JUDICIAL REVIEW IN AN OBJECTIVE LEGAL SYSTEM

How should courts interpret the law? While all agree that courts must be objective, legal scholars differ sharply over what this demands in practice: fidelity to the text? To the will of the people? To certain moral ideals?

In Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System, Tara Smith breaks through the false dichotomies inherent in dominant theories – various forms of Originalism, Living Constitutionalism, and Minimalism – to present a new approach to judicial review. She contends that we cannot assess judicial review in isolation from the larger enterprise of which it is a part. By providing careful clarification of both the function of the legal system as well as of objectivity itself, she produces a compelling, firmly grounded account of genuinely objective judicial review. Smith's innovative approach marks a welcome advance for anyone interested in legal objectivity and individual rights.

Tara Smith is a professor of philosophy at the University of Texas at Austin. She is the author of *Moral Rights and Political Freedom*; *Viable Values*: A *Study of Life as the Root and Reward of Morality*; and *Ayn Rand's Normative Ethics*: *The Virtuous Egoist*. Her writings have been translated into several languages including Chinese, Japanese, and Hebrew.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System Tara Smith Frontmatter More information Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System Tara Smith Frontmatter More information

Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System

TARA SMITH

University of Texas at Austin





32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107114494

© Tara Smith 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Smith, Tara, 1961– author.
Judicial review in an objective legal system / Tara Smith, University of Texas at Austin. pages cm
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-107-11449-4 (hardback)
1. Law – Interpretation and construction. 2. Objectivity. 3. Judicial review.
4. Rule of law. I. Title.
K290.S63 2015
347'.012–dc23 2015011612

ISBN 978-1-107-11449-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

Acknowledgments po		age vii
	Introduction	1
	PART I AN OBJECTIVE LEGAL SYSTEM	
1	Objectivity – Getting Reality Right	13
2	Objectivity in a Legal System – Three Cornerstones	45
3	The Moral Imperative of the Rule of Law	67
4	The Moral Authority Beneath the Law	88
5	A Written Constitution – Bedrock Legal Authority	112
	PART II IMPLICATIONS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW	
6	Judicial Review – The Reigning Accounts' Failure	145
7	Objective Judicial Review – Understanding the Law in Context	215
8	Proper Review in Contemporary Conditions	253
	Conclusion	275
	Select Bibliography Index	

V

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-11449-4 - Judicial Review in an Objective Legal System Tara Smith Frontmatter More information

Acknowledgments

My thinking about the issues discussed in this book has benefited enormously from discussions with many people over the past several years. In settings ranging from the classroom to conferences and workshops to discussion groups, students, colleagues, and others have posed sharp questions and probing criticisms and provided patient guidance for my initial forays into legal philosophy. Space does not permit me to name all of those whose contributions have made a difference. I am particularly thankful, however, to Onkar Ghate, Tom Bowden, Robert Mayhew, Greg Salmieri, Steve Simpson, Larry Salzman, Dana Berliner, Adam Mossoff, and Amy Peikoff. In Austin, Al Martinich, Matt Miller, and Arif Panju have supplied a steady stream of congenial intellectual agitation about legal practices and legal principles (joined, for different periods of our ongoing discussion group, by Wesley Hottot, Andrew Ingram, Sam Krauss, Adam Phillips, Steve Davey, and Michael Sevel).

Funding from the BB&T Chair for the Study of Objectivism and the Anthem Foundation has allowed me to organize related workshops and conferences and to hire research assistants. I am very grateful to these institutions, as well as to those assistants for their labors: Kate Ritchie, Malcolm Keating, Jerry Green, Sam Krauss, Simone Gubler, and Megan Hyska, who prepared the Index. I am also grateful to the University of Texas for granting me leave time to devote to the book, and to the *Washington University Jurisprudence Review* for allowing me to use material originally included in my article "Neutrality Isn't Neutral: On the Value-Neutrality of the Rule of Law," which appeared in its volume 4, issue 1, in 2011.

At Cambridge, John Berger and his staff have been a pleasure to work with. Their support – in spirit as well as in meticulous material detail – is much appreciated.

The large number of people listed here should in no way be read to diminish the substantial benefits I have reaped from each of them. Nor, my appreciation.