Morality at the Ballot

Across the United States, there is wide variation in opportunities for citizens to craft legislation through the process of direct democracy. Previous studies suggest that an active role in policy making can spark political interest and engagement, encouraging individuals, who would otherwise abstain from voting, to turn out. Daniel R. Biggers challenges this contention, testing a new theoretical framework that details the exact circumstances under which any proposition might increase participation. Morality at the Ballot reveals that the ability of direct democracy to increase turnout is significantly more limited than currently thought, and that the propositions that do affect participation are restricted to a small subset of ballot issues that include morality policy. Biggers uses these morality propositions to demonstrate the conditions necessary for direct democracy to influence turnout, affect who votes, and shape electoral and policy outcomes. The investigation provides significant insights into the consequences of deciding policy via the ballot and expanding the role for citizens in the political process.

Daniel R. Biggers is a postdoctoral associate at the Center for the Study of American Politics and the Institution for Social and Policy Studies at Yale University. His work has appeared or is forthcoming in the *American Journal of Political Science, Political Behavior* and *American Politics Research*. His dissertation, which served as the basis for this book, was a co-recipient of the Christopher Z. Mooney Dissertation Award, awarded by the State Politics and Policy Section of the American Political Science Association (APSA) for the best dissertation in the field.

Morality at the Ballot

Direct Democracy and Political Engagement in the United States

> **DANIEL R. BIGGERS** *Yale University*



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107084575

© Daniel R. Biggers 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data Biggers, Daniel R., 1984– Morality at the ballot : direct democracy and political engagement in the United States / Daniel Biggers, Yale University. pages cm ISBN 978-1-107-08457-5 (hardback) I. Direct democracy – United States. 2. Referendum – United States. 3. Political participation – United States. 4. Voting research – United States. I. Title. JF494.B54 2014 328.273-dc23

2014026357

ISBN 978-1-107-08457-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

To Jenny, my inspiration

Contents

Figures		<i>page</i> viii
Tables		xi
Ac	Acknowledgments	
I	Introduction	I
2	A theoretical framework	15
3	The case for moral issue propositions	41
4	Enticing peripheral voters	65
5	Statewide ballot measures and turnout	88
6	Local-level ballot measures and turnout	113
7	A partisan advantage?	143
8	Policy outcomes and lessons from morality at the ballot	169
Ap	pendices	193
References		222
Inc	lex	241

Figures

1.1	Direct democracy and moral issue ballot measure	
	employment in federal elections, 1992–2012	page 5
2.1	Adoption of the initiative process across states,	
	1898–1918	16
3.1	Ballot measure roll-off by issue content in federal elections,	
	1992–2012	47
3.2	Ballot measure roll-off in Oregon general election, 1998	54
3.3	Interest levels in moral issue and all ballot measures	55
4.1	Interest levels in moral, tax, and all ballot measures	69
4.2	Population comprehension and enticement levels for	
	hypothetical ballot measures	81
4.3	Core voter comprehension and enticement levels for	
	hypothetical ballot measures	82
4.4	Peripheral voter comprehension and enticement levels for	
	hypothetical ballot measures	83
5.1	Number of initiatives on ballots in states with the process in	
	federal elections, 1992–2012	89
5.2	Number of propositions on state ballots in federal elections	
	by type, 1992–2012	94
5.3	Number of moral issue propositions on state ballots in federal	
	elections by policy area, 1992–2010	101
5.4	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a moral issue on the ballot for federal elections	
	using CPS data, 1992–2010	104

viii

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08457-5 - Morality at the Ballot: Direct Democracy and Political Engagement
in the United States
Daniel R. Biggers
Frontmatter
More information

List of figures

5.5	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a moral issue on the ballot for federal elections	
	using CCES data, 2006–2010	105
5.6	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a tax issue on the ballot for federal elections using	
	CPS data, 1992–2010	107
5.7	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a tax issue on the ballot for federal elections using	
	CCES data, 2006–2010	108
5.8	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a measure on the ballot for federal elections using	
	CPS data, 1992–2010	109
5.9	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a measure on the ballot for federal elections using	
	CCES data, 2006–2010	III
6.1	California local-level initiative use, 2001–2010	115
6.2	Number of local-level homosexual and transgender rights	
	propositions, by decade	118
6.3	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a moral issue on the ballot in Cleveland Heights,	
_	Ohio, 2003	128
6.4	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a moral issue on the ballot in Kalamazoo City,	
,	Michigan, 2009	133
6.5	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a moral issue on the ballot in Sarasota City,	. 0
	Florida, 2002	138
6.6	Change in the predicted probability of voting based on the	
	presence of a moral issue on the ballot in Hamtramck,	
	Michigan, 2008	142
7.1	Importance of religion to whites by income level, 1980–2008 Whites' attitudes toward abortion by income level	150
7.2	Whites' attitudes toward abortion by income level,	
	1980–2008 Attitudes toward abortion by age, 1980–2008	151
7.3	Difference in the change in the predicted probability of voting	155
7•4	between Republicans and pure Independents or Democratic	
	leaners based on the presence of a moral issue on the ballot in	
	federal elections, 2006–2010	160
75	Difference in the change in the predicted probability of voting	100
7.5	between religious whites and the rest of the population based	
	between rengious wintes and the rest of the population based	

ix

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-08457-5 - Morality at the Ballot: Direct Democracy and Political Engagement
in the United States
Daniel R. Biggers
Frontmatter
More information

х	List of fi	gures
	on the presence of a moral issue on the ballot in federal	<i>.</i>
	elections, 2006–2010	161
7.6	Difference in the change in the predicted probability of voting	
	between the bottom and top income thirds of whites based on	
	the presence of a moral issue on the ballot in federal elections,	
	1992–2010	164
7.7	Difference in the change in the predicted probability of voting	
	between the bottom and top age fifths based on the presence	
	of a moral issue on the ballot in federal elections, 1992–2010	165
8.1	Moral policy propositions by ideological nature and issue	
	content in federal elections, 1992–2012	173

Tables

2.1	Best and worst funded initiative contests in	
	federal elections, 2004–2010	page 30
2.2	Closest and most lopsided initiative contests in federal	
	elections, 2004–2010	34
4.1	Comprehension and enticement of hypothetical ballot	
	measures	85
5.1	Previous literature on direct democracy and turnout	
	employing a count measurement	91
6.1	Presence of moral issue measure on the ballot and turnout in	ı
	Cleveland Heights, Ohio, 2003	127
6.2	Presence of moral issue measure on the ballot and turnout in	ı
	Kalamazoo City, Michigan, 2009	132
6.3	Presence of moral issue measure on the ballot and turnout in	ı
	Sarasota City, Florida, 2002	136
6.4	Presence of moral issue measure on the ballot and turnout in	ı
	Hamtramck, Michigan, 2008	141
8.1	Ideological nature and ballot measure support	178
8.2	Ideological nature and ballot measure support, controlling	
	for same-sex marriage propositions	180
8.3	Conservative support for same-sex marriage propositions	
	over time	182
Е.1	Number of moral ballot issues and voter turnout using CPS	
	data, 1992–2010	201
E.2	Number of moral ballot issues and voter turnout using CCES	5
	data, 2006–2010	203

xi

ambridge University Press	
78-1-107-08457-5 - Morality at the Ballot: Direct Democracy and Political Engagement	ıt
n the United States	
Daniel R. Biggers	
rontmatter	
<u>Iore information</u>	

xii	List of	tables
E.3	Number of tax ballot issues and voter turnout using CPS	
	data, 1992–2010	204
E.4	Number of tax ballot issues and voter turnout using CCES	
	data, 2006–2010	206
E.5	Number of ballot measures and voter turnout using CPS data,	
	1992–2010	207
E.6	Number of ballot measures and voter turnout using CCES	
	data, 2006–2010	209
G.1	Number of moral ballot issues and voter turnout conditional	
	on party identification, 2006–2010	214
G.2	Number of moral ballot issues and voter turnout conditional	
	on religious adherence, 2006–2010	216
G.3	Number of moral ballot issues and voter turnout conditional	
	on income (whites only), 1992–2010	218
G.4	Number of moral ballot issues and voter turnout conditional	
	on age, 1992–2010	220

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to a number of individuals for their guidance, assistance, support, and encouragement. Beginning with those closest to the book, I thank Michael Hanmer and Karen Kaufmann. Both mentored me while I attended graduate school at the University of Maryland, and both provided indispensable feedback throughout the writing of my dissertation, which was an early version of this project. After I left Maryland, Karen and Mike continued their valuable assistance, reading each chapter of this manuscript (sometimes multiple times) and helping to propel the project toward completion. They also provided significant advice throughout the project (a willingness I abused more than once). I am honored to have had the opportunity to study under them and am extremely grateful for all of their guidance.

Many other members of the University of Maryland community made important contributions at the early stages of this project. James Gimpel and Geoffrey Layman played crucial roles in its theoretical and empirical development. The initial idea for this book came out of Jim's class, and he provided not only encouragement to press forward on the topic but also significant feedback on initial drafts. Geoff's copious notes helped substantially improve aspects of the project, and despite his move to Notre Dame he remained willing to help in any way possible. I also benefited from discussions about the project or received useful feedback from Frances Lee, Irwin Morris, Paul Herrnson, Antoine Banks, Stella Rouse, Bill Reed, Jim Curry, Jill Gloekler Curry, Melissa Bell, Anne Cizmar, Ozan Kalkan, Antonio Rodriguez, Kenneth Matis, Heather Creek, Steve Yoder, Brittany Bramlett, Shanna Pearson-Merkowitz, and Josh Dyck.

xiii

xiv

Acknowledgments

At Yale University, I have had access to an impressive array of resources that greatly improved the quality of this manuscript. Alan Gerber and Greg Huber provided substantial support and time to work on the project while I served as their postdoctoral associate. Both have taught me a great deal about the profession, and I am indebted to them for the opportunity to spend time in the vibrant scholarly community at Yale. While here, I also had numerous fruitful discussions with David Hendry and Dan Butler about the manuscript and publishing process. In addition, I thank Jacob Hacker, the Center for the Study of American Politics, and the Institution for Social and Policy Studies for providing funding and employment over the two years during which I wrote this book.

Robert Dreesen, editor at Cambridge University Press, expressed enthusiastic support for the project from the beginning and worked hard to get the book out quickly. I thank him, as well as his assistant, Elizabeth Janetschek, for all their efforts. I would also like to thank the three anonymous reviewers of the manuscript, who carefully considered it, provided thoughtful feedback, and forced me to improve upon multiple aspects of the book.

I am also grateful to Jon Hurwitz and Mark Peffley, former editors of *Political Behavior*, Springer, and the anonymous reviewers of "When Ballot Issues Matter: Social Issue Ballot Measures and Their Impact on Turnout," portions of which appear in this book.

My parents, Mark and Pat, provided indispensable support throughout the entire process and were constantly armed with words of encouragement. They also instilled in me the confidence, skills, and work ethic necessary to complete this project. I thank them for always being behind me in everything that I do. Words cannot describe how much they mean to me.

Last but not least, I thank my wife, Jenny, without whom I could not have finished the manuscript. Despite many long nights and weekends spent away from her in my office working on this project over the past two years, she always remained supportive. She continues to inspire me and highlight how lucky I am to be in this profession. It is to her that I dedicate this book.