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A NOTE ON THE TEXTS

The translations in this volume contain the page numbers of the German source-texts in square brackets. References to the translated texts in the introduction, cross-references in and between the translated texts, and references in the notes at the end of the volume all use these bracketed page numbers. The ellipses in chapters 2 and 3 indicate the beginnings of passages of Schmitt’s *Guardian of the Constitution* that are not included in this volume.

An asterisk in the translated text indicates an editorial note that provides background information on a point discussed in the translated text. These editorial notes can be found at the end of this volume, starting on p. 254. They are likewise identifiable by reference to the bracketed page numbers.

The bibliography lists all literature cited in the introduction and in the editorial notes. Kelsen’s and Schmitt’s works are referred to by the year of original publication.