69  
 basis of litigation, 152–53  
 commentary, 146–51  
 equal protection approach, 147–49  
 feminist judgment, 151–67, *see also*  
 abortion
- Rosario Lebrón, Aníbal, 13–14, 447–50,  
 451, 452
- Rosenbury, Laura, 14, 17, 22, 99, 101–03
- Ross, Susan Deller, 8
- Rostker v. Goldberg*, 20  
 administrative convenience, 295  
 anti-stereotyping principle, 282–83  
 combat exclusion, 293–94  
 commentary, 272–77  
 equal protection, 272–75  
 feminist judgment, 275–96  
 future registration system, 295–96  
 gender equality at center of, 272  
 gender stereotypes, 276–77, 285–90, 292  
 government, deference to, 283–84  
 harm done by gender stereotypes, 288–90  
 intentional sex discrimination, 293

- Rostker v. Goldberg* (cont.)  
 intermediate scrutiny test, 275, 285,  
 290–91, 293  
 mandatory draft registration, 278–80  
 men, stereotyping, 287–88  
 military context, 283–84  
 Nineteenth Amendment, 286–87  
 original opinion, 272–75  
 standard of review, 280–82  
 women's participation in military service, 278  
 Rubin, Gayle, 449, 461
- Sález, Macarena, 361
- Safford Unified School District v. Redding*,  
 37, 39, 47
- same-sex marriage  
 Due Process Clause, 528, 543–46  
 Equal Protection Clause, 528, 534–43  
 exceedingly persuasive justification, 538  
 feminist attitudes towards, 529–30  
 gender stereotyping, 531–32  
 heightened scrutiny, 537–38  
 impact of *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 527  
 love and commitment in, 532–34  
 marriage equality movement, 527–28  
 parent-child relationships, 141–42,  
 530, 539–43  
 sex classifications, bans on as,  
 534–36, 544–45  
 sexual orientation classifications, bans on  
 as, 536–39, 544–45, *see also* LGBTQI  
 individuals/families; marriage
- same-sex sexual harassment, *see* sexual  
 harassment: same-sex sexual  
 harassment
- Sanders, Shaakirrah, 447
- Sanger, Margaret, 98
- Scalia, Antonin, 326, 335, 386, 408–09, 427,  
 482, 485, 505–06, 529
- Schultz, Vicki, 8, 412
- scientific racism, 130–33
- second-wave feminism, *see* feminism:  
 second-wave
- selection of cases, 6–7
- separate spheres ideology, 59, 74–76, 105,  
 188, 201
- sex discrimination  
 analogy to race discrimination,  
 64–65, 69, 71  
*City of Los Angeles Department of Water &  
 Power v. Manhart*, 231–32
- classifications based on sex, 174–80  
 corporate culture, 344–45, 357–59  
 domestic responsibilities, focus on, 179–80  
 formal equality, 18–19  
 glass ceiling, 341, 345, 346, 400  
 implicit bias, 354–56  
 intentional in *Rostker v. Goldberg*, 293  
 men, impact on, 180  
 mixed motive framework, 342,  
 349–50, 356–58  
 pregnancy discrimination as, 187–88,  
 195–99, 202–07  
 protective legislation, 178–79  
 racism/sexism intersection in  
 anti-miscegenation statutes, 117–18  
 subconscious bias, 229, 324, 341, 342,  
 343–45, 354–56, 457, 458, 463–64, 467  
 Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 345, *see also*  
*Dothard v. Rawlinson*; equal protection;  
 Fourteenth Amendment; *Frontiero v.  
 Richardson*; *Johnson v. Transportation  
 Agency*; *Meritor Savings Bank v.  
 Vinson*; pregnancy discrimination; *Price  
 Waterhouse v. Hopkins*; prison guards;  
 retirement benefits; *Roe v. Wade*; Title  
 VII, Civil Rights Act 1964; Virginia  
 Military Institute (VMI)
- sex neutral approach, limitations of, 18–19
- sex positive feminism, 22, *see also* feminism
- sex segregation, 209–10, 315–16, 317,  
 318, 335–36
- sex stereotyping  
 expert witnesses, use of, 351–53  
 methods of proof, 356–58  
*Nguyen v. INS*, 478–79  
*Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services,  
 Inc.*, 413–14, 421–24  
*Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, 348–49,  
 351–53, 421–24  
 same-sex sexual harassment, 413–14  
 unwed parents, 478–79  
*U.S. v. Virginia*, 387, 390, 393–94, *see also*  
 gender stereotypes
- sex vs. racial classifications  
 constitutional equality, 64–65, 69, 71, 170  
*Frontiero v. Richardson*, 172, 175, 178
- sexual assault  
 college campuses and (*see United States v.  
 Morrison*; violence, gender-motivated)  
 gender stereotypes and, 223–25, *see also*  
*Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent*



- School District; Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson; Michael M. v. Superior Court; Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*; rape
- sexual autonomy, 101, 486–88, 490–96
- sexual freedom, *see* liberty
- sexual harassment
- actionable, 297–98
  - employer liability for, 298–99, 302, 307–08, 319–21
  - exclusion of other women's testimony, 317–18
  - hostile work environment, 297–98, 300–01, 308–09, 314–19, 417–18
  - intersection of race/gender/rape, 297, 300, 309–12
  - personal appearance, 298, 301, 306, 318–19
  - provocative speech by complainant, 302, 319
  - quid pro quo*, 298, 302, 308, 312–14
  - racial stereotypes, 300, 309–12
  - same-sex sexual harassment, *see also Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*
    - as actionable, 410
    - 'because of sex' requirement, 408, 409, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416–24
    - burden of proof, 418–19, 424
    - Civil Rights Act 1964 Title VII, 416, 417–18
    - hostile work environment, 417–18
    - hostility as motivation, 410, 412–13, 419–20
    - masculinity theory, 413, 421–24
    - policing gender roles, 420
    - sex stereotyping, 413–14
    - Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 408
  - tangible or economic injury, 314
  - Title IX Education Amendments of 1972, 298–99, 302, 307–08, 426
  - Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964, 226–27, 297, 303, 308, 317
  - welcomeness and mode of dress, 298, 301, 306, 318–19
  - welcomeness requirement, 301, 316–17, *see also Dothard v. Rawlinson; Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District; Johnson v. Transportation Agency; Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson; Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*; same-sex sexual harassment; Virginia Military Institute (VMI)
- sexual liberty, *see* liberty
- sexual orientation
- classifications, bans on same-sex marriage as, 536–39, 544–45, *see also* LGBTQI individuals/families; same-sex marriage
- sexual pluralism, 99, 103
- sexual relationships
- Fourteenth Amendment, 108
  - Griswold v. Connecticut*, 101–02, 107–09
- sexuality
- Dothard v. Rawlinson*, original opinion treatment of, 223–27
  - essentialism, 210, 223–25
  - legislative changes 1970s, 138–39
  - radical feminism, 32
  - spectrum theory, 419, *see also Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District; Griswold v. Connecticut; LGBTQI individuals/families; Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson; Michael M. v. Superior Court; Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.; Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey; Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins; Roe v. Wade; Virginia Military Institute (VMI)*
  - Shahar v. Bowers*, 502
  - Siegel, Reva, 210, 276n24, 510
  - Simon, Michelle S., 426
  - single motive framework, 356–58
  - situated perspective of decision-makers, 4–5, 9, 44<sup>8</sup>
  - Slaughter-House cases*, 57, 58, 61–62, 63, 64, 65, 66–67, 68–69, 70, 71–73
- slavery, 63–64
- anti-miscegenation laws, 124–25
  - marriage in, 123–27
  - pregnancy during, 164n27
- Smith, Brenda, 208
- social science, use in judicial opinions, 20, 78, 80, 352
- socialist feminism, *see* feminism: Marxist and socialist
- socioeconomic class
- abortion, 155
  - feminism and, 30, 32
  - intersectionality, 21, 34–35
  - judiciary, 377
- sodomy/sodomy laws, 270, 270n30, 410, 486, 488, 492–93, 494, 499, 500–01

- Sotomayor, Sonia, 24, 36, 43
- Spade, Dean, 538
- spectrum theory, 419
- Stanchi, Kathryn M., 6, 7, 23
- Stanley v. Georgia*, 154, 180
- Stanley v. Illinois*, 483
- commentary, 137–39
  - feminist concurrence, 139–45
  - formal equality, 140–41
  - gender stereotypes, 144
  - men, stereotyping, 287
  - responsibility for care of children, 139–45
  - story of, 137–38
- stare decisis*, 4, 5, 9, 369, 500–03
- statutory rape, 257–58, 262, 264–65, 269–71, *see also Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District*; rape
- Steelworkers v. Weber*, 333–34
- stereotypes, *see* gender stereotypes; race/ racism: stereotypes; sex stereotyping
- Stevens, John Paul, 228, 232, 268, 270, 271, 363, 364, 369, 427, 428, 431, 433, 443–44, 446, 482, 506
- storytelling, *see* narrative
- strict scrutiny, 118, 135, 147, 149, 169–71, 172–73, 180, 200, 201, 275, 280, 281, 283, 362, 363, 368, 369, 375, 380, 388, 390, 397, 401, 490, 493, 539
- structural inequalities, 32
- subconscious bias, 229, 324, 341, 343–45, 354–56, 457, 458, 463–64, 467
- substantive due process, 87–88
- substantive equality, 49, 149, 260, 262, 269
- suffrage, woman, 55, 55n2
- Supreme Court, use of cases from, 7
- third-wave feminism, *see* feminism
- Thirteenth Amendment, 125
- Thomas, Tracy, 17, 229–33
- Tiscione, Kristen Konrad, 297
- Title IX Education Amendments
- of 1972
  - actual notice standard, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430–31, 432, 433, 441
  - agency standard, 426, 428, 430, 431, 432, 444–45
  - deliberate indifference standard, 427, 429, 430–31, 432, 433, 443, 515
  - Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District*, 426, 427
  - respondent superior liability, 298–99, 302, 307–08
  - sexual harassment, 298–99, 302, 307–08, 426
  - Virginia Military Institute (VMI), 384
- Title VII, Civil Rights Act 1964
- affirmative action, 216, 239, 333–34
  - ‘because of sex’ requirement, 231, 408, 409, 412, 413, 414, 415, 418–24
  - bona fide occupational qualification, 208–09, 210, 213, 214, 218–27
  - burden of proof, 418–19
  - constitutional standards for review of legislation, 232
  - differential retirement benefits, 229–30, 231–32, 233, 236–41
  - EEOC interpretation, 197
  - gender stereotypes, 208–09, 218, 220–22, 344, 354, 359, 409, 413, 422
  - Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson*, 308
  - purpose of, 213–14
  - remedial relief, 239, 240–41
  - same-sex sexual harassment, 408
  - sex discrimination, 197, 231–32, 345
  - sexual harassment, 226–27, 297, 303, 308, 317, 416, 417–18
  - standard of review, 232, 239
  - tangible or economic injury, 314
  - tort law, 349–50, *see also Dothard v. Rawlinson*; *Johnson v. Transportation Agency*; *Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc.*; *Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*; Virginia Military Institute (VMI)
- tokenism, 341, 345, 346, 347, 351, 352–53, 357, 358, 359
- Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales*
- commentary, 504–08
  - discretion, police, in enforcement of order, 521–23
  - Due Process Clause, 505–06, 508–09, 515–18
  - feminist judgment, 507–26
  - gender stereotyping, 508
  - history of domestic violence, 509–11
  - impact of, 504
  - mandatory arrest policy, 508
  - protected property interests, 518–21
  - Protective Orders, enforcement of, 507–08
  - restraining order, failure to enforce, 505–09, 511–13, 514–18
  - story of, 504–05, 508, 513–15
- trimester framework, pregnancy, 147, 151, 156–57, 369

- Union Pacific Railway Co. v. Botsford*, 154
- United States v. Carolene Products*, 176
- United States v. Lopez*, 448–49, 460
- United States v. Morrison*, 13–14, 511
- campus violence against women, 450, 452
  - commentary, 447–52
  - Commerce Clause, 447, 448–50, 452–53, 456, 458–59, 460, 467
  - economic domination, gender-motivated violence as, 448, 452–53, 455–56, 458–62
  - Enforcement Clause, 450–51, 464
  - Equal Protection Clause, 463–64
  - feminist judgment, 447–67
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 462–65
  - human rights, 451, 453, 465–66
  - implicit bias against women, 463–64, 467
  - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 451, 453, 465–66
  - lack of appropriate responses to gender-motivated violence, 452
  - public/private distinction, 453, 462–65
  - situated perspective, 448
  - story of, 453–56
  - Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 451, 452, 453, 456, 457, 458, 460, 466
- United States v. Virginia*, 17, 19
- citizenship and subordination of women, 388, 390
  - commentary, 384–89
  - constitutional standards for review of legislation, 386, 388, 389, 392–93, 396–401
  - Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, 389
  - equality/difference debate, 387
  - exclusion of women, 388, 389, 390–92
  - feminist judgment, 386–407
  - feminist legal methods and, 386–87
  - integration of women as remedy, 388–89, 390, 401–03
  - legacy of, 384
  - original opinion, 385–86
  - stereotyping, 387, 390, 393–94
  - subordination of women, 388, 394–96
  - Supreme Court decision, 385–86
  - Title IX Education Amendments of 1972, 384
  - VWIL as compounding problem, 390, 393, 401–03
- United States v. Windsor*
- related cases, 485
- U.S. Dep't of Agric. v. Moreno*, 254–55
- Venter, Christine, 384
- violence, gender-motivated
- college women, 450
  - Commerce Clause, 447, 448–50, 452–53, 456, 458–59, 460, 467
  - as economic domination, 448, 452–53, 455–56, 458–62
  - Enforcement Clause, 464
  - extent of, 456–57
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 462–65
  - as human rights issue, 451, 453, 465–66
  - implicit bias against women, 457, 463–64, 467
  - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 451, 453, 465–66
  - lack of appropriate responses to, 452, 457
  - as preserving male domination, 449–50
  - public/private distinction, 453, 462–65
  - separate spheres ideology, 453
  - Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 451, 452, 453, 456, 457, 458, 460, 466, *see also United States v. Morrison*
- Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 447, 451, 452, 453, 456, 457, 458, 460, 466, 510, 511
- Virginia*, *see Loving v. Virginia*; *United States v. Virginia*; Virginia Military Institute (VMI)
- Virginia Military Institute (VMI), 384
- basis of, 385
  - creation of VWIL, 385, 392–93
  - equality/difference debate, 387
  - exclusion of women, 388, 389, 390–92
  - integration of women as remedy, 388–89, 390, 401–03
  - stereotyping, 387
  - subordination of women, 394–96
  - Title IX Education Amendments of 1972, 384
  - VWIL inequality with, 386, *see also U.S. v. Virginia*
- Virginia Women's Institute for Leadership (VWIL), 17
- as compounding problem, 390, 393, 401–03
  - creation, 385, 392–93
  - equality/difference debate, 387
  - inequality with VMI, 386
  - stereotyping, 387, *see also U.S. v. Virginia*
- Vojdik, Valorie, 17, 19, 386, 387–89

wage gap, 182

*Walls v. City of Petersburg*, 502

Warren, Earl, 114, 116

*Washington v. Davis*, 215–16

*Washington v. Glucksberg*, 494–96

wave metaphor, 27–28

*Weber, Steelworkers v.*, 333–34

*Weinberger v. Wiesenfeld*, 286

welcomeness requirement

personal appearance, 298, 301–02, 306

sexual harassment, 298, 301, 306, 316–17

*Wenham v. State*, 95

West, Robin, 8

*Wheaton College v. Burwell*, 39–40

White, James Boyd, 265, 274, 433

Williams, Verna, 311, 8

Williams, Wendy, 400

Wollstonecraft, Mary, 30–31, 46, 48

‘woman question’, 26–27, 37, 38, 48, 362

womanist, 26

women of color

feminism, 34–35

*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 102

womanist, 26, *see also* anti-miscegenation

laws; *Loving v. Virginia*; race/racism

Women’s Court of Canada, 6, 6n6

women’s suffrage movement, *see* suffrage, woman

working hours/conditions, *see Muller*

*v. Oregon*

workplace design, 217, 226–27, *see also*

corporate culture

*Wygant v. Jackson Board of Education*, 333

Xicanista feminism, 26

Ziegler, Mary, 242