

INDEX

- Aalborg Portland A/S et al. v. Commission*, 241
- ACCC. *See* Australian Competition and Consumer Commission
- access obligations, 231–2
- access requirements, essential facilities doctrine and, 134
- accountability, 301
- democratic, 318–19, 330–2
- in negotiated settlements, 117
- administrative guidance, 80–1, 88
- conclusion of, 91
- ex ante* enforcement and, 81–2
- administrative law, 263
- administrative-technocratic enforcement, 79–81
- adverse effect on competition (AEC), 287
- AER. *See* Australian Energy Regulator
- Aéroports de Paris v. Commission*, EU, 73–4
- air transport, 72–4
- airline industry, 2, 149
- airport charges, 74–5
- Airports Act 1986, 154
- allocative inefficiency, 120
- altruism, 11, 175
- American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP), 106–7
- American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T), 61–2, 332
- antitrust action against, 62–3
- break-up of, 63–4
- consent decrees and, 107
- deregulation of, 63, 157
- innovation and, 64
- Kingsbury Commitment and, 62
- anticompetitive conduct, 19, 237–8
- categories of, 32
- coordinated, 20
- private, 237–8, 252
- proscription of, 51
- unilateral, 20
- in *Verizon Communications, Inc. v. Law Office of Curtis V. Trinko*, 64
- anti-globalisation protests, 2
- antitrust
- analysis, of regulated markets, 230–1
- approach to excessive prices, 123–6
- BR and, 169
- consent decrees and, 100–1
- deregulation and, 158
- disapplication of, 206–7
- enforcement, 93–4, 184–5
- MIRs distinguished from, 288
- movement against, 211
- by regulators, 266–72
- expanded, 305
- false negatives and, 233
- immunity, 156, 206
- liability, 232–3
- non-economic values and, 190–1
- preclusion approach, 135
- Reagan and, 159
- regulation differentiated from, 188–9
- regulation impacted on, 209
- regulation in lieu of, 179–85
- regulatory competition law and, 70
- remedies, 247–8
- rules, 296–7

- sector-specific regulation and, 206
 sovereignty and, 202
 Thatcher and, 159–60
 US, 90, 199–212
- The Antitrust Paradox* (Bork), 28
- ASCAP. *See* American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers
- AstraZeneca AB and AstraZeneca plc. v. Commission*, 258–9
- AT&T. *See* American Telephone and Telegraph Company
- Australia, 272–5
- Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC), 66, 272–5, 300
- Australian Energy Regulator (AER), 274
- autonomy, 11, 38, 230
- BAA. *See* British Airports Authority
- barriers to entry
 high non-transitory, 127
 monopolies and, 17
- basal competition principles, 302–3
- behaviour. *See also* anticompetitive conduct
 commercial, 55–6
 modification, 55–6
 private, 237–8
 regulation impacted on, 229–32
- behavioural economics, 6, 30
- Bell Atlantic Corp v. Twombly*, 245
- Bell Company, 61–2
- Bell operating companies (BOCs), 63–5
- ‘best’ solutions, 84–5
- better regulation (BR), 161–73
- BMI. *See* Broadcast Music, Inc.
- BOCs. *See* Bell operating companies
- Bork, Robert, 28
- BR. *See* better regulation
- British Airports Authority (BAA), 160, 290–3
- Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI), 106–7
- Bundesnetzagentur, 76
- bureaucratic enforcement, 81
- CAA. *See* Civil Aviation Authority
- California Dental Association v. FTC*, 306–7
- California energy crisis of 2000, 155
- California Retail Liquor Dealers Assn. v. Midcal Aluminum, Inc., et al.*, 200
- Canadian Competition Bureau, 278
- capitalism, 140
- capture, 331–2
 competition enforcement and, 332
 regulatory, 256–8
 theory, 145
- cartels, 48
- CAT. *See* Competition Appeal Tribunal
- CBA. *See* cost-benefit analysis
- CC. *See* Competition Commission
- CCA. *See* Competition and Consumer Act 2010
- cease and desist orders, 98
- central planning, 54
- Charter of Fundamental Rights, 236
- Chicago School, 30
 ascendancy of, 153
 false positives and, 232
 minimal State intervention and, 57
 outcome-focused competition and, 27
 public choice and, 148
 State intervention and, 158
- Civil Aviation Act 2012, 292–3
- Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), 290
- civil enforcement, 98
- civil enforcement, by DOJ, 98
- CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
- Clayton Act, 20, 297–8
- Clinton, Bill, 164
- cluster concept, 40
- CMA. *See* Competition and Markets Authority
- collectivism, 34
- collusion, 19
- Commerce Act 1986, of New Zealand, 77
- commercial uncertainty, 238–9
- Commission v. Alrosa Company Ltd.*, 108

- Commission v. Ladbroke Racing Ltd*, 216–17
- commitment decisions, 97–8, 108–16
- common law, 19
- Communications Act of 1934, 62
- community values, 175
- competition
- abuse, unrelated, 252–4
 - authorities, 4, 127–9
 - concretising principles of, 296–304
 - delineation between economic regulation and, 58–9
 - effective, 18
 - enforcement, 68, 72
 - broken regulation and, 257–8
 - capture and, 332
 - complementary, 320
 - decentralisation of, 282
 - against excessive prices, 120–1
 - false positives in, 232–4
 - inefficient outcomes from, 232–4
 - marginal benefit of, 198
 - MIRs and, 289
 - negotiated settlements and, 94
 - private, 226–7
 - recurrent, 188
 - in regulated markets, 196–7
 - risks of, 232–4
 - State action defence and, 220
 - unchallenged, 239–40
 - ex ante* regulation and, 214
 - excessive, 41–2
 - forcing, 50
 - inconvenience of, 145
 - infringements, 23–4
 - mergers and, 19
 - ordered, 154
 - outcome-focused, 27
 - perfect, 14
 - precedence of, 224
 - process-focused, 27–9
 - protection of, 29
 - in regulated markets, 191–3
 - regulation compared to, 140
 - regulation for, 156–7, 330
 - regulatory, 70–1
 - scrutiny, 191
 - social organisation and, 190
 - systems of, 18–26
 - theories, 14–18
 - workable, 18
- Competition Act 1980, 159
- Competition Act 1998, 267–9, 286
- Competition and Consumer Act 2010 (CCA), 273, 298–300
- Competition and Markets Authority (CMA), 160, 267–8, 276, 285–90
- Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT), 287
- Competition Commission (CC), 286, 290–3
- competition law, 1. *See also* regulatory competition law
- ‘best’ solutions and, 84–5
 - BR and, 168–73
 - central role of concept of, 319–27
 - consequences of violating, 47
 - for controlling market power, 36
 - deregulation and, 155–61, 325–6
 - dynamic nature of, 46–7
 - economic regulation absent, 141–3
 - economic regulation and, 3
 - boundaries between, 326–7
 - comparison of, 41–8
 - complementary relationship between, 54–60, 193
 - conflict between, 238–9
 - distinctions between, 43
 - as divergent market supervision mechanisms, 48
 - exclusionary relationship between, 50–2, 193
 - functional overlaps between, 4
 - institutional concurrency between, 66
 - interface between, 48–9, 60–1, 316
 - recurring issues between, 327–32
 - tipping point between, 53
 - economics-based objectives of, 52–3
 - efficiency and, 44–5
 - enforcement, 26
 - administrative-technocratic, 79–81
 - conventional, 79
 - EU, 24–5, 86–7

- ex ante*, 81–2
- ex post*, 71
- private, 26
- temporal nature of, 43–4
 - US, 24, 86–7
- in EU, 324–5
- excessive prices under, 120–9
- general applicability of, 43, 52
- goals of, 26–33
- hybridisation and, 294–314
- institutional gateways to, 279–80
- instrumental use of, 92–3, 118, 222–3
- judicial procedures in, 48
- legal obligations of, 45–6
- limitations of, 42–3
- litigation and, 91
- mala in se* offences and, 47
- margin squeeze and, 192
- market intervention and, 48
- in market supervision, 40
- moral element of, 48
- objective of, 18
- parameters of, 26–7
- politicisation of, 95–6
- politics of, 56
- post-Chicago approach to, 29
- pre-eminence of, 227–8
- prescriptive doctrines of, 82–3
- private wrong and, 321
- progressive development of, 136–7
- proscription of anticompetitive conduct by, 51
- public wrong and, 320–1
- purpose of, 3, 14
 - as quasi-regulation, 4–5, 71–2
 - in regulated markets, 188–91
 - as regulation, 137–8
 - regulatory gaming and, 260–1
 - regulatory remedies and, 83–4
 - as regulatory tool, 321–2
 - risks of, 190
 - self-regulation and, 78
 - social goals of, 32
 - social regulation and, 35
 - State action defence and, 217–18
 - strategic use of, 114–16, 239–40
 - structural inefficiency and, 175–6
 - structure of, 18–26
 - as substitutionary regulation, 71–8
- competitive paradigm, 50, 52
- concertation, 32
- concurrency, 5, 187
 - analytical concerns, 228–36
 - argument against, 196
 - argument for, 190–1
 - conceptualising, 188–98
 - criteria for application of, 193–8
 - decision-making and, 242–3
 - differing approaches to, 227–8
 - economic concerns, 228–36
 - in EU, 194–5, 212–27
 - EU constitutional law and, 214–15
 - EU State action defence and 215–20
 - holistic approach to, 209–12
 - immunities and, 230
 - institutional concerns, 243–51
 - liberalisation and, 189
 - parameters of, 228–51
 - policy implications of, 251–61
 - in practice, 198–228
 - presumption of, 203–5
 - private enforcement and, 243–6
 - public enforcement and, 243–4
 - remedies, 246–51
 - rule of law concerns with, 236–43
 - in telecommunications, 194–5
 - in UK, 267
- Concurrency Working Party, 268
- conflict resolution, 275–9
- consent decrees, 117
 - antitrust and, 100–1
 - DOJ and, 98–9, 106–7
 - hybrid nature of, 103–4
 - liability and, 102–3
 - Microsoft*, 118
 - nature of, 102–7
 - novelty and, 104
 - quasi-regulatory role of, 107
 - settlement of, 99–100
 - in US, 98–102
 - valuation of, 106–7
- constitutional law, EU, 214–15
- consumer surplus, 15
- consumer welfare, 28, 313

- consumers
 allocative inefficiency and, 120
 competition infringements and, 23–4
 exploitation, 38–9
 corruption, 331–2
 cost-benefit analysis (CBA), 162–5, 167
 Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), 4, 236–7
 creative destruction, 12–13
Credit Suisse Securities (USA), LLC, et al. v. Billing et al, 205–9, 232–3, 246–7
 criminal prosecution, 250–1
 cultural norms, 32
- deadweight loss, 15
 decision-making, 48
 administrative, 79–80
 CBA and, 163
 concurrency and, 242–3
 hybridisation and, 301–2
 deep equality, 11
 demand, 7
 Department of Justice (DOJ)
 antitrust action against AT&T by, 62–3
 Antitrust Division of, 24
 civil enforcement by, 98
 consent decrees and, 98–9, 106–7
 deregulation, 50, 140, 146–61
 of airline industry, 2
 antitrust and, 158
 of AT&T, 63, 157
 BR and, 167–8
 competition law and, 155–61, 325–6
 failures, 154–5
 by FCC, 63
 justification for, 148
 partial, 149
 of transport sector, 152
 in UK, 151–5
 in US, 151–5
 design inefficiency, 256–8
Deutsche Telekom AG v. Commission, 4, 192–3, 213, 217–19, 223, 225–6, 241, 254–5
 DG Competition, 281
 differential handicapping, 222
 digital economy markets, 307–8
 Directive 2002/21/EC, 171
 distribution system operator (DSO), 112
 distributional justice, 44–5, 174–5
 division of labour, 4, 66–7, 264–7, 275–9
 DOJ. *See* Department of Justice
 double jeopardy, 240–2
 DSO. *See* distribution system operator
 duty of loyalty, 23
- EA. *See* Enterprise Act 2002
 ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights
 economic freedom, 59
 economic regulation, 1. *See also* regulation
 absence of, 71
 absent competition law, 141–3
 categories of market failures for, 41–2
 as cluster concept, 40
 competition law and, 3
 boundaries between, 326–7
 comparison of, 41–8
 complementary relationship
 between, 54–60, 193
 conflict between, 238–9
 distinctions between, 43
 as divergent market supervision mechanisms, 48
 exclusionary relationship between, 50–2, 193
 functional overlaps between, 4
 institutional concurrency between, 66
 interface between, 48–9, 60–1, 316
 concept of, 33–41
 critiques of, 143–6
 decision-making in, 48
 definition of, 34
 efficiency and, 44–5
 enforcement of, temporal nature of, 43–4
 evolutionary nature of, 58
 excessive market power and, 35–6
 externalities and, 42
 failures of, 147
 hybridisation and, 294–314
 institutional gateways to, 279–80
 interest theory of, 36–7

- legal obligations of, 45–6
- limitations of, 42
- mala prohibita* offences and, 47
- market defects and, 50
- market intervention and, 48
- market mechanism and, 55
- in market supervision, 40
- non-commodity values in, 38–9
- for non-economic goals, 12
- normative justificatory viewpoint of, 36–8
- objections to, 147
- paradoxes of, 147–8
- politics of, 56
- power-seeking motive for, 52
- of public utilities, 35
- purpose of, 3
- rationales for, 37
- redistribution and, 53
- requirements of, 5
- sector-specific, 58
- sovereignty and, 202
- specialised applicability of, 43
- specificity of, 52
- static nature of, 46–7
- strategies, 39–40
- structure of, 40
- as substitute, 49–53
- of telecommunications, 60–5
- types of, 35
- working outside market system, 49
- economics-based objectives, 52–3
- efficiency, 7–8, 44–5, 318–19
 - adoption of, 12
 - allocative, 8, 93
 - competition law and, 44–5
 - criticisms of, 11–12
 - dynamic, 8
 - economic regulation and, 44–5
 - equity and, 10
 - innovation and, 12–13
 - justice and, 11
 - as normative activity, 10–11
 - outcome-focused competition and, 27
 - Pareto, 7
 - productive, 8
 - requirements for, 8–9
 - role of, 329–30
 - securing, 30
 - social benefit of, 329–30
 - static, 8
 - transaction cost, 146
 - utility and, 10
 - as value, 235
- Electricity Act 1989, 154
- electricity market liberalisation, 76–7
- Emissions Trading System Directive, 96
- energy sector
 - of Australia, 274–5
 - of EU, 112–16
- Energy Sector Inquiry in 2007, 112–13, 284
- enforcement procedures, 80–1
- Enterprise Act 2002 (EA), 286
- Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013 (ERRA), 270–1, 286
- equity, 7, 10
- ERRA. *See* Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013
- error costs, 93–5
- essential facilities doctrine, 83, 119–36, 298–300
- EU. *See* European Union
- European Commission
 - enforcement by, 236–7
 - sector inquiries and, 281–2
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 236
- European Union (EU)
 - air transport in, 72–4
 - airport charges in, 74–5
 - antitrust approach to excessive prices in, 123–6
 - BR in, 165–7
 - commitment decisions in, 108–16
 - competition enforcement in, 68, 226–7
 - decentralisation of, 282
 - competition law, 324–5
 - enforcement in, 24–5, 86–7
 - goals in, 31–2
 - concurrency in, 194–5, 212–27
 - conflict resolution in, 276–7
 - constitutional law, 214–15
 - Emissions Trading System Directive, 96

- European Union (EU) (cont.)
 energy sector, 112–16
 First Electricity Directive, 76
 liberalisation in, 221–2, 224–5
 national regulation in, 212–13
 payment card systems in, 75
 quasi-competition provisions in, 23
 remedies in, 246–7
 Roaming Regulation in, 179–85
 rule of law in, 236–7
 sector inquiries, 280–5
 separation of powers in, 90
 State action defence of, 215–20
 system of competition, 18–26
 telecommunications regulation in, 169–73
- ex ante* enforcement, 44, 81–2
ex post enforcement, 44, 71
 excessive prices, 119–36
 antitrust approach to, 123–6
 competition authorities and, 127–9
 competition enforcement against, 120–1
 under competition law, 120–9
 justiciability of rules against, 121
 monopolies and, 123–4
 prohibition on, 83
 regulation of, 123
 Roaming Regulation and, 180
- exclusionary abuses, 127–8
 Executive Order 12291, 164
 Executive Order 12866, 164
 exemptions, 141–3
 exploitative abuses, 120, 127–8
 externalities, 42
- false negatives, 13, 233
 false positives, 13, 93–4
 Chicago School and, 232
 in competition enforcement, 232–4
- Federal Communications Commission (FCC), 62–3, 267
 Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 24, 66
 cease and desist orders of, 98
 powers of, 305–6
 Federal Trade Commission Act (FTCA), 24, 304–14
- federalism, 200
 First Electricity Directive, 76
 flexibility, 312
Franz Fischer v. Austria, 242
 free markets
 myth of, 2–3
 neo-classical economic theory and, 6
 State intervention in, 54
 Freiburg School, 21
 FTC. *See* Federal Trade Commission
 FTCA. *See* Federal Trade Commission Act
 functional substitutability, 266
- Game Theory, 30
 gap filling mechanisms, 311–12
 Gas Act 1986, 154
 general welfare, individual rights *versus*, 328–9
 Global Financial Crisis (GFC), 2, 6, 140, 155
 globalisation, 2
Gordon v. New York Stock Exchange, Inc., et al., 204–5
 Great Depression, 151
 greater good, 11
 ground-handling services, 72–4
- harmonisation, 169–70
 Hart-Scott-Rodino Act, 20
 Harvard School, 30
 Hilmer Report, 272–3, 299–300
 Hovenkamp, Herbert, 16
 human inventiveness, 312
 human rights, 150, 175. *See also* individual rights
 hybridisation, 294–314, 326
 conception of, 294–6
 decision-making and, 301–2
 risks of, 333
- immunities, 141–3. *See also* implied immunity doctrine
 antitrust, 156, 206
 concurrency and, 230
 legitimate expectation of, 238–9
 impact assessment, 164
 implied immunity doctrine, 206–8

- individual rights, 318–19. *See also*
 human rights
 general welfare *versus*, 328–9
- individualism, 54
- inefficiency
 allocative, 120
 in competition enforcement, 232–4
 design, 256–8
 structural, 173–85
- inequality, rising, 2
- information
 inadequate, 41–2
 rational actors and, 8
- infrastructure
 access to, 298–9
 regulation, 296–304
- innocence, presumption of, 238
- innovation, 8
 AT&T and, 64
 efficiency and, 12–13
 monopolies and, 16
- institutions, 263
- instrumentalisation, 92–3
- interest theory, 36–7, 143
- Interstate Commerce Act of 1887, 19
- interventionist approach, 54
- invisible hand, 1, 3, 6
- judicial procedures, 48
- jurisdictional variations, in regulatory
 competition law, 86–7
- justice, 11
- justiciability, of rules against excessive
 prices, 121
- Kahn, Alfred, 157
- Kingsbury Commitment, 62
- laddering, 207
- laissez-faire* approach, 54–5
- legal obligations, 45–6
- legitimacy, 91–3, 237
- legitimate expectations, 238–9
- lex specialis derogat legi generali*, 193–4
- liability
 antitrust, 232–3
 apportioning, 248–9
 consent decrees and, 102–3
- mitigation discounting and, 251
- paradigms of, 320
- personal misconduct and, 323
- liberalisation, 1, 92, 148–9
 BR and, 161–2
 competition enforcement false
 positives and, 232
 competition law to assist, 221–2
 concurrency and, 189
 diminution in effectiveness of
 regulation with, 234
 electricity market, 76–7
 in EU, 221–2, 224–5
 EU competition law and, 324–5
 of EU energy sector, 112–13
 harmonisation and, 169–70
 of monopolies, 4, 150
 outcomes, 160–1
 regulation for competition and,
 156–7, 330
 regulation through, 140–1
- libertarianism, Ordoliberalism
 compared to, 59–60
- litigation, 91
- Littlechild Report, 268
- lobbying, 257
- mala in se* offences, 47
- mala prohibita* offences, 47
- mandatory access requirements, 135
- mandatory sharing
 essential facilities doctrine and, 133–4
 regulation and, 231
 regulatory gaming and, 259–60
- Mandelkern Report, 166
- margin squeeze, 191–3
 regulation of, 210
 State action defence and, 218–19
- market absences, 6
- market defects, 36, 50
- market failures, 8–9
 categories of, for economic
 regulation, 41–2
 competition enforcement and, 72
 competition law as regulatory tool
 for, 321–2
 market intervention and, 6
 mechanisms to address, 33–41

- market failures (cont.)
 - in regulated markets, 195–6
 - regulatory policies and, 9–10
 - remedies to, 41
 - State intervention in, 9–10
- market fundamentalism, 2
- market governance, 141
- market intervention, 48
 - degrees of, 56–7
 - market failures and, 6
 - strengths of, 55
- market investigation references (MIRs), 269–70, 285–93
- market mechanisms, 55
- market power
 - controlling, 36
 - excessive, 35–6
 - measures, 231
- market regulation, 1
- market structure, 7
- market studies, 279–80, 293–4
- market supervision, 40
 - duplication of functions, 234–6
 - mechanisms, 48, 66
- market system, 7, 49
- market testing, 109, 118
- market theory, 7
- market-centred discourse, 151–2
- market-clearing price, 7
- marketisation, of public service
 - provision, 2
- markets
 - cross-border, 181
 - monopoly, 15
 - operation of, 3
 - regulation impacted on, 229–32
 - State intervention in, 34
 - unbounded, 5
- MasterCard, 75
- MCI v. AT&T*, 131
- Merger Control Regulation, 23
- mergers, 32–3
 - of BOCs, 64–5
 - Clayton Act and, 20
 - competition and, 19
- Microsoft* consent decree, 118
- MIRs. *See* market investigation references
- mitigation discounting, 250–1
- monopolies
 - barriers to entry and, 17
 - control of, 41–2
 - effects of, 15
 - excessive prices and, 123–4
 - innovation and, 16
 - liberalisation of, 4, 150
 - markets, 15
 - natural, 16–17, 175–7
 - self-destructive nature of, 17
 - telephony, 61–2
 - theories, 14–18
 - unilateral conduct and, 230–1
- monopolistic competition. *See* oligopolies
- monopsonies, 113–14
- moral hazard, 9
- National Competition Council (NCC), 300
- National Health Service, 2
- national regulatory authorities (NRAs), 170–1
- NCC. *See* National Competition Council
- ne bis in idem*. *See* double jeopardy
- negotiated settlements, 80, 88
 - accountability in, 117
 - competition enforcement and, 94
 - conclusion of, 91
 - ex ante* enforcement and, 81–2
 - procedural regulatory competition
 - law and, 97–119
 - public consultation in, 117–18
 - as regulation, 116–19
- neo-classical economic theory, 6, 173
- neoliberalism, 150–1
- New Labour, 165
- New Zealand, 77
- Noerr-Pennington* doctrine, 200
- non-commodity values, 38–9
- non-economic values, 173–85
 - antitrust and, 190–1
 - economic regulation for, 12
 - prioritisation of, 235
 - regulation in pursuit of, 254–6
 - role of efficiency and, 329–30
 - non-*per se* violations, 20

- normative justificatory viewpoint, of
economic regulation, 36–8
- novelty, 102–7
- NRAs. *See* national regulatory
authorities
- obsolescence, built-in, 172
- Occupy movement, 2
- OECD. *See* Organisation for Economic
Co-operation and Development
- Office of Fair Trading (OFT), 66, 269,
290
- Office of Management and Budget
(OMB), 164–5
- OFT. *See* Office of Fair Trading
- oligopolies, 17–18, 175–8
- OMB. *See* Office of Management and
Budget
- Ordoliberalism, 29–31, 59–60
- Organisation for Economic
Co-operation and Development
(OECD), 280
- Oscar Bronner GmbH v. Mediaprint
Zeitungs*, 132, 223
- Pacific Bell Telephone Co., d/b/a AT&T
California et al. v. linkLine
Communications, Inc., et al.*,
192, 209
- Pareto efficiency, 7
- Parker, Director of Agriculture, et al. v.
Brown*, 199
- patent ambush, 110
- paternalism, 175
- payment card systems, 75
- per se* violations, 20
- personal misconduct, 323
- Pharmaceutical Inquiry, 285
- Pigou, Arthur, 144–5
- Pigovian welfare theory, 144–5
- Pilbara Infrastructure*, 302
- planning, 54
- policy articulation, 162
- policy choices, 5
- policy-making, 112–16
- political ideology, 318
- political interference, democratic
accountability *versus*, 330–2
- political mobilisation, 139–40
- political responsibility, 11
- politicisation
of competition law, 95–6
of sector inquiries, 283–4
- politics, 56
- Posner-Dworkin-Calabrese debate, 11
- post-Chicago approach, 29–30
- power-seeking motive, 52
- preclusion, 205–9
- pre-emption, 199, 202–3
- price incentives, 9
- price regulators, 128
- price squeezes, 210
- price-control laws, 298
- pricing, 7. *See also* excessive prices
competitive, 14–15
marginal cost, 14–15
market-clearing, 7
- primary jurisdiction, 277
- private enforcement, 243–6
public enforcement compared to,
323
with public leniency programmes,
322–3
scepticism of, 244–5
- private interest, 37
- private power, control of, 57
- private wrong, 321
- privatisation, 1, 146–61
of National Health Service, 2
of public utilities, 154
railway, 155
of telecommunications, in Australia,
273–4
in UK, 152
- procedural economy, 108
- Productivity Commission, 303
- property rights, essential facilities
doctrine and, 133
- Prosser, Tony, 12
- public choice, 173
Chicago School and, 148
movement, 143–6
in practice, 146–61
theories, 145
- public consultation, in negotiated
settlements, 117–18

- public enforcement
 - agencies
 - allocation of powers between, 264–7
 - conflict resolution between, 275–9
 - coordination between, 277–8
 - division of labour between, 66–7, 264–5
 - concurrency and, 243–4
 - jurisdiction of, 314
 - private enforcement compared to, 323
 - quasi-regulation compared to, 88
- public goods, 8–9
- public interest, 37, 143–4
 - precedence of competition over, 224
 - regulation, 175
- public leniency programmes, 322–3
- public ownership, 149
- public service provision, 2, 149
- public utilities
 - economic regulation of, 35
 - non-commodity values and, 38–9
 - privatisation of, 154
 - regulation, 130–1
- public wrong, 320–1
- punitive damages, 248

- quasi-competition provisions, in EU, 23
- quasi-regulation
 - competition law as, 4–5, 71–2
 - consent decrees as, 107
 - essential facilities doctrine and, 129–30
 - public enforcement compared to, 88

- railway privatisation, 155
- rational actors, 8
- Reagan, Ronald, 150–2, 159
- redistribution, 44–5
 - economic regulation and, 53
 - wealth, 28
- redundancy, 333
- refusal to deal theory, 130
- regulated markets
 - antitrust analysis of, 230–1
 - antitrust remedies in, 247–8
 - competition enforcement in, 196–7
 - competition in, 191–3
 - market failures in, 195–6
 - scrutiny of, 215
 - unrelated competition abuse in, 252–4
- regulation. *See also* better regulation; deregulation; economic regulation; re-regulation; self-regulation
 - accommodating, 102–7
 - antitrust differentiated from, 188–9
 - antitrust impacted by, 209
 - asymmetric, 222
 - behaviour impacted by, 229–32
 - broken, 256–8
 - for competition, 156–7, 330
 - competition authorities and, 127–9
 - competition compared to, 140
 - competition law as, 137–8
 - diminution in effectiveness of, 234
 - ex ante*, 212, 214
 - of excessive prices, 123
 - federal, 203–12
 - harm from, 139
 - inefficient, 255
 - inescapability of, 185–6
 - infrastructure, 296–304
 - through liberalisation, 140–1
 - in lieu of antitrust, 179–85
 - mandatory sharing and, 231
 - of margin squeeze, 210
 - markets impacted by, 229–32
 - measures of, 147
 - national, 212–13
 - of natural monopolies, 177
 - negotiated settlements as, 116–19
 - of oligopolies, 177–8
 - pervasiveness of, 197
 - political mobilisation and, 139–40
 - pre-eminence of competition law over, 227–8
 - public interest, 175
 - public utilities, 130–1
 - in pursuit of non-economic goals, 254–6
 - reform, 161–8, 186
 - restrictive impact of, 223
 - sector-specific
 - antitrust and, 206
 - market governance through, 141
 - social, 35, 151

- State, 199–203
 - static nature of, 94–5
 - substantive core of, 173–85
 - substitutionary, 71–8
 - telecommunications, 60–5, 169–73, 179–85
- Regulation 1/2003, 108–9, 114–16
- regulators
 - antitrust enforcement by, 266–72
 - division of labour and, 4
 - intent of, 194–5
- regulatory competition, 70–1
- regulatory competition law, 69–71
 - assessing, 96–7
 - competition law distinguished from, 79–87
 - doctrinal, 78–87, 119–36
 - effectiveness justification for, 88–9
 - jurisdictional variations, 86–7
 - legitimacy of, 91–3
 - pragmatic justification for, 87–8
 - procedural, 97–119
 - pros and cons of, 87–97
 - rule of law and, 91–3
 - strategic use of, 137
- regulatory gaming, 258–61
- regulatory goals, 319
- regulatory holiday, 254
- regulatory policies
 - market failures and, 9–10
 - socially beneficial, 5
- remedies, 246–51
 - antitrust, 247–8
 - duplicative, 246
 - in EU, 246–7
 - to market failures, 41
 - regulatory, 83–4
 - in US, 246–7
- rent control, 41–2
- rent-seeking, 16, 145
- re-regulation, 140
- resource allocation, 6–7
- rights of defence, 283
- Roaming Regulation, 179–85
- Robinson-Patman Act, 30, 297–8
- rule of law, 91–3
 - compliance, 328–9
 - conception of, 236
 - concurrency and, 236–43
 - in EU, 236–7
- Savings & Loan crises, 155
- scale economies, 17
- SEC. *See* Securities and Exchange Commission
 - second best solutions, 13
- sector inquiries, 280–5
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), 204–5
- self-interest, 145
- self-regulation, 76–8
- separation of powers, 89–90, 255
- Sherman Act, 19–21, 306–7
 - pre-emption and, 199
 - purpose of, 30
 - state action and, 199–200
- significant market power (SMP), 171
- Silver, d/b/a Municipal Securities Co. et al. v. New York Stock Exchange*, 204
- single market integration, 32
- Smith, Adam, 1
- SMP. *See* significant market power
- social democracy, 152–3
- social organisation, 190
- social solidarity, 150
- social values, 38
- social welfare, 144–5, 174
- SOs. *See* statements of objections
- sovereignty, 199–203, 227
- spill-overs, compensation for, 41–2
- spontaneous forces, 57
- standard setting, 259
- State
 - coercive power of, 59
 - regulation, 199–203
 - role of, 50–1
- state action, 199–203
- State action defence, in EU, 215–20
- State intervention, 34
 - Chicago School and, 158
 - in free market, 54
 - liberal, 57
 - in market failures, 9–10
 - in markets, 34
 - minimal, 57

- statements of objections (SOs), 180
 strategic underinvestment, 114, 223
 structural inefficiency, 173–85
 super-regulators, 272–5
 supply, 7
- tariff rebalancing, 220, 225–6
 taxation, 9, 174
 telecommunications
 concurrency in, 194–5
 economic regulation, 60–5
 margin squeeze and, 192–3
 privatisation, in Australia, 273–4
 regulation, 60–5, 169–73, 179–85
 regulatory gaming and, 261
 tariff rebalancing in, 220, 225–6
 Telecommunications Act of 1996, 64–5,
 154, 205, 245
Telefónica, SA and Telefónica de España, SA v. European Commission, 171–2, 219
Telekomunikacja Polska, 219–20,
 223–4, 231–2, 241–2
 telephony, 61–2
 Telstra, 273–4
 TEU. *See* Treaty on European Union
 TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of
 the European Union
 Thatcher, Margaret, 150–3, 159–60
 Thatcherism, 153
Town of Concord, Massachusetts v. Boston Edison Co., 209–12,
 229–30
 Trade Practices Amendment Act 1997,
 273–4
 transaction cost efficiency, 146
 transmission system operator (TSO),
 112
 transparency, 291
 administrative guidance and, 80–1
 BR and, 162
 transport sector, 142, 152
 Treaty of Amsterdam, 165–6
 Treaty of Rome, 21
 Treaty on European Union (TEU), 23
 Treaty on the Functioning of the
 European Union (TFEU), 21–3,
 72–4, 109
- TSO. *See* transmission system operator
 Tunney Act of 2004, 99, 107
 Type I errors. *See* false positives
 Type II errors. *See* false negatives
- UK. *See* United Kingdom
 unfairness, 313
 unilateral conduct, 32, 230–1
 United Kingdom (UK)
 antitrust enforcement by regulators
 in, 267–72
 BR in, 165
 concurrency in, 267
 conflict resolution in, 276
 deregulation in, 151–5
 market investigation references in,
 285–90
 privatisation in, 152
 United States (US)
 antitrust, 90, 199–212
 antitrust approach to excessive prices
 in, 123–6
 competition law enforcement in, 24,
 86–7
 competition law goals in, 30–1
 conflict resolution in, 277
 consent decrees in, 98–102
 deregulation in, 151–5
 federal regulation in, 203–12
 punitive damages in, 248
 remedies in, 246–7
 system of competition, 18–26
 telecommunications regulation, 60–5
United States v. AT&T, 257
 universal service obligations (USOs),
 38–9, 175
 US. *See* United States
 US Constitution, Supremacy Clause of,
 199
 USOs. *See* universal service obligations
 utility, 10–11
- Verizon Communications, Inc. v. Law Office of Curtis V. Trinko*, 4,
 131, 232–3
 anticompetitive conduct in, 64
 antitrust preclusion approach and,
 135

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07056-1 - Competition Law and Economic Regulation: Making and Managing Markets

Niamh Dunne

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

373

- preclusion and, 205–9
 - remedies in, 246–7
- vertical integration, 191–2
- Visa, 75
- Walker Process* doctrine, 259
- Washington Consensus, 2, 149
- wealth maximisation. *See* efficiency
- welfare, 38. *See also* consumer welfare
 - general, 328–9
 - promotion, 318–19
 - social, 144–5, 174
 - theory, Pigovian, 144–5
- well-functioning market, 1
- Western Electric, 62–3
- white-collar offences, 47
- Willis Graham Act, 62