PART I

Historical perspectives
The music of friends

From the Tagus to the Neva, our quartets are played. Not only in larger cities everywhere [but] also in smaller ones [and] even in some villages, wherever there are friends of music [Musikfreunde] who play string instruments, they get together to play quartets. The magic of music makes everyone equal and binds together in friendship those whom rank and conditions would otherwise have kept eternally apart . . . Those who ever drank together became friends; [but] the quartet table [Quartettisch] will soon replace the pub table [Schenktisch]. A person cannot hate anyone with whom he has ever made music in earnest. Those who throughout a winter have united on their own initiative to play quartets will remain good friends for life.

–Johann Conrad Wilhelm Petiscus, "Ueber Quartettmusik" (1810)\(^1\)

The environment in which a musical genre developed is often deeply intertwined with that genre’s history and style. A study of Bach’s cantatas, for instance, is greatly enhanced by awareness of their original liturgical context in Lutheran practice, just as a full account of the history of Italian opera surely considers the ethos of the opera house, along with the singers, impresarios, and audiences who inhabited it. Scholarship on the “place” for which a work was conceived can examine not only a cultural setting and social context but also the physical performance space. For example, the layout of St. Mark’s Basilica was vital in the development of the antiphonal style of the Venetian school, just as the design of Wagner’s theater at Bayreuth was essential for the realization of his concept of music drama as Gesamtkunstwerk.

In the case of late-eighteenth-century chamber music – a designation that overtly references the music’s venue – the culture of the drawing room is an integral part of the music’s spirit. Christina Bashford, in her brief account of the string quartet’s social history, defines late-eighteenth-century chamber music as “music to be performed for its own sake and the enjoyment of its players, in private residences (usually in rooms of limited size), perhaps in the presence of a few listeners, perhaps not.” In referring to musicians with the neutral word “players,” Bashford nicely avoids the more customary term “performers”; the latter locution tends to unduly (and anachronistically) suggest a more formal, public spectacle undertaken mainly for the enjoyment of an audience of strangers. Bashford’s historically sensitive definition positions chamber music as a type of Gebrauchsmusik, serving a function by providing friends and family a way to engage together socially through music, either as players, listeners, or both. Richard Henry Walthew, the British pianist and prolific composer of chamber music, beautifully captured the tradition of Hausmusik in a lecture that dubbed chamber music “the music of friends.”

The painting Haydn Quartet by Julius Schmid (Fig. 1.1) is an early-twentieth-century image depicting an (imagined) late-eighteenth-century domestic musical scene. With music strewn about the floor and a violin

---

2 I will generally use the term “chamber music” in the modern sense, to indicate duets (including sonatas for keyboard and violin), trios, quartets, etc. In the eighteenth century, such works were all designated as types of “sonata” (see discussion of sonatas in Chapter 2). In Mozart’s lifetime, the term Kammermusik retained an older meaning, referring broadly to any instrumental music for the aristocratic chamber, as opposed to church or theater; this included concerti just as well as sonatas. See Johann Philipp Kirnberger’s entry “Kammermusik” in Johann Georg Sulzer, ed., Allgemeine Theorie der schönen Künste, vol. 1 (Leipzig, 1771), 440–41 (Web Doc. #33); and Heinrich Christoph Koch, Musikalisches Lexikon (Frankfurt am Main, 1802), s.v. “Kammermusik,” cols. 820–21 (Web Doc. #10). Cliff Eisen discusses the changing meaning of Kammermusik in “Mozart’s Chamber Music,” in The Cambridge Companion to Mozart, ed. Simon P. Keefe (Cambridge University Press, 2003), 105–17.

3 Christina Bashford, “The String Quartet and Society,” in The Cambridge Companion to the String Quartet, 3. As a precedent to the string quartet, Bashford cites the madrigal as an important genre for domestic musical recreation.

4 Richard Henry Walthew, The Development of Chamber Music (London: Boosey and Hawkes, 1909), 42. This publication is based on three lectures that Walthew delivered at the South Place Institute in London in 1909. The phrase “music of friends” is probably his original coinage, but the idea is an old one (cf. the Petiscus passage quoted in the epigraph to this chapter).

5 Authentic, eighteenth-century paintings depicting string quartet playing are rare (but see a c. 1785 silhouette of Wallerstein court musicians included among the Web Resources). As a much later depiction of an eighteenth-century musical gathering, Fig. 1.1 is but an evocative
case leaning precariously against a bench, it seems these players hope to sight-read a good deal of music at this gathering (cf. Fig. 1.3 below and the unknown painting on the cover of this book). No scores are in sight; string Quartet playing and their relation to French and German conceptions of the genre is Nancy November, “Theater Piece and Cabinetstück: Nineteenth-Century Visual Ideologies of the String Quartet,” Music in Art 29, nos. 1–2 (Spring–Fall 2004): 134–50.

Regarding the dating of the unknown painting reproduced on the cover, which is preserved in a nineteenth-century lithograph: Although Ludwig Finscher considers it to be an eighteenth-century work, November is more likely correct that it dates from the nineteenth century. The central position of the bust of Mozart, the watchful eye of the master composer dominating over the music-making, reflects nineteenth-century values (cf. Josef Danhauser’s Liszt at the Piano [1840]). Moreover, the depiction of musicians in the eighteenth-century playing underneath a bust of Mozart is likely an anachronism, since it is doubtful anyone would have owned such a bust until some years after the composer’s death in 1791. See Ludwig Finscher, “Streichquartett,”
quartets were available only in parts at the time. Haydn, leaning in toward his colleagues and with raised bow, seems poised to speak. Perhaps the players stumbled during a tricky passage, requiring him to offer instructions or even to conduct.

Several other people are in attendance: a lady (one of the players’ wives?) stands on the right, with a boy and his governess; a gentleman watches from behind the ensemble, perhaps to follow one of the players’ parts (if he too is a dilettante musician); and in the rear, a late arrival is shown in by a domestic servant, pausing in the doorway until he can enter without disturbing the music. This is not a conventional “concert” or “performance,” at least not as those words are generally used today. Rather, quartet playing is depicted as an activity undertaken by the players largely for their own enjoyment within their enclosed circle. The others, for whom no seating is provided, listen in as spectators rather than as a concert audience.

This sense of chamber music playing being directed inward, emphasizing intercourse among the players, is borne out in several earlier images and artifacts datable to Mozart’s lifetime or shortly thereafter. The late-eighteenth-century quartet table (Quartettisch) in Fig. 1.2 is designed such that musicians could play to one another within their circle. The images in Figs. 1.3 and 1.4 show chamber music with keyboard instruments, with small music stands placed on their lids to support the string players’ music. This arrangement, commonly seen in such depictions, would seem to foster an intimately circumscribed locus of musical activity.7 The watercolor Interior with a Musical Gathering (Fig. 1.5) depicts a private concert in a salon, possibly a performance of a concerto or chamber piece featuring the lady at the keyboard, accompanied by the male string and wind players seated around the adjacent table, led by the first violinist conducting.8 Although
the keyboard player would be featured in such an ensemble, neither she nor any other individual figure is the center of visual interest. Rather, the composition makes a focal point of the musicians as a group and draws attention to the drawing room as a site for both social music-making and musical socializing. Instead of directing their playing outward, the musicians seem to draw the surrounding company into their circle. The listeners appear to be engaged with the music but are not strictly silent; note the

have been active later, since a drawing exists dated 1779 that may be by Aartman (personal communication). The drawing in question is signed “A: 1779” (similarly to Interior with a Musical Gathering) but was sold with attribution to Aert Schouman (Sotheby’s, Amsterdam, November 21, 1989, lot 183).
chatting figures in the rear right and foreground left. Such depictions and artifacts of domestic music-making in Figs. 1.2–1.5 contrast sharply with the formal performances heard in today’s public concert halls.9

Fig. 1.3 Detail from title page of Haydn, Piano Trio, Hob. XV:10. Vienna: Artaria, 1798.

Fig. 1.4 Gabriel Jacques de Saint-Aubin, The Musical Duo, c. 1772. Watercolor, gouache, brown and black ink, and graphite. The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco.

Finscher (“Streichquartett,” 8: col. 1936) emphasizes the contrast between the circular quartet formation, characteristic of private settings and mirroring salon conversation, with the semi-circular formations associated with public performance, which he states emerged only around the 1870s. At John Ella’s Musical Union concerts (established in London in 1845),
Mozart as chamber musician

It is a challenge to piece together a detailed historical record of late-eighteenth-century Hausmusik practices. Bashford notes that “the essentially private nature of quartet-playing renders documentation scanty, suggesting a less extensive activity than was almost certainly the case; but occasional accounts in diaries, letters and the like enable some glimpses to be caught.” Although such terse “glimpses” cannot illustrate the extent of

performers were positioned in the center of the hall, with the audience seated in the round, in order to simulate the private quartet concerts Ella had attended in Vienna at the palace of Prince Czartoryski. John Ella, *Musical Sketches: Abroad and at Home*, 3rd ed., rev. and ed. John Belcher (London, 1878), 349. See also a related picture of a string quartet performance at Ella’s Musical Union, reproduced in Tully Potter, “From Chamber to Concert Hall,” in *The Cambridge Companion to the String Quartet*, 43. I will return to adaptations of chamber music for semi-public and public performance in Chapter 3.


Fig. 1.5 Nicolaes Aartman, *Interior with a Musical Gathering*, c. 1723–60. Graphite and watercolor. Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam.
domestic musical activity during this period, they nevertheless provide an enticing picture of its character.

Two of the most vivid accounts of Mozart’s domestic music-making come from the memoirs of Michael Kelly, the Irish tenor who sang in the first production of Le nozze di Figaro:

I went one evening to a concert of the celebrated [Leopold] Kozeluch’s, a great composer for the piano-forte, as well as a fine performer on that instrument. I saw there the composers Vanhall [sic] and Baron Dittersdorf; and, what was to me one of the greatest gratifications of my musical life was there introduced to that prodigy of genius – Mozart. He favoured the company by performing fantasias and capriccios on the piano-forte. His feeling, the rapidity of his fingers, the great execution and strength of his left hand particularly, and the apparent inspiration of his modulations astounded me. After his splendid performance we sat down to supper and I had the pleasure to be placed at the table between him and his wife, Madame Constance Weber, a German lady, of whom he was passionately fond, and by whom he had three children. He conversed with me a good deal about Thomas Linley, the first Mrs. [Elizabeth Ann] Sheridan’s brother, with whom he was intimate at Florence, and spoke of him with great affection. He said that Linley was a true genius; and he felt that, had he lived, he would have been one of the greatest ornaments of the musical world. After supper the young branches of our host had a dance, and Mozart joined them. Madame Mozart told me, that great as his genius was, he was an enthusiast in dancing, and often said that his taste lay in that art, rather than in music.

He was a remarkably small man, very thin and pale, with a profusion of fine fair hair, of which he was rather vain. He gave me a cordial invitation to his house, of which I availed myself, and passed a great part of my time there. He always received me with kindness and hospitality. – He was remarkably fond of punch, of which beverage I have seen him take copious draughts. He was also fond of billiards, and had an excellent billiard table in his house. Many and many a game have I played with him, but always came off second best. He gave Sunday concerts, at which I never was missing. He was kind-hearted, and always ready to oblige; but so very particular, when he played, that if the slightest noise were made, he instantly left off.12

quartet in particular. Leppert’s remarks (pp. 3–8 and passim) about iconography as evidence of an ideology, and not necessarily of actual practices, are especially illuminating.

12 Michael Kelly, Reminiscences of Michael Kelly, of the King’s Theatre, and Theatre Royal Drury Lane (London, 1826), 1:225–26. These memoirs were prepared for publication by Theodore Edward Hook based on materials furnished by Kelly. Kelly’s (or Hook’s) penchant for name-dropping and for dramatic rhetorical effects make for highly engaging prose, but readers should beware of some probable exaggerations within his memoir.