

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> xi
1 Introduction	1
<i>Declarations of War</i>	3
<i>The Book's Research Methods</i>	9
<i>A Description of the Couples Sample</i>	12
<i>Contemplating Super-DOMA Effects</i>	15
<i>The Motivations of Amendment Sponsors and of Voters</i>	17
<i>Ohio</i>	25
2 State Judicial Interpretations of Super-DOMAs	34
<i>Ohio State-Court Action regarding Issue 1</i>	36
<i>Michigan State-Court Action regarding Proposal 2</i>	43
<i>Wisconsin State-Court Action regarding Referendum 1</i>	50
<i>Other State-Court Action</i>	53
<i>Understanding the Lack of Further State-Court Super-DOMA</i>	
<i>Litigation</i>	54
<i>Conclusion</i>	58
3 The Effects of Super-DOMAs on Same-Sex Couples	59
<i>The Initial Shock: Personal Devastation and Isolation</i>	60
<i>Positive Effects</i>	62
<i>Super-DOMAs as Impediments to Change</i>	66
<i>Health Care</i>	69
<i>The Limitations of Legal Paperwork</i>	77
<i>Employment Discrimination</i>	80
<i>Housing Discrimination</i>	81
<i>Public Hazing When Changing Names</i>	82

	<i>Tax Penalties</i>	84
	<i>Alienation from Family, Friends, and Associates</i>	86
	<i>Fear, Insecurity, and Emotional Loss</i>	89
	<i>Little Things</i>	94
	<i>Wisconsin's Domestic-Partner Registry as a Rights Touchstone</i>	96
	<i>Inability to Divorce or Otherwise Access Legal Remedies</i>	101
	<i>Conclusion</i>	104
4	The Effects of Super-DOMAs on Families with Children Being Raised by Same-Sex Couples	107
	<i>Michigan and Ohio</i>	111
	<i>North Carolina</i>	111
	<i>Wisconsin</i>	112
	<i>Texas</i>	115
	<i>Georgia</i>	118
	<i>The Value of Having Two Legal Parents Raising Children in Households Headed by Same-Sex Couples</i>	126
	<i>The Added Costs of Raising Children in LGBT Families</i>	134
	<i>The Message to Children</i>	135
	<i>Marrying out of State to Solidify and Clarify Intrafamily Relationships</i>	136
	<i>When Parents Were Separated</i>	137
	<i>Other Challenges for Same-Sex Couples Raising Children</i>	144
	<i>Conclusion</i>	147
5	Super-DOMAs and LGBT Migration: Fight or Flight?	149
	<i>An Estimate of LGBT Emigrants from Super-DOMA States</i>	150
	<i>Other Relevant Migrations</i>	152
	<i>Knowledge and Appreciation of the Threat to Well-Being</i>	153
	<i>Loyalty to Country or State</i>	155
	<i>The Bubble Effect</i>	156
	<i>Family Ties</i>	160
	<i>An Expectation of Legal or Political Redemption</i>	162
	<i>Economic Issues</i>	163
	<i>The Impact of Traditionally Gendered Relationship Roles</i>	165
	<i>Internalized Homophobic Social Stigma</i>	168
	<i>The Ability to Pass as Members of the Majority or Otherwise Play the System</i>	173
	<i>Same-Sex Couples Who Left Super-DOMA States Partially or Temporarily</i>	177
	<i>Same-Sex Couples Who Did Emigrate Permanently</i>	181
	<i>Super-DOMA Effects on Immigration into Super-DOMA States</i>	199
	<i>Conclusion</i>	222

<i>Contents</i>	ix
6 How the Federal Courts Rescued Same-Sex Couples and Their Families	224
<i>The Impact of Lower Federal Courts</i>	225
<i>The Legal Precedents</i>	226
<i>The Lower Federal Courts' Lopsided Interpretations of Windsor</i>	232
<i>The Impacts of Good Fortune and Political Party</i>	234
<i>The Importance of Precedent</i>	236
<i>Justice Scalia as a Double Agent</i>	237
<i>The Alternative to Judicial Action: The Roberts-Scalia-Alito Thesis of Waiting, and Waiting, and Waiting for the Democratic Process</i>	241
<i>Other Difficulties with the Roberts-Scalia-Alito Democratic-Process Thesis</i>	249
7 Conclusion	253
<i>Did Super-DOMAs in Fact Defend and Protect Marriage?</i>	258
<i>The Economic Losses to Super-DOMA States</i>	260
<i>Sponsor Motivations for Super-DOMAs: Bigotry or Not?</i>	267
<i>Judicial Efficacy</i>	279
<i>Appendix A: The Texts of Super-DOMAs</i>	285
<i>Appendix B: Protocol of Interview Questions for Same-Sex Couples</i>	287
<i>Case References</i>	291
<i>References</i>	295
<i>Index</i>	309