

Alienation and Nature in Environmental Philosophy

Many environmental scientists, scholars and activists characterize our situation as one of alienation from nature, but this notion can easily seem meaningless or irrational. In this book, Simon Hailwood critically analyses the idea of alienation from nature and argues that it can be a useful notion when understood pluralistically. He distinguishes different senses of alienation from nature pertaining to different environmental contexts and concerns, and draws upon a range of philosophical and environmental ideas and themes including pragmatism, eco-phenomenology, climate change, ecological justice, Marxism and critical theory. His novel perspective shows that different environmental concerns – both anthropocentric and nonanthropocentric – can dovetail, rather than compete, with each other, and that our alienation from nature need not be something to be regretted or overcome. His book will interest a broad readership in environmental philosophy and ethics, political philosophy, geography and environmental studies.

SIMON HAILWOOD is Senior Lecturer in Philosophy at the University of Liverpool. He is the author of Exploring Nozick: Beyond Anarchy, State and Utopia (1996) and How to be a Green Liberal: Nature, Value and Liberal Philosophy (2004). He is also Managing Editor of the journal Environmental Values.





Alienation and Nature in Environmental Philosophy

Simon Hailwood

University of Liverpool





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107081963

© Simon Hailwood 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Hailwood, Simon A.

Alienation and nature in environmental philosophy / Simon Hailwood, University of Liverpool.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-08196-3 (hardback)

- 1. Human ecology-Philosophy. 2. Environmental sciences-Philosophy.
- 3. Human beings–Effect of environment on–Philosophy. 4. Alienation (Philosophy) I. Title.

GF21.H345 2015

304.201-dc23

2015005649

ISBN 978-1-107-08196-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Jan and Verity





Contents

	Acknowledgements	page viii
	Introduction	1
1	Alienations and natures	16
2	Pragmatists and sea squirts	49
3	Landscape	86
4	Nonhuman nature: estrangement	124
5	Nonhuman nature: alienation	155
6	Estrangement from the natural world	185
7	Entailments and entanglements	223
8	Concluding remarks	248
	References	253
	Index	262

vii



Acknowledgements

I have given talks on topics covered in this book at universities in Dortmund, Edinburgh, Essen, Lampeter, Lancaster, Manchester, Münster, Newport, Oxford, Reading and Reykjavik. I am grateful to the participants in the various workshops, conferences and seminars involved for their comments. I was greatly helped in developing the ideas in this book by discussions at workshops in Liverpool and Germany on the 'New Thinking on Alienation' research networking project that I organized with the assistance of Professors Logi Gunnarsson and Michael Quante (Arts and Humanities Research Council, AH/H013030/1, 2010-11). I am grateful also to colleagues at Liverpool for their helpful comments on my works in progress. I cannot remember now exactly who said what, where and when but I would like to thank the following in particular for their constructive comments and discussion in one or more of the contexts I have mentioned: Chris Bartley, Isis Brook, Gideon Calder, Clare Carlisle, Stephen Clark, Andrew Dobson, Tim Henning, Daniel Hill, Catriona McKinnon, Thomas Schramme, Kate Soper, Piers Stephens, Alison Stone, Steven Vogel, Daniel Whistler and Marcel Wissenburg.

I owe special thanks to my colleague Professor Gillian Howie, who passed away in 2013 after a long struggle with cancer. Gill was inspirational in many ways. In relation to this book she convinced me to take more seriously than I had been doing the insights of Hegel and Marx. Had she seen the final result I am sure she would still think I fail to take them sufficiently seriously. I deeply regret the end of that discussion and miss her kind and critical presence. I owe special thanks also to Logi Gunnarsson for the discussions we have had since he was a colleague at Liverpool several years ago. A central argument of this book is that, contrary to popular opinion, alienation from nature can be a 'good thing', something to be welcomed to some extent in some ways and in some contexts. The initial impetus for this thought was discussion in the light of institutional developments that we could not avoid and could not wholly condemn, yet could not fully identify with either. We thought it not obvious that the resulting state of alienation, if such it was, should

viii



Acknowledgements

ix

be considered something one *ought* to seek to overcome, insofar as one could. The next thought was that perhaps this notion of a condition of alienation that, while not unqualifiedly positive, is not something one ought to overcome (or maybe something one ought not to overcome) could be generalized to apply to areas of ongoing philosophical interest to us. In terms of my own interests, for example, it might characterize certain conceptions of the relation between citizen and state. And it might bring out aspects of our relation to nature 'as other', a topic I had been working on for a while. I am not sure that I would have followed up this line of thought had it not been for Logi's interest in and encouragement of it.

My thanks also to Hilary Gaskin and Rosemary Crawley at Cambridge University Press for their kind and patient assistance, and to Andrew Dobson and an anonymous reviewer who read and commented helpfully on the highly imperfect draft manuscript. I must also thank my family – especially my wife, Jan – for their loving support during the writing of this book, not least because I think it was an alienating experience for them at times, and not always in a particularly good way.

It is usual at this point to say that none of the above bears any responsibility for what follows. And of course none of them would agree with all of it; some will disagree with most – if not all – of it. So I hereby absolve them. Having said that, they did at least encourage me to some extent. It is for the reader to decide whether they were right to do so.

In various parts of the book I expand upon previously published material. Chapter 2 includes material from 'Landscape, Nature and Neopragmatism' published in *Environmental Ethics* (29 February 2007, pp. 131–49), and Chapter 3 includes material from my review of Peter Cannavò's book *The Working Landscape*, published in *Organization and Environment* (22 February 2009, pp. 262–5). With the permission of Taylor & Francis Ltd, Chapter 5 draws upon 'Disowning the Weather', *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy* (14 February 2011, pp. 215–34), and the Introduction and Chapters 2–6 include material from 'Alienations and Natures' published in *Environmental Politics* (21 June 2012, pp. 882–900). With the permission of Springer Science + Business Media, Chapters 6 and 7 include material from 'Nature, Estrangement and "the Flesh"', *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* (17 January 2014, pp. 71–85).