

INDEX

- abstract generalism, 105
- academic disciplines
 - bioethics and, 239–240
 - boundaries, 236–237
 - disciplinary conventions and, 235–236
 - elements of, 236–237
 - history of development of, 237–238
 - RAEs and, 236, 251
 - as socially contingent bodies, 238–239
 - what are, 235–239
- Alzheimer's disease (AD), 182–183
 - The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's*, 185
- Annas, 90–91
- antenatal screening, 222–223
- Archard, 55–56, 240
- Aristotelian phronesis, 7–8
- Arledge, *The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's*, 185
- Ashcroft, 242
- assumptions
 - knowledge and, 4–5
 - social world and, 5
- authority
 - in or an, 64
 - feminist approach and, 201–202
 - political, 56–61
 - of theory, 42–43
- Ayer, 'Language, Truth and Logic,' 21
- bioethicist, role of, 245–246
- bioethics. *See also* critical bioethics; descriptive bioethics; empirical bioethics; philosophical bioethics; social bioethics
 - critical, 196–197, 202–203
 - DD, 179–180
 - as natural ally of, 178–182
 - defined, 239
 - as discipline of disciplines, 85–89
 - discipline or not, 239–240
 - expertise and, 240–243
 - feminist approach to, 197–198
 - interdisciplinary conflict in, 103–107
 - naturalised, 202
 - Presidential Commission for the Study of Bioethical Issues, 181–182
 - sociology for, 244
 - sociology in, 244–245
 - sociology of, 243–244
 - turn to empirical, 196–197
- Boorse's Biostatistical Theory of Health, 169–170
- British Empiricism, 7–8
 - as radical epistemology, 21
- Brody, 244
- Brownsword, 86–87
- Callahan, 86
- Cambridge, 237–238
- Caplan, 241
- care ethics, 205–207
- children, disabled, 211–213
- coherence, 162–163, 170–172
- coherentism, with different levels of justificatory power, 162–163
- common law, 90–91
- consultative methodologies, 126
 - analytic process and, 127

- justification and, 126–127
 - normative conclusions and, 127–128
- critical bioethics, 196–197, 202–203
- cultural relativism, 40–41
- cultural values, as changeable, 41
- culture, in publishing research, 252
- Daniels, 242
- data
 - empirical, 12–13
 - in empirical ethics, 69–71
- data collection
 - in empirical ethics, 71–72
 - theory and, 12–13
- Dawson, 67–68
- DD. *See* deliberative democracy
- De Vries, 68–69
 - Sociology of Health & Illness*, 243–244
- deaf or disabled children, 211–213
- deliberative democracy (DD)
 - bioethics and, 179–180
 - bioethics as natural ally of, 178–182
 - case study, 177, 182–188
 - commonality of theories of, 179
 - conclusions, 189–190
 - health policy studies, 181–182
 - other approaches contrasted with, 132
 - overview, 130–131, 177
 - pitfalls, limiting, 188–189
 - polarisation and, 188–189
 - practice of, 181
 - questions to ask when considering, 132–133
 - rationale behind, 178–179
- dementia research, 182–183
- deontic argument, 18–22
- descriptive bioethics, 115, 120
- descriptive ethical relativism
 - defined, 34
 - variation in ethical systems and, 41
- descriptive method, diversity and, 111–112
- dialogical empirical ethics
 - challenges, 151–153
 - conclusions, 156
 - dialogue role in, 141
 - discussion, 151–153
 - horizon and, 141–142
 - normativity within, 143–144
 - other approaches contrasted with, 132
 - overview, 129, 139
 - perspective and, 141
 - practical rationality and, 142
 - questions to ask when considering, 132–133
 - researcher's role in, 144–145
 - theoretical and normative underpinnings of, 140–143
 - theoretical framework, 140–145
- dialogical methodologies, 126
 - analytic process and, 127
 - justification and, 126–127
 - normative conclusions and, 127–128
- disciplines. *See also* academic disciplines; interdisciplinary research
 - bioethics as discipline of disciplines, 85–89
 - empirical ethics interdisciplinarity, 78–79
 - ethics sub-disciplines, 85, 97
 - harmonising, 10
 - interdisciplinary conflict in bioethics, 103–107
 - interdisciplinary highway, 133–134
 - or not, 239–240
 - novel methods and, 78–79
 - philosophy, history of, 237–238
 - power and, 10
 - transdisciplinarity, 3–4
- dissensus, 23
- diversity
 - of aims and methods within ethics, 107–112
 - aims of, 103
 - aims of different approaches and, 107
 - conclusions, 119
 - descriptive method and, 111–112
 - division of labour related to, 108–109
 - embracing, 14–15
 - empirical bioethics, what qualifies as, 115–119

- diversity (cont.)
 - empirical ethics management of, 112–115
 - engineering model and, 109–110
 - enlightenment model and, 109
 - interdisciplinary conflict and, 103–107
 - overview, 14, 103
 - prescriptive method and, 110–111
- Driver, 240
- Duchesneau, 211–213
- Eastlund, 56, 57–58
- EBM. *See* evidence-based medicine
- Eckenwiler, 206–207
- EDGs. *See* Ethics Discussion Groups
- embodiment
 - feminist approach and, 207–208
 - relating to others and, 208
 - social positioning affected by, 208
- embryo donation, 222–223
- empirical bioethics. *See also* empirical ethics
 - empirical in, 68–72
 - overview, 67–68
 - turn to, 196–197
 - what qualifies as, 115–119
- empirical data, 12–13
- empirical ethics. *See also* empirical bioethics
 - application of, 251
 - conclusions about, 46–48
 - data collection in, 71–72
 - data in, 69–71
 - defining, 53
 - expertise and, 243–245
 - fact/value distinction and, 35
 - Hedgecoe and, 28–31
 - legitimacy and, 35–36
 - managing pluralism in, 112–115
 - normatively invested concepts and, 35–36
 - novel methods and
 - interdisciplinarity in, 78–79
 - policy-making role of, 62–63
 - question posing in, 71–72
 - reporting, 247–249
 - way forward, 81
- empirical questions
 - framing, 12–13
 - metaethical relativism and, 36–37
- empirical research
 - as handmaiden to normative research, 5–6
 - harmonising normative research with, 8–9
 - qualitative research: methods and results, 163–165
 - quality of, 8–9
 - value neutral, 8–9
- empirical rigour, 116–117
- empiricism
 - British Empiricism, 21
 - observation and, 3–4
- engineering model, 109–110
- enlightenment model, 109
- epistemology
 - assumptions and, 4–5
 - British Empiricism as radical, 21
 - feminist, 198–203
 - harmonising, 3–4
 - methodology sprouting from, 3–4
 - problem of harmonising different, 8–9
- ethical particularism, 7–8
- ethical relativism. *See also* descriptive ethical relativism
 - attractiveness of, 33
 - judgement context and, 41
 - overview, 11–12
- ethics
 - abstract generalism and, 105
 - care, 205–207
 - diversity of aims and methods within, 107–112
 - feminist approach to, 197–198
 - methodological purity in, 113
 - as normative enterprise, 5–6
 - relativistic and non-relativistic positions in, 40–45
 - sociology in, 232–233
 - sub-disciplines of, 85, 97
- Ethics Discussion Groups (EDGs)
 - centres buy in and, 226–227
 - centres contacts and relationships supporting, 228–229

- concept and purposes, 223–224
- conclusions, 232–233
- external stakeholders and, 229
- facilitating, 224–225
- facilitator role in, 225
- lessons learned, 226–231
- organising, 230
- participant commitment in, 227–228
- philosophy seminar approach of, 224–225
- product of, 226
- reluctant participants in, 229–230
- research question clarification in, 227
- staff perspectives and, 231–232
- story telling and, 230–231
- topics tailor made for, 230
- evidence-based medicine (EBM), 22–23
- expertise
 - bioethics and, 240–243
 - claims example, 37
 - empirical ethics and, 243–245
 - of professional ethicist, 54–56
 - as skill, 241–242
- facts, values and, 6, 8–9
- fact/value distinction, 21–22
 - conclusions about, 31
 - deontic argument and, 18–22
 - empirical ethics and, 35
 - Hume and, 18–22
 - overview, 11, 17–18
 - passions and, 20–22
 - Putnam and, 25–27
 - sense impressions and, 19–20
 - values-based medicine and, 27
- feminist approach
 - authority and, 201–202
 - bioethical turn to empirical and, 196–197
 - care and, 205–207
 - choosing deaf or disabled children and, 211–213
 - context and, 199–200
 - critical bioethics and, 202–203
 - critique and self-critique and, 211
 - distinctive features related to, 203–211
- Eckenwiler and, 206–207
- embodiment and, 207–208
- to ethics and bioethics, 197–198
- future of, 215–216
- gender equality and justice and, 197–198
- gender roles and, 205–207
- Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act and, 213–214
- Kittay and, 214
- lived experience and, 209
- mainstream methodologies and, 215
- marginal voices and, 208–211
- moral self and, 198–199, 217
- naturalised bioethics and, 202
- ontology and epistemology, 198–203
- overview, 131–132, 195–196
- power structures and, 203–205
- questions to ask when considering, 132–133
- relationality and, 205–207
- relativism and, 216
- responsibility and reflexivity, 211–215
- social identity and, 200
- stem cell research example, 204
- Foot, 26–27
- Foucault, 86
- Fulford, 22–25
 - dissensus and, 23
 - values and, 23–24
- Gadamerian hermeneutic philosophy
 - explained, 129
 - horizon and, 141–142
- Gaita, 44–45
- gender
 - equality and justice, 197–198
 - roles, 205–207
- Glaser, 29–30
- Green, 239
- Habermas, 60–61
- Hamersley, 8–9
- Hedgcock, 28–31
- hermeneutic philosophy, 7–8, 140–143

- hESC. *See* human embryonic stem cell research
 Hobbes, 237–238
 Holistic Theory of Health, 169–170
 Hope, 19
 horizon, 141–142
 human embryonic stem cell (hESC) research, 222–223
 Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act, 213–214
 Hume, 237–238
 facts/value distinction and, 18–22
 Moore and, 21–22
 passions and, 20–22
 sense impressions and, 19–20
 Hurst, 246–247
- incommensurability, 43–44
 inference relations, 162–163
 interdisciplinarity, in empirical ethics, 78–79
 interdisciplinary conflict, 103–107
 interdisciplinary highway, 133–134
 interdisciplinary research
 aims of, 236
 centres buy in and, 226–227
 centres contacts and relationships facilitating, 228–229
 conclusions, 232–233
 external stakeholders and, 229
 lessons learned, 226–231
 overview, 135, 222–223
 participant commitment in, 227–228
 question clarification, 227
 reluctant participants and, 229–230
 staff perspectives and, 231–232
 story telling and, 230–231
 intuition
 autonomy, 166
 beneficence, 167
 human dignity respect, 168–169
 moral principles confronting, 164–169
 non-maleficence, 167–168
 treatment and, 164–165
 is claim, ought claim and, 6, 8–9
 Ives, 25, 49
- James, 237–238
 judgement context, 41
- Kittay, 214
 knowledge
 assumptions and, 4–5
 ways of acquiring, 3–4
 Krishan, 236–237
- law
 as action oriented, 95
 as adversarial, 94–95, 99
 bioethics as discipline of disciplines and, 85–89
 common, 90–91
 conclusions about, 96–97
 contribution and convergence and, 89–93
 decision and, 93
 divergence and distraction and, 93–96
 medical, 98
 overview, 13, 84–85
 phrasing and, 93
 practice and, 92–93
 process and, 89–92
 products of, 92
 purpose and, 89
 tale of two flatmates and, 84–85
 legitimacy
 as normatively invested concepts, 35–36
 of values, 24–25
 Lillehammer, 242
 Locke, 237–238
- marginal voices
 feminist approach to, 208–211
 religious groups, 209–211
 McCullough, 211–213
 McDowell, 26–27
 McMillan, ‘The possibility of empirical psychiatric ethics,’ 19
 medical sociology
 empirical ethics and, 28–31
 Strauss and Glaser and, 29–30
 Mertz, 133–134, 249–250

- metaethical relativism, 41–42. *See also*
 ethical relativism
 authority of theory and, 42–43
 conclusions about, 46–48
 culture and, 40–41
 defined, 34
 empirical questions and, 36–37
 expertise claims example and, 37
 Ives and, 49
 judgement context and, 41
 legitimacy example and, 35–36
 normative questions and, 36,
 38–40
 normatively invested concepts and,
 35–36
 objectivism compared with, 34,
 40–45
 ought questions and, 38–39
 overview, 34–35
 as pragmatic, 42
 preferences and, 39
 primary and secondary questions
 and, 38
 relevance of, 35–40
 truth claim of, 40
 value and, 39
 Wong and, 42
 metaethics, defined, 33
 methodology
 conclusions, 136–137
 epistemology and, 3–4
 four approaches, 129–133
 hierarchies of approaches to, 12–13
 overview, 128–129
 Mill, 237–238
 Miola, 87, 98
 moderate objectivism
 conclusions about, 46–48
 incommensurability and, 43–44
 objectivism and, 42–45
 overview, 11–12
 perseverance and, 44
 underdetermination and, 43–44
 Moore
 Hume and, 21–22
 naturalistic fallacy and, 21–22
 moral craftsmanship, 134–135
 moral self, 198–199, 217
 naturalised bioethics, 202
 naturalistic fallacy, 21–22
 NE-RE. *See* normative-empirical
 reflective equilibrium
 new reproductive and genetic
 technologies (NRGTs),
 209–211
 noble failure, 49
 nondescriptivism, 23–24
 normative conclusions, 127–128
 normative questions, 36,
 38–40
 normative research
 empirical research as handmaiden
 to, 5–6
 harmonising empirical research
 with, 8–9
 normative rigour, social bioethics and,
 116–117
 normative underpinnings, of dialogical
 empirical ethics research,
 140–143
 normative-empirical reflective
 equilibrium (NE-RE)
 coherence measurement and,
 162–163
 features of, 160–161
 intuitions in, 161–162
 moral wisdom and, 161–162
 other approaches contrasted
 with, 132
 overview, 129–130
 questions to ask when considering,
 132–133
 normatively invested concepts,
 legitimacy and, 35–36
 normativity
 within dialogical empirical ethics
 research, 143–144
 overview, 11
 nothing else to think, 44–45
 NRGTs. *See* new reproductive and
 genetic technologies
 objectivism. *See also* moderate
 objectivism
 authority of theory and, 42–43
 conclusions about, 46–48

- objectivism (cont.)
 - metaethical relativism compared with, 34, 40–45
 - overview, 34–35
- observation, limitations of, 3–4
- ontology, feminist, 198–203
- Parker, 134–135
- parliamentary decision-making, 58–59
- passions
 - facts/value distinction and, 20–22
 - Moore and, 21–22
- Patient and Public Involvement (PPI), 51–52
- perseverance
 - explained, 44
 - slave example and, 44–45
- PGD. *See* pre-implantation genetic diagnosis
- philosophical bioethics
 - as abstract, 5–6
 - abstract generalism and, 105
 - audiences alienated by, 106
 - criticism of, 103–104
 - cross-purposes and, 105
 - defence forms and, 120
 - empirical methods, avoidance of, in, 104
 - empirical rigour and, 116–117
 - methods, 107–112
 - principalism and, 118–119
 - sociologists missing point and, 105
- philosophy
 - history of discipline of, 237–238
 - seminar approach, 224–225
- Pickerskill, 232–233
- polarisation, 188–189
- post-reflective interpretation, 161–162
- power
 - coherentism with different levels of justificatory, 162–163
 - disciplines and, 10
 - structures, 203–205
- PPI. *See* Patient and Public Involvement
- practical rationality, 142
- pre-implantation genetic diagnosis (PGD), 222–223
- pre-reflective interpretation, 161–162
- prescriptive method, 110–111
- Presidential Commission for the Study of Bioethical Issues, 181–182
- principalism, 118–119
- professional ethicist
 - conclusions, 64
 - defining, 53
 - deliberative bodies and, 57
 - deriving role of, 56–61
 - expertise of, 54–56
 - overview, 12, 51–54
 - parliamentary decision-making and, 58–59
 - political authority and, 56–61
- psychiatrist example, 27
- publishing research
 - academic discipline and, 235–239
 - changing culture in, 252
 - conclusions, 250–251
 - dividing results in, 248
 - overview, 136
 - quality and reporting in, 246–247, 252
 - quality assessment, 249–250
 - RAEs and, 236, 251
 - reporting empirical ethics, 247–249
 - reviewers and, 249
 - scope of findings curtailed in, 248–249
- Putnam
 - ‘The Collapse of the Fact/Value Dichotomy,’ 25–27
 - on entanglement of fact and value, 25–27
 - teacher example of, 26–27
- qualitative research, 163–165
- quasi-moral foundationalism, 7–8
- Racine, 25
- RAEs. *See* research assessment exercises
- Rasmussen, 54–56
- rationalism, 3–4
- Rawls, 60–61, 109
 - RE and, 160
- RCUK. *See* Research Councils UK

- RE. *See* reflective equilibrium
 reflective equilibrium (RE), 160. *See also* normative-empirical reflective equilibrium
 reflexivity
 in research, 134–135
 responsibility and, 211–215
 relationality, 205–207
 relativism. *See also* descriptive ethical relativism; ethical relativism; metaethical relativism
 cultural, 40–41
 feminist approach and, 216
 incommensurability and, 43–44
 nothing else to think and, 44–45
 ought questions and, 38–39
 perseverance and, 44
 slave example, 44–45
 underdetermination and, 43–44
 Wong and, 42
 religion, 209–211
 reporting. *See* publishing research
 research. *See also* dialogical empirical ethics; empirical research; interdisciplinary research; normative research; publishing research
 complexity of, 3–4
 conclusions, 136–137
 interdisciplinary highway and, 133–134
 novel practices of, 133–136
 problem of harmonising epistemologies in, 8–9
 reflexivity in, 134–135
 stem cell example, 204
 study choices in, 133–134
 surrogate-based attitudes in, 185
 research assessment exercises (RAEs), 236, 251
 Research Councils UK (RCUK), 236
 Research Excellence Framework, 98
 responsibility, 211–215
 responsive evaluation, 129
 Schneider, 94
 Sen, 109–110
 The Idea of Justice, 109–110
 sense impressions, 19–20
 sexual offending, 24–25
 Shildrick, 197–198
 Singer, 54, 55–56
 on expertise as skill, 241–242
 slave example, 44–45
 Smith, 237–238
 social bioethics
 audiences alienated by, 106
 criticism of, 104
 cross-purposes and, 105
 defence forms and, 120
 methods, 107–112
 normative rigour and, 116–117
 philosophers missing point and, 105
 principalism and, 118–119
 social identity, 200
 socially contingent bodies, academic disciplines as, 238–239
 sociological epistemic values, 31
 sociology
 for bioethics, 244
 in bioethics, 244–245
 of bioethics, 243–244
 in ethics, 232–233
 stem cell research example, 204
 Stigglebout, 244–245
 story telling, 230–231
 Strathern, 236
 Strauss, 29–30
 subjectivism, 40–41
 substituted judgement, 166
 surrogate-based research attitudes, 185
 tale of two flatmates, 84–85
 teacher example, 26–27
 Teleological Expressivism, 7–8
 territorial dispute, 10
 theory
 authority of, 42–43
 data collection and, 12–13
 problem of harmonising different, 8–9
 thick ethical concepts
 psychiatrist example of, 27
 teacher example of, 26–27
 transdisciplinarity, 3–4

- underdetermination, 43–44
- values. *See also* fact/value distinction
 - cultural, 41
 - facts and, 6, 8–9
 - Fulford and, 22–25
 - legitimacy of, 24–25
 - metaethical relativism and, 39
 - motivating nature of, 27
 - neutral, 8–9
 - non-cognitivism about, 20–22
 - preferences and, 39
 - sexual offending and, 24–25
 - sociological epistemic, 31
- values-based medicine (VBM), 17–18
 - dissensus and, 23
 - EBM and, 22–23
 - fact/value distinction and, 27
 - principles of, 22–25
- van der Burg, 89
- Van der Scheer, 115
- VBM. *See values-based medicine*
- Walker, 195–196, 200–201
- Weberian tradition, 8–9
- Wellcome Trust, 227
- Widdershoven, 115
 - on dialogue, 141
- Wiggins, 26–27
 - incommensurability and, 43–44
 - nothing else to think and, 44–45
 - perseverance and, 44
 - position of, 43
 - underdetermination and, 43–44
- Wong, 42
- Yoder, 55