

Index

- Abnormally dangerous activities, 275–283
 appropriateness, 278, 279, 280, 282–283
 assumption of risk and, 278, 282–283
 blasting as, 275, 276, 277
Cambridge Water Co. v. Eastern Counties Leather PLC, 277
 commonality, 278, 279, 280
 contributory negligence and, 279
 defamation compared, 303–304, 311–312, 325
 foreseeability and, 276–277, 283
Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad Co. v. American Cyanamid Co., 282–283
 intervening causes and, 276–277
Madras Railway Co. v. The Zemindar of Carvatenagaram, 282
Madsen v. East Jordan Irrigation Co., 276
 overview, 8
 reasonableness factors, 278
 residual risk factors, 277–278, 280
res ipsa loquitur and, 283
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 276, 277–279, 282–283, 303, 311–312
 Restatement (Third) of Torts, 280
Rickards v. Lothian, 279–280
 risk-benefit analysis, 278–279, 280, 281–282
Rylands v. Fletcher, 275–283
Siegler v. Kuhlman, 283
Strawbridge v. City of Philadelphia, 281–282
 unusually sensitive plaintiffs, 277
Yukon Equipment v. Fireman's Fund Insurance Co., 276–277
- Absolute immunity, consent as defense to battery, 64–65
 Absolute privilege as defense to defamation, 316–319
- Abuse of discretion standard, nonpecuniary damages, 388–389
 Acquiescence, false imprisonment, 75–78
 Activity level, 16–19
 external benefits, 18
 external costs, 18
 marginal analysis, 16
 marginal private benefit, 16
 marginal private cost, 16–17
 marginal social cost, 17
 missing markets, 19
 “no duty to rescue” rule, 256
 privately optimal activity level, 17
 products liability and, 335, 346–347
 public good, 19
 socially optimal activity level, 18
 strict liability and, 18, 95, 97–98
- Act of God exception, strict liability, 93–94
Ad coelum rule, 55
 Adhesion contracts, assumption of risk, 168
 Administration, reasonable person standard, 108–109
 Administrative costs, products liability and, 336
 Adverse possession, 74
 Adverse selection in insurance market, 426
 Aesthetic interferences as nuisance, 292–294
 Alternative equilibrium, 339
 American rule, attorneys’ fees, 415–416, 418
 Amusement parks, assumption of risk, 165–166
 Animals
 assumption of risk and, 299–300
 contributory negligence and, 299–300
 risk-benefit analysis, 299
 strict liability and, 298–300
- Annuities, 391
 Antitrust law, defamation compared, 307–308

- Arbitration, waiver of tort rights, 422–423
 Aristotle, 22–23
 Asbestos cases, substantial factor test, 205–207
 Assault, 86–87
 Brower v. Ackerley, 86
 conduct requirement, 86
 defined, 86
 intent to harm, 86, 90
 specific intent, 90
 speech and, 87
 Assumption of risk
 abnormally dangerous activities and, 278, 282–283
 adhesion contracts, 168
 amusement parks, 165–166
 animals and, 299–300
 comparative negligence and, 171–172
 consumption cases, 165–166
 contract law and, 168–169
 custom and, 124
 Dalury v. S-K-I Ltd., 167
 defamation, as defense to, 304, 314–315
 employment cases, 158–163
 exculpatory overbreadth, 168
 express assumption of risk, 166–169
 Farwell v. Boston & Worcester R.R. Corp., 160–161
 fellow servant rule, 160–163
 fraud and, 168–169
 implied assumption of risk, 96, 312–313
 imputed contributory negligence, 163–165
 insanity as defense, 66–67
 Lamson v. American Axe & Tool Co., 159–160
 landowners and, 268
 Little v. Hackett, 163
 Mayhew v. Sullivan, 266
 McGuire v. Almy, 266
 Mills v. Armstrong, 163
 misrepresentation and, 168–169
 Murphy v. Steeplechase Amusement Co., 165–166
 “no duty to rescue” rule and, 265, 266, 267
 nuisance and, 290–291
 overview, 7, 147
 Pomeroy v. Westfield, 160
 primary assumption of risk, 171, 344
 products liability and, 343–344
 public policy exception, 167–168
 Russo v. The Range, Inc., 168–169
 secondary assumption of risk, 171–172, 343–344
 strict liability and, 96
 Thorogood v. Bryan, 163–165
 Tunkl v. Regents of Univ. of California, 167
 unconscionability, 168
 vicarious liability, 162
 waiver of tort rights and, 166–169
 Winterstein v. Wilcom, 166–167
 Attorneys, absolute privilege for defamation, 319
 Attorneys’ fees, 415–416, 418
 Automatic conduct, 89
 Bailment, 274
 Barratry, 428
 Baseline probabilities, *res ipsa loquitur*, 139, 140, 142–143
 Battery
 Aiken v. Holyoke Street Ry. Co., 85
 consent as defense (*See* Consent as defense)
 Fisher v. Carrousel Motor Hotel, Inc., 85
 foreseeability, 51–52
 Garratt v. Dailey, 52–53
 harmful activity, 54
 implied license, 51
 insanity as defense, 65–67
 intent, 52–53
 intent to harm, 50, 60
 internalization principle and, 50–51
 medical battery, 59–61 (*See also* Medical battery)
 overview, 49–52
 punitive damages, 404
 transferred intent, 53–54
 trespass compared, 56
 volitional conduct, 89
 Vosburg v. Putney, 49–52
 willful battery, 85
 Bayes Theorem
 res ipsa loquitur, 139–140
 substantial factor test, 206–207
 Benefit externalization, 95–97
 Bentham, Jeremy, 1, 29–30, 40
 Blackburn, Colin, 93
 Blackstone, William, 28–29, 36, 40–41, 42, 168
 Blasting as abnormally dangerous activities, 275, 276, 277
 Blindness, reasonable person standard, 111
 Blood transfusions, products liability, 343
 “Borrowed servants,” vicarious liability, 188–189
 Boxing, consent as defense to battery, 64
 BPL Test, 113–114
 Bramwell, George (Baron), 290
 Breach of duty
 contributory negligence, 149–151
 negligence, 100
 products liability, 367
 British rule, attorneys’ fees, 415–416, 418
 Brown, John Prather, 175

- Burden of proof
 defamation, 311, 316, 325
 negligence *per se*, 130–131
res ipsa loquitur, 138–139, 140
 substantial factor test, 204
- Business of selling, products liability, 341
- But-for test
 conditional torts, 217
 death, inevitability of, 195–196
 as default test, 198
 independent torts, 216
 irrelevance of negligence, 197
 overview, 195
Perkins v. Texas and New Orleans Railway Co., 197, 200
 specificity principle, 196
 substantial factor test compared, 200–201
 superseding causes, 198
 types of cases, 196–197
- Bystander recovery rule, 251–252
- Calabresi, Guido
 on factual causation, 203–204
 “law and economics” approach and, 2
 on nonpecuniary damages, 381–382
 on nuisance, 284, 296
 on products liability, 336
 on property rules and liability rules, 24, 25, 26
- Cardozo, Benjamin
 on assumption of risk, 165
 on factual causation, 204
 natural law and, 30–31
 on “no duty to rescue” rule, 262
 on products liability, 332, 334
 on proximate cause, 234, 247, 248
- Care, duty of, *res ipsa loquitur*, 142–143
- Care level
 diagrams, use of, 14–16
 economics and, 11–14
 expected value of loss, 11
 marginal analysis, 11
 marginal private benefit, 11–12, 14–15
 marginal social benefit, 12, 15
 moral reasoning approach and, 20–24
 negligence and, 14, 16
 “no duty to rescue” rule, 256
 privately optimal care level, 12–13, 15–16
 products liability and, 346–347
 socially optimal care level, 12–13, 16
 strict liability and, 13–14, 16, 97–98
- Causation
 but-for test (*See* But-for test)
 contributory negligence generally, 151
 damages and, 396–399
 direct causation, 243–247 (*See also* Direct causation)
 factual causation (*See* Factual causation)
 negligence, 100
 proximate cause (*See* Proximate cause)
 substantial factor test (*See* Substantial factor test)
- Champerty, 428
- Charitable immunity, 193–194
- Chattels
 defense of property, 73–75
 trespass to, 56–58, 272, 273–275
- Children and minors
 consent as defense, 62
 reasonable person standard, 109, 110–111
 wrongful death, 395–396
- Choice of law, reasonable person standard and, 109
- Circumstantial evidence of negligence. *See Res ipsa loquitur*
- Civil penalties, punitive damages in relation to, 407, 408, 409, 410
- Class actions
 damages and, 414–415
 deterrence and, 414
 economic analysis of, 414–415
 litigation costs, 414–415
 market share liability, 225–226
 waiver of tort rights, 423
- Coase, Ronald, 26
- Coase Theorem, 26–28
- Collateral source rule, 399–401
 deterrence and, 399–401
 double recovery, 399–400
Harding v. Town of Townshend, 399
 insurance and, 399–401
The Propeller Monticello v. Mollison, 399
 strict liability and, 400
- Coming to nuisance, 294–295
- Commentaries on the Laws of England* (Blackstone), 28–29
- Common carriers, “no duty to rescue” rule, 262–264
- Common knowledge, *res ipsa loquitur*, 144–145
- Common law, tort law in, 4–5
The Common Law (Holmes), 2
- Comparative negligence, 170–172
 assumption of risk and, 171–172
 contributory negligence versus, 157
 damages, 170–171
 Hand Formula, 172
 incentives for care, 172, 175–177
 intent to harm and, 172
 modified comparative fault, 171

- Comparative negligence (*cont.*)
 overview, 7, 170
 pure comparative fault, 171
 recklessness and, 172
 single victim cases, 177–179
- Compensated injunctions, 295–296
- Compensatory damages, 377–379
 deterrence and, 383
 economic loss rule and, 377–378
ex ante perspective, 380–381
ex post perspective, 380–381
 liability rules and, 381–382
 negligence, 383
 nonpecuniary damages, 383–390 (*See also*
 Nonpecuniary damages)
 overview, 377
 pecuniary damages, 377–378
 property rules and, 381–382
 punitive damages in relation to, 407, 408, 409,
 410–411
 restorative purpose, 377, 380–381
 strict liability, 383
 trespass, 381–382
 utility and, 379–383
 wrongful death, 390
- Compulsive conduct, 89
- Concert of action, joint and several liability,
 180–181
- Concurrent tortfeasors
Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste.
Marie Railway Co., 212–215
 joint and several liability, 181, 213
Kingston v. Chicago & Northwest Railway Co.,
 212–215
 substantial factor test, 198–199, 213–215
- Conditional torts, factual causation, 217
- Conflict preemption, 372
- Consent as defense
 absolute immunity, 64–65
 boxing, 64
 children and minors, 62
 defamation, 314–315
 defense of property and, 74–75
 duress, 61–62
 fetuses, 63
 football, 64
 implied consent (*See* Implied consent)
 limits of, 61–63
 medical battery, 59, 62
 mutual combat exception, 63–65
 nature of act, 61
 overview, 58–59
 qualified immunity, 64–65
 Restatement (First) of Torts, 63
 sports, 64–65
 unborn children, 63
- Consequentialism, 20
- Conspiracy, joint and several liability, 180
- Constitution
 conflict preemption, 372
 conversion and, 273
 defamation and, 321–325, 330–331
 punitive damages and, 406, 408, 410, 410
 taking of property, 84
- Consumer expectations test for products liability
 both tests, application of, 362–363
 demand curve, 354, 355
 design defects, 350–351
 economics and, 354–356
 hybrid test, application of, 361–362
 implementation of, 360–363
 incentives and, 359–360
 inherent unobservable risk and, 358–359
 insurance and, 356
 limited applicability of, 363
 market equilibrium, 354–355
 obvious and inherent risks, 365, 366
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 340, 350
 risk-utility test compared, 357–360
- Consumer Product Safety Commission, 371
- Consumption, assumption of risk and, 165–166
- Consumption effect, 339–340
- Contract law
 assumption of risk and, 168–169
 tort law versus, 4–5
- Contribution among joint tortfeasors, 181
- Contributory negligence
 abnormally dangerous activities and, 279
 animals and, 299–300
 both factual causation and proximate cause,
 153–154
 breach of duty, 149–151
 car wash hypothetical, 152–153, 154
 causation generally, 151
 comparative negligence versus, 157
 damages and, 154–157
 eggshell skull rule, 156–157
 employment cases, 150–151
 experts and, 156
 factual causation but no proximate cause, 152,
 153
Greenland v. Chaplin, 147–148
Gyerman v. United States Lines Co., 153–154
 Hand Formula, 156
 imputed contributory negligence, 163–165
 incentives for care, 173–175
 invasion of property and, 149
 last clear chance doctrine, 157–158

- LeRoy Fiber Co. v. Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Ry.*, 149
- Mahoney v. Beatman*, 154–155, 156, 177–179
- Nash equilibrium, 175
- overview, 7, 147–148
- products liability and, 343
- proximate cause but no factual causation, 152–153
- reasonable care, 147–148
- reasonable person standard, 149–150
- rescue and, 148–149
- res ipsa loquitur* compared, 150
- seat belt defense cases, 156, 179
- single victim cases, 177–179
- Smithwick v. Hall & Upson Co.*, 152, 153, 154
- sprinkler defense cases, 156, 179
- strict liability and, 155–156
- unusually sensitive plaintiffs, 156–157
- Vosburg v. Putney*, 148
- warnings, products liability, 370–371
- Conversion, 272–275
- First Amendment and, 273
- FMC Corp. v. Capital/ABC Inc.*, 273
- trespass to chattels versus, 273–275
- Coordination, reasonable person standard, 108–109
- Criminal law
- “no duty to rescue” rule and, 265–267
- tort law versus, 4–5
- Cumulative torts, factual causation, 217–220
- Curren, Christopher, 176–177
- Custom, reasonable person standard and, 123–128
- assumption of risk, 124
- Canterbury v. Spence*, 127
- Hand Formula, 128
- Helling v. Carey*, 127–128
- Holland v. Tennessee Coal, Iron & R.R. Co.*, 126
- implied consent, 124
- industry standards, 123–128
- informational asymmetry, 124–126
- Mayhew v. Sullivan*, 125–126
- medical malpractice, 123, 127–128
- overview, 122–123
- Texas & Pacific Railway Co. v. Behymer*, 126
- Titus v. Bradford, B. & K.R. Co.*, 123–124
- The T.J. Hooper*, 126–127, 128
- workers’ compensation, 123
- Damages
- attorneys’ fees and, 415–416, 418
- causation and, 396–399
- class actions and, 414–415
- collateral source rule, 399–401 (*See also* Collateral source rule)
- comparative negligence, 170–171
- compensatory damages, 377–379
- contributory negligence and, 154–157
- defamation, 309–311
- deterrence and, 383, 399
- economic loss rule and, 377–378
- emotional injury, 250–252
- ex ante* perspective, 380–381
- expected net payoff, 412–413
- expected value of loss, 412–413
- ex post* perspective, 380–381
- factual causation and, 396–399
- false imprisonment, 77
- injunctions versus, 429–431
- intentional infliction of emotional distress, 87–88
- liability rules and, 381–382
- litigation costs and, 412–414
- lost chance doctrine, 397–399
- medical malpractice, 387–388, 396–399
- negligence, 100–101, 383
- nonpecuniary damages, 383–390 (*See also* Nonpecuniary damages)
- nuisance, 286–287, 289–290, 295–298
- overview, 8, 377
- pecuniary damages, 377–378
- property rules and, 381–382
- proximate cause and, 154–157
- settlements and, 416–418
- strict liability, 383
- trespass, 56–58, 381–382
- trial outcome and, 419–420
- utility and, 379–383
- waiver of tort rights, 420–423 (*See also* Waiver of tort rights)
- willful, wanton or reckless conduct, 86
- wrongful death, 392, 394–395
- Deadly force, defense of property, 70–73
- Death
- defamation and, 309
- wrongful death, 390–396 (*See also* Wrongful death)
- Defamation
- abnormally dangerous activities compared, 303–304, 311–312, 325
- absolute privilege as defense, 316–319
- antitrust law compared, 307–308
- assumption of risk as defense, 304, 314–315
- attorneys, absolute privilege, 319
- Bindrim v. Mitchell*, 307
- Bottomley v. F.W. Woolworth*, 306
- burden of proof, 311, 316, 325

Defamation (*cont.*)

Cambridge Water Co. v. Eastern Counties Leather PLC, 306
Cinquanta v. Burdett, 313
 consent as defense, 314–315
 costs of false expression, 326–327, 329
Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts, 323
 damages, 309–311
 dangerous agent, 305–306
 death and, 309
 defense of others and, 320
 defenses, 311–312
E. Hulton & Co. v. Jones, 306–307
 effect of, 306–309
 esteem, injury to, 301–302
 evolution of, 327–328
 First Amendment and, 321–325, 330–331
 foreseeability and, 306–309, 311
 functionalist approach to tort law, 302
Gambardella v. Apple Health Care, Inc., 320–321
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc., 324–325
 harm requirement, 304–305, 309–311
Haycox v. Dunn, 313
 imperfect information and, 327
 implied assumption of risk and, 312–313
 intentional infliction of emotional distress compared, 326
 intent to harm, 330–331
 intracorporate communication and, 318–319, 320, 329
Jews for Jesus, Inc. v. Rapp, 308–309
 joint interest communication and, 320
 judges, absolute privilege, 319, 329
Kevorkian v. American Medical Association, 312
Kilian v. Doubleday & Co., Inc., 317–318
 legislators, absolute privilege, 319, 329
 level of liability, 302, 325–330, 331
 libel, 305–306, 310–311
 malice and, 309, 314, 317, 318–319, 320–322
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 321–323
 nuisance compared, 305
 other torts compared, 302
 overview, 8, 301–303
per quod defamation, 305–306, 311
per se defamation, 305–306, 310, 312, 313
Philadelphia Newspapers, Inc. v. Hepps, 325
 privilege as defense, 304, 315–321 (*See also* Privilege as defense to defamation)
 prosecutors, absolute privilege, 319
 proximate cause and, 309
 of public figures, 323–325
 of public officials, 321–323

qualified privilege as defense, 320–321, 329–330
 reasonable person standard, 307
 reciprocity as defense, 304, 312–314, 320
 relationship-based privilege as defense, 318–319
 relevant community, 307–309
Rylands v. Fletcher, 302, 325, 330
Saunders v. Board of Directors, WHYY-TV, 308
 self-defense and, 312–313, 320
 slander, 305–306, 310–311
Smith v. Byrd, 317–318
 social construct, esteem as, 301–302
 social value and, 304, 315–321
 special damages, 310
 spousal privilege and, 318
 strict liability torts compared, 303–304
Terwilliger v. Wands, 310
 truth as defense, 316–318
 truthful information as public good, 325–326
 unusually sensitive plaintiffs and, 309
 utilitarian approach to tort law, 310–311, 323, 325
 words, 305–306
 Defense of others, defamation and, 320
 Defense of property
 adverse possession, 74
Bird v. Holbrook, 70–72, 113
 chattels, 73–75
 consent and, 74–75
 deadly force, 70–73
 deterrents versus traps, 71–72
 dogs, 71–72
Green v. Goddard, 69–70
Katko v. Briney, 73
Kirby v. Foster, 73–75
 laches, 75
M'Ivov v. Cockran, 70
 necessity defense compared, 80–81, 82
Palmer v. Gordon, 71
 personal property, 73–75
 real property, 69–73
 reasonable force, 70, 73, 74
 reasonable perception, 73–74
 reasonable person standard, 69
 request to leave, 70
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 72
 spring guns, 70–73
 Defenses. *See also specific defense*
 defamation, 311–312
 products liability, 344–349
 Demand curve, products liability and consumer expectations test, 354, 355

- overview, 337–338
- risk-utility test, 356–357
- Demurrer, 36, 37–38
- Deontological ethics, 20
- Design defects, products liability, 340, 350–353
- Deterrence
 - class actions and, 414
 - collateral source rule and, 399–401
 - compensatory damages and, 383
 - damages and, 383, 399
 - factual causation and, 221
 - litigation costs and, 413–414
 - products liability and, 335, 346–347
 - punitive damages and, 401–406, 408–409, 411
 - reasonable person standard, 108–109
- Direct causation, 243–247
 - foreseeability and, 245
 - Hadley v. Baxendale*, 246
 - intervening causes and, 244–245, 246
 - Petition of Kinsman Transit Co.*, 246–247
 - remoteness and, 245
 - In re Polemis & Furness, Withy & Co.*, 245–247
 - Scott v. Shepherd*, 244–245
 - unusually sensitive plaintiffs, 246
 - Vosburg v. Putney*, 246
 - Wagon Mound No. 1*, 246–247
 - Wagon Mound No. 2*, 246–247
- Disability, reasonable person standard, 109–111
- Disabling avoidance of harm
 - “foreseeability plus,” 240–241
 - intervening causes, 240–241
 - “no duty to rescue” rule, 259–260
- Dogs
 - defense of property, 71–72
 - strict liability, 299–300
- Domestic animals, strict liability, 299–300
- Due Process Clause, punitive damages and, 406, 408, 410
- Duty
 - emotional injury and, 250–252
 - negligence, 100
 - products liability, 368
 - proximate cause, duty analysis, 247–250
 - reasonable care, 148–149
- Duty of care, *res ipsa loquitur*, 142–143
- Duty to warn, products liability. *See* Warnings, products liability
- Economic growth, nuisance and, 289
- Economic loss rule
 - damages and, 377–378
 - as defense to products liability, 344–346
- Economics, tort law and
 - activity level, 16–19 (*See also* Activity level)
 - attorneys’ fees, 415–416, 418
 - care level, 11–14 (*See also* Care level)
 - class actions, 414–415
 - consumer expectations test for products liability, 354–356
 - game theory, 6
 - Hand Formula, 118–121
 - insurance, 425–426
 - litigation costs, 412–413
 - moral reasoning approach versus, 20–22
 - overview, 6
 - policy and, 10–19
 - products liability, 335–336
 - reasonable person standard, 103–106, 121
 - risk-utility test for products liability, 356–360
 - settlements, 416–418
 - subrogation, 423–425
 - third parties, sale of tort rights to, 426–428
 - trial outcome and, 419–420
 - waiver of tort rights, 420–423
- Eggshell skull rule, 156–157
- Elderly persons, reasonable person standard, 110
- Emergencies
 - intervening causes, 233–235
 - necessity defense, existence of emergency, 80
- Emotional injury
 - bystander recovery rule, 251–252
 - damages, 250–252
 - duty and, 250–252
 - intentional infliction of emotional distress (*See* Intentional infliction of emotional distress)
 - nonpecuniary damages, 383–390 (*See also* Nonpecuniary damages)
 - physical impact rule, 250
 - proximate cause, 250–252
 - zone of danger test, 251
- Employment
 - assumption of risk in, 158–163
 - contributory negligence in, 150–151
 - direct negligence of employer, 191–193
 - fellow servant rule, 160–163
 - frolic and detour, 192–193
 - judgment-proof employee problem, 186, 189
 - vicarious liability in, 184–185 (*See also* Vicarious liability)
- Enabling harm
 - “foreseeability plus,” 241
 - intervening causes, 241
 - “no duty to rescue” rule, 258–259
- Enhanced risk of future injury, proximate cause and, 252–253
- Enhanced susceptibility to injury, factual causation, 218, 219, 220

- Epstein, Richard A., 3
- Equitable indemnity doctrine, 181–182
- Esteem
 defamation as injury to, 301–302
 as social construct, 301–302
- Evidence production, factual causation and, 207–210, 221
- Evolution of tort law
Brown v. Kendall, 45–47
Castle v. Duryee, 37
 defamation, 327–328
Dickenson v. Ward, 37–38
 direct versus indirect harm, 41–45
 expansion of trespass writ, 38–41
 gamesmanship problem, 43–44, 45
 legal fictions, 38–39, 40
 manorial courts, 38
 negligence versus strict liability, 45, 46–47
 overview, 7, 32–33
 procedural shift, 46–47
 products liability, 332–335
 royal courts, 38–39, 40
 “Shooting Cases,” 37–38
Thorns Case, 33–36, 38
 trespass on the case, 40–45
Underwood v. Hewson, 37
 violence in England, 39–40
Weaver v. Ward, 37–38, 46, 47
- Ex ante* negligence evaluations, 209–210
- Exculpatory overbreadth, assumption of risk, 168
- Excuse
 justification compared, 84
 necessity as (See Necessity defense)
- Expected net payoff, 412–413
- Expected present value, 393–394
- Expected value of loss
 damages and, 412–413
 overview, 11
- Expected value of risk, 425, 426
- Experts, contributory negligence and, 156
- Ex post* negligence evaluations, 209–210
- Express assumption of risk, 166–169
- Express preemption, 372
- External benefits, 18
- External costs, 18
- Factual causation
 alternative liability, 220–223
Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railway Co., 221, 224
 but-for test (See But-for test)
 car wash hypothetical, 198
 class actions, 225–226
 conditional torts, 217
 contributory negligence and (See Contributory negligence)
 cricket fence hypothetical, 197, 207–208, 210–211
 cumulative torts, 217–220
 damages and, 396–399
 deterrence and, 221
 enhanced susceptibility to injury, 218, 219, 220
 evidence production and, 207–210, 221
ex ante negligence evaluations, 209–210
ex post negligence evaluations, 209–210
 functions of, 207
 Hand Formula, 208
 incentives for care, 207, 210–212
 independent torts, 216–217
 intervening causes, 208
 irrelevance of negligence, 207–208
Kingston v. Chicago & Northwest Railway Co., 221, 224
 lack of information and, 207–210
Maddux v. Donaldson, 217
 market share liability, 224–226 (See also Market share liability)
 negligence, 100–101
 negligence *per se*, 129–130
New York Central Railroad Co. v. Grimstad, 207–210, 211–212
 overview, 8, 195
 precaution and, 210–212
 preexisting injury, 218–220
 products liability, 367
 sequential torts, 215–220 (See also Sequential torts)
 shooter hypotheticals, 221–223
 substantial factor, 151
 substantial factor test (See Substantial factor test)
Summers v. Tice, 220–223, 224
 warnings, products liability, 370
- Failure to warn, products liability. See Warnings, products liability
- False imprisonment
 acquiescence, 75–78
 barriers, 76
C.N. Robinson & Co. v. Green, 75
Coblyn v. Kennedy’s, Inc., 78
 conditions of, 77
 costs of escape, 76
 damages, 77
Griffin v. Clark, 76–77
 implied consent and, 76–77
 overview, 75

- reasonable cause, 78
- reasonable force, 78
- reasonable perception, 75–78
- Restatement (Second) of Torts, 77
- Whitaker v. Sandford*, 75
- False light, 328
- Federal Employers' Liability Act, 135
- Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 208, 375–376
- Fellow servant rule
 - assumption of risk, 160–163
 - exceptions, 161
 - incentives for care, 162–163
 - vicarious liability compared, 162, 191–192
 - workers' compensation, effect of, 163
- Fetuses, consent as defense to battery, 63
- Field preemption, 372
- Fifth Amendment, taking of property, 84
- Fifty percent tendency, 419–420
- First Amendment
 - conversion and, 273
 - defamation and, 321–325, 330–331
- Food and Drug Administration, 371, 374–375
- Football, consent as defense to battery, 64
- Foreseeability
 - abnormally dangerous activities and, 276–277, 283
 - battery, 51–52
 - defamation and, 306–309, 311
 - direct causation and, 245
 - “foreseeability plus,” 240–243 (*See also* “Foreseeability plus”)
 - human intervention and, 232–233
 - intervening causes and, 239–240, 247–248
 - legal arguments, 231–232
 - lightning strike hypothetical, 228–229
 - limitation on scope of liability and, 232
 - as necessary but not sufficient condition for proximate cause, 230, 231–232
 - “no foreseeability” rule, 239
 - overview, 151
 - policy arguments, 231
 - products liability, 367–368
 - rational estimation and, 228–230
 - Ryan v. New York Central Railroad Co.*, 231–232
 - “unforeseeable victim” rule, 247, 248
 - “Foreseeability plus,” 240–243
 - Brower v. New York Central & Hudson River Railroad Co.*, 240–241
 - Bullock v. Tamiami Trail Tours, Inc.*, 243
 - disabling avoidance of harm, 240–241
 - enabling harm, 241
 - Hines v. Garrett*, 241
 - Janof v. Newsom*, 242
 - Kendall v. Gore Properties*, 241
 - Lane v. Atlantic Works*, 243
 - Lundgren v. Fultz*, 241
 - Morse v. Homer's, Inc.*, 242–243
 - “no duty to rescue” rule compared, 258
 - Quigley v. Wilson Line of Massachusetts*, 242
 - reliance, 242
 - Richards v. Stanley*, 243
 - superior knowledge and control of risk, 242–243
- Fourteenth Amendment, punitive damages and, 406
- Fraud, assumption of risk and, 168–169
- Friendly, Henry, 246–247
- Frolic and detour, 192–193
- Functional approach to tort law
 - defamation, 302
 - overview, 1, 2
 - preemption of products liability law, 372, 373–374
 - products liability, 332–333
 - strict liability, 94–97
- Gain-elimination rationale, punitive damages, 402–403, 404, 405, 407–408
- Gamesmanship problem
 - overview, 43–44, 45
 - settlements and, 417
- Game theory, 6
- Gelernter, David, 379
- General intent, 90
- Golden Rule, 20, 103
- Good faith standard, reasonable person standard versus, 107–108
- Grady, Mark F., 112, 210–212
- Gregory, Charles O., 3
- Haddock, David, 176–177
- Hand, Learned, 113–114, 122, 126–127, 128, 145, 156
- Hand Formula
 - comparative negligence, 172
 - contributory negligence, 156
 - custom and, 128
 - economic approach, 118–121
 - factual causation, 208
 - medical malpractice, 128
 - overview, 113–114
 - reasonable person standard versus, 118–121
- Hardwicke, Lord (Philip Yorke), 286
- Harmonization principle, 132, 133
- Heart attacks, reasonable person standard, 112

- Hedonic losses. *See also* Nonpecuniary damages
 disaggregation from pain and suffering,
 386–387
 overview, 383
- Historical background of tort law. *See* Evolution
 of tort law
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell, Jr.
 on assumption of risk, 159, 160
 on defamation, 302, 330–331
 on defense of property, 71
 on intentional torts, 48–49
 legal positivism and, 29–31
 on mixed questions of law and fact, 137–138
 on necessity defense, 82–83
 on “no duty to rescue” rule, 257
 on nuisance, 288, 295
 on products liability, 345
 on proximate cause, 155–156
 on strict liability, 32–33, 272
 utilitarian approach to tort law and, 2
 on vicarious liability, 187
- Horwitz, Morton J., 23–24
- Human intervention rule, 236–243
- IIED. *See* Intentional infliction of emotional
 distress
- Imperfect information, defamation and, 327
- Implied assumption of risk
 defamation and, 312–313
 strict liability and, 96
- Implied consent
 custom and, 124
 false imprisonment and, 76–77
 medical battery, 59–61
 pleasantries exception, 60–61
- Implied license
 battery, 51
 insanity as defense, 66–67
- Implied preemption, 372
- Imputed contributory negligence, 163–165
- Inadvertent conduct as intervening cause,
 235–236
- Incentives for care
 attorneys’ fees and, 415, 418
 comparative negligence, 172, 175–177
 contributory negligence, 173–175
 factual causation, 207, 210–212
 fellow servant rule, 162–163
 injunctions and, 429–430, 431
 joint and several liability, 182–183
 negligence, 173
 single victim cases, 177–179
 strict liability, 97–98
 subrogation and, 424
- Indemnification of employer, vicarious liability,
 189
- Independent contractors
 manner, means, and details test, 190
 vicarious liability, 190–191
- Independent torts, factual causation, 216–217
- Individual capacity, reasonable person standard,
 107–109
- Inference of negligence. *See* *Res ipsa loquitur*
- Informational asymmetry
 custom and, 124–126
 medical malpractice and, 419–420
res ipsa loquitur and, 140
- “Information forcing,” *res ipsa loquitur*, 145–146
- Injunctions, 429–433
 compensated injunctions, 295–296
 damages versus, 429–431
 incentives for care and, 429–430, 431
 injunctive settlements, 431–432
 nuisance, 286–287, 289–290, 295–298,
 429–430, 431
 objective losses and, 429
 overview, 429
 reverse payment settlements, 432–433
 settlements versus, 431–433
*Spur Industries v. Del E. Webb Development
 Co.*, 432–433
 subjective losses and, 429
 trespass, 430–431
- Injunctive settlements, 431–432
- Insanity as defense, 65–67
 assumption of risk, 66–67
 battery, 65–67
 implied license, 66–67
McGuire v. Almy, 65–67
 reasonable person standard, 111–112
- Instinctive conduct, 89
- Insurance
 adverse selection, 426
 collateral source rule and, 399–401
 consumer expectations test and, 356
 economic analysis of, 425–426
 expected value of risk, 425, 426
 products liability and, 336, 346–347
 risk aversion and, 425–426
 subrogation and, 425–426
- Intent
 automatic, compulsive, reflexive, or instinctive
 conduct, 89
 battery, 52–53
 consent vitiating (*See* Consent as defense)
 general intent, 90
 insanity vitiating, 65–67
 intent to harm (*See* Intent to harm)

- involuntary conduct, 88–89
- levels of, 88–90
- nuisance, 284
- punitive damages and, 405
- specific intent, 90
- transferred intent, 53–54
- trespass, 55–56
- volitional conduct, 89
- Intentional infliction of emotional distress, 87–88
 - damages, 87–88
 - defamation compared, 326
 - extreme and outrageous conduct, 88
 - intent to harm, 90
 - remoteness, 88
 - as separate tort, 88
 - specific intent, 90
 - Wilkinson v. Downton*, 87–88
- Intentional torts
 - battery (*See* Battery)
 - consent as defense (*See* Consent as defense)
 - defense of property (*See* Defense of property)
 - false imprisonment (*See* False imprisonment)
 - insanity as defense, 65–67
 - intentional infliction of emotional distress, 87–88 (*See also* Intentional infliction of emotional distress)
 - internalization principle, 48–49, 50–51, 69
 - as intervening cause, 236–243
 - necessity defense (*See* Necessity defense)
 - overview, 6–7, 48
 - self-defense, 67–69 (*See also* Self-defense)
 - trespass (*See* Trespass)
 - vicarious liability, 185–186
- Intent to harm
 - assault, 86, 90
 - battery, 50, 60
 - comparative negligence and, 172
 - defamation, 330–331
 - intentional infliction of emotional distress, 90
 - medical battery, 60
 - overview, 50, 89, 90
- Internalization principle, 48–49, 50–51, 69
- Intervening causes
 - abnormally dangerous activities and, 276–277
 - Brower v. New York Central & Hudson River Railroad Co.*, 240–241
 - Bullock v. Tamiami Trail Tours, Inc.*, 243
 - Central Georgia Railway Co. v. Price*, 239
 - direct causation and, 244–245, 246
 - disabling avoidance of harm, 240–241
 - Eckert v. Long Island R.R.*, 235
 - emergencies, 233–235
 - enabling harm, 241
 - factual causation, 208
 - foreseeability and, 239–240, 247–248
 - “foreseeability plus,” 240–243 (*See also* “Foreseeability plus”)
 - Hines v. Garrett*, 241
 - human intervention, 232–233
 - human intervention rule, 236–243
 - inadvertent conduct not severing chain of causation, 235–236
 - intentional conduct severing chain of causation, 236–243
 - Janof v. Newsom*, 242
 - Kendall v. Gore Properties*, 241
 - Lane v. Atlantic Works*, 243
 - Lundgren v. Fultz*, 241
 - McLaughlin v. Mine Safety Appliances Co.*, 238
 - Morse v. Homer’s, Inc.*, 242–243
 - natural intervention, 232–233
 - negligence causing risk of injury, 239
 - negligence not causing risk of injury, 239
 - negligent conduct severing chain of causation, 236–243
 - “no foreseeability” rule, 239
 - overview, 230
 - Pittsburgh Reduction Co. v. Horton*, 238
 - potentially negligent conduct not severing chain of causation, 235–236
 - Quigley v. Wilson Line of Massachusetts*, 242
 - reasonable conduct not severing chain of causation, 233–235
 - reflexive conduct not severing chain of causation, 233–235
 - reliance, 242
 - remoteness of, 232
 - Richards v. Stanley*, 243
 - Scott v. Shepherd*, 233–234
 - structural intervention, 232–233
 - substantial factor test, 200, 201–202, 203
 - superior knowledge and control of risk, 242–243
 - Tuttle v. Atlantic City Railroad Co.*, 234
 - Wagner v. International Railway Co.*, 234–235
 - Watson v. Kentucky & Indiana Bridge & Railroad Co.*, 237–238
- Intracorporate communication, defamation and, 318–319, 320, 329
- Invasion of privacy, 328–329
- Invasion of property, contributory negligence and, 149
- Invitees
 - landowner duties to, 267–270
 - “no duty to rescue” rule, 264
- Involuntary conduct, 88–89

- Joint and several liability
 - concert of action, 180–181
 - concurrent tortfeasors, 181, 213
 - conspiracy, 180
 - contribution among joint tortfeasors, 181
 - equitable indemnity doctrine, 181–182
 - Gray v. Boston Gas Light Co.*, 181–182
 - incentives for care, 182–183
 - Kingston v. Chicago & Northwest Railway Co.*, 213
 - “no contribution” rule, 181
 - overview, 7, 180–181
 - Rylands v. Fletcher*, 182
 - several liability distinguished, 180, 181
 - vicarious liability as form of, 187–189
- Joint interest communication, defamation and, 320
- Joyce, Howard Clifford, 286–287
- Joyce, Joseph Asbury, 286–287
- Judges
 - absolute privilege for defamation, 319, 329
 - mixed questions of law and fact, role in, 137–138
 - proximate cause, role in, 248–249
 - questions of law, role in, 137
 - res ipsa loquitur*, role in, 138
- Judgment-proof employee problem, 186, 189
- Juries
 - mixed questions of law and fact, role in, 137–138
 - proximate cause, role in, 248–249
 - questions of fact, role in, 137
 - res ipsa loquitur*, role in, 138
- Justification
 - defense of property (See Defense of property)
 - excuse compared, 84
 - overview, 67
 - self-defense, 67–69 (See also Self-defense)
- Kalven, Harry, Jr., 3
- Kant, Immanuel, 22–23, 28
- Laches, defense of property, 75
- Lack of information, factual causation and, 207–210
- Landes, William, 256
- Landlord and tenant, “no duty to rescue” rule and, 265–267
- Landowners
 - assumption of risk and, 268
 - Burrell v. Meads*, 269–270
 - invitees, duty to, 267–270
 - licensees, duty to, 267–270
 - “no duty to rescue” rule, 264
 - overview, 8
 - policy considerations, 268
 - reasonable care, 270
 - reciprocal exchange of risk and, 268–269
 - Rowland v. Christian*, 270
 - Rylands v. Fletcher*, 268
 - trespassers, duty to, 267–268, 269
- Langdell, Christopher Columbus, 29
- Last clear chance doctrine, 157–158
- “Law and economics” approach to tort law, 2
- Legal fictions, 38–39, 40
- Legal positivism, 28, 29–30
- Legislators, absolute privilege for defamation, 319, 329
- Lex loci*, reasonable person standard and, 109
- Liability rules, 26–28
 - Coase Theorem and, 26–28
 - compensatory damages and, 381–382
 - damages and, 381–382
 - policy considerations, 24–28
 - property rules versus, 24–26
 - punitive damages and, 404–405
 - transaction costs, 25–26
 - trespass and, 24–25
- Libel, 305–306, 310–311. See also Defamation
- Licensees, landowner duties to, 267–270
- Light, obstruction of as nuisance, 292–294
- Limited duty
 - reasonable person standard versus, 107
 - strict liability versus, 97, 99–101
- Litigation costs
 - American rule, 415–416, 418
 - attorneys’ fees, 415–416, 418
 - British rule, 415–416, 418
 - class actions, 414–415
 - damages and, 412–414
 - deterrence and, 413–414
 - economic analysis of, 412–414
 - settlements, 416–418
 - trial outcome and, 419–420
 - waiver of tort rights and, 420–423
- Loss-internalization rationale, punitive damages, 402, 403, 404, 405–406, 407–408
- Loss of enjoyment of life. See also Nonpecuniary damages
 - disaggregation from pain and suffering, 386–387
 - overview, 383
- Lost chance doctrine, 397–399
- Maintenance, 428
- Malice, defamation and, 309, 314, 317, 318–319, 320–322
- Manner, means, and details test, 190

- Manorial courts, 38
- Manufacturing defects, products liability, 340, 349–350
- Marginal analysis
 - activity level, 16
 - care level, 11
- Marginal private benefit, 11–12, 14–15, 16
- Marginal private cost, 16–17
- Marginal social benefit, 12, 15
- Marginal social cost, 17
- Market equilibrium, products liability and
 - consumer expectations test, 354–355
 - overview, 338
 - risk-utility test, 357
- Market share liability
 - class actions, 225–226
 - factual causation, 224–226
 - Sindell v. Abbott Laboratories*, 225
 - substantial percentage of market, 225
- Marx, Karl, 23–24
- Maturity, reasonable person standard, 109–111
- Medical battery
 - consent as defense, 59, 62
 - extension of procedures, 61
 - implied consent, 59–61
 - intent to harm, 60
 - Kennedy v. Parrott*, 61
 - Mohr v. Williams*, 59–61
 - pleasantry exception, 60–61
- Medical care context, products liability in, 341–343, 347–348
- Medical devices, preemption of products liability law, 374–375
- Medical malpractice
 - custom and, 123, 127–128
 - damages, 387–388, 396–399
 - Hand Formula, 128
 - informational asymmetry and, 419–420
 - nonpecuniary damages, 387–388
 - settlements and, 419–420
- Melamed, A. Douglas, 24, 25, 26, 284, 296, 381–382
- Merrill, Thomas, 284
- Minors. *See* Children and minors
- Misappropriation, 328
- Misrepresentation, assumption of risk and, 168–169
- Misuse of product, products liability, 367–368
- Mixed questions of law and fact
 - judge and jury, role of, 137–138
 - overview, 137
- Modified comparative fault, 171
- Moral reasoning approach to tort law, 20–24
 - care level, 20–24
 - consequentialism, 20
 - deontological ethics, 20
 - economics versus, 20–22
 - Golden Rule, 20
 - negligence and, 23
 - personal injuries and, 21–22
 - property damage and, 21
 - “More than doubling” requirement, substantial factor test, 205
- Motor vehicles, preemption of products liability law, 375–376
- Mutual combat exception to consent as defense, 63–65
- Nash, John, 175
- Nash equilibrium, 175
- Natural law theory, 28–29, 30–31
- Necessity defense
 - choice of evils, 82–83
 - compensation for property damage, 81
 - defense of property compared, 80–81, 82
 - destruction of property, 83–84
 - emergency, existence of, 80
 - Holmes v. United States*, 82–83
 - inevitable accidents, 81
 - Laidlaw v. Sage*, 83
 - liability and, 81–84
 - Millen v. Fandrye*, 80
 - overview, 78–79
 - Ploof v. Putnam*, 79–81, 84
 - preservation of property, 82
 - property damage, compensation for, 81
 - public necessity, 82
 - right, existence of, 80
 - scope of rights, 79–81
 - threats to life or property, 82
 - trespass, 35, 79–80
 - Vincent v. Lake Erie*, 80
- Negative liberty, 254
- Negligence
 - Adams v. Bullock*, 116
 - Andrews v. United Airlines*, 119–121
 - Blyth v. Birmingham Water Works*, 114–116
 - breach of duty, 100
 - Brown v. Kendall*, 136
 - care level and, 14, 16
 - causation, 100
 - circumstantial evidence of (*See Res ipsa loquitur*)
 - comparative negligence (*See* Comparative negligence)
 - compensatory damages, 383
 - contributory negligence (*See* Contributory negligence)

Negligence (*cont.*)

- Cooley v. Public Service Co.*, 116–117
- custom and, 123–128 (*See also* Custom, reasonable person standard and)
- damages, 100–101, 383
- duty, 100
- ex ante* evaluations, 209–210
- ex post* evaluations, 209–210
- factual causation, 100–101
- Grimshaw v. Ford Motor Co.*, 118–119, 120, 121
- Hand Formula (*See* Hand Formula)
- incentives for care, 173
- inference of (*See Res ipsa loquitur*)
- as intervening cause, 236–243
- last clear chance doctrine, 157–158
- moral reasoning approach and, 23
- negligence-in-design, 114, 115, 116–117
- negligence-in-operation, 114, 115, 116
- negligence-in-warning, 114, 115–116, 117–118
- “no duty to rescue” rule and, 255
- nonpecuniary damages, 385–386
- ordinary care, 46
- overview, 7
- per se*, 128–135 (*See also* Negligence *per se*)
- proximate cause, 100–101
- reasonable care, 46
- reasonable person standard (*See* Reasonable person standard)
- res ipsa loquitur* (*See Res ipsa loquitur*)
- Rinaldo v. McGovern*, 117–118
- risk aversion and, 120–121
- Risk-utility test for products liability, convergence with, 366–368
- “Shooting Cases,” 37–38
- statutes and regulations and, 128–135 (*See also* Negligence *per se*)
- strict liability versus, 45, 46–47, 93, 97, 99–101
- Thorns Case*, 35–36
- trespass and, 45
- United States v. Carroll Towing Co.*, 113, 122
- warnings, products liability, 368–369
- Negligence *per se*, 128–135
 - burden of proof, 130–131
 - common law claims, effect of existence of, 131–132, 134
 - duty, existence of, 129, 132, 134
 - factual causation, 129–130
 - Fitzwater v. Sunset Empire, Inc.*, 133–134
 - Gorris v. Scott*, 132–133
 - harmonization principle, 132, 133
 - injury of type statute designed to protect against, 129, 132, 133, 134
 - Kernan v. American Dredging Co.*, 135
 - Osborne v. McMasters*, 129–130
 - overview, 122–123
 - proximate cause, 129–130
 - Stimpson v. Wellington Service Corp.*, 135
 - Tedla v. Ellman*, 134–135
 - victim in class of persons statute designed to protect, 129, 132, 134
 - “No contribution” rule, 181
 - “No duty to rescue” rule
 - activity level, 256
 - assumption of risk and, 265, 266, 267
 - Black v. New York, New Haven & Hartford Railroad Co.*, 260
 - care level, 256
 - Chandler v. Forsyth Royal Crown Bottling Co.*, 259
 - common carriers, 262–264
 - criminal acts and, 265–267
 - disabling avoidance of harm, 259–260
 - enabling harm, 258–259
 - Erie Railroad Co. v. Stewart*, 261
 - exceptions, 258
 - “foreseeability plus” compared, 258
 - Hardy v. Brooks*, 259
 - Heard v. City of New York*, 261–262
 - invitees, 264
 - Kambour v. Boston & Maine Railroad Co.*, 263
 - Kline v. 1800 Massachusetts Avenue Apartment Corp.*, 265–267
 - landlord and tenant, 265–267
 - landowners, 264
 - L.S. Ayres & Co. v. Hicks*, 264
 - Marsalis v. LaSalle*, 261
 - McMahon v. New York, New Haven & Hartford Railroad Co.*, 263
 - Moch Co. v. Rensselaer Water Co.*, 262
 - Montgomery v. National Convoy & Trucking Co.*, 258–259
 - negligence and, 255
 - Newton v. Ellis*, 259
 - obvious conditions defense, 265–266
 - Osterland v. Hill*, 255
 - overview, 8, 254–255
 - physician-patient relationship, 257–258
 - policy considerations, 255–258
 - psychologist-patient relationship, 264–265
 - public good and, 266–267
 - reasonable person standard and, 255
 - reliance, 261–262
 - Restatement (Second) of Torts, 264
 - Ryan v. New York Central Railroad Co.*, 262
 - Rylands v. Fletcher*, 256–257
 - special relationship, 264
 - strict liability and, 256–257

- Summers v. Dominguez*, 259
 superior knowledge and control of risk, 262–267
Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California, 264–265
 termination of rescue, 260
 trespassers, 257
 utilitarian approach to tort law, 256–257
Yu v. New York, New Haven & Hartford Railroad Co., 263
Zelenko v. Gimbel Bros., 259–260
 “No foreseeability” rule, 239
 Nonpecuniary damages, 383–390
 abuse of discretion standard, 388–389
 awareness of loss, 383–386
 difficulty of appellate review, 388
 disaggregation of components, 386–390
ex ante perspective, 385
ex post perspective, 384–385
McDougald v. Garber, 386–387
 medical malpractice, 387–388
 negligence, 385–386
 overview, 378–379
per diem argument, 387
 strict liability, 385–386
 trespass, 385
 unpredictability, 387–388
 variation in, 387
 Normative theories, 10
 Nuisance
 aesthetic interferences, 292–294
 assumption of risk and, 290–291
Baines v. Baker, 286
Bamford v. Turnley, 290
Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co., 296–298
Campbell v. Seaman, 290–291
 coming to nuisance, 294–295
Commonwealth v. Barnes & Tucker Co., 289
 compensated injunctions, 295–296
 damages, 286–287, 289–290, 295–298
 defamation compared, 305
 economic growth and, 289
 in fact, 285–286
Gilbert v. Showerman, 291, 294–295
 harm-benefit balancing, 286–290
 injunctions, 286–287, 289–290, 295–298, 429–430, 431
 intent, 284
 invasion requirement, 285
Jost v. Dairyland Power Cooperative, 289
 at law, 285–286
 light, obstruction of, 292–294
 location, importance of, 290–291
Malhame v. Borough of Demarest, 286
Middlesex Co. v. McCue, 288, 295
 nontrespassory nature of, 284–285
 overview, 8, 283–286
Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Sanderson, 288–289
per accidens nuisance, 285–286, 305
per se nuisance, 285–286, 305
 reciprocal exchange of risk and, 290–291
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 285
Robinson v. Baugh, 291
Rogers v. Elliott, 292
Rylands v. Fletcher, 285
Spur Industries v. Del E. Webb Development Co., 295–296
 unreasonable invasion versus unreasonable activity, 295
 unreasonable nature of, 285
 unusually sensitive plaintiffs, 291–292
 utilitarian approach to tort law, 288, 290–291, 293, 294, 295
 view, obstruction of, 292–294
Vogel v. Grant-Lafayette Electric Cooperative, 285
Waschak v. Moffat, 288
 Objectivity, reasonable person standard and, 108–109
 Obvious conditions defense, 265–266
 Ordinary care, 46
 Ordinary person standard. *See* Reasonable person standard
 Pain and suffering. *See also* Nonpecuniary damages
 disaggregation from loss of enjoyment of life, 386–387
 overview, 383
 Paleontology analogy, 1
 Pecuniary damages, 377–378
Per accidens nuisance, 285–286, 305
 Perpetuities, 391
Per quod defamation, 305–306, 311
Per se defamation, 305–306, 310, 312, 313
Per se negligence. *See* Negligence *per se*
Per se nuisance, 285–286, 305
 Personal injuries, moral reasoning approach to tort law and, 21–22
 Personal property
 defense of property, 73–75
 trespass to, 56–58, 272, 273–275
 Pets, strict liability, 299–300
 Pharmaceuticals, products liability, 341–343
 Physical impact rule, 250
 Physician-patient relationship, “no duty to rescue” rule, 257–258

- Pleasantry exception, 60–61
- Policy, tort law and
 activity level, 16–19
 care level, 11–14, 20–24 (*See also* Care level)
 Coase Theorem, 26–28
 economic principles, 10–19
 foreseeability, 231
 landowners, 268
 legal positivism, 28, 29–30
 liability rules, 24–28
 moral reasoning approach, 20–24 (*See also*
 Moral reasoning approach to tort law)
 natural law theory, 28–29, 30–31
 “no duty to rescue” rule, 255–258
 normative theories, 10
 overview, 6, 10
 positive theories, 10
 products liability, 335–336
 property rules, 24–28
 proximate cause, 231
 sources of tort law, 28–31
 waiver of tort rights, 422
- Pollock, Charles Edward (Baron), 140–141, 290
- Positive liberty, 254
- Positive theories, 10
- Posner, Richard A., 2, 256, 282, 283
- Potentially negligent conduct as intervening
 cause, 235–236
- Precaution, factual causation and, 210–212
- Preemption of products liability law, 371–376
 conflict preemption, 372
 express preemption, 372
 field preemption, 372
 functionalist approach to tort law, 372, 373–374
 implied preemption, 372
 medical devices, 374–375
 motor vehicles, 375–376
 purpose of, 372–373
Wood v. General Motors Corp., 372
- Preexisting injury, factual causation, 218–220
- Preponderance of evidence
res ipsa loquitur, 141–142
 substantial factor test, 205
 toxic torts, 205
- Present value, 390–393
- Primary assumption of risk
 overview, 171
 products liability and, 344
- Privacy, invasion of, 328–329
- Privately optimal activity level, 17
- Privately optimal care level, 12–13, 15–16
- Privilege as defense to defamation, 315–321
 absolute privilege, 316–319
 attorneys, absolute privilege, 319
 intracorporate communication and, 318–319,
 329
 judges, absolute privilege, 319, 329
 legislators, absolute privilege, 319, 329
 overview, 304
 prosecutors, absolute privilege, 319
 qualified privilege, 320–321, 329–330
 relationship-based privilege, 318–319
 spousal privilege and, 318
 truth, 316–318
- Privity
 imminent danger to life or health exception,
 333–335
 products liability and, 332–335
- Procedural due process, punitive damages, 411
- Procedural unconscionability, 168
- Products liability
 activity level and, 335, 346–347
 administrative costs and, 336
 alternative equilibrium, 339
 assumption of risk and, 343–344
Barker v. Lull Engineering Co. Inc., 362–363
 blood transfusions, 343
 breach of duty, 367
Brody v. Overlook Hospital, 343
Brown v. Superior Court, 342
 business of selling, 341
*Cafazzo v. Central Medical Health Services,
 Inc.*, 347–348
 care level and, 346–347
 consumer expectations test (*See* Consumer
 expectations test for products liability)
 consumption effect, 339–340
 contributory negligence and, 343
 defenses, 344–349
 demand curve (*See* Demand curve, products
 liability and)
 design defects, 340, 350–353
 deterrence and, 335, 346–347
Devlin v. Smith, 334
 duty, 368
 economic loss rule as defense, 344–346
 economics and, 335–336
Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co. of Fresno,
 335, 346–347
 evolution of law, 332–335
 factual causation, 367
 foreseeability, 367–368
 functionalist approach to tort law, 332–333
Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., 375–376
Hood v. Ryobi America Corp., 368
 imminent danger to life or health, 333–335
 improper defendant defense, 346–349
 insurance and, 336, 346–347

- Larsen v. General Motors Corp.*, 364–365
Linegar v. Armour of America, Inc., 361–362, 364
MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co., 332, 334–335
 manufacturing defects, 340, 349–350
 market equilibrium (See Market equilibrium, products liability and)
 in medical care context, 341–343, 347–348
Medtronic, Inc. v. Lohr, 374
Micallef v. Miehle Co., 366
 misuse of product, 367–368, 370–371
 nonobvious risks, 364–365, 366
 obvious and inherent risks, 363–364, 365, 366
 obvious but not inherent risks, 364, 366
 overview, 8, 332–335
 pharmaceuticals, 341–343
Phipps v. General Motors Corp., 361
 policy considerations, 335–336
 preemption of law, 371–376 (See also Preemption of products liability law)
Prentis v. Yale Manufacturing Co., 360
 primary assumption of risk and, 344
 privity and, 332–335
 proximate cause, 367–368
res ipsa loquitur compared, 336
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 340–344
 retailers, 348–349
Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc., 375
 risk allocation and, 333
 risk spreading and, 336
 risk-utility test (See Risk-utility test for products liability)
Robins Dry Doc & Repair Co. v. Flint, 345
Rylands v. Fletcher, 342, 349–350
 secondary assumption of risk and, 343–344
 Section 402A Comment f, 341
 Section 402A Comment k, 341–343
 Section 402A Comment n, 343–344
 services versus goods, 346
Soule v. General Motors Corp., 363
Statler v. Ray Manufacturing Co., 334
 strict liability, 335–336
 supply and demand analysis, 337, 339
 supply curve (See Supply curve, products liability and)
Surace v. Caterpillar, Inc., 360–361
Thomas v. Winchester, 333
 types of cases, 363–366
Volkswagen of America v. Young, 361
 warnings, 368–371 (See also Warnings, products liability)
Williamson v. Mazda Motor of America, Inc., 375–376
Winterbottom v. Wright, 332
- Property damage
 moral reasoning approach to tort law and, 21
 necessity defense, compensation for property damage, 81
 Property rules, 26–28
 Coase Theorem and, 26–28
 compensatory damages and, 381–382
 damages and, 381–382
 liability rules versus, 24–26
 policy considerations, 24–28
 punitive damages and, 404–405
 transaction costs, 25–26
 trespass and, 24–25
 Prosecutors, absolute privilege for defamation, 319
 Prosser, William L.
 on defamation, 302, 305
 doctrine and, 2, 3
 on *res ipsa loquitur*, 143
 on transferred intent, 54
 Proximate cause
Berry v. Borough of Sugar Notch, 229–230
 bystander recovery rule, 251–252
 contributory negligence and (See Contributory negligence)
 damages and, 154–157
 defamation and, 309
Dillon v. Legg, 251–252
 duty analysis, 247–250
 emotional injury, 250–252
 enhanced risk of future injury and, 252–253
 foreseeability (See Foreseeability)
 intervening causes and (See Intervening causes)
 judges, role of, 248–249
 juries, role of, 248–249
 legal arguments, 231–232
 lightning strike hypothetical, 228–229
 as limitation on scope of liability, 228, 232
 negligence, 100–101
 negligence *per se*, 129–130
 overview, 8, 227
Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad Co., 247–248, 249–250
 physical impact rule, 250
 policy arguments, 231
 products liability, 367–368
 rational estimation and, 228–230
Ryan v. New York Central Railroad Co., 231–232
Smithwick v. Hall & Upson Co., 229
 structure of, 230
Tuttle v. Atlantic City Railroad Co., 250

- Proximate cause (*cont.*)
 “unforeseeable victim” rule, 247, 248
Zokhrabov v. Jeung-Hee Park, 249–250
 zone of danger test, 251
- Psychologist-patient relationship, “no duty to rescue” rule, 264–265
- Public disclosure of private facts, 328
- Public figures, defamation of, 323–325
- Public good
 “no duty to rescue” rule and, 266–267
 overview, 19
 truthful information as, 325–326
- Public necessity, 82
- Public officials, defamation of, 321–323
- Public policy exception, assumption of risk, 167–168
- Punitive damages
 battery, 404
BMW v. Gore, 407–409
 civil penalties in relation to, 407, 408, 409, 410
 compensatory damages in relation to, 407, 408, 409, 410–411
 deterrence and, 401–406, 408–409, 411
 due process and, 406, 408, 410
 gain-elimination rationale, 402–403, 404, 405, 407–408
 intent and, 405
Jacque v. Steenberg Homes, 403–404, 409
 liability rules and, 404–405
 loss-internalization rationale, 402, 403, 404, 405–406, 407–408
 overview, 401–406
Pacific Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Haslip, 408–409
Philip Morris v. Williams, 411
 predictability, 406–407
 procedural due process, 411
 property rules and, 404–405
 purpose, 401–402, 405
 recklessness and, 404–405
 reprehensibility of conduct and, 407, 408, 409, 410
 single digit ratio, 410–411
State Farm v. Campbell, 409–411
 substantive due process, 411
 trespass, 404
 vicarious liability, 405–406
 wrongful death, 390
- Pure comparative fault, 171
- Purpose of studying tort law, 4
- Qualified immunity, consent as defense to battery, 64–65
- Qualified privilege as defense to defamation, 320–321, 329–330
- Questions of fact
 jury, role of, 137
 mixed questions of law and fact, 137–138
 overview, 136–137
- Questions of law
 judge, role of, 137
 mixed questions of law and fact, 137–138
 overview, 137
- Rational estimation
 foreseeability and, 228–230
 lightning strike hypothetical, 228–229
 proximate cause and, 228–230
- Rawls, John, 23
- Real property
 defense of property, 69–73
 trespass to, 55–56, 271–272
- Reasonable care
 contributory negligence, 147–148
 duty, 148–149
 landowners, 270
 negligence, 46
- Reasonable cause, false imprisonment, 78
- Reasonable conduct as intervening cause, 233–235
- Reasonable doubt standard, *res ipsa loquitur*, 142
- Reasonable force
 defense of property, 70, 73, 74
 false imprisonment, 78
 self-defense, 68–69
- Reasonable perception
 defense of property, 73–74
 false imprisonment, 75–78
 self-defense, 68–69
- Reasonable person standard
 administration, 108–109
 auto accident hypothetical, 103–104
 blindness, 111
Brown v. Kendall, 102
 children and minors, 109, 110–111
 choice of law and, 109
 contributory negligence, 149–150
 coordination, 108–109
 cricket fence hypothetical, 104–106
 custom and, 123–128 (*See also* Custom, reasonable person standard and)
 defamation, 307
 defense of property, 69
 deterrence, 108–109
 disability, 109–111
 economic approach, 103–106, 118–121

- elderly persons, 110
- Golden Rule, 103
- good faith standard versus, 107–108
- Hand Formula versus, 118–121
- heart attacks, 112
- individual capacity, 107–109
- insanity, 111–112
- lex loci* and, 109
- limited duty versus, 107
- maturity, 109–111
- McGuire v. Almy*, 108
- negligence *per se* (See Negligence *per se*)
- “no duty to rescue” rule and, 255
- as objective standard, 108–109
- overview, 29, 102–103, 106
- reliance interest, 107–109
- res ipsa loquitur* and, 145
- risk aversion and, 120–121
- self-defense, 68
- statutes and regulations and, 128–135 (See also Negligence *per se*)
- subjective standard versus, 107–108
- sudden incapacity, 111–112
- United States v. Carroll Towing Co.*, 113, 122
- Vaughan v. Menlove*, 107–109
- Recapture, 35, 36
- Reciprocal exchange of risk
 - landowners and, 268–269
 - nuisance and, 290–291
 - strict liability, 94–95, 96, 97, 99
- Reciprocity as defense to defamation, 304, 312–314, 320
- Recklessness
 - comparative negligence and, 172
 - overview, 85–86
 - punitive damages and, 404–405
- Reflexive conduct
 - intent, 89
 - as intervening cause, 233–235
- Regulations, reasonable person standard and. See Negligence *per se*
- Relationship-based privilege as defense to defamation, 318–319
- Reliance
 - “foreseeability plus,” 242
 - intervening causes, 242
 - “no duty to rescue” rule, 261–262
 - reasonable person standard, 107–109
- Reprehensibility of conduct, punitive damages and, 407, 408, 409, 410
- Rescue
 - contributory negligence and, 148–149
 - “no duty to rescue” rule (See “No duty to rescue” rule)
- Res ipsa loquitur*
 - abnormally dangerous activities and, 283
 - baseline probabilities, 139, 140, 142–143
 - Bayes Theorem, 139–140
 - burden of proof, 138–139, 140
 - Byrne v. Boadle*, 138–142
 - common knowledge, 144–145
 - Connolly v. Nicollet Hotel*, 142–143
 - contributory conduct by plaintiff, absence of, 141
 - contributory negligence compared, 150
 - duty of care, 142–143
 - event of kind ordinarily not occurring without negligence, 139–140
 - exclusive control of defendant, instrumentality or agency in, 140–141, 142
 - Galbraith v. Busch*, 143
 - Helling v. Carey*, 139–140
 - informational asymmetry and, 140
 - “information forcing,” 145–146
 - judge and jury, role of, 138
 - Larson v. St. Francis Hotel*, 142–143
 - new technology and, 144
 - overview, 7, 136
 - preponderance of evidence, 141–142
 - products liability compared, 336
 - reasonable doubt standard, 142
 - reasonable person standard and, 145
 - rules, 139–143
 - statistical inference, 139–140
 - substantial factor test compared, 202, 203, 204
 - Wilson v. Colonial Air Transport*, 144
 - Ybarra v. Spangard*, 145–146, 204
- Restatement (First) of Torts, consent as defense, 63
- Restatement (Second) of Torts
 - abnormally dangerous activities, 276, 277–279, 282–283, 303, 311–312
 - consumer expectations test for products liability, 340, 350
 - defense of property, 72
 - false imprisonment, 77
 - “no duty to rescue” rule, 264
 - nuisance, 285
 - products liability, 340–344
 - risk-utility test for products liability, 340
 - Section 402A Comment f, 341
 - Section 402A Comment k, 341–343
 - Section 402A Comment n, 343–344
 - trespass, 57
 - warnings, products liability, 370–371
- Restatement (Third) of Torts
 - abnormally dangerous activities, 280
 - risk-utility test for products liability, 363

- Retailers, products liability, 348–349
 Reverse payment settlements, 432–433
 Risk aversion
 insurance and, 425–426
 reasonable person standard and, 120–121
 subrogation and, 425–426
 vicarious liability, 187, 189
 Risk externalization, 94, 96–97, 99
 Risk spreading, products liability and, 336
 Risk-utility test for products liability
 both tests, application of, 362–363
 consumer expectations test compared, 357–360
 demand curve, 356–357
 design defects, 351–353
 direct application of, 360–361
 economics and, 356–360
 hybrid test, application of, 361–362
 implementation of, 360–363
 incentives and, 359–360
 inherent unobservable risk and, 358–359
 market equilibrium, 357
 negligence, convergence with, 366–368
 nonobvious risks, 366
 obvious but not inherent risks, 366
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 340
 Restatement (Third) of Torts, 363
 supply curve, 356–357
 warnings and, 371
 Royal courts, 38–39, 40
 Rules
 emphasis on, 5–6
 liability rules (*See* Liability rules)
 property rules (*See* Property rules)
- Sacks, Oliver, 66
 Sale of tort rights
 barratry and, 428
 champerty and, 428
 maintenance and, 428
 overview, 423–425
 to third parties, 426–428
 Schwartz, Gary, 150
 Scientization of tort law, 3
 Seat belt defense cases, 156, 179
 Secondary assumption of risk
 overview, 171–172
 products liability and, 343–344
 Self-defense
 Courvoisier v. Raymond, 67–69
 defamation and, 312–313, 320
 internalization principle and, 69
 as justification, 67–69
 Keep v. Quallman, 67–69
 reasonable force, 68–69
 reasonable perception, 68–69
 reasonable person standard, 68
- Sequential torts
 Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railway Co., 215, 218–220
 conditional torts, 217
 cumulative torts, 217–220
 Dillon v. Twin State Gas & Elec. Co., 216
 enhanced susceptibility to injury, 218, 219, 220
 factual causation, 215–220
 independent torts, 216–217
 Kingston v. Chicago & Northwest Railway Co., 215, 218–220
 Maddux v. Donaldson, 217
 preexisting injury, 218–220
 rooftop hypothetical, 215
 substantial factor test, 215–220
 two fires hypothetical, 216
- Settlements
 damages and, 416–418
 economic analysis of, 416–418
 fifty percent tendency, 419–420
 injunctions versus, 431–433
 injunctive settlements, 431–432
 litigation costs, 416–418
 medical malpractice and, 419–420
 range of, 417
 reverse payment settlements, 432–433
 Spur Industries v. Del E. Webb Development Co., 432–433
 strategic gamesmanship and, 417
 trial outcome and, 419–420
- Several liability, 180, 181. *See also* Joint and several liability
 Sharkey, Catherine M., 3
 Shaw, Lemuel, 45–46, 102, 136, 160–161, 262–263
 Single digit ratio, punitive damages, 410–411
 Slander, 305–306, 310–311. *See also* Defamation
 Socially optimal activity level, 18
 Socially optimal care level, 12–13, 16
 Social welfare, waiver of tort rights and, 422
 Sources of tort law, 28–31
 legal positivism, 28, 29–30
 natural law theory, 28–29, 30–31
 Special damages, defamation, 310
 Specific intent, 90
 Sports, consent as defense to battery, 64–65
 Spousal privilege, defamation and, 318
 Spring guns, defense of property, 70–73
 Sprinkler defense cases, 156, 179
 Statutes, reasonable person standard and. *See* Negligence *per se*

- Strategic gamesmanship
 overview, 43–44, 45
 settlements and, 417
- Strict liability
 abnormally dangerous activities, 275–283 (*See also* Abnormally dangerous activities)
 activity level and, 18, 95, 97–98
 act of God exception, 93–94
 animals and, 298–300
 benefit externalization, 95–97
 care level and, 13–14, 16, 97–98
Carstairs v. Taylor, 96–97
 collateral source rule and, 400
 compensatory damages, 383
 contributory negligence and, 155–156
 damages, 383
 defamation compared, 303–304
 discouraging conduct, 98–99
 dogs, 299–300
 domestic animals, 299–300
 functionalist approach, 94–97
 harm caused by escape, 92
 implied assumption of risk and, 96
 incentives for care, 97–98
 internalization principle and, 49
 leaving alone, 98–99
 limited duty versus, 97, 99–101
 negligence versus, 45, 46–47, 93, 97, 99–101
 “no duty to rescue” rule and, 256–257
 nonpecuniary damages, 385–386
 nuisance (*See* Nuisance)
 overview, 7, 271
Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad Co., 100–101
 pets, 299–300
 products liability, 335–336
 reciprocal exchange of risk, 94–95, 96, 97, 99
Rickards v. Lothian, 96
 risk externalization, 94, 96–97, 99
Rylands v. Fletcher, 7, 8, 91–94, 271, 298–300
 “Shooting Cases,” 37–38
 subsidizing conduct, 98–99
 theoretical foundations, 91
Thorns Case, 35, 36
 trespass and, 45, 91–92
 trespass-based strict liability, 271–275 (*See also* Trespass)
 unreasonable conduct exception, 94
 waiver of tort rights and, 420–421
 wild animals, 298
 zoos, 299
- Subjectivity, reasonable person standard versus, 107–108
- Subrogation
 barratry and, 428
- champerty and, 428
 economic analysis of, 423–425
 expected value of risk, 425, 426
 incentives for care and, 424
 insurance and, 425–426
 maintenance and, 428
 overview, 423–425
 risk aversion and, 425–426
 third parties, sale of tort rights to, 426–428
- Substantial factor test
 as alternative test, 198
Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railway Co., 212–215, 216, 218–220
 asbestos cases, 205–207
 Bayes Theorem, 206–207
Bostic v. Georgia Pacific, 205–207
 burden of proof, 204
 but-for test compared, 200–201
 concurrent tortfeasors, 198–199, 213–215
 conditional torts, 217
 cumulative torts, 218
 enhanced susceptibility to injury, 218, 219, 220
Haft v. Lone Palm Hotel, 204
 independent torts, 216–217
 inferences, 202
 intervening causes, 200, 201–202, 203
Kingston v. Chicago & Northwest Railway Co., 212–215, 216, 218–220
 lack of precision in, 198
 “more than doubling” requirement, 205
New York Central Railroad Co. v. Grimstad, 200–201
 preexisting injury, 218–220
 preponderance of evidence, 205
res ipsa loquitur compared, 202, 203, 204
Reynolds v. Texas & Pacific Ry. Co., 201–202
 sequential torts, 215–220
Stubbs v. City of Rochester, 203, 204
 toxic torts, 205–207
 tree diagrams, 199–200, 201–202
 two fires hypothetical, 212–215
 types of cases, 198
 uncertain injury avoidance cases, 199–200
 uncertain injury causation cases, 201–207
Zuchowicz v. United States, 203–204
- Substantive due process, punitive damages, 411
- Substantive unconscionability, 168
- Sudden incapacity, reasonable person standard, 111–112
- Superior knowledge and control of risk
 “foreseeability plus,” 242–243
 intervening causes, 242–243
 “no duty to rescue” rule, 262–267

- Superseding causes, but-for test, 198
- Supply and demand analysis of products liability, 337, 339
- Supply curve, products liability and
 overview, 338, 339
 risk-utility test, 356–357
- Supremacy Clause, conflict preemption, 372
- Taking of property, 84
- Textbooks on tort law, 3
- Toxic torts, substantial factor test, 205–207
- Transaction costs, 25–26
- Transferred intent, battery, 53–54
- Transportation Department, 371, 375–376
- Traynor, Roger, 204, 335, 336
- Trespass
ad coelum rule, 55
 airplanes, 55–56
 battery compared, 56
Blondell v. Consolidated Gas Co., 56–58
 to chattels, 56–58, 272, 273–275
 Coase Theorem and, 26–27
 compensatory damages, 381–382
 conversion, 272–275
 damages, 56–58, 381–382
 direct versus indirect harm, 41–45
 expansion of writ, 38–41
FMC Corp. v. Capital/ABC Inc., 273
Glidden v. Szybiak, 56–58
Herrin v. Sutherland, 55
 injunctions, 430–431
 intent, 55–56
 intentional interference with exclusive
 possession, 271–272
 legal fictions, 38–39, 40
 liability rules and, 24–25
 in manorial courts, 38
 necessity defense, 35, 79–80
 negligence and, 45
 nonpecuniary damages, 385
 overview, 55
 to personal property, 56–58, 272, 273–275
 property rules and, 24–25
 punitive damages, 404
 to real property, 55–56, 271–272
 Restatement (Second) of Torts, 57
 in royal courts, 38–39, 40
Scott v. Shepherd, 41–43
 “Shooting Cases,” 37–38
 strict liability and, 45, 91–92, 271–275
Thorns Case, 33–36, 38
 trespass on the case, 40–45
 volitional conduct, 89
 writ of, 33
- Trespassers
 landowner duties to, 267–268, 269
 “no duty to rescue” rule, 257
- Truth as defense to defamation, 316–318
- Truthful information as public good, 325–326
- Ultrahazardous activities. *See* Abnormally
 dangerous activities
- Unborn children, consent as defense to battery,
 63
- Unconscionability
 assumption of risk, 168
 procedural unconscionability, 168
 substantive unconscionability, 168
 waiver of tort rights, 420, 422
 “Unforeseeable victim” rule, 247, 248
- Unreasonable conduct exception, strict liability,
 94
- Unusually sensitive plaintiffs
 abnormally dangerous activities, 277
 contributory negligence, 156–157
 defamation and, 309
 direct causation, 246
 nuisance, 291–292
- Utilitarian approach to tort law
 defamation, 310–311, 323, 325
 legal positivism, 29–30
 “no duty to rescue” rule, 256–257
 nuisance, 288, 290–291, 293, 294, 295
 overview, 1, 2
 vicarious liability, 186
- Utility, damages and, 379–383
- Vertically related tortfeasors, 187–188
- Vicarious liability
 assumption of risk, 162
 “borrowed servants,” 188–189
 charitable immunity compared, 193–194
 direct negligence of employer, 191–193
 employment cases, 184–185
 fellow servant rule compared, 162, 191–192
 as form of joint and several liability, 187–189
 frolic and detour, 192–193
 indemnification of employer, 189
 independent contractors, 190–191
 intentional torts, 185–186
 judgment-proof employee problem, 186, 189
 manner, means, and details test, 190
 overview, 7
 potential liability of employee, 189
 punitive damages, 405–406
 risk aversion, 187, 189
 within scope of employment, 192
 upstream and downstream settings, 187–188

- utilitarian approach to tort law, 186
- vertically related tortfeasors, 187–188
- View, obstruction of as nuisance, 292–294
- Volitional conduct, 89

- Wade, John W., 352, 353, 360–361
- Waiver of tort rights
 - arbitration, 422–423
 - assumption of risk and, 166–169
 - class actions, 423
 - damages and, 420–423
 - economic analysis of, 420–423
 - litigation costs and, 420–423
 - policy considerations, 422
 - social welfare and, 422
 - strict liability and, 420–421
 - unconscionability, 420, 422
- Warnings, products liability, 368–371
 - behavioral psychology and, 371
 - burden-benefit test, 369–370
 - contributory negligence, 370–371
 - discounting of warnings, 369–370
 - factual causation, 370
 - heeding presumption, 370
 - inadequate warnings, 369
 - misuse of product, 370–371
 - negligence, 368–369
 - overview, 341
 - Restatement (Second) of Torts, 370–371
 - risk-utility test and, 371
- Wigmore, John Henry, 3–5, 139–143
- Wild animals, strict liability, 298

- Willful, wanton or reckless conduct
 - assault, 86–87 (*See also* Assault)
 - battery, 85
 - comparative negligence and, 172
 - damages, 86
 - differences between, 85–86
 - examples, 85
 - intentional infliction of emotional distress, 87–88 (*See also* Intentional infliction of emotional distress)
 - overview, 84–86
 - punitive damages and, 404–405
 - recklessness, 85–86, 172, 404–405
- Woodward, C. Vann, 322–323
- Workers' compensation
 - custom and, 123
 - fellow servant rule, effect on, 163
- Wrongful death, 390–396
 - annuities, 391
 - calculation of damages, 392, 394–395
 - children and minors, 395–396
 - compensatory damages, 390
 - expected present value, 393–394
 - income increases and, 393
 - jurisdiction, 390
 - overview, 390
 - perpetuities, 391
 - present value, 390–393
 - punitive damages, 390
- Zone of danger test, 251
- Zoos, strict liability, 299