

CONTENTS

*Short titles of books by Stanley Fish*      x

**Introduction**      1

**PART I: Philosophy**      5

**1 The nature of the self**      7

    Kant’s conception of the self      7

    Fish’s critique of Kant’s conception of the self      9

    Fish’s conception of the self      11

**2 Epistemology**      16

    Foundationalism      16

    Fish’s critique of foundationalism      18

    Fish’s anti-foundationalism      21

    Facts, reasons, and beliefs      40

**3 The role of theory**      48

    Three orthodox claims about the role of theory      48

    Fish’s rejection of the claim that theory can transcend the limitations of local contexts      50

    Fish’s rejection of the claim that all local practices presuppose some theory      53

    Fish’s rejection of the claim that theory has a special role in guiding or reforming other practices      55

    Fish’s account of the contingent consequences of theory      70

**PART II: Politics**      79

**4 Political theory**      81

    Fish’s critique of liberal political theory      81

    Fish’s critique of anti-foundationalist political theories: critical theory, postmodernism, and pragmatism      108

|      |                                                                                                                                   |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| viii | CONTENTS                                                                                                                          |
| 5    | <b>Political substance</b> 139                                                                                                    |
|      | Fish's claim that there is no necessary connection between his philosophical analysis and any substantive political positions 139 |
|      | Fish's own substantive political positions 139                                                                                    |
|      | The objection that some substantive political positions do follow from Fish's philosophical analysis 144                          |
| 6    | <b>Political practice</b> 154                                                                                                     |
|      | Fish's different senses of "politics" 154                                                                                         |
|      | Fish on political practice 156                                                                                                    |
|      | <b>PART III: Law</b> 177                                                                                                          |
| 7    | <b>Legal positivism</b> 179                                                                                                       |
|      | The impossibility of the unconstrained legal actor 179                                                                            |
|      | Fish's rejection of the separation of law and morality/politics 183                                                               |
|      | Legal positivist responses to Fish 186                                                                                            |
| 8    | <b>Legal formalism</b> 206                                                                                                        |
|      | The textualist version of legal formalism 206                                                                                     |
|      | Fish's critiques of textualism 213                                                                                                |
|      | More on Fish's intentionalism 228                                                                                                 |
| 9    | <b>The Fish/Dworkin debate</b> 251                                                                                                |
|      | Fish's critique of Dworkin's fear of an unconstrained legal actor 253                                                             |
|      | Fish's critique of Dworkin's hope for an independent constraint upon interpretation 259                                           |
|      | Fish's critique of Dworkin's hope that philosophy can provide coherence and guidance for law 264                                  |
|      | How does Fish's analysis of Dworkin fit with Fish's intentionalism? 269                                                           |
|      | Dworkin's critique of Fish's rejection of unconstrained legal actors 273                                                          |
|      | Dworkin's critique of Fish's analogizing judging to the instinctive performances of athletes 281                                  |
| 10   | <b>Fish's positive account of law</b> 287                                                                                         |
|      | Law's disorder 287                                                                                                                |
|      | Law's jobs 290                                                                                                                    |
|      | Law's two stories 295                                                                                                             |
|      | Law's amazing trick 299                                                                                                           |
|      | Rewriting in law 301                                                                                                              |
| 11   | <b>Change and indeterminacy in law</b> 305                                                                                        |
|      | Arguments for the claim that Fish is an indeterminacy theorist 305                                                                |

CONTENTS ix

|                                                                            |            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Does the ability to recontextualize entail indeterminacy?                  | 308        |
| Consequences of the constraints on achieving<br>recontextualization in law | 311        |
| Three implications of Fish’s analysis                                      | 315        |
| <b>12 Legal realism and critical legal studies</b>                         | <b>320</b> |
| Legal realism                                                              | 320        |
| Critical legal studies                                                     | 327        |
| <i>Conclusion</i>                                                          | 335        |
| <i>Index</i>                                                               | 339        |