

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12543-8 - The Constitutional Protection of Private Property in China:
Historical Evolution and Comparative Research

Chuanhui Wang

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>List of figures and tables</i> | page ix |
| <i>Foreword</i> | x |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | xi |
| <i>List of abbreviations</i> | xiv |
| <i>Cases and incidents</i> | xv |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 2 Private property and its constitutionalisation | 11 |
| 2.1 The perspectives of property | 11 |
| 2.2 Private property and its justifications | 17 |
| 2.3 Constitutionalising property or not: theoretical analysis | 23 |
| 2.4 Constitutionalising property or not: country practice | 26 |
| 2.5 Comparative analysis on the constitutionalisation of private property | 38 |
| 3 The private property protection in China's constitutions: a historical review | 45 |
| 3.1 Land reform in the New China | 45 |
| 3.2 The evolution of private property in the constitutions | 60 |
| 3.3 The party-leading route of China's constitutional change | 66 |
| 3.4 The current constitutional framework of private property protection | 69 |
| 3.5 The constitutional restraints against governmental taking | 72 |
| 3.6 Evolution in the era of globalisation: the inherent change of social forces | 78 |
| 4 The symbolised public interest | 98 |
| 4.1 The United States: public use | 98 |
| 4.2 Germany: public good test | 103 |
| 4.3 The anatomy of the public interest doctrine | 106 |
| 4.4 Public interest in the Chinese context | 121 |

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-12543-8 - The Constitutional Protection of Private Property in China:
Historical Evolution and Comparative Research

Chuanhui Wang

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4.5 | Economic development as public purpose | 139 |
| 4.6 | Reforming the public interest doctrine in China | 168 |
| 5 | Unjust compensation | 176 |
| 5.1 | To compensate: why and what? | 176 |
| 5.2 | The United States: fair market value as the test for just compensation | 179 |
| 5.3 | Germany: the linking clause and equitable balance | 183 |
| 5.4 | India: compensation without constitutional restraint | 186 |
| 5.5 | China | 194 |
| 5.6 | Reform towards full compensation: India versus China | 233 |
| 6 | Ineffective procedural and institutional mechanisms | 246 |
| 6.1 | The United States: due process | 247 |
| 6.2 | Germany and India: authorisation mode | 255 |
| 6.3 | China | 261 |
| 6.4 | Constitutional review | 279 |
| 7 | Concluding remarks and suggested reform measures | 301 |
| 7.1 | Concluding remarks | 301 |
| 7.2 | Suggestions for reform | 309 |
| | <i>Bibliography</i> | 323 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 340 |