

INDEX

- adjustment, *see also* industrial
 adjustment; structural
 adjustment
 facilitation, 294–7
 Agreement on Safeguards (WTO), 75
 coexistence with GATT Article XIX,
 136–9
 entry into force, 76
 negotiating history, 57–76
 users of safeguards, 76–9
- aircraft industry
EC – Aircraft case on subsidy
 schemes, 118–19
- alcoholic beverages
Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II case,
 125–6, 131–2, 133
Korea – Alcoholic Beverages III
 case, 133
- anti-dumping measures, 79–81
 application of WTO
 recommendations for
 determining POI, 108–10
 disputes, 117–19
Mexico – Pipes and Tubes case, 103,
 201–2
- Argentina
Argentina – Footwear case, 103, 105,
 106, 124, 155, 172–3, 175, 182,
 223, 236–8, 252, 277–8, 337
Argentina – Peaches case, 154–5,
 175–6, 223
- asbestos
EC – Asbestos case, 125–6, 128
- Atlantic Charter, 4
- Australia
 user of safeguard measures during
 the GATT 1947 period, 37–8
- Bretton Woods, 5
- Canada
 user of safeguard measures during
 the GATT 1947 period, 37–8
- capacity increases
 non-attribution analysis, 256–8
- capacity utilization
 changes in level, 213–14
- case law
 anti-dumping, 117–19
Argentina – Footwear, 103, 105, 106,
 124, 155, 172–3, 175, 182, 223,
 236–8, 252, 277–8, 337
Argentina – Peaches, 154–5,
 175–6, 223
Chile – Price Band, 134, 153–4,
 239, 262
Dominican Republic – Safeguard
Measures, 104–5, 156–7, 161–2,
 178, 250, 303–4, 305–6
EC – Aircraft, 118–19
EC – Asbestos, 125–6, 128
 effect of GATT obligations in WTO
 disputes, 162
 GATT dispute complaints, 40, 42–50
Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II,
 125–6, 131–2, 133
Korea – Alcoholic Beverages III, 133
Korea – Dairy, 155–6, 216, 217, 218,
 300–1, 336
 like or directly competitive products
 cases, 123–6
 list of GATT/WTO dispute
 settlement reports, xxi
Mexico – Olive Oil, 188–9
Mexico – Pipes and Tubes, 103, 201–2

- case law (*cont.*)
Mexico – Rice, 104, 105, 203
Norway – Textiles, 48–9
 period of investigation (POI) cases,
 103–8
Switzerland – Table Grapes, 50
 unforeseen developments cases,
 152–7
US – Dried Figs, 47
US – Fur Felt Hats, 42–7, 144,
 152–3
US – Hot-Rolled Steel, 202–3
US – Lamb, 104, 105–6, 123, 154,
 254, 337
US – Line Pipe, 106, 108, 172, 178,
 279, 310
US – Spring Clothespins, 48
US – Steel Safeguards, 151–2, 153,
 230, 235, 238–9, 244–5, 251–2,
 256–9, 280, 281–2, 337
US – Wheat Gluten, 156, 177, 200,
 246, 256, 278, 337
Chile – Price Band case, 134, 153–4,
 239, 262
- clothespins
US – Spring Clothespins case, 48
- clothing sector
 market disruption, 53–4
Norway – Textiles case, 48–9
- compensation
 GATT Uruguay Round, 59
 notification, 358
 procedures, 354–8
 quotas, 357
 as rationale for safeguard
 mechanism, 91–3
 safeguard measures during the
 GATT 1947 period, 38–40
 trade compensation, 355–8
- competition, *see also* like or directly
 competitive products
 conditions of competition analysis,
 236–40
 trade-restrictive practices, 254–5
- concession, *see* tariff concessions
- confidentiality
 safeguard investigations,
 338–40, 367
- consultations
 criticism, 367
 definitive safeguards, 352–4
 procedures, 350–4
 provisional safeguards, 354
 customs unions (CUs), 284–5
- dairy products
Korea – Dairy case, 155–6, 216, 217,
 218, 300–1, 336
- degressivity, 59
- developing countries
 GATT Uruguay Round
 negotiations, 64
 notification of exclusion of imports
 from, 348–9
 users of safeguard measures during
 the WTO period, 78
 whether imports excluded from
 safeguards, 166, 250, 287–90
- direct competition, 131–4, *see also* like
 or directly competitive
 products
- dispute resolution, *see also* case law
 GATT, 29
 safeguards during the GATT 1947
 period, 40–50
- domestic industry, 183–96, *see also* like
 or directly competitive
 products; producers
 consistency of definition throughout
 investigation, 196
 definition, 185–96
 inefficiency in production, 258–9
 supranational level, 191–3
 whether imports made by
 domestic producers should be
 excluded from investigation,
 165–6, 248
- Dominican Republic – Safeguard
 Measures* case, 104–5, 156–7,
 161–2, 178, 250, 303–4, 305–6
- dried figs
US – Dried Figs case, 47
- Dunkel Draft, 73–4
- employment level
 serious injury assessment, 218–19

- energy cost increases
 non-attribution analysis, 260–1
- escape clause, *see* safeguard clause
- European Communities
EC – Aircraft case, 118–19
EC – Asbestos case, 125–6, 128
- European Economic Community (EEC)
 users of safeguard measures during the GATT 1947 period, 37–8
- European Union
 user of safeguards during the WTO period, 78
- export performance
 non-attribution analysis, 255–6
- export-processing zones, 164–5
- export restraint agreements (ERAs), 54–5, 313–15
- footwear
Argentina – Footwear case, 103, 105, 106, 124, 155, 172–3, 175, 182, 223, 236–8, 252, 277–8, 337
- free trade
 Atlantic Charter, 4
- free trade areas (FTAs), 284–5, *see also* export-processing zones
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)
 amendment to Article XIX 1955, 34
 basic obligations, 25–7
 coexistence of Article XIX with the Agreement on Safeguards, 136–9
 dispute resolution, 29
 effect of Article XIX obligations, 136–43
 effect of Article XIX obligations incurred, 157–62
 entry into force on a provisional basis, 24
 exceptions, 27–9
 failed attempts to establish new rules on safeguards, 56–7
 filed dispute settlement complaints on safeguards, 42–50
- GATT 1947, 24
- GATT 1994, 24–5
- list of dispute settlement reports, xxi–xxvi, 40
- market disruption, 52–4
- negotiations on the escape clause, 17–8, 21–2
- negotiations with ITO Charter, 16–7
- notification of safeguard measures, 36
- object and purpose, 25
- rejected amendments to Article XIX, 34–6
- safeguard clause Article XIX, 29–33
- safeguards dispute resolution, 40–50
- selective application of safeguards, 50–2
- text of Article XIX, 29–30
- Uruguay Round and negotiations on safeguards, 57–75
- grapes
Switzerland – Table Grapes case, 50
- hats
US – Fur Felt Hats case, 42–7, 144, 152–3
- import duty
 compensation, 356–7
 safeguards in the form of, 308–9
- imports, *see also* increase in imports;
 investigated product
 data gathering challenges, 168–9
 definition, 164
 exclusion of certain imports from safeguards, 164–8, 247–50, 277–90
 from regional trading partners excluded from safeguards, 249–50, 277–87
 measurement of volume vs. value, 170
 relevance, 164–8
 volume measurement challenges, 171
 whether imports excluded because of negligibility, 166

- imports (*cont.*)
 - whether imports made by domestic producers should be excluded from investigation, 165–6, 248
- increase in imports, 84, 163–82, *see also* imports
 - absolute, 172–9
 - analysis of causal link with serious injury, 226–40
 - assessment of trends, 173–4
 - baseline determination, 174–6
 - comparisons of segments, 178
 - entries into export-processing zones, 164–5
 - evaluation, 171–2
 - exclusion of certain imports from safeguards, 164–8, 247–50, 277–90
 - foreseen increased imports excluded from safeguards, 166–8, 247–8
 - GATT Article XIX, 29–30
 - measurement of increase, 178–9
 - price analysis, 181–2
 - recent past against overall POI, 176–8
 - recent, sudden, sharp and significant, 172–3
 - related to effect of GATT obligations, 166–8
 - relative with respect to domestic production, 179–80
 - retaliation, 180–1
 - whether imports made by domestic producers should be excluded from investigation, 165–6, 248
- India
 - user of safeguard measures, 78, 79
- Indonesia
 - user of safeguard measures, 78, 79
- industrial adjustment, 295–7
 - as rationale for safeguard mechanism, 93–5
- input cost changes
 - non-attribution analysis, 259
- International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, 5
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 5
- International Trade Organization (ITO), proposal for
 - failure, 23
 - GATT, 16–8, 21–2
 - Geneva discussions on safeguards, 18–22
 - Havana discussions on safeguards, 22–3
 - London discussions on safeguards, 9–16
 - New York discussions on safeguards, 17–8
 - Preparatory Committee meetings, 9
 - Suggested Charter 1946, 7–9
- investigated product, 111–19, *see also* imports
 - absence of legal standard, 116–19, 365
 - business considerations, 113–16
 - data gathering challenges, 168–9
 - definition, 116–19, 163–4
 - discrepancy of scope with like or directly competitive products, 267–8
 - horizontal coverage, 114–15
 - legal implications, 111–13
 - scope, 265–72
 - significance, 111–16
 - vertical coverage, 115–16
- investigations, 77, *see also* case law; period of investigation (POI)
 - competent authorities, 327–8
 - confidential information, 338–40, 367
 - consultations, 350–4, 367
 - domestic procedure, 325–40, 366–7
 - information gathering, 330–2
 - main users, 78–9
 - multilateral proceedings, 341–63, 367
 - practical aspects of requests for, 110
 - public notice, 329–30
 - reasoned and adequate findings, conclusions, explanations and analyses, 332
 - right of defence, 330–2
 - self-initiation, 195
 - WTO notification, 329–30, 342–9

- ITO, *see* International Trade Organization
- Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II* case, 125–6, 131–2, 133
- Korea
Korea – Alcoholic Beverages III case, 133
Korea – Dairy case, 155–6, 216, 217, 218, 300–1, 336
- lamb meat
US – Lamb case, 104, 105–6, 123, 154, 254, 337
- like or directly competitive products, 120–35, 185–7
 burden of establishing likeness or direct competitiveness, 134–5
 case law, 123–6
 consumers' tastes and habits as criterion for, 127–9
 criteria for determination of likeness, 125–30
 definition, 185–7
 direct competitiveness, 131–4
 discrepancy of scope with investigated product, 267–8
 end uses as criterion for, 129
 evaluation of likeness, 130–1
 like products, 123–31
 meaning of concepts, 120–1
 properties, quality, nature and physical characteristics as determination of likeness, 126–7
 relationship between like and directly competitive products, 120
 tariff classification as determination of likeness, 129–30
- line pipes
US – Line Pipe case, 106, 108, 172, 178, 279, 310
- manufacturing sectors
 safeguards imposed, 77–8
- market disruption, 52–4
- meaning, 53
- textiles and clothing, 53–4
- marketing policies, lack of
- non-attribution analysis, 261–2
- Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, 24
- Mexico
Mexico – Olive Oil case, 188–9
Mexico – Pipes and Tubes case, 103, 201–2
Mexico – Rice case, 104, 105, 203
- most-favoured-nation (MFN)
 application of safeguards, 274–6
 effect of GATT obligation, 161–2
 exceptions to non-discrimination, 276–7
 GATT obligation, 25–6, 50–1, 273–6
- multilateral trading system,
see also General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)
 establishment, 3
 meaning of safeguards, 1–2
- national treatment obligations
 GATT, 26
- new products
 safeguard issues, 269–70
- non-discrimination
 exceptions, 276–90
 GATT obligation, 25–6, 51
 requirement in application of safeguards, 272–6
- Norway – Textiles* case, 48–9
- notification
 burden, 367
 cessation of safeguard, 349
 compensation, 358
 consultation results and mid-term reviews, 349
 exclusion of imports from developing countries, 348–9
 GATT Article XIX safeguard measures, 36
 provisional safeguard measures, 347–8
 retaliation, 359–60

- notification (*cont.*)
 safeguard investigation, 329–30
 WTO, 329–30, 342–9
- olive oil
Mexico – Olive Oil case, 188–9
- orderly marketing arrangements
 (OMAs), 54–5, 313–15
- parts and components
 safeguard issues, 270–1
- peaches
Argentina – Peaches case, 154–5,
 175–6, 223
- period of investigation (POI)
 application of WTO anti-dumping
 recommendations, 108–10
 case law, 103–8
 comparisons of segments, 178
 critical for determination of right to
 apply safeguard, 101–10
 increase in imports to be assessed
 against the whole POI, 108
 long enough to suit the facts, 105–7
 meaning, 102
 most recent data available to be
 included, 107
 most recent past, 103–5
 practical aspects of requests for
 safeguards, 110
 segments should be free of
 manipulation or bias, 108
 should not be based on fragmented
 periods, 108
- political economy
 and safeguard mechanisms, 95–7
- price analysis
 increase in imports, 181–2
- producers
 all or major proportion, 193–5
 geographical location, 190–1
 identification of relevant, 188–93
 minimum production
 threshold, 189
 supranational level, 191–3
 unclear issues, 365
- product coverage
 safeguard measures during the
 GATT 1947 period, 38
- production level changes
 serious injury assessment, 210–12
- productivity
 non-attribution analysis, 255–6
 serious injury assessment, 212
- products at issue, identification of, 111,
see also investigated product;
 like or directly competitive
 products
 discrepancy of scope with safeguard
 scope, 268–72
 order of analysis, 135
 scope, 265–72
- profits and losses
 serious injury assessment, 214–18
- provisional safeguard measures,
 317–18, 319–23
 consultations, 354
 notification, 347–8
- purchaser consolidation
 non-attribution analysis, 259–60
- quotas
 compensation, 357
 safeguard measures in the form of,
 311–13
- raw material
 safeguard issues, 270–1
- regional imports
 exclusion from safeguards, 249–50,
 277–87
- remedies, *see* compensation; retaliation
- retaliation
 duration, 361
 GATT Article XIX, 32–3, 39–40, 41
 GATT Uruguay Round, 59
 increase in imports, 180–1
 notification, 359–60
 procedures, 358–63, 367
 selective, 361
- rice
Mexico – Rice case, 104, 105, 203
- safeguard clause, *see also* Agreement
 on Safeguards (WTO);
 safeguard measures; safeguard
 mechanism; unforeseen
 developments

- GATT Article XIX, 29–33
 GATT negotiations, 17–8, 21–22
 history of negotiations, 5–23
 ITO Draft Charter, 9–23
 non-discriminatory application in
 Havana Charter for ITO, 22–3
 requirements to be observed in
 imposition, 31
 Suggested Charter 1946 Article
 29, 7–16
 text of GATT Article XIX, 29–30
 United States, 18
 United States proposals 1945, 5–7
 safeguard disputes, *see case*
 law; dispute resolution;
 investigations
 safeguard investigations, *see case*
 law; investigations; period of
 investigation (POI)
 safeguard measures,
 see also Agreement on
 Safeguards (WTO); retaliation;
 safeguard clause; safeguard
 mechanism; unforeseen
 developments
 compensation as rationale for, 91–3
 compensation during the GATT
 1947 period, 38–40
 compensation negotiations GATT
 Uruguay Round, 59
 definition, 83
 GATT Uruguay Round and
 negotiations, 57–75
 grey-area measures, 36, 54–5,
 313–15
 multilateral surveillance, 59–60
 notification under GATT Article
 XIX, 36
 provisional, 317–18, 319–23
 right to apply, 101–10
 selective application under
 GATT, 50–2
 time limits, 31, 59, 73, 315–16
 transparency, 32, 58
 unforeseen developments, 29–30
 users during the GATT 1947
 period, 37–8
 users WTO years 1995–2013, 76–9
- WTO Agreement on Safeguards
 negotiating history, 57–76
 safeguard mechanism,
 see also Agreement on
 Safeguards (WTO); General
 Agreement on Tariffs and
 Trade (GATT); retaliation;
 safeguard clause; safeguard
 measures; unforeseen
 developments
 efficiency counter-argument, 89–91
 expectation-protecting role as
 rationale for, 84–6
 flexibility as rationale for, 83–9
 history of negotiations, 75–6
 industrial adjustment as rationale
 for, 93–5
 and political economy, 95–7
 practical problems, 88
rebus sic stantibus approach,
 86–7, 137
 usefulness, 88–9
 safeguards, *see also* Agreement
 on Safeguards (WTO);
 retaliation; safeguard clause;
 safeguard measures; safeguard
 mechanism
 choice of appropriate trade policy
 instrument, 307–15
 dispute resolution during the GATT
 1947 period, 40–50
 extent, 291–301, 366
 geographical scope, 272–90
 nature of, 302–7
 necessity, 291–2
 product scope, 265–72
 progressive liberalization over time,
 316–18
 prospective character, 294
 renewal, 318–19
 scope, 265–90, 366
 terminology, 1
 safeguards, right to apply, 31, 99–101,
 364–6, *see also* increase in
 imports; period of investigation
 (POI); products at issue;
 serious injury; unforeseen
 developments

- sales changes
 - serious injury assessment, 208–10
- serious injury, 84
 - Agreement on Safeguards (WTO), 197–225
 - analysis of causal link with increase in imports, 226–40
 - capacity utilization changes, 213–14
 - data collection, 201–3
 - demand-related changes, 250–4
 - employment level changes, 218–19
 - evaluation, 198–220
 - extent of safeguard, 291–301, 366
 - factors having a bearing on the state of the industry, 199–201
 - GATT Article XIX, 29–30
 - GATT Uruguay Round, 58–9
 - meaning, 197–8
 - non-attribution analysis, 240–63
 - objective factors, 201
 - overall impairment requisite, 219–20
 - production level changes, 210–12
 - productivity level changes, 212
 - profit-and-loss analysis, 214–18
 - quantitative measurement, 201
 - rate and amount of the increase in imports in absolute and relative terms, 207
 - relationship with threat of serious injury, 224–5
 - requirements for determination of, 183
 - sales changes, 208–10
 - share of the domestic market taken by increased imports, 207–8
 - specific injury indicators, 203–14
 - threat of, 220–5
- steel industry
 - Mexico – Pipes and Tubes* case, 103, 201–2
 - US – Hot-Rolled Steel* case, 202–3
 - US – Steel Safeguards* case, 151–2, 153, 230, 235, 238–9, 244–5, 251–2, 256–9, 280, 281–2, 337
- structural adjustment, 59
- subsidy payments, cessation of non-attribution analysis, 261
- surveillance
 - Uruguay Round negotiations, 70
 - Switzerland – Table Grapes* case, 50
- tariff classification
 - as determination of likeness, 129–30
- tariff concessions
 - effect of GATT Article XIX obligations incurred, 157–62
- tariff preferences
 - GATT Article XIX, 31–2
- tariff rate quotas (TRQs), 309–13
- compensation, 357
- technology developments
 - non-attribution analysis, 255
- textiles
 - market disruption, 53–4
 - Norway – Textiles* case, 48–9
- threat of serious injury, 220–5
 - evidentiary requirements, 220–1
 - meaning, 220
 - methods for determining, 222–4
 - relationship with serious injury, 224–5
- time limits
 - safeguards, 31, 59, 73, 315–16
- trade barriers
 - GATT obligation to reduce, 26–7
- trade compensation, 355–8
- trade-restrictive practices, 254–5
- transparency
 - GATT Article XIX, 32
 - GATT Uruguay Round, 58
- Turkey
 - user of safeguard measures, 78, 79
- UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC)
 - Suggested Charter for an International Trade Organization of the UN 1946, 7–9
- unforeseen developments, 84
 - case law discussions, 152–7
 - coexistence of GATT Article XIX with the Agreement on Safeguards, 136–9

- function, 146–7
- GATT Article XIX, 29–30, 136–43
- GATT obligations as circumstances for imposition of safeguard, 140
- logical connection issue, 140–2
- meaning, 143–6
- operative aspects, 147–52
- reasoned and adequate explanations
 - a GATT requirement, 142–3
- rebus sic stantibus* approach, 87, 137, 144
- unclear issues, 365
- United States
 - Reciprocal Trade Agreements, 6–7
 - safeguard clause, 18
 - safeguard clause proposals
 - 1945, 5–7
 - US – Dried Figs* case, 47
 - US – Fur Felt Hats* case, 42–7, 144, 152–3
 - US – Hot-Rolled Steel* case, 202–3
 - US – Lamb* case, 104, 105–6, 123, 154, 254, 337
 - US – Line Pipe* case, 106, 108, 172, 178, 279, 310
 - US – Spring Clothespins* case, 48
 - US – Steel Safeguards* case, 151–2, 153, 230, 235, 238–9, 244–5, 251–2, 256–9, 280, 281–2, 337
 - US – Wheat Gluten* case, 156, 177, 200, 246, 256, 278, 337
 - user of safeguard measures during the GATT times, 37
 - user of safeguards during the WTO period, 78
- voluntary export restraints (VERs), 54–5, 313–15
- wheat gluten
 - US – Wheat Gluten* case, 156, 177, 200, 246, 256, 278, 337
- World Bank, 5
- World Trade Organization (WTO),
 - see also* Agreement on Safeguards (WTO)
 - list of dispute settlement reports, xxi–xxvi
 - Marrakesh Agreement Establishing, 24
 - notificaton, 329–30, 342–9