



vi	Contents	
4	The content of media freedom: media speech privileges and institutional protection of the media	69
4.1	The protection of ‘media speech’	69
4.1.1	Freedom to hold opinions	70
4.1.2	Right to impart information and ideas	71
4.1.3	Means and form of expression	76
4.1.4	The right not to publish	79
4.1.4.1	Obligation to publish a reply or an apology	79
4.1.4.2	Obligation of speech intermediaries to grant access to media infrastructure	81
4.1.5	The right to receive information and ideas	82
4.2	Media freedom beyond ‘media speech’: the institutional protection of the media	84
4.2.1	Defensive rights	85
4.2.1.1	Independence of the media	85
4.2.1.2	Protection of journalistic research and investigation	86
4.2.1.3	Protection of journalistic sources	87
4.2.1.4	Protection against interception of communication, surveillance, search and seizure and arrests	91
4.2.2	Entitlements of the media	92
4.2.3	Right of access to information?	95
4.2.4	Non-discrimination	101
5	The notion of an ‘interference’ with media freedom	102
5.1	The obligated addressee of media freedom	102
5.2	Manifestation of an interference	103
5.2.1	Interference with media freedom as a defensive right ( <i>status negativus</i> )	103
5.2.2	Interference with media freedom as an entitlement ( <i>status positivus</i> )	105
5.2.3	Horizontal effect of media freedom: the constitutionalisation of privacy and defamation law	105
6	Justification of an interference with media freedom	110
6.1	The requirements for a justification	112
6.1.1	Prescribed, or provided, by law (principle of legality)	112
6.1.2	The pursuit of a legitimate aim (principle of legitimacy)	115
6.1.3	The principle of proportionality	117
6.2	The determination of the width of the margin of appreciation and the scope of judicial review	118
6.3	The application of the principle of proportionality	123
6.3.1	Suitability to achieve the aim	124
6.3.2	No less intrusive means available: necessity or ‘pressing social need’	124
6.3.3	Balancing the conflicting rights and interests: ‘proportionality <i>sensu stricto</i> ’ or ‘proportionality in a narrower sense’	125
6.3.3.1	<i>Per se</i> disproportionate interferences	128
6.3.3.1.1	Prior restraints	129

Contents	vii
6.3.3.1.2 Licensing and regulation of journalists and media companies	131
6.3.3.1.3 Criminal sanctions	138
6.3.3.2 Determination of the competing principles in relative terms	139
6.3.3.2.1 The weight attached to the legitimate aim pursued	140
6.3.3.2.2 The weight attached to the exercise of media freedom: the media's contribution to public discourse	141
6.3.3.3 Reconciliation of the conflicting interests through alternative means	142
6.3.3.3.1 Alternative ways of publication	143
6.3.3.3.2 Alternative ways of interference	144
<b>Part III: Specific limitations to media freedom</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>7 Personality rights and intellectual property as 'rights of others'</b>	<b>147</b>
7.1 The taxonomy of 'personality rights'	147
7.2 Scope of the margin of appreciation	152
7.3 Principles of the balancing exercise between media freedom and personality rights	153
7.3.1 The position of the person or institution making the statement	154
7.3.2 The position of the victim of the statement and their activities	155
7.3.2.1 'Public figures'	155
7.3.2.2 Judges and the judiciary	161
7.3.2.3 Private persons	163
7.3.3 The prior conduct of the person concerned	163
7.3.3.1 Shielding private lives from public attention	163
7.3.3.2 Entering the public scene	164
7.3.4 Subject-matter, form and veracity of the publication	165
7.3.4.1 Factual statements	167
7.3.4.1.1 True statements of fact: the conflict between media freedom and the right to privacy	168
7.3.4.1.2 Knowingly false statements of fact	180
7.3.4.1.3 Statements that turn out to be untrue or cannot be proven to be true	180
7.3.4.2 Value judgments	186
7.3.4.3 No right to prior notification	188
7.3.5 Summary	189
7.4 Intellectual property and other exclusive rights	189
<b>8 Threats to public order interests: national security, territorial integrity, public safety and prevention of disorder and crime</b>	<b>193</b>
8.1 The notion of 'public order'	194
8.2 Legal framework	196
8.3 Principles	198
8.3.1 Publication of third-party statements	199
8.3.2 Alleged advocacy of illegal action by the media itself	200

viii	Contents	
	8.3.3 Disclosure of state secrets	203
	8.3.3.1 Protection of the media	203
	8.3.3.2 Protection of whistle-blowers	210
9	The protection of health and morals	212
	9.1 'Health'	212
	9.2 'Morals'	213
10	Maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary	219
	10.1 The concepts of 'authority' and 'impartiality' of the judiciary	219
	10.2 In particular: restrictions on court reporting	220
11	Incitement to hatred	223
	11.1 The legal framework	224
	11.2 Rationales for suppressing 'hate speech' in the media	227
	11.3 Doctrinal approaches to hate speech by international adjudicators	230
	11.3.1 Exclusionary approach	230
	11.3.2 Justification approach	233
12	Religiously offensive publications	241
	12.1 Limitations to religiously offensive publications	242
	12.2 Margin of appreciation	244
	12.3 Balancing freedom of the media and religious interests	246
13	Restrictions on commercial publications	249
	13.1 When are publications 'commercial'?	250
	13.2 Restrictions on advertisements	252
	13.3 Restrictions of publications critical of products and competitors	255
14	Media pluralism	256
	14.1 The relationship between media freedom and media pluralism	257
	14.2 Policy areas of media pluralism	260
	14.2.1 Competition policy	260
	14.2.2 Public broadcasting and media subsidies	265
	14.2.3 Internal pluralism	266
	Conclusion: tenets of a Media Freedom Principle	268
	<i>Bibliography</i>	271
	<i>Index</i>	284