

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

INFLECTIONAL PARADIGMS

Sometimes dismissed as linguistically epiphenomenal, inflectional paradigms are, in reality, the interface of a language's morphology with its syntax and semantics. Drawing on abundant evidence from a wide range of languages (French, Hua, Hungarian, Kashmiri, Latin, Nepali, Noon, Old Norse, Sanskrit, Turkish, Twi, and others), Stump examines a variety of mismatches between words' content and form, including morphomic patterns, defectiveness, overabundance, syncretism, suppletion, deponency, and polyfunctionality. He demonstrates that such mismatches motivate a new grammatical architecture in which two kinds of paradigms are distinguished: *content paradigms*, which determine word forms' syntactic distribution and semantic interpretation, and *form paradigms*, which determine their inflectional realization. In this framework, the often nontrivial linkage between a lexeme's content paradigm and its stems' form paradigm is the nexus at which incongruities of content and form are resolved. Stump presents clear and precise analyses of a range of morphological phenomena in support of this theoretical innovation.

GREGORY STUMP is a Professor of linguistics at the University of Kentucky. His principal research area is the theory and typology of complex systems of inflectional morphology.

In this series

116. GILLIAN CATRIONA RAMCHAND: *Verb meaning and the lexicon: a first phase syntax*
117. PIETER MUYSKEN *Functional categories*
118. JUAN URIAGEREKA *Syntactic anchors: on semantic structuring*
119. D. ROBERT LADD *Intonational phonology (second edition)*
120. LEONARD H. BABBY *The syntax of argument structure*
121. B. ELAN DRESHER *The contrastive hierarchy in phonology*
122. DAVID ADGER, DANIEL HARBOUR and LAUREL J. WATKINS
Mirrors and microparameters: phrase structure beyond free word order
123. NIINA NING ZHANG *Coordination in syntax*
124. NEIL SMITH *Acquiring phonology*
125. NINA TOPINTZI *Onsets: suprasegmental and prosodic behaviour*
126. CEDRIC BOECKX, NORBERT HORNSTEIN and JAIRO NUNES
Control as movement
127. MICHAEL ISRAEL *The grammar of polarity: pragmatics, sensitivity, and the logic of scales*
128. M. RITA MANZINI and LEONARDO M. SAVOIA *Grammatical categories: variation in romance languages*
129. BARBARA CITKO *Symmetry in syntax: merge, move and labels*
130. RACHEL WALKER *Vowel patterns in language*
131. MARY DALRYMPLE and IRINA NIKOLAEVA *Objects and information structure*
132. JERROLD M. SADOCK *The modular architecture of grammar*
133. DUNSTAN BROWN and ANDREW HIPPLISLEY *Network morphology: a defaults-based theory of word structure*
134. BETTELOU LOS, CORRIEN BLOM, GEERT BOOIJ, MARION ELENBAAS and ANS VAN KEMENADE *Morphosyntactic change: a comparative study of particles and prefixes*
135. STEPHEN CRAIN *The emergence of meaning*
136. HUBERT HAIDER *Symmetry breaking in syntax*
137. JOSÉ A. CAMACHO *Null subjects*
138. GREGORY STUMP and RAPHAEL A. FINKEL *Morphological typology: from word to paradigm*
139. BRUCE TESAR *Output-driven phonology: theory and learning*
140. ASIER ALCÁZAR and MARIO SALTARELLI *The syntax of imperatives*
141. MISHA BECKER *The acquisition of syntactic structure: animacy and thematic alignment*
142. MARTINA WILTSCHKO *The universal structure of categories: towards a formal typology*
143. FAHAD RASHED AL-MUTAIRI *The minimalist program: the nature and plausibility of Chomsky's biolinguistics*
144. CEDRIC BOECKX *Elementary syntactic structures: prospects of a feature-free syntax*
145. PHOEVOS PANAGIOTIDIS *Categorial features: a generative theory of word class categories*
146. MARK BAKER *Case: its principles and its parameters*
147. WILLIAM BENNETT *The phonology of consonants: dissimilation, harmony and correspondence*
148. ANDREA SIMS *Inflectional defectiveness*
149. GREGORY STUMP *Inflectional paradigms: content and form at the syntax–morphology interface*

Earlier issues not listed are also available

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General editors: P. AUSTIN, J. BRESNAN, B. COMRIE,
S. CRAIN, W. DRESSLER, C. J. EWEN, R. LASS,
D. LIGHTFOOT, K. RICE, I. ROBERTS, S. ROMAINE,
N. V. SMITH

Inflectional Paradigms

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

INFLECTIONAL PARADIGMS

CONTENT AND FORM AT THE
SYNTAX–MORPHOLOGY INTERFACE

GREGORY STUMP

University of Kentucky



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the
pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international
levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107460850

© Gregory Stump 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-08883-2 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-46085-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

For Marcia and Jorie

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
Syntax–Morphology Interface
Gregory Stump
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Contents

	<i>List of figures</i>	Page	xii
	<i>List of tables</i>		xiv
	<i>Acknowledgements</i>		xx
	<i>List of abbreviations</i>		xxi
	<i>List of symbols and operators</i>		xxiv
	Introduction		1
1	What are inflectional paradigms?		8
1.1	What is an inflectional paradigm?		8
1.2	Morpheme-based theories of inflection		16
1.3	Paradigm-based theories of inflection		23
2	Canonical inflectional paradigms		31
2.1	Canonical typology and canonical inflection		31
2.2	The canonical inflectional paradigm		34
2.3	Summary		41
3	Morphosyntactic properties		43
3.1	Different kinds of inflectional categories		43
3.2	The association of word forms with morphosyntactic properties		44
3.3	Morphosyntactic property sets		45
3.4	Relations between morphosyntactic property sets		46
3.5	Property constraints		47
3.6	How morphosyntactic properties are realized		48
3.7	A puzzle concerning the representation of morphosyntactic properties in noncanonical inflectional paradigms		52
3.8	Conclusion		57
4	Lexemes		58
4.1	What is a lexeme?		58
4.2	Lexical entries		63
4.3	Noncanonical entries in the stipulated lexicon		65
4.4	Conclusion		66
			ix

x *Contents*

5	Stems	67
5.1	Stem form and stem distribution	68
5.2	Sources of formal differences among alternating stems	70
5.3	Stem distribution	72
5.4	Kinds of stem alternations	75
5.5	Formalizing conditions on stem alternation	77
5.6	Summary	81
6	Inflection classes	84
6.1	Canonical inflection classes	84
6.2	Global vs segregated inflection classes	90
6.3	Inflection classes are classes of stems (not of lexemes)	92
6.4	Stems and inflection classes	95
7	A conception of the relation of content to form in inflectional paradigms	103
7.1	The canonical relation of content to form in morphology	106
7.2	Content paradigms, form paradigms, realized paradigms, and the relations between them	110
7.3	Inflection classes and stem distribution under the paradigm-linkage hypothesis	115
8	Morphomic properties	120
8.1	Lexical and morphosyntactic conditioning of morphomic properties	121
8.2	Verb-agreement inflections in Hua (Trans-New-Guinea; Papua New Guinea)	126
8.3	Content and form in Hua verb agreement	129
8.4	Verb inflection in Noon (Niger-Congo: Senegal)	134
8.5	Verb inflection in Twi (Niger-Congo: Ghana)	135
8.6	Verb inflection in Nepali	139
8.7	Conclusion	145
9	Too many cells, too few cells	147
9.1	Overabundance	147
9.2	The question of shape alternants	152
9.3	Overdifferentiation	155
9.4	Defectiveness	157
9.5	Conclusion	169
10	Syncretism	170
10.1	Natural-class syncretism	170
10.2	Directional syncretism	175

	<i>Contents</i>	xi
10.3	Morphomic syncretism	179
10.4	Conclusion	182
11	Suppletion and heteroclisis	184
11.1	Suppletive and heteroclitic alternations	185
11.2	Suppletion and the paradigm-linkage hypothesis	188
11.3	Generalizations about suppletion	191
12	Deponency and metaconjugation	197
12.1	Latin deponent verbs	197
12.2	Sanskrit metaconjugation	202
12.3	Verb inflection in Kashmiri	217
12.4	Heteroclisis and deponency in Old Norse	224
12.5	Same morphology, different function	227
13	Polyfunctionality	228
13.1	Polyfunctional person/number marking in Noon (Niger-Congo: Senegal)	230
13.2	Second example: Polyfunctional person/number marking in Baure (Maipurean: Bolivia)	238
13.3	Third example: Polyfunctional person/number marking in Hungarian	243
13.4	Conclusion	250
14	A theoretical synopsis and two further issues	252
14.1	A synopsis of the paradigm-linkage theory	252
14.2	The implicative structure of inflectional paradigms	257
14.3	Paradigm linkage and inflectional change	264
14.4	General conclusion	270
	<i>References</i>	271
	<i>Index</i>	280

Figures

1.1	The hierarchical structure of Swahili <i>alikusuma</i> ‘s/he pushed it’ under the RD hypothesis	14
1.2	The hierarchical structure of Swahili <i>alikusuma</i> ‘s/he pushed it’ under the RD hypothesis (incremental approach)	15
1.3	The hierarchical structure of Swahili <i>alikusuma</i> ‘s/he pushed it’ under the RD hypothesis (realizational approach)	16
1.4	Exponence relations in Ancient Greek <i>elelykete</i> ‘you had unfastened’ (Matthews 1991: 171ff)	21
1.5	Alternative morpheme-based analyses of Latin <i>parābimus</i> ‘we will prepare’	21
1.6	A simple example of the interface of syntax with inflectional morphology	28
6.1	The default distribution of the Strong, Middle and Weakest stems in Sanskrit	98
7.1	The canonical content–form relation of the paradigm in Table 7.2	107
7.2	The interface of content paradigms and form paradigms	115
8.1	Content cells for Hua verbs	130
8.2	Form cells for Hua verbs	130
8.3	Mismatch between content and form in the person/number morphology of Hua verbs	131
9.1	Overabundance engendered by <i>Corr</i> in English	150
9.2	Overabundance and syncretism engendered by <i>Corr</i> in Sanskrit	150
9.3	Overabundance engendered by realization rules in Spanish	151
9.4	Boyé’s diagram of inheritance relations among French verb stems	165
10.1	An example of natural-class syncretism in Bhojpuri	175
10.2	An example of directional syncretism in Turkish	179
10.3	An example of morphomic syncretism in Sanskrit	181
11.1	The suppletion of Latin <i>FERRE</i> ‘carry’	190
11.2	The heteroclisis of Latin <i>ARX</i> ‘citadel’	190
11.3	Cooccurrence of cloven and fractured paradigms incompatible with the MIC hypothesis	194
12.1	Deponency in Latin verbs	201
12.2	The overlap of conjugations used in the present and aorist systems in Sanskrit	206
12.3	Metaconjugation	211
12.4	Paradigm linkage in the realization of Kashmiri verbs	219
xii		

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
 Syntax–Morphology Interface
 Gregory Stump
 Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Figures xiii

12.5	Mismatches of content and form in the inflection of the Kashmiri verbs <i>wUP</i> ‘burn inside’ (Conj. II) and <i>wUPH</i> ‘fly’ (Conj. III)	222
13.1	Polyfunctionality by underspecification in Noon	238
13.2	Polyfunctionality by stipulation in Noon	238
13.3	Blocks of sequencing rules for Baure pronominal clitics	242
13.4	Polyfunctionality in Baure person/number marking	244
13.5	The relationship between pronominal lexemes and oblique case markers in Hungarian	247
13.6	Polyfunctionality in Hungarian person/number inflection	249

Tables

1.1	The inflection of French ALLER ‘go’	12
1.2	The finite inflection of Bulgarian KRAD ‘steal’	17
1.3	The imperfective indicative inflection of two Latin verbs	18
1.4	The inflection of the Noon adjective YAK ‘big’	20
1.5	Diverse morphological processes expressing number	22
1.6	The declension of twelve Latin nouns	25
2.1	The declension of Turkish ADAM ‘man’	32
2.2	The declension of Turkish TAVAN ‘ceiling’	33
2.3	Canonical inflection as defined by Corbett (2009: 2)	33
2.4	The declension of two Sanskrit nouns	34
2.5	Three hypothetical inflectional categories	36
2.6	The active inflection of Latin PARĀRE ‘prepare’	37
2.7	The future-tense inflection of Sanskrit BHR ‘carry’	38
2.8	The inflection of Old English SMŪGAN ‘creep’	39
2.9	The declension of Sanskrit RĀJAN ‘king’	39
2.10	The personal future- and past-tense inflection of Swahili TAKA ‘want’	40
2.11	Characteristics of canonical inflectional paradigms	41
3.1	Some common associations of inflectional categories with syntactic categories	44
3.2	The first-person plural inflection of seven French verbs	49
3.3	The inflection of Bhojpuri DĒKH ‘see’ (48 cells)	53
3.4	The inflection of Bhojpuri DĒKH ‘see’ (24 cells)	53
3.5	The morphosyntactic property sets associated with the cells in Table 3.4	54
3.6	The declension of Sanskrit AGNI ‘fire’	56
3.7	Analysis of a fragment of Bhojpuri verb inflection	57
4.1	Some English lexemes realized by morphosyntactically contrasting word forms	61
4.2	Some English lexemes realized by phonologically contrasting but synonymous word forms	62
4.3	The indicative inflection of Latin PARĀRE ‘prepare’	62
5.1	The declension of Turkish ADAM ‘man’	67
5.2	The neuter declension of Sanskrit ŚUCI ‘bright’ and BALIN ‘powerful’	68
5.3	The declension of Sanskrit RĀJAN ‘king’ and PANTHAN ‘road’	69
5.4	The declension of Sanskrit MARUT ‘wind’	70
5.5	The aorist inflection of Sanskrit NĪ ‘lead’	71

5.6	The present-system inflection of Sanskrit NĪ ‘lead’	72
5.7	The declension of Sanskrit GĪR ‘song’	73
5.8	The present-system inflection of Sanskrit KRĪ ‘buy’	74
5.9	The declension of Sanskrit PAD ‘foot,’ PANTHAN ‘road,’ RĀJAN ‘king’ and AGNI ‘fire’	76
5.10	Kinds of stem alternations	76
5.11	The singular indicative active inflection of five Latin verbs	77
5.12	The inflection of four Old English verbs	79
5.13	The stems of four Old English verbal lexemes	79
5.14	Stem-formation rules for four Old English conjugations	80
5.15	The masculine and neuter declension of the Sanskrit perfect active participle TASTHIVĀMS ‘having stood’	81
5.16	The declension of Sanskrit KROṢṬṚ ‘jackal’ alongside that of KARTṚ ‘maker’ and GURU ‘elder’	82
6.1	The declension of Sanskrit MARUT ‘wind’ and GAJA ‘elephant’	85
6.2	The declension of Sanskrit MARUT ‘wind’ and GAJA ‘elephant’ (with stems and sandhi abstracted away)	85
6.3	Seventy-two French conjugations (each named for an exemplar)	87
6.4	Type frequency of French conjugations	88
6.5	The general inflection of three Moru verbs (Miza dialect)	88
6.6	The declension of Sanskrit PĪTAR ‘father’ and NĀPTAR ‘grandson’	89
6.7	Three Sanskrit declensional paradigms	89
6.8	Imperfective and perfective stems in Latin	91
6.9	The heteroclite declension of Sanskrit ASTHI ‘bone’	93
6.10	The overabundant declension of neuter <i>i</i> -stem adjectives in Sanskrit	94
6.11	The declension of eight Sanskrit nominals	97
6.12	Sanskrit vowel gradation	98
6.13	Grade forms of eight nominal stems in Sanskrit (masculine forms)	98
6.14	Alternating stems in eight Sanskrit declensions	99
6.15	Cross-classification of eight Sanskrit nominals by stem grade and Strong/Middle/Weakest alternation	101
6.16	Stem-formation rules for some Sanskrit C-stem declensions	102
7.1	Three types of paradigms	105
7.2	The declension of Turkish ADAM ‘man’	106
7.3	The declension of Turkish TAVAN ‘ceiling’	107
7.4	The declension of Turkish EV ‘house,’ ÖN ‘front’ and TOP ‘ball’	108
7.5	The declension of Sanskrit KARTṚ ‘maker’	109
7.6	The declension of Sanskrit GAJA ‘elephant’	109
7.7	The content paradigm of the Turkish lexeme ADAM ‘man’	110
7.8	The form paradigm of the Turkish stem <i>adam</i> ‘man’	111
7.9	The realized paradigm of the Turkish stem <i>adam</i> ‘man’	111
7.10	The canonical relation between content paradigms and form paradigms, exemplified by the declension of Turkish ADAM ‘man’	112
7.11	The formal components of the paradigm-linkage hypothesis	114

xvi *Tables*

7.12	Stems in the active inflection of five Latin verbs	116
7.13	Stems in Latin conjugation	117
8.1	The declension of Turkish ADAM ‘man’	121
8.2	Sanskrit primary and secondary verb endings	123
8.3	The finite inflection of Sanskrit RUDH ‘obstruct’	125
8.4	Three verb types in Hua (interrogative forms)	127
8.5	Stem ablaut in Hua verbs	127
8.6	Interrogative verb forms in Hua, arranged according to form	128
8.7	Interrogative verb forms in Hua, arranged according to content	128
8.8	Hua mood/agreement suffixes	129
8.9	The mismatch of content and form in the interrogative subparadigm of Hua HU ‘do’	129
8.10	The Hua property mapping <i>pm</i>	132
8.11	The property mapping <i>pm</i> for Noon verbs	135
8.12	Four root shapes in Asante Twi (Paster 2010)	136
8.13	Partial paradigms of four Twi verbs	136
8.14	Form correspondence in the inflection of Twi Tɔ̃ ‘buy’	138
8.15	The long negative present-tense inflection of Nepali BIRSANU ‘forget’	140
8.16	Syncretism in the long negative present-tense inflection of Nepali BIRSANU ‘forget’	140
8.17	The long negative present-tense inflection of Nepali BIRSANU ‘forget,’ arranged by form (exploded view)	140
8.18	The correspondence of content to form in Table 8.17	141
8.19	The long negative present-tense inflection of Nepali BIRSANU ‘forget’ under the paradigm-linkage hypothesis	142
8.20	Extensional definition of the Nepali property mapping <i>pm</i>	143
8.21	Rule blocks for Nepali verbs (adapted from Bonami and Boyé 2010)	144
8.22	Morphomic properties in four languages	146
8.23	Kinds of property mappings	146
9.1	The inflection of SEEM, MEAN and DREAM	148
9.2	The overabundant declension of neuter <i>i</i> -stem adjectives in Sanskrit	149
9.3	The past subjunctive inflection of two Spanish verbs	151
9.4	Breton initial consonant mutations triggered by possessive pronouns	153
9.5	Shape alternants of English possessive pronouns	154
9.6	The fourteen morphosyntactic properties for which ordinary English verbs inflect	155
9.7	The five forms of SING and their eight functions	157
9.8	The sixteen forms of BE and their eighteen functions	158
9.9	The forty-eight cells in a French verb’s synthetic paradigm	159
9.10	The synthetic inflection of French ALLER ‘go’	159
9.11	The synthetic inflection of French FALLOIR ‘be necessary’	160
9.12	The synthetic inflection of French TRAIRE ‘milk’	160
9.13	The synthetic inflection of French GÉSIR ‘lie’ (in restricted senses, applied to the ailing or deceased)	161

9.14	Patterns of defectiveness in six French verbs	162
9.15	The sixteen stems of a French verb (Boyé 2000)	162
9.16	The sixteen stems of French ALLER ‘go’	163
9.17	Terminations of French verbs	163
9.18	Stems of twelve verbal lexemes in French	164
9.19	Stem inheritance in the inflection of French FAIRE ‘do’	166
9.20	Stem inheritance in the inflection of French FRIRE ‘fry’	166
9.21	Partial definition of <i>Corr</i> in French	167
9.22	The stems of two French verbs	167
10.1	The inflection of Bhojpuri DEKH ‘see’	171
10.2	Affixal exponents of Bhojpuri verb inflection	172
10.3	Candidate paradigm A for Bhojpuri verb inflection (forty-eight cells)	172
10.4	Candidate paradigm B for Bhojpuri verb inflection (twenty-four cells)	173
10.5	The possessive inflection of Turkish ADAM ‘man’	176
10.6	Four inflectional suffix positions in Turkish nominal inflection	177
10.7	Schematic content and form paradigms for Turkish nouns	178
10.8	The declension of Sanskrit KARTṚ ‘maker’ (m.)	180
10.9	Singular forms of two second-declension nouns in Latin	182
11.1	The heteroclite declension of Latin ARX ‘citadel’ (f.)	185
11.2	The heteroclite declension of Sanskrit AHAN ‘day’ (n.)	186
11.3	The declension of two Vedic Sanskrit nominals	187
11.4	The declension of Sanskrit PAD ‘foot’ (m.)	189
11.5	The heteroclite inflection of Sanskrit MĀS ‘month’ (m.)	189
11.6	The heteroclite declension of Classical Latin DOMUS ‘house’ (f.)	192
11.7	The heteroclite declension of Sanskrit HRDAYA ‘heart’	193
11.8	The heteroclite inflection of West Armenian GAL ‘come’ and LAL ‘cry’ (indicative forms)	195
12.1	The imperfective present indicative inflection of five Latin verbs	198
12.2	The imperfective present indicative inflection of five deponent verbs in Latin	198
12.3	The perfective present indicative inflection of five Latin verbs	199
12.4	The perfective present indicative inflection of five deponent verbs in Latin	199
12.5	The present indicative and perfect indicative inflection of three second-conjugation verbs in Latin	200
12.6	The four tense systems in Classical Sanskrit	202
12.7	Conjugation classes in the Classical Sanskrit present and aorist systems	203
12.8	Thirty-six Sanskrit verbs and their present-system and aorist-system conjugations	204
12.9	The aorist inflection of Sanskrit DĀ ‘give’	205
12.10	Morphological marks of the conjugation classes of the Sanskrit present and aorist systems	206
12.11	Present-system conjugations in Sanskrit (imperfect active forms)	207

xviii *Tables*

12.12	Aorist-system conjugations in Sanskrit (aorist indicative active forms)	208
12.13	The imperfect and aorist indicative active inflection of two Sanskrit verbs	209
12.14	The imperfect and aorist indicative active inflection of two Sanskrit verbs	210
12.15	Schematic form paradigm for present-, future- and aorist-system verbs in Sanskrit	212
12.16	Inflectional affixes used in the present, aorist and future systems in Classical Sanskrit	213
12.17	Examples of paradigm linkage and realization for four Sanskrit verbs	216
12.18	The past-tense inflection of two Kashmiri verbs	218
12.19	The past-tense inflection of two Kashmiri verbs (exploded view)	221
12.20	Indicative forms of three Old Norse verbs	224
12.21	Stems of three Old Norse verbal lexemes	225
12.22	Similarities and differences between syncretism, deponency and metaconjugation	227
13.1	Examples of number marking in English nouns and tense marking in English verbs	230
13.2	Three series of person/number suffixes in Noon	230
13.3	Noun-class markers in Noon	231
13.4	Noon location markers	231
13.5	Indefinite and definite forms of nouns in the six Noon noun classes	232
13.6	Possessed forms of the Noon noun κ -EDIK ‘tree’ (Class 4, Type A)	232
13.7	Relational and possessed forms of the Noon noun κ AAN ‘house’ (Class 2, Type R)	234
13.8	Person/number inflections of three prepositions in Noon	235
13.9	Property sets for Noon nouns, verbs and prepositions (partial)	236
13.10	Baure person/number clitics	239
13.11	Comparison of (a) a noun’s possessor marking, (b) a postposition’s pronominal object marking and (c) the ablative case forms of personal pronouns in Hungarian	244
13.12	The content paradigm of Hungarian ÉN ‘I’	245
13.13	The value of <i>Corr</i> for nominal, postpositional and pronominal content cells in Hungarian	246
13.14	Extensional definition of the Hungarian <i>abst</i> function	246
13.15	The content, form and realized paradigms of Hungarian MÖGÖTT ‘behind’	248
13.16	The content, form and realized paradigms of Hungarian KÖNYV ‘book’ (nominative singular cells only)	248
13.17	The content, form and realized cells of Hungarian ÉN ‘I’	248
14.1	Functions and operations in the paradigm-linkage theory	254
14.2	Kinds of property mappings	255
14.3	Kinds of form correspondence	256
14.4	Grammatical evidence supporting the interface hypothesis	256

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-107-08883-2 - Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the
 Syntax–Morphology Interface
 Gregory Stump
 Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Tables xix

14.5	A fragment of the inflectional paradigm of Sanskrit BHID ‘split’ (7th conjugation)	262
14.6	Genitive singular forms of four masculine nouns in Vedic and Pāli	266
14.7	The distribution of the Strong stem of ‘king’ in Old Indic and in Pāli	267
14.8	Singular forms of the demonstrative pronoun TA ‘that’ in Old Indic and Pāli	268
14.9	The spread of the morphomic <i>sm</i> -stem pattern in Pāli	269

Acknowledgements

I must thank a number of people for their invaluable contributions to the realization of this book. I wish to thank the Laboratoire de Linguistique Formelle at the Université Paris–Diderot for inviting me to present much of this material there in early 2013; my progress on this book benefited from the discussions afforded by this exceptional opportunity. I particularly thank Olivier Bonami, Berthold Crysmann, Bernard Fradin, Philip Miller, Jana Strnadová and Géraldine Walther for their valuable perspectives.

I likewise wish to thank the members of the Surrey Morphology Group for extremely fruitful discussions on a number of different occasions. In developing the ideas in this book, I have especially profited from the comments and criticisms offered by Greville Corbett, Matthew Baerman, Dunstan Brown and Marina Chumakina.

A number of colleagues at other institutions have been similarly helpful in helping me (or forcing me) to sharpen the theoretical outlook advocated here. I especially thank Farrell Ackerman, Jim Blevins, Gilles Boyé, Alice Harris, Brian Joseph, Ana Luís, Rob Malouf, Erich Round, Andrea Sims, Andrew Spencer, Pavol Štekauer, Tom Stewart and Delphine Tribout.

Colleagues at the University of Kentucky have contributed in all kinds of ways to the realization of this research. I am sincerely indebted to Raphael Finkel, Fabiola Henri and Andrew Hippisley.

I must thank a number of students at the University of Kentucky for discussing a variety of issues under consideration here; I am specially grateful to Anfal Ali, Noor Bueasa, Eleanor Feltner, Nathan Hardyman, Amelia Holloway, Razia Husain, Ben Jones, Jo Mackby, Sedigheh Moradi and Joseph Rhyne.

I wish to thank the researchers on whose work I have depended in developing the ideas presented here. I have already mentioned a number of them above. The remainder are simply too numerous to list encyclopedically, but among them, I must at least single out Stephen Anderson, Mark Aronoff, Gerald Gazdar, Peter Matthews, Richard Montague and Arnold Zwicky for their foundational contributions to understanding the architecture of natural language.

Finally, I thank Andrew Winnard, Bethany Gaunt, Robert Judkins, Damian Love and their colleagues at Cambridge University Press for their help and advice.

Abbreviations

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
Ā	<i>ātmanepada</i> , Sanskrit middle voice
Ab, abl	ablative
AbG	ablative/genitive morpheme (Sanskrit)
Ac, acc	accusative
act	active
aff	affirmative
AGR	agreement
aor	aorist
APP	applicative
ART	article
ASP	aspect
BEN	benefactive
CAUS	causative
CL	class
CLF	classifier
COL	column
CONC	concord
cond	conditional
COP	copula
copsbj	copula subject
COS	change of state
CTRL	controller
D, dat	dative
DAb	dative/ablative morpheme (Sanskrit)
def	definite
dem	demonstrative
dobj	dative object
du	dual

xxii *Abbreviations*

excl	exclusive
exclam	exclamatory
f., fem	feminine
FCD	Function Composition Default
fut	future
FV	final vowel
G, gen	genitive
GEND	gender
GL	genitive/locative morpheme (Sanskrit)
hab	habitual
HON	honorific
IDAb	instrumental/dative/ablative morpheme (Sanskrit)
IFD	Identity Function Default
imp	imperative
impf	imperfect
incl	inclusive
ind	indicative
inf	infinitive
INFL	inflection
ins	instrumental
intrg	interrogative
iobj	indirect object
ipfv	imperfective
ki/vi	Swahili gender (Meinhof 7/8)
L, loc	locative, location
m., masc	masculine
m/wa	Swahili gender (Meinhof 1/2)
masc	masculine
mid	middle
n., neut	neuter
N, nom	nominative
narr	narrative
neg	negative
NMLZ	nominalizer
nonpret	nonpreterite
NUM	number
NV	nominative/vocative morpheme (Sanskrit)
NVA	nominative/vocative/accusative morpheme (Sanskrit)
OBJ	object agreement

opt	optative
P	<i>parasmaipada</i> , Sanskrit active voice
pass	passive
PCL	particle
PER	person
perf	perfect
pfv	perfective
pl	plural
POSS	possessor
pret	preterite
PROG	progressive
prs	present
pst	past
ptcp	participle
punct	punctual
rel	relational
SBJ	subject agreement
sbjv	subjunctive
sg	singular
TNS	tense
TRANS	transitivizing suffix
VCE	voice
voc	vocative

Symbols and operators

$m \geq n$	m is greater than or equal to n
$\sigma: \{x\}$	metalinguistic variable over property sets of which $\{x\}$ is a subset
$\neg p$	not p
$p \wedge q$	p and q
$p = q$	p equals q
$p \supset q$	p implies q
$p \equiv q$	p is logically equivalent to q
$p \neq q$	p is not equal to q
$p \vee q$	p or q
$\sigma \cap \tau$	the intersection of σ and τ
$\sigma[x/y]$	the set that results from substituting y for x in σ
$\sigma \setminus \tau$	the set $\{x \mid x \in \sigma \text{ but } x \notin \tau\}$
$\sigma \sqcup \tau$	the unification of σ and τ
$\sigma \cup \tau$	the union of σ and τ
$x \in \sigma$	x is a member of σ
$x \notin \sigma$	x is not a member of σ
$\tau \sqsupseteq \sigma$	σ is an extension of τ
$\tau \subseteq \sigma$	τ is a subset of σ