Women on the Run

Gender, Media, and Political Campaigns in a Polarized Era

Claims of bias against female candidates abound in American politics. And although these purported obstacles don't doom women to electoral failure, they raise a formidable series of barriers that complicate women's path to elective office. Or so the conventional wisdom goes. *Women on the Run* challenges that prevailing view and argues that the declining novelty of women in politics, coupled with the polarization of the Republican and Democratic parties, has left little space for the sex of a candidate to influence modern campaigns. The book's in-depth analyses of the 2010 and 2014 congressional elections reveal that male and female House candidates communicate similar messages on the campaign trail, receive similar coverage in the local press, and garner similar evaluations from voters in their districts. When they run for office, male and female candidates don't just perform equally well on Election Day – they also face a very similar electoral landscape.

DANNY HAYES is Associate Professor of Political Science at George Washington University. A former journalist, his research focuses on how information from the media and other political actors influences citizens' attitudes during public policy debates and election campaigns. He is the co-author of *Influence from Abroad: Foreign Voices, the Media, and U.S. Public Opinion* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).

JENNIFER L. LAWLESS is Professor of Government at American University, where she is also the Director of the Women & Politics Institute. She is the author of *Becoming a Candidate: Political Ambition and the Decision to Run for Office* (Cambridge University Press, 2012), and the co-author of *Running from Office: Why Young Americans Are Turned Off to Politics* (Oxford University Press, 2015) and *It Still Takes a Candidate: Why Women Don't Run for Office* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

Women on the Run

Gender, *Media*, *and Political Campaigns in a Polarized Era*

DANNY HAYES George Washington University

JENNIFER L. LAWLESS American University



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York NY 10013

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107535862

© Danny Hayes and Jennifer L. Lawless 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-11558-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-53586-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

List of figures	<i>page</i> vi
List of tables	viii
Acknowledgments	xi
I Gender, myth, and reality on the campaign trail	I
2 Rethinking and reassessing gender differences on the campaign	1
trail	12
3 That's what she said, and so did he	33
4 Sex is no story	59
5 The party, not the person	92
6 The origins and implications of perceptions of gender bias	III
Appendices	137
Works cited	161
Index	177

Figures

1.1	Public perceptions of female candidates' experiences	page 3
1.2	Perceptions of whether politics is harder or easier for	
	women compared to other professions	4
1.3	Women's representation in the United States, 2015	5
3.1	Issue content in 2010 campaign ads, by candidate sex	42
3.2	Issue content in male candidates' 2010 campaign ads, by	
	opponent sex	43
3.3	Issue content in 2014 tweets, by candidate sex	44
3.4	Issue content in male candidates' 2014 tweets, by opponent sex	46
	The effects of sex and party on issue content in 2010 campaign ads	4 9
	The effects of sex and party on word usage in 2010 campaign ads	50
	The effects of sex and party on issue content in 2014 tweets	51
	The effects of sex and party on trait content in 2014 tweets	53
4.1	Gender mentions in local newspaper campaign coverage in 2014,	
	by candidate sex	67
4.2	Trait mentions in local newspaper campaign coverage, by	
	candidate sex	71
4.3	Issue mentions in local newspaper campaign coverage, by	
	candidate sex	72
4.4	The effects of sex, competitiveness, and incumbency on news	
	coverage	81
	Voters' assessments of male and female House candidates' traits	101
5.2	Voters' assessments of male and female House candidates' issue	
	competencies, 2014	102
5.3	The effects of candidate sex and party affiliation on voters'	
	assessments of House candidates' traits	104
5.4	The effects of candidate sex and party affiliation on voters'	
	assessments of House candidates' issue competencies, 2014	105

vi

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
78-1-107-11558-3 - Women on the Run: Gender, Media, and Political Campaigns in a
Polarized Era
Danny Hayes and Jennifer L. Lawless
Frontmatter
More information

List of figures	vii
5.5 The effects of candidate sex and party affiliation on voting for the	
Democrat	107
6.1 Voters' impressions of House candidates' treatment in 2014	116
6.2 The relationship between perceptions of bias against Hillary	
Clinton and Sarah Palin and perceptions of gender	
bias in elections	122
6.3 The relationship between perceptions of workplace and	
societal bias and perceptions of gender bias in elections	125
6.4 Influences on perceptions of gender bias in elections	127

Tables

- -

3.1	House candidates who devoted the most attention to	
	"women's" issues in campaign ads, 2010	page 54
3.2	House candidates who devoted the most attention to	
	"women's" issues in tweets, 2014	55
4.I	Every appearance-related mention in local newspaper	
	coverage of the 2014 House elections	68
4.2	Candidate-media agenda convergence	77
4.3	Most gender mentions in local news coverage	87
4.4	Most "women's" issues mentions in local news coverage	89
5.1	Voters' impressions of House candidates in 2014, by	
	sex and party	96
6.1	Summary of the statistical evidence	113
6.2	Voters' perceptions of female candidates' experiences, by	
	respondent sex and party	118
A3.1	The effects of candidate sex and party on issue content in	
	2010 campaign ads	144
A3.2	The effects of candidate sex and party on word usage in	
	2010 campaign ads	145
A3.3	The effects of candidate sex and party on issue content	
	in 2014 tweets	146
A3.4	The effects of candidate sex and party on trait content	
	in 2014 tweets	147
A4.1	The effects of candidate sex, electoral competitiveness, and	
	incumbency on volume of coverage	148
A4.2	The effects of candidate sex, electoral competitiveness, and	
	incumbency on trait coverage in 2010	149
A4.3	The effects of candidate sex, electoral competitiveness, and	
	incumbency on trait coverage in 2014	150

viii

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-11558-3 - Women on the Run: Gender, Media, and Political Campaigns in a
Polarized Era
Danny Hayes and Jennifer L. Lawless
Frontmatter
More information

List of tables	
A4.4 The effects of candidate sex, electoral competitiveness, and	
incumbency on issue coverage in 2010	151
A4.5 The effects of candidate sex, electoral competitiveness,	
and incumbency on issue coverage in 2014	152
A5.1 The impact of candidate sex on evaluations of Democratic	
U.S. House candidates' traits	153
A 5.2 The impact of candidate sex on evaluations of Republican	
U.S. House candidates' traits	154
A 5.3 The impact of candidate sex on evaluations of Democratic U.S.	
House candidates' issue competencies, 2014	155
A 5.4 The impact of candidate sex on evaluations of Republican	
U.S. House candidates' issue competencies, 2014	156
A 5.5 The impact of candidate sex on voting for the Democrat	157
A6.1 Voters' impressions of House candidates' treatment in 2014	158
A6.2 Influences on perceptions of gender bias in elections	159

Acknowledgments

So Much Shouting, So Much Laughter is the name of an Ani DiFranco album that came out in 2002. That could also be the title of this book, based on our experience writing it. What began as a series of shouted conversations between our across-the-hallway offices at American University eventually turned into a collaboration that involved almost as much laughing as it did writing. We could never have known that analyzing congressional campaigns with an eye for gender differences – and how those differences might account for women's under-representation – would be so much fun. Most of the time, we even enjoyed engaging the often-skeptical reactions to our findings from politicians, practitioners, and political scientists, exchanges that undoubtedly improved and sharpened our argument, analysis, and presentation.

Of course, as with any book, there were times when it felt like we would never finish. But we were fortunate to receive the generous support and assistance of numerous people who helped us refine our ideas, carry out the research, and turn a mountain of data into a readable (fingers crossed!) book. We should start with Richard Fox, who dutifully – and with only a modest amount of grumbling – read the entire manuscript. He pushed us to provide more evidence for our claims, more context for our conclusions, and more care when challenging others' arguments. He also did it on a moment's notice and when – and he'd be the first to say it – he'd rather be doing anything else.

We also received helpful feedback from others, many of whom read and commented on conference papers and drafts of journal article submissions. We thank Brandon Bartels, Deborah Brooks, Ryan Claassen, Matt Cleary, Pam Conover, Kathy Dolan, Stephanie Ewert, Erica Franklin Fowler, Shana Gadarian, Leonie Huddy, Seth Jolly, Nathan Kalmoe, Jeff Isaac, Eric Lawrence, Cherie Maestas, Tali Mendelberg, Spencer Piston, Markus Prior, John Sides, Sean Theriault, Nick Winter, Chris Wlezien, and Antoine Yoshinaka. We are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers at Cambridge University Press, whose feedback helped improve the final product.

xi

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-11558-3 - Women on the Run: Gender, Media, and Political Campaigns in a Polarized Era Danny Hayes and Jennifer L. Lawless Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

xii

Acknowledgments

In addition, we benefited from comments we received after presenting components of the project at various professional meetings and research seminars since 2011. We thank participants at the National Capital Area Political Science Association's American Politics Workshop, the roundtable on gender stereotyping at the 2014 meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association, various APSA, MPSA, SPSA, and WPSA panels, and seminars at American University, George Washington University's School of Media and Public Affairs, the University of Maryland, the University of Minnesota, the University of Texas–Austin, the University of Virginia, Syracuse University, Princeton University, the University of California–Berkeley, and the University of North Carolina.

Beyond academic audiences, we are grateful to have had the opportunity to present our results to and receive input from political organizations and practitioners who are devoted to leveling the playing field for female candidates and increasing women's representation. Andrea Dew Steele is at the top of that list. Not only did she facilitate a series of presentations for us, but she also introduced us to many of the people doing the work involved in getting women to run for office. Emily's List, Emerge America, and Emerge Kentucky were welcoming and receptive, and helped to ensure that we had a complete understanding of the electoral playing field in recent elections. We are also grateful to staffers at the Democratic National Committee and the National Republican Congressional Committee, who met with us to discuss the 2010 and 2014 elections, and to Anita McBride, who arranged some of these interviews.

We are grateful to the Women & Politics Institute at American University for generous funding, as well as to the Campbell Public Affairs Institute at Syracuse University. We are probably most indebted to Gail Baitinger, without whose tireless research assistance this book would not exist. No matter what we asked of her, Gail did it, usually in about half the time we expected. Describing her efforts as superhuman is probably unfair – we're not sure even Wonder Woman could have done the work that Gail did (although we're fairly confident that Wonder Woman would have eaten fewer peanut M&Ms while doing it). My-Lien Le also deserves the highest level of praise and thanks. Beyond engaging in countless tasks and logistics involved in writing the book, she also constructed the index. Her patience with us was remarkable, especially given the lack of help, direction, and conviction we provided.

We were fortunate to have benefited from the research assistance of Erica Best, Samantha Guthrie, Diane Hsiung, Clinton Jenkins, Pamela Riis, and Jon Weakley. Dan Chudnov and the staff at George Washington University's Scholarly Technology Group were instrumental in helping us carry out the analysis of Twitter messages in Chapter 3. The dozens of journalists and campaign managers that we quote throughout the book generously gave of their time to answer our questions about the role of gender in contemporary elections. Adam Eck turned our cover idea into a great design. Finally, we

Acknowledgments

xiii

appreciate the support of Robert Dreesen, our Cambridge editor, who enthusiastically believed in this project from its inception.

Apart from the professional, we are both lucky to have mind-bogglingly supportive families and friends. Danny's parents, Dan and Charlotte Hayes; his sisters, Janie and Cindy Hayes; and his brother-in-law, Jimmy Bisese, have been enthusiastic cheerleaders over the years. Nikki Raspa is a ceaseless source of support and love and advice and laughter and light – basically, everything a guy could hope for. And the newest member of the family, Scout, is always ready with a spirited tail wag. Especially when there are treats.

Jen's parents, Margie and John Lawless, never stop cheerleading (sometimes literally with pompoms); and Debbie (see!?!), Cory, Shaun, Alec, and Annabella have endured, "liked," and "shared" countless conversations, posts, and articles about women, politics, campaigns, and elections. Richard Fox and Sean Theriault are two of the smartest, funniest, most supportive, and blindly loyal friends anyone could ever have. And Viola, a gorgeous genius of a bulldog with a wiggle in her walk, has ensured that the final stages of writing this book involved tons of laughter, snorting, and love.