

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	page xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
Introduction	1
PART I Comparative reasoning and international investment law	11
Introductory note	13
1 Comparative law, methods and reasoning	16
Introduction	16
Comparative law	19
Comparative methods	28
Analogies as a tool of legal interpretation	35
<i>Analogia legis</i>	38
<i>Analogia juris</i>	39
Analogies in international law	41
Conclusions	43
2 International investment law and arbitration	45
Introduction	45
Multilateral failures and bilateral successes	47
Substantive standards of protection	51
The settlement of disputes between foreign investors and states	55

	Main characteristics of investor–state arbitration	57
	The different conceptualisations of investment treaty arbitration	60
	International investment law and its discontents	64
	Final remarks	67
3	Comparative reasoning and international investment law	69
	Introduction	69
	Comparative investment law	78
	Comparative arbitration law	81
	Legal doctrine	84
	Judicial borrowing	88
	Reference to previous arbitral awards	92
	Reference to the jurisprudence of other international courts and tribunals	97
	Reference to the jurisprudence of national courts	104
	Treaty interpretation	110
	The emergence of general principles of law	119
	Conclusions	127
	PART II Analogies in investment treaty arbitration	133
	Introductory note	135
4	Micro-comparisons in investment treaty arbitration	137
	Introduction	137
	Analogies in arbitral awards as subsidiary means for the determination of rules of law	138
	Reference to previous arbitral awards	141
	Reference to the jurisprudence of other international courts	144
	The jurisprudence of the ICJ	145
	The WTO jurisprudence	148

CONTENTS

ix

	Reference to the jurisprudence of regional courts	159
	Reference to the jurisprudence of national courts	162
	Analogies in doctrinal writings as subsidiary means for the determination of rules of law	164
	Comparative surveys as a legitimating factor of policy measures and as evidence of state practice	166
	Critical assessment	172
	Conclusions	174
5	Macro-comparisons in investment treaty arbitration	175
	Introduction	175
	The commercial law paradigm	182
	The rise of the public law paradigm	188
	The migration of constitutional ideas: proportionality as a case study	195
	Proportionality in investment treaty arbitration	198
	The promises and pitfalls of proportionality analysis	203
	The international public law paradigm	207
	WTO law	209
	Human rights law	217
	Critical assessment	219
	Conclusions	225
6	Comparative reasoning in international investment law and arbitration: challenges and prospects	227
	Introduction	227
	The merit of using analogies in international investment law and arbitration	229
	Analogies and the coalescence of general principles of law	231
	Analogies, legal transplants and their perils	235
	Why a public international law paradigm should be preferred	239

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-09331-7 - Analogies in International Investment Law and Arbitration

Valentina Vadi

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

x

CONTENTS

What can comparative lawyers and international investment
lawyers learn from each other? 243

A comparative practice in search of a methodology 246

Final remarks 254

Conclusions 256

Bibliography 261

Index 295