

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- access to technology
 - digital divide and, 11–13
 - socioeconomic research challenges and, 91–93
- actionable information, identification and extraction of, 46–48
- adversarial analysis, public opinion on Twitter and, 58–59
- advertising, Twitter research and, 6–8
- affiliation networks, research on, 10–11
- Africa, Internet use in, 93
- aid availability, challenges in disaster management and, 140–41
- Alchemy API, emergency management systems and, 135–40
- Allport, F. H., 52–54
- Amazon.com Web Services, 13–16
- ANEW lexicon, 61–64
- Anstead, N., 61–64
- antibiotic understanding, health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
- application programming interfaces (APIs), 13–16. *See also* REST APIs; streaming APIs
 - bias in Twitter and, 59–61
 - crawling techniques for analyzing Twitter data, 99
 - data response format, 28
 - emergency management systems and, 135–40
 - followers/list* function, 25–26
 - friends/list* function, 25–26
 - pandemic prediction, Twitter data and, 114–17
 - searching Tweets with, 27–28
 - types of Twitter, 22–23
 - user profiles and, 26–27
- Aral, S., 121–24
- artifacts, in Retweets, 23–25
- Asur and Huberman, 75–77
- attention, Twitter research and role of, 6–8
- autocorrelation techniques, investor sentiment analysis, 84–87
- automated content analysis, 46–48
 - Epidemic Intelligence (EI) systems and, 113–14
 - future research issues in public opinion research and, 66–68
 - limitations of Twitter-based electoral forecasting and, 65–66
 - public opinion research and limitations of, 61–64
 - search volume data for economic research and, 90–91
 - social mood indicators and, 81–83
- automated data mining methods, bias in public opinion research, 58–59
- Avello, Daniel Gayo, 52–68
- Azure platform (Microsoft), 13–16
- Balasubramanian, R., 75–77
- Bandwagon* (Shannon), 16
- Barboza, P., 125–26
- Bearishness index, investor sentiment analysis, 84–87
- behavioral research
 - investor sentiment analysis, 84–87
 - Twitter analytics and, 4–5
 - web search queries, 75–77

- bias detection
 - future research issues in public opinion
 - research and, 66–68
 - insiders perspective on public opinion, 58–59
 - in streaming API, 41–42
 - in Twitter data, 59–61
 - without Firehose, 43–44
- Big Data approaches
 - challenges and future research issues, 91–93
 - Epidemic Intelligence (EI) systems
 - and, 113–14
 - socioeconomic indicators in social media
 - and, 75–77, 90–91
 - Twitter-based opinion mining
 - and, 59–61
- Bishop, S. R., 75–77
- blogs, swine flu pandemic news dissemination
 - and, 118–21
- Blondel, V. D., 75–77
- Boilerpipe, emergency management systems
 - and, 135–40
- Bollen, J., 54–58, 75–77
- Bond, R. M., 10–11
- bootstrap analysis, bias detection in streaming API, 43–44
- Boston Bombing incident, 144–48
- Boston Consulting Group, 107–8
- Bourdieu, Pierre, 58–61
- box-office revenues, sentiment analysis of
 - Twitter linked to, 75–77
- Brandes, Ulrik, 35
- Brazil, Twitter tracking of dengue fever
 - in, 113–14
- Brendan, O., 75–77, 79–81
- Brisbane floods, 144–48, 151–53
 - social media-based disaster monitoring
 - during, 131–33
- Bullishness Index, investor sentiment
 - analysis, 84–87
- calls for help, disaster and emergency management social media tools and, 140–41, 150–51
- Cameron, David, 96–97
- Cameron, Mark, 131–58
- cashtags*, investor sentiment analysis, 84–87
- Castillo, C., 64–65, 87–90
- causality analysis
 - consumer confidence and social media
 - sentiment analysis, 79–81
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets
 - and, 104–7
 - social mood indicators and stock market
 - volatility, 81–83
- Centers for Disease Control (CDC)
 - surveillance data, early warning and
 - preparedness using, 113–14
 - swine flu pandemic news dissemination
 - and, 118–21
- Centola, D., 6–8
- Charlton, Kym, 151–53
- Chilean earthquake, 97–99, 144–48
- Claxton, R., 75–77
- cleaning procedures, content analysis on
 - Twitter and, 99
- Cohen, R., 61–64
- cointegration test, consumer confidence and
 - social media sentiment analysis, 79–81
- communication challenges, disaster
 - management and, 140–41
- communication diversity
 - economic analysis and, 91, 93
 - risk communication and health news
 - dissemination, Twitter-based approaches
 - for, 117–21
- communicative capitalism, public opinion
 - and, 52–54
- community characteristics
 - disaster life cycle and, 133–34
 - engagement during disaster and emergency
 - management and, 151–53
 - hyperlocal happiness case study
 - and, 104–7
 - sustainable community
 - development, 157–58
- community deprivation scoring, hyperlocal
 - happiness from Tweets and, 104–7
- complex contagion theory, 6–8
- Compton, R., 8–10
- consumer confidence
 - sentiment analysis on Twitter and, 75–77, 79–81
 - Twitter opinion as, 54–58
- contagion
 - measurement issues in social
 - research, 10–11
 - Twitter use and, 6–8
- content on Twitter, 23–25
 - cleaning procedures for, 99
 - content networks, 37
 - crawling techniques for analyzing, 99

- geo-referencing, 96–97, 100–1
- happiness of Twitter users and, 97–99
- correlation coefficient
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 104–7
 - social mood indicators using, 81–83
- crawling procedures, content analysis on
 - Twitter and, 99
- credibility analysis of Twitter, public opinion
 - research and, 64–65
- Crime and Disaster related events (CDEs), 46–48
- crisis events
 - event detection in Twitter data, 45–46
 - social media-based disaster
 - monitoring, 131–33
- CrisisTracker system, 135–40
- Cristianini, N., 113–14
- cross-correlation analysis
 - market volatility and social network
 - analysis, 87–90
 - official surveillance data vs. self-reported
 - Tweets concerning flu pandemic, 114–17
 - Twitter sentiment ratio and consumer
 - confidence surveys, 79–81
- crowd mapping, Tweet volume during
 - disasters and, 141–43
- crowdsourcing, health information
 - and, 111–26
- Culotta, A., 113–14
- cultural conditions
 - health sentiment and public language on
 - Twitter and, 121–24
 - Twitter as research tool for
 - analyzing, 52–54
- curated Twitter data, 23–25, 29–40
 - emergency management systems
 - and, 135–40
 - enriched data, 29–35
- Da, Z., 75–77, 90–91
- Daas, P. and Puts, M., 79–81
- Daily Sentiment Index (DSI), investor
 - sentiment analysis and, 85–87
- data aggregation on Twitter
 - electoral forecasting and, 55–58
 - overview, 23–28
- data collection and analysis, tools for, 46–48
- data quality, Twitter data research, 40–44
- data response format, 28
- data storage and retrieval, network
 - construction, 37–38
 - dataset storage and manipulation, 13–16
- Datasift, data quality and access, 40–44
- DBpedia Spotlight, emergency management
 - systems and, 135–40
- Dean, J., 52–54
- Decahose stream, bias in Twitter and, 59–61
- De Choudhury, M., 97–99
- Decision Tree classifier, 141–43, 144–48
- demographic profiles
 - bias detection in, 59–61
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets
 - and, 107–8
 - online social research, 11–13
- dengue fever, Twitter tracking of, 113–14
- Dennis, A. R., 87–90
- De Quincey, E., 111–13
- Diakopoulos, N. A., 54–58
- diffusion, Twitter use and, 6–8
- digital divide, Twitter use and, 11–13
- digital epidemiology, Twitter data and, 113–14
- digital exhaust data, bias in Twitter and, 59–61
- DiGrazia, J., 61–64
- Directed Tweets, 23–25
- disaster monitoring
 - challenges of Twitter-based
 - systems, 140–53
 - community engagement and, 151–53
 - current Twitter-based systems, 135–40
 - disaster life cycle and, 133–34
 - event detection and, 148–50
 - event detection in Twitter data, 45–46,
 - 131–58
 - future opportunities for social media in,
 - 154–55, 157–58
 - increased severity and frequency of events
 - and, 131–33
 - limitations of social media in, 155–57
 - situation awareness and, 135
 - Tweet volume management and, 141–43
- disease epidemiology, Twitter research
 - and, 6–8
- displacement theory, Internet use and, 11–13
- document-oriented storage, 37–38
- Dodds, P. S., 97–99, 102–3
- double entendres in political opinion, public
 - opinion research and, 61–64
- Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA),
 - correlation of social media messages
 - with, 75–77, 81–83, 85–87
- Drezde, M., 111–13
- Duncan, B., 117–21

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

164

Index

- Dutch public social media, consumer confidence measurement using, 79–81
- Dynamic Language Model, 122–24
- Eagle, N., 75–77, 93, 104–7
- “early adopter,” research on, 10–11
- early warning outbreak detection systems
- multiple data integration in, 125–26
 - official surveillance data vs. self-reported Tweets concerning flu pandemic, 114–17
 - social network data and, 111–13
 - Twitter use and, 113–14
- earthquake data
- credibility analysis of Twitter concerning, 64–65
 - disaster monitoring and, 131–33
 - event detection in Twitter and, 45–46
 - event detection techniques and, 148–50
 - happiness of Twitter users and impact of, 97–99
 - location detection tools, 143–45
 - Twitter and, 21–22
 - volume management in disaster monitoring and, 141–43
- economic conditions
- disaster severity and frequency and, 131–33
 - happiness linked to, 96–97
 - search volume data and, 90–91
 - social mood indicators and, 81–83
- ego networks, research on, 10–11
- electoral forecasting
- automated content analysis and, 61–64
 - future research issues in, 66–68
 - limitations of Twitter-based approaches, 65–66
 - Twitter data on, 21–22, 52–54, 55–58
- electronic patients records (EPRs), privacy issues with, 126
- emergency management
- challenges of Twitter-based systems, 140–53
 - community engagement and, 151–53
 - disasters and, 134–35
 - event detection and monitoring, 148–50
 - future opportunities for social media in, 154–55, 157–58
 - limitations of social media in, 155–57
 - situation awareness and, 135
 - Tweet volume management and, 141–43
 - Twitter-based data monitoring, 131–58
- Emergency Situation Awareness (ESA) system, 135–40
- event detection using, 148–50
 - text classification and, 141–43
- emotional well-being
- hyperlocal happiness from Tweets, 96–109
 - word use analysis on Twitter and, 102–3
- Endsley, M. R., 135
- Engelberand, J., 75–77
- enriched Twitter data, 29–35
- named entity recognition, 30–31
 - tokenization and part of speech tagging, 29–30
- Epidemic Intelligence (EI), social network data and, 111–14
- Ettredge, M., 75–77
- event detection and monitoring
- emergency and disaster management and, 148–50
 - in Twitter data, 45–48
- explorative research methods, socioeconomic indicators in social network analysis, 93
- Facebook
- disaster monitoring and, 131–33
 - research experiments using, 10–11
 - stock market fluctuations and public mood indicators on, 75–77
- face-to-face communication, online social research and, 11–13
- Fast Fourier Transform analysis, investor sentiment, 84–87
- favorite Tweets, 23–25
- fear index, tweet volume linked to, 81–83
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), social media as tool of, 144–48
- Financial and Economic Attitudes Revealed by Search (FEARS) index, 90–91
- fire disasters, social media monitoring of, 131–33
- Firehose data
- bias detection without, 43–44
 - bias in Twitter and, 59–61
- “follow” behavior, social networks and, 25–26
- follow networks, 36
- follower relations
- market fluctuations and number of followers, 87–90
 - research on, 10–11
 - Twitter-based approaches to risk communication and health news dissemination and, 117–21

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

Index

165

- Framingham Heart Study, 2–4
 Frank, M., 97–99
 Freelon, D. G., 52–54
 Fukushima earthquake, 45–46, 131–33, 144–48
 future orientation index, 75–77
 economic research and, 90–91
- Gallup Economic Confidence Index, 79–81
 Gao, P., 75–77
 Gayo-Avello, D., 55–58
 Geonames API, 31–33
 geo-referencing
 community identification and, 104–7
 disaster and emergency management and, 143–45
 emergency management systems and, 135–40
 Epidemic Intelligence (EI) systems and, 113–14
 in Twitter research, 96–97, 100–1
 geotagging
 emergency management systems and, 135–40
 location identification, 31–33
- Gerdes, J., 75–77
 Germany
 electoral forecasting research in, 61–64, 65–66
 Twitter-based approaches to risk communication and health news dissemination in, 117–21
- Gesualdo, F., 121–24
 Gionis, A., 87–90
 Gladwell, Malcolm, 6–8
 globalization, public health issues and, 111–13
 Gnip, data quality and access, 40–44
 Golder, S. A., 97–99
 Golder, Scott, 1–16
 Gomide, J., 113–14
 Google Flu trends, 107–8
 Google Profile of Mood States (GPOMS), social mood indicators and stock market volatility, 81–83
 Google search queries, “nowcasting” economic indicators using, 75–77
 Google Trends, 90–91
 GPS sensor, location identification, 31–33
 Granger-causality test
 consumer confidence and social media sentiment analysis, 79–81
 social mood indicators and stock market volatility, 81–83
- graphic analysis, market volatility and social network analysis, 87–90
 gross domestic product (GDP)
 happiness linked to, 96–97
 search volume data and, 90–91
 Gruhl, D., 75–77
 Guha, R., 75–77
 Guha-Sapir, Debby, 131–33
 Guillaume, J.-L., 75–77
 Gupta, Aditi, 144–48
- Habermas, J., 52–54
 hand-crafted classification rules, emergency management systems and, 135–40
 happiness
 research on, 96–97
 of Twitter users, 97–99
 hard science, in online social research, 2–4
 Harvard IV-dictionary, 87–90
 hashtag network, 36–37
 hay fever mapping, Twitter data and, 111–13
 health data
 news dissemination via Twitter and, 111–13
 privacy issues with Twitter-based personal health information, 126
 risk communication and health news dissemination, Twitter-based approaches for, 117–21
 sentiment analysis and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
 Twitter use as source of, 111–26
 user demographics and Twitter-based dissemination of, 124–25
 Health Protection Agency (HPA) (UK), surveillance data on flu pandemic and, 114–17
 heatmaps, emergency management systems and, 135–40
 Heinzelman, Jessica, 141–43
 high-degree network nodes, Twitter use and, 6–8
 Hitlin, P., 58–59
 holidays, happiness of Twitter users on, 97–99
 homophily hypothesis
 health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
 measurement issues in social research, 10–11
 Hosebird client, 46–48
 Hristidis, V., 87–90
 Huberty, M. E., 59–61

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

166

Index

- Hurricane Sandy, 151–53
 misleading information dissemination during, 144–48
 Tweet volume during, 141–43
- hyperlocal happiness
 case study, 104–7
 from tweets, 96–109
- identity issues, in Twitter use, 8–10
- Imran, Muhammad, 141–43
- Index of Multiple Deprivation, 91
- index support, 37–38
- Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD),
 hyperlocal happiness case study and, 104–7
- infectious disease
 globalization and, 111–13
 multiple data integration in surveillance of, 125–26
 Twitter-based tracking of, 113–14
 vaccination risk information, Twitter case study of, 122–24
- influentials
 advertisers' targeting of, 6–8
 health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
- influenza-like illness (ILI)
 health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
 official surveillance data vs. self-reported Tweets concerning, 114–17
 Twitter data and tracking of, 113–14
- influenza vaccine sentiment score, vaccination risk information an, 122–24
- informal settlements (unplanned/unauthorized housing), satellite data concerning, 75–77
- information propagation
 Retweets as tool for, 23–25
 Twitter-based approaches to risk communication and health news dissemination and, 117–21
- insiders perspective on public opinion, 52–54, 58–59
- international public opinion research, 61–64
 hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 107–8
 limitations of Twitter-based electoral forecasting and, 65–66
 socioeconomic indicators from mobile phone use, 75–77
 Twitter sentiment ratio and consumer confidence surveys, 79–81
- Internet
 Epidemic Intelligence (EI) systems and, 113–14
 health data and monitoring and, 111–13
 swine flu pandemic news dissemination and, 118–21
 user demographics for, 124–25
- investor sentiment
 analysis of, 84–87
 search volume data and, 90–91
- irony, in political opinion, public opinion research and, 61–64
- Jaimes, A., 87–90
- Japan, disaster and emergency management in, 150–53
- Jensen, M. J., 61–64
- Jernigan, C., 8–10
- job-related web searches, unemployment statistics linked to, 75–77
- Joint Australian Tsunami Warning Centre (JATWC), 148–50
- JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) format, 28
- Jungherr, A., 55–58
- Karuga, G., 75–77
- Katz, E., 6–8
- Kautz, H., 111–13
- keyword analysis
 bias in Twitter data and, 59–61
 consumer confidence indexes and, 79–81
 emergency management systems and, 135–40
 event detection systems and, 148–50
 social mood indicators and, 81–83
- Khandelwal, Shashank, 122–24
- Kostkova, Patty, 111–26
- Kumar, R., 75–77
- Kumar, S., 21–48
- Kwak, H., 117–21
- label-propagation algorithms, 8–10
- labor market indicators, Twitter data and, 78
- Lambiotte, R., 75–77
- Lamos, V., 113–14
- language-based modeling, emotional content on Twitter and, 101–4
- Lansdall-Welfare, T., 54–58
- Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), 13–16
 hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 104–7
 topic modeling and, 103–4

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

Index

167

- Laver, M., 61–64
- Lazarsfeld, P., 6
- Lazer, D., 75–77
- Lee, B. S., 45–46
- Lefebvre, E., 75–77
- lexicons
- automated content analysis and, 61–64
 - consumer confidence indexes and, 79–81
- Lifeline service (Japan), 151–53
- Linguistic Inquiry Word Count (LIWC), 101–2
- Linked Open Data, emergency management systems and use of, 135–40
- Lists, curation of Tweets using, 23–25
- Liu, Huan, 21–48
- LiveJournal, 75–77
- location identification
- disaster and emergency management and, 140–41, 143–45
 - properties, 31–33
 - Twitter-based health information dissemination and, 125
- location translation APIs, 31–33
- LongURL API, 23–25
- low-activity users
- research on, 10–11
 - socioeconomic indicators and, 93
- Lower-layer Super Output Areas, census data in hyperlocal happiness case study and, 104–7
- low-income populations
- social media accessibility and, 93
 - Twitter-based health information dissemination and, 124–25
- machine learning, 13–16
- emergency management systems and use of, 135–40
 - investor sentiment analysis using, 84–87
 - vaccination risk information, Twitter case study of, 122–24
- macroeconomic indicators, search volume data for economic research and, 90–91
- Macy, Michael W., 1–16, 75–77, 97–99
- Mamhmd, Jalal, 31–33
- Mao, Huina, 75–93
- Mao, Y., 75–77
- MapQuest, 31–33
- MapReduce programming, 13–16
- data storage and retrieval, 37–38
 - example, 39
- market volatility
- search volume data and, 90–91
 - social media message volume linked to, 75–77, 81–83
 - Twitter network features and, 87–90
- Maximum Entropy system, 122–24, 141–43
- measurement issues in social research, 10–11
- Mechanical Turk users, sentiment analysis of, 102–3
- medical organizations, swine flu pandemic news dissemination and, 118–21
- Medtech wearable and tracking devices, privacy issues with Twitter-based personal health information, 126
- Mejova, Y. A., 61–64
- Mendoza, M., 64–65, 144–48
- message volume, market volatility and trading volume linked, 75–77
- Metaxas, P. T., 58–59, 61–64
- Mexico, affective Twitter posts and violence in, 97–99
- Michigan Index of Consumer Sentiment (ICS), 79–81
- microblogging
- emergency management systems and, 135–40
 - political opinion research and, 52–68
 - swine flu pandemic news dissemination and, 118–21
- Miller, P. V., 52–54
- misinformation
- emergency management and dissemination of, 144–48
 - future research issues in public opinion research and, 66–68
 - public opinion research with Twitter data and, 64–65
- Mistree, BFT., 8–10
- Mitchell, A., 64–65
- Moat, H.S., 75–77
- mobile phone usage
- hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 104–7
 - socioeconomic indicators and, 75–77, 91
- mobility patterns, happiness of Twitter users and, 97–99
- MongoDB
- data storage and retrieval with, 37–38
 - example, 38–39
- Morstatter, F., 21–48, 59–61
- multidimensional mood analysis model
- social mood indicators and stock market volatility, 81–83
 - Twitter mood tracking using, 75–77

- multiple data integration, Twitter-based health information dissemination and, 125–26
- Mustafaraj, E., 58–59
- myth busting, emergency management and Twitter tools for, 144–48
- Naïve Bayes system, 122–24, 141–43, 144–48
- Named Entity Extraction (NER)
 - current emergency management tools using, 135–40
 - data enrichment, 30–31
- NASDAQ values, correlation of social media messages with, 75–77, 81–83
- National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health, 2–4
- natural language processing (NLP)
 - event detection in disaster management and, 148–50
 - Twitter data enrichment and, 29–30
- negative change points, happiness of Twitter users, data based on, 97–99
- NeLI/NRIC datasets, health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
- nested data structures, manipulation of, 13–16
- network construction from Twitter data, 35–40
 - content networks, 37
 - data storage and retrieval, 37–38
 - financial market fluctuation and, 87–90
 - follow networks, 36
 - retweet networks, 35
 - user mentions networks, 36–37
- news sources, swine flu pandemic news dissemination and, 118–21
- New Zealand earthquake, 148–50
- NodeXL system, 46–48
- Nominatim API, 31–33
- “no replies” limitation, bias in Twitter data and, 58–61
- NoSQL database, 37–38
- Novak, J., 75–77
- “nowcasting”
 - economic indicators, Google search queries and, 75–77
 - infectious disease tracking and, 113–14
- obesity, social network research on, 111–13
- observational studies, measurement issues in social research and, 10–11
- O’Connor, B., 54–58, 61–64
- offline friendships, research on, 10–11
- Oh, C., 84–87
- Onion (satirical news site), 118–21
- online social research
 - challenges in, 8–16
 - hard science concerning, 2–4
 - measurement issues, 10–11
 - methods, skills, and training, 13–16
 - offline behavior and, 11–13
 - opportunities and challenges in, 1–16
 - privacy paradox in, 8–10
 - public opinion and, 52–68
 - research tools for analysis of, 46–48
 - Twitter data problems, 40–46
- Open Authentication (OAuth) standard, 22–23
- OpenCalais service, emergency management systems and, 135–40
- OpenStreetMaps, 31–33
- OpinionFinder, 79–81
 - social mood indicators and stock market volatility, 81–83
- outsiders perspective on public opinion, 52–54
- Owoputi, Olutobi, 29–30
- Paccagnella, L., 4–5
- pandemic, Twitter-based prediction of, case study, 114–17, 118–21
- part of speech (POS) tagging
 - data enrichment, 29–30
 - data volume management and, 141–43
- Paul, M. J., 111–13
- Pearson correlation coefficient
 - consumer confidence and social media sentiment analysis, 79–81
 - investor sentiment analysis in social media vs., 85–87
- PeerIndex research tool, content analysis on Twitter and, 99
- persistent histories, Twitter use and, 11–13
- Pew Research Center, 124–25
- Pig script, example, 40
- Pirate Party (Germany), 61–64, 65–66
- Podolny, J. M., 6–8
- polarity sentiment classification
 - electoral forecasting and, 55–58
 - sentiment analysis, 44–45
- political affiliation, Twitter data and prediction of, 61–64
- political disinformation
 - credibility analysis of Twitter and, 64–65
 - future research issues in public opinion research and, 66–68
- “pollster approach” in public opinion research, 52–54, 58–59, 65–66
- pollution, public health and, 111–13

- population demographics
 - disaster severity and frequency and, 131–33
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 107–8
 - Twitter-based health information dissemination and, 124–25
- Power, Robert, 131–58
- preference-based analysis, future research issues in public opinion research and, 66–68
- Preis, T., 75–77
- presidential debates, Twitter audience response to, 54–58
- presidential job approval, Twitter data on, 54–58
- prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery (PPRR)
 - challenges in disaster management and, 140–41
 - disaster life cycle and, 133–34
 - emergency management and, 134–35
 - social media-based disaster monitoring and, 131–33
- principal component analysis, unemployment data on Twitter and, 78
- privacy issues
 - personalized health information on Twitter and, 126
 - Twitter-based disaster and emergency management and, 155–57
 - in Twitter use, 8–10
- profile location, location identification using, 31–33
- programming interfaces, online social research using, 13–16
- provenance of Tweets, public opinion research and, 64–65
- public health
 - early warning and preparedness using Twitter and, 113–14
 - health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
 - multiple data integration in disease surveillance and, 125–26
 - Twitter applications in, 6–8, 111–26
 - Twitter-based approaches to risk communication and health news dissemination, 117–21
- public infrastructure, disaster severity and frequency and, 131–33
- public opinion research
 - automated content analysis, limitations of, 61–64
 - future research issues in, 66–68
 - health sentiment and public language on Twitter and, 121–24
 - limitations of Twitter data and, 58–66
 - misinformation and Tweet provenance limitations, 64–65
 - in online social research, 52–68
 - outside vs. inside perspectives on, 52–54
 - Twitter data mining on, 54–58
- public sphere, public opinion and, 52–54
- public transport, health impact of, 111–13
- p*-values, investor sentiment analysis and, 85–87
- Queensland Police Service (Australia), 144–48, 151–53
 - social media-based disaster monitoring by, 131–33
- Quercia, Daniele, 96–109
- Raging Bull, 75–77
- Ramage, D., 103–4
- random sampling, social research and, 2–4
- realness score, content analysis on Twitter and, 99
- real-time data
 - current emergency management tools using, 135–40
 - Epidemic Intelligence (EI) systems and, 113–14
 - official surveillance data vs. self-reported Tweets concerning flu pandemic, 114–17
- regression coefficient estimates, investor sentiment analysis and, 85–87
- replicability of research, socioeconomic indicators in social media research and, 93
- representativeness
 - bias in Twitter and, 59–61
 - health information dissemination through Twitter and issues of, 124–25
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 107–8
 - limitations of Twitter-based electoral forecasting and, 65–66
 - in public opinion data, 61–64
- REST APIs
 - curated Tweets and, 23–25
 - current emergency management tools using, 135–40

- REST APIs (*cont.*)
 data collection by, 22–23, 46–48
 searching Tweets with, 27–28
 user profiles and, 26–27
 retweets, 23–25
 network construction, 35
- Reyes, Antonio Paolo Rosso, 61–64
- risk communication, Twitter-based approaches
 to, 117–21
- Ritter, Alan, 30–31
- Robinson, Bella, 131–58
- Rogers, R., 52–54
- Romero, D. M., 6–8
- Routledge, B. R., 75–77
- Ruiz, E. J., 87–90
- Russell large-cap and small-cap
 values, investor sentiment analysis
 and, 85–87
- Ruths, D., 61–64
- Sadilek, A., 111–13
- Sakaki, Takeshi, 21–22, 45–46, 148–50
- Salathé Marcel, 113–14, 121–24
- Sample API, 43–44
- Sarkozy, Nicolas, 96–97
- satellite imagery, socioeconomic analysis and
 data from, 75–77
- Satisfaction With Life (SWL) test, social
 media research and, 96–97
- Schwartz, H. A., 96–99
- Scott, A., 121–24
- searching Tweets, strategies for, 27–28
- search volume data
 disaster and emergency management
 and, 140–43
 economic research using, 90–91
- Self-organizing Fuzzy Neural Network model,
 social mood indicators and stock market
 volatility, 81–83
- self-selection bias
 future research issues in public opinion
 research and, 66–68
 in Twitter data, 59–61
- semantic-based filtering, emergency
 management systems and, 135–40
- sentiment analysis, 13–16
 consumer confidence indicators and, 79–81
 health sentiment and public
 language, 121–24
 hyperlocal happiness from Tweets, 96–109
 investor sentiment, 84–87
 jobs as keyword, experiment using, 54–58
 language-based modeling, 101–4
 market fluctuations and stock trading
 volume and, 75–77
 protocols for, 44–45
 public opinion data and, 54–58, 61–64
 Sentiment viz tool for, 46–48
 social mood measurement, 81–83
 vaccination concerns and, 111–13
 vaccination risk information, Twitter case
 study of, 122–24
 word categories and, 102–3
- Sentiment viz, 46–48
- SentiStrength, 44–45
- SentiWordNet, 44–45, 61–64
- Shalizi, C. R., 10–11, 121–24
- Shamma, D. A., 54–58
- Shannon, Claude, 16
- Sheng, O. R. L., 84–87
- shortened URLs
 accommodation on Twitter of, 23–25
 recovery of, 31
- situation awareness
 challenges of Twitter-based systems
 for, 140–53
 current emergency management tools
 for, 135–40
 emergency management and, 135
- Smith, N. A., 75–77
- smoking, social network research on, 111–13
- snowball sampling, social research and, 2–4
- social media content
 data mining of, 8–10
 disaster severity and frequency and, 131–33
 future opportunities for disaster and
 emergency management using, 154–55
 limitations of, in disaster and emergency
 management, 155–57
 research tools for analysis of, 46–48
 seeking help during disasters and, 150–51
 sentiment analysis, 44–45
 Twitter-based approaches to risk
 communication and health news
 dissemination, 117–21
- social mood indicators
 measurement approaches, 81–83
 stock market fluctuations and, 75–77
- social network analyses
 community engagement in disaster
 management and, 151–53
 disaster severity and frequency and, 131–33

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

- economic analysis using, 91
- emergency management and, 134–35
- future opportunities for disaster and health behaviors and, 111–13
- limitations of, in disaster and emergency management, 155–57
- market volatility and, 87–90
- public opinion and, 52–54
- research on, 96–97
- Retweets as tool for, 23–25
- seeking help during disasters and, 140–41, 150–51
- Twitter-based approaches to risk communication and health news dissemination, 117–21
- Twitter use and, 6–8, 25–26
- vaccination risk information, Twitter case study of, 122–24
- socioeconomic indicators
 - Big Data sources for, 90–91
 - challenges and future research issues, 91–93
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets and, 96–109
 - online social research and, 75–93
 - research using, 10–11
- S&P 500 values, correlation of social media messages with, 75–77, 81–83, 85–87
- spam accounts, growth of, 8–10
- Stamberger, Jeannie, 46–48
- Stanford's Topic Modeling Toolbox, 13–16
- Stanley, H. E., 75–77
- Starbird, Kate, 46–48
- stock ticker names, Google search volume as investor attention proxy, 75–77
- stock tweets, market fluctuations and, 75–77, 81–83
- straightforward queries, 37–38
- streaming APIs
 - access to, 46–48
 - bias detection in, 41–42, 59–61
 - current emergency management tools using, 135–40
 - data quality assessment, 40–44
 - real-time information access, 22–23
 - searching Tweets with, 27–28
- subjectivity classification, sentiment analysis, 44–45
- Sul, H., 87–90
- supervised learning, nowcasting events and, 113–14
- Support Vector Machines (SVM)
 - content analysis on Twitter and, 103–4, 141–43
 - official surveillance data vs. self-reported Tweets concerning flu pandemic, 114–17
- survey-based research
 - ego networks, 10–11
 - investor sentiment analysis in social media vs., 85–87
 - Twitter sentiment ratio and consumer confidence surveys, 79–81
- swine flu outbreak
 - case study in news dissemination concerning, 118–21
 - happiness of Twitter users and impact of, 97–99
 - Twitter-based approaches to risk communication and health news dissemination, 117–21
 - Twitter-based prediction of, case study, 114–17
- Syrian Civil War, Crisis Tracker system and, 135–40
- tag clouds, content analysis on Twitter and, 99
- TEDAS system, 46–48
- text analysis and classification, 13–16
 - data volume management and, 141–43
 - geo-referencing, 96–97, 100–1
 - happiness of Twitter users and, 97–99
 - veracity of disaster-related Twitter data and, 144–48
- third-party information, disaster and emergency management and, 144–48
- Thomas, A. C., 10–11
- Thomson, Robert, 144–48
- The Tipping Point* (Gladwell), 6–8
- tokenization
 - content analysis on Twitter and, 99
 - data enrichment, 29–30
- Tomkins, A., 75–77
- topic-based information, sentiment analysis, 44–45
- topic modeling, 13–16
 - emotional well-being of Twitter users and, 103–4
 - hyperlocal happiness from Tweets, 96–109
- Townsend, P., 104–7
- trading volume in stock market, social media message volume linked to, 75–77

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

172

Index

- transparency issues, socioeconomic indicators
 - in social media research and, 93
- traumatic events, happiness of Twitter users
 - and, 97–99
- trustworthiness of tweets, disaster and
 - emergency management and, 144–48
- Tufekci, Z., 10–11, 59–61
- Tumasjan, Andranik, 21–22, 55–58
- tunable hedonometer, happiness of Twitter
 - users and, 97–99
- Tweak the Tweek project, 46–48
- Tweet4act, 135–40
- Tweet object listing example, 28–29
- Tweets
 - disaster and emergency management and
 - trustworthiness of, 144–48
 - hyperlocal happiness from, 96–109
 - pandemic prediction, self-reporting by flu
 - patients, 114–17
- TweetTracker, 46–48
- TweetXplorer, 46–48
- Twitcident, emergency management systems
 - and, 135–40
- Twitris system, 46–48
- Twitter
 - access protocols for, 22–23
 - anonymity of, 11–13
 - applications registration on, 22–23
 - content forms, 23–25
 - current emergency management systems
 - using, 135–40
 - data aggregation overview, 23–28
 - data analysis of, 21–48
 - disaster monitoring and, 131–33
 - forms of data, 23–28
 - future opportunities for disaster and
 - emergency management using, 154–55
 - happiness of users of, 97–99
 - health information and use of, 111–26
 - historical evolution of, 52–54
 - limitations of, in disaster and emergency
 - management, 155–57
 - online social research and, 2–4
 - Open Authentication standard, 22–23
 - research applications using, 6–8
 - as social telescope, 4–5
 - socioeconomic indicators, mining on, 78–90
 - stock market fluctuations and public mood
 - indicators on, 75–77
 - user statistics for, 21–22
- Twitter4J library, 46–48
- Twitter Alert service, 151–53
- Twitter bombs, credibility analysis of Twitter
 - and, 64–65
- Twitter Data Analytics, 61–64
 - public opinion research and, 61–64
- Twitter Developer Website, 46–48
- Twitter Earthquake Detector (TED), 148–50
- Twitter emotional valence score, market
 - fluctuations and, 87–90
- Twitter Firehouse, data quality assessment, 40–44
- Twitter handle, user profiles and, 26–27
- Twitter ID, user profiles and, 26–27
- Ugander, J., 10–11
- unemployment rate
 - job-related web searches linked to, 75–77
 - Twitter as source for, 78
- United Kingdom
 - happiness of Twitter users in, 97–99
 - hyperlocal happiness case study in, 104–7
 - socioeconomic indicators from mobile
 - phone use in, 75–77, 91
 - vaccination concerns and disease outbreaks
 - in, 111–13
- United States, happiness of Twitter users
 - in, 97–99
- University of Michigan Social Media Job Loss
 - Index, 78
- unstructured data, manipulation of, 13–16
- urbanization, disaster severity and frequency
 - and, 131–33
- URLs
 - accommodation on Twitter of, 23–25
 - swine flu pandemic news dissemination
 - and, 118–21
- user mentions networks, 36–37
- user profiles, 26–27
 - bias in Twitter data and, 59–61
- Ushahidi platform, 141–43
- vaccination risk
 - health sentiment and public language on
 - Twitter case study and, 122–24
 - sentiment analysis concerning, 111–13
- Van Dijck, J., 52–54
- vector autoregression (VAR) framework,
 - investor sentiment analysis, 84–87
- veracity issues, disaster and emergency
 - management and, 140–41, 144–48

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-10237-8 - Twitter: A Digital Socioscope

Edited by Yelena Mejova, Ingmar Weber and Michael W. Macy

Index

[More information](#)

Index

173

- Verma, Sudha, 141–43
- violent events, affective Twitter posts
and, 97–99
- visual analytics, Twitter research using, 46–48
- VIX, 75–77, 81–83
- Waikato's Wek, 13–16
- Waters, Carol, 141–43
- Watts, Duncan, 1–2
- Wavelet Transformation, event detection in
Twitter and, 45–46
- weather disasters, severity and frequency
of, 131–33
- Web 2.0 tools, Epidemic Intelligence (EI)
systems and, 113–14
- Weber, Max, 4–5
- Weng, J., 45–46
- Weng, L., 6–8
- Westgate Mall attack, event detection in
Twitter data and, 45–46
- Wilson, T., 61–64
- wishful thinking, investor sentiment
analysis, 84–87
- WordNet, 44–45
- Wordscore automated content analysis, public
opinion research and, 61–64
- word use analysis. *See also* part of speech
(POS) tagging
emotional content on Twitter and, 97–99,
101–4
topic modeling and, 103–4
- World Health Organization (WHO), swine flu
pandemic declaration, 118–21
- WorldNet Affect research tool, happiness of
Twitter users in, 97–99
- Yahoo! Finance, 75–77
- Yu, B., 61–64
- Yuan, L. I., 87–90
- Zafarani, Reza, 35
- Zemanta service, emergency management
systems and, 135–40
- Zhang, X., 75–77, 81–83
- z-score normalization, word use analysis on
Twitter and, 102–3