

INDEX

- access to documents, 32–33
- accession, 226–230
- Accession Opinion, of ECHR, 18–19
- age discrimination, 78–79
- Alston, Philip, 1–2
- AM & S* case, 59–60
- Article 7 procedure
 - Austria and, 149
 - authority limitation and, 12–13
 - EAW and, 145
 - EP and, 100, 102–104
 - Italy and, 149–151
- Article 29 Working Party (WP)
 - EP and, 123–124
 - establishment of, 122
 - European Commission and, 124
 - Google Spain* case and, 125
 - implementation of CJEU judgments and, 125
 - as implementing institution, 121–126
 - independence of, 121
 - Kirkhope report and, 123
 - mandate of, 122
 - need for, 126
 - opinion development by, 124
 - power of, 122
 - public/private sectors interface and, 124–125
 - rationale for mandate of, 122–123
 - scrutiny of, 126
- Article 51, of Charter, 53–58, 77–79
- Article 52(4), of Charter, 67–70
- Article 53, of Charter, 67–70
- asylum, 226–230
- Austria, 149
- autonomy, 152–156
- Avramopoulos, Dimitris, 117
- Basescu, Traian, 161
- Bentham, Jeremy, 22–23
- Better Regulation agenda
 - impact assessment and, 92
 - RE-FIT and, 41–42
 - Regulatory Scrutiny Board and, 92–93
- Better Regulation Watchdog, 42–43
- Bickel, Alexander, 21
- binary distinction, Charter and, 77–79
- Blauberger, Michael, 37
- body scanners, 104–105
- Bogdandy, Armin von, 173–175
- Brüstle* case, 25–26
 - margin of appreciation and, 61–62
 - process-oriented approach and, 80–82
- Búrca, Grainne de, 5
- capacity-based dispersal, 3–4
 - fundamental rights and, 6–7
- Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU
 - Article 51, 53–58, 77–79
 - Article 52(4), 67–70
 - Article 53, 67–70
 - awareness raising and, 97–100
 - binary distinction and, 77–79
 - decision-making and European Commission and, 95
 - diversity variable and, 58–62
 - European Commission as guardian of the Treaties and, 95–97
 - Fransson* case and, 55–56
 - increase in references to, 96

- Charter of Fundamental Rights (cont.)
 infringement action and, 95–97
 LIBE committee role and, 102–104
 monitoring of, 96–97
 MTU case and, 118–119
 PILOT procedure and, 97
 post-legislative action and, 97–100
 principles and, 185–186
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
 scope/field of application of, 53–58,
 130–131
 sliding scale approach and, 77–79
 social rights and, 185–186
- CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of European Union
- cloud services, 99
- collective bargaining
 Greece and, 192–194, 207
 Ireland and, 198–199
 Portugal and, 197–198
- communitarian critique
 Bentham and, 22–23
 individualising EU citizenship,
 22–25
 labour law and, 23–24
 Marxism and, 22
 Somek and, 23–24
 Weiler and, 23
- Constitution, US, procedural approach
 and, 27–29
- Cooperation and Verification
 mechanism (CVM), 163–165
- Copenhagen Commission
 compliance and, 172
 obstacles to, 171–172
 rule of law and, 170–173
- counter-majoritarian difficulty
 procedural approach and, 27–29
 scepticism and, 21–22
- Court of Justice of European Union
 (CJEU)
 age discrimination and, 78–79
AM & S case, 59–60
Brüstle case, 61–62
 Data Retention Directive and, 63–64
 ECHR Accession Opinion and,
 18–19
 EO working with, 116–119
European Parliament v Council case
 and, 75–76
 Family Reunification Directive and,
 72–73, 98–99
 Frontex and, 116–118
Google Spain and, 98–99
Kadi case, 71–72
Karner case, 63
Laval case and, 36–39
 margin of appreciation and
 horizontal dimension, 71–76, 220
 vertical dimension, 53–70
 MTU case and, 118–119
 nature of rights variable and, 64
Omega case, 60
 OMT case, 203–204
 overview of issues related to, 9–10
 preliminary reference procedure
 and, 175
Pringle case, 203–204
 procedural variable and, 65–66
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
Sayn Wittgenstein case, 60–61
Schrems case, 63–64
Siragusa case, 57–58
 sliding scale approach and, 77–79
Test-Achats Directive and, 73–74
Viking case and, 36–37
Volker und Markus Scheke case,
 74–75
 as watchdog institution, 114
 working party implementation of
 judgments of, 125
 ZZ case, 62–63
- CVM. *See* Cooperation and
 Verification mechanism
- data protection. *See also* Article 29
 Working Party; General Data
 Protection Regulation
 body scanners and, 104–105
 cloud services and, 99
Digital Rights Ireland case and, 64–65
Fransson case and, 56–58
 PNR Directive and, 133–135
- Data Protection Directive

- European Commission's first report
 on, 124
 working party and, 122
- Data Retention Directive, 63–64
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
- Defrenne* judgments, 40–41
- democracy
 future of intervention on, 167–175
 internal relation between rule of law
 and, 29–31
 quantification of, 167–170
- Democracy and Distrust* (Ely), 27–29
- DG. *See* Directorate-General
- Digital Rights Ireland* case
 margin of appreciation and, 64–65
 procedural variable and, 65–66
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
- Directorate-General (DG), 92
- dispersal
 capacity-based, 3–4
 normative, 3
- diversity variable, 51
- AM & S* case and, 59–60
- Brüstle* case and, 61–62
- Charter rights limitations and,
 58–62
- Melloni* case and, 59
- Omega* case and, 60
- Sayn Wittgenstein* case and, 60–61
- doctrine of subsidiarity, 49
- EAW. *See* European Arrest Warrant
- ECHR. *See* European Court of Human
 Rights
- ECSR. *See* European Committee on
 Social Rights
- electoral competition, 156–159
- Ely, J. H., 221
 procedural approach and, 27–29
- Eman and Sevinger* case, 33–35
- empirical critique, 25–26
 margin of appreciation and, 26
- Employment Equality Directive, 78–79
- EO. *See* European Ombudsman
- EP. *See* European Parliament
- equality
 Article 29 Working Party and,
 121–126
 discrimination and, 40–41
 Employment Equality Directive,
 78–79
 political, 29
 Roma and, 167–168
 vulnerable empowerment and,
 221–222
- essence of rights doctrine
Digital Rights Ireland case and,
 64–65
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
- EU. *See* European Union
- Euro crisis
 financial assistance and, 189–190
 Greece and, 190–195
 Ireland and, 198–200
 Portugal and, 195–198
 social rights in age of austerity and,
 189–203
- European Arrest Warrant
 (EAW), 145
- European Banking Authority, 120
- European Commission, 11
 awareness raising and, 97–100
 Better Regulation Watchdog and,
 42–43
 body scanners and, 104–105
 cloud services and, 99
 conclusions about, 99–100
 decision-making and, 95
 EP lambasting, 101
 European Council and, 108, 109
European Parliament v Council case
 and, 75–76
- Family Reunification Directive and,
 98–99
- Google Spain* and, 98–99
 as guardian of Treaties, 95–97
 Hungary and, 152–156
 IIA and, 89–90
 impact assessment introduction by,
 88–89
 infringement power of, 120–121
 infringement proceedings and,
 103–104

- European Commission (cont.)
 inter-institutional cooperation
 and, 91
 international agreements and, 105
Laval case and, 36–39
 legal service of, 94
MTU case and, 118–119
 negative element of functioning of,
 87–89
 OMC and, 167–168
 PILOT procedure and, 97
 positive element of functioning of,
 88–89
 post-legislative action and,
 97–100
 poverty and, 98
 RE-FIT and, 41–42
 Regulatory Scrutiny Board and,
 92–93
 roles of, 87–100
 Rule of Law Recommendation of,
 182–183
 SOLID: Promoting Strategic
 Litigation and, 41
Sweden v Commission case, 32–33
 SWIFT agreement and, 105
 working party and, 124
- European Committee on Social Rights
 (ECSR)
 financial assistance and, 206–211
 Greece and, 208–210
 Ireland and, 210
 Portugal and, 210
 social rights and, 206–211
- European Convention on Human
 Rights (ECHR)
 diversity variable and, 51
 margin of appreciation and, 26,
 49–53
 nature of rights variable and, 51–52,
 62–65
 procedural variable and, 52
- European Council
 European Commission and, 108, 109
 Frontex and, 111–114
 fundamental rights proof and,
 107–109
 margin of appreciation and, 49–50
- Monti Regulation proposal and,
 107–108
 National Parliaments and, 107–108
 peer review and, 110–111
 role, 107–114
 scope of rights and, 110–111
 sources of expertise and, 108
- European Court of Human Rights
 (ECHR)
 Accession Opinion of, 18–19
 diversity variable and, 51
 Family Reunification Directive and,
 98–99
Google Spain and, 98–99
 margin of appreciation and, 26,
 49–53
 nature of rights variable and, 51–52,
 62–65
 procedural variable and, 52
ZZ case and, 62–63
- European Ombudsman (EO)
 advantages of, 116
 civil society representation
 and, 120
 CJEU working with, 116–119
 division of labour and, 84–87
 European Commission's
 infringement power and,
 120–121
 financial assistance and, 120
 Frontex and, 115, 116–118
 mandate of, 115–116
MTU case and, 118–119
 political process and, 119–121
 role, 115
 transparency and, 120
 as watchdog institution, 114–116
- European Parliament (EP)
 Article 7 procedure and, 100,
 102–104
 body scanners and, 104–105
 centre right victory and, 100–101
 European Commission lambasted
 by, 101
 Family Reunification Directive and,
 72–73
 FRA and, 10–11
 Frontex and, 111–114, 116–118

- infringement proceedings and, 103–104
- international agreements and, 105
- legislative procedure, 104–107
- LIBE committee role and, 102–104
- Nice Treaty and, 100
- Poland and, 183–184
- politicising fundamental rights and, 100–102
- rights reporting and, 102–104
- roles of, 100–107
- SWIFT agreement and, 105
- working party and, 123–124
- European Parliament v Council* case, 75–76
- European Semester, 89–90
- Justice Scoreboard and, 167–170
- OMC and, 167
- European Union (EU)
- ambiguous nature of, 19–20
 - fundamental rights scope in, 53–58
 - governance in, 2–4
 - individualising citizenship in, 22–25
- Family Reunification Directive, 72–73, 98–99
- FCC. *See* German Federal Constitutional Court
- Fidesz party. *See* Hungary
- financial assistance
- awareness raising and, 98
 - common elements of, 201–203
 - ECSR and, 206–211
 - EO and, 120
 - Greece and, 190–195
 - Ireland and, 198–200
 - Portugal and, 195–198
 - responsibility allocation regarding, 203–206
 - social rights and, 13–14, 189–203, 212–222
- FRA. *See* Fundamental Rights Agency
- Framework for Roma Integration Strategies, EU, 43
- Fransson* case
- binary distinction and, 77–79
 - FCC and, 56–58
 - margin of appreciation and, 55–56
- freedom of expression, 159–161
- FREMP working party, 107–109
- Frontex, 117
- barriers faced by, 113–114
 - effectiveness of, 112–113
 - EO and, 115, 116–118
 - EP and, 111–114, 116–118
 - European Council and, 111–114
 - impact assessment and, 112
 - individual complaint mechanism and, 117
 - joint return operations and, 116–118
 - limited view of responsibility within, 113–114
 - resources and, 113
 - strategy adopted by, 112
- fundamental rights. *See also* Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU
- ambiguous nature of EU and, 19–20
 - book's approach to, 15–17
 - capacity-based dispersal and, 6–7
 - collective enforcement of, 39–44
 - conceptualising and justifying, 18–20
 - culture of, and impact assessment, 89–94
 - in decision-making, 95
 - dividing labour in, 84–87
 - fundamental boundaries and, 21
 - governance and, 4–7
 - normative dispersal and, 6
 - in ordinary legislative procedure, 104–107
 - policy necessity, 1–2
 - political disagreement and, 36–44
 - political discourse and, 20
 - political pluralism and, 20
 - in political process, 119–121
 - politicising, 100–102
 - politics of, 226–230
 - procedural approach to transnational, 26–36
 - proof, 107–109
 - rationale for EU, 7–9
 - scope of EU, 53–58
 - scepticism regarding, 21–26
 - top-down and bottom-up approaches to, 14

- Fundamental Rights Agency (FRA)
 awareness-raising functions of, 130–131
 decision-making structure of, 127
 division of labour and, 84–87
 EP and, 10–11
 establishment of, 126–127
 evaluation of, 129–130
 General Data Protection Regulation and, 134–135, 136–141
 as implementing institution, 126–133
 infringement action and, 129–130
 Lisbon Treaty and, 128
 Multi-Annual Framework of, 127–128
 national monitoring by, 129
 opinions, 131–132
 Passenger Name Records Directive and, 135–141
 post-legislative guidance of, 129
 under-utilisation of power of, 130
- General Data Protection (GDP) Regulation
 aims of, 134–135
 FRA and, 134–135, 136–141
- German Federal Constitutional Court (FCC), 56–58
- Givens, Terri, 40–41
- Google, working party and, 124–125
- Google Spain* case
 European Commission and, 98–99
 territorial reach and, 82–83
 working party and, 125
- governance
 defined, 2–4
 differentiation and, 176
 in EU, 2–4
 fundamental rights and, 4–7
 between law and politics, 177–178
 multi-level action and, 176–177
 new governance and quantification of democracy, 167–170
 not government, 178–179
 rule of law from perspective of, 175–179
 social rights duties in, 212–222
- Greece
 bail-outs in, 190–191
 collective bargaining and, 192–194, 207
 ECSR and, 208–210
 Eurobarometer report on, 191
 financial assistance and, 190–195
 minimum wage reductions in, 193
 social impact assessment and, 212–214
 social rights and, 190–195
 wage competitiveness and, 192
 welfare and, 195
- Habermas, Jürgen, 29–31
- Haider, Jörg, 149
- Hungary
 autonomy and, 152–156
 Copenhagen Commission and, 170–173
 differentiation and, 176
 electoral competition and, 156–159
 freedom of expression and, 159–161
 governing between law and politics, 177–178
 infringement action and, 152–156, 176
 knowledge and, 170
 media and, 159–161
 multi-level action and, 176–177
 National Parliaments and, 154–155
 Poland compared with, 182
 Romania compared with, 164–166, 182
 rule of law crisis in, 151–161
 Tavares Report and, 157–159
- IA. *See* impact assessment
- IIA. *See* inter-institutional agreement
- impact assessment (IA)
 Better Regulation agenda and, 92
 DGs and, 92
 European Commission introducing, 88–89
 Frontex and, 112
 fundamental rights culture
 establishment and, 89–94
 IIA and, 89–90
 inter-institutional cooperation and, 91

- justification of, 90–94
- legal service of European Commission and, 94
- negative impact of, 91–92
- politicisation of process of, 92
- Regulatory Scrutiny Board and, 92–93
- Schecke* case and, 90
- implementing institutions
 - conclusions about, 141–143
 - distinction between watchdog institutions and, 121
 - FRA, 126–133
 - working party, 121–126
- individual complaint mechanism, 117
- industrial relations act, 199
- infringement action
 - Charter and, 95–97
 - European Commission, 103–104, 120–121
 - FRA and, 129–130
 - Hungary and, 152–156, 176
 - PILOT procedure and, 97, 120–121
 - Scheppele and, 174
 - sources of, 96–97
- inter-institutional agreement (IIA), 89–90
- Iohannis, Klaus, 164
- Ireland. *See also Digital Rights Ireland* case
 - collective bargaining and, 198–199
 - ECSR and, 210
 - financial assistance and, 198–200
 - industrial relations act and, 199
 - social rights and, 198–200
 - social security reform in, 199–200
 - wage reductions in, 198–199, 200
- Italy
 - Article 7 procedure and, 149–151
 - preliminary reference procedure and, 150–151
- joint return operations (JROs), 112, 116–118
- judicial review, procedural approach and, 27–29
- Justice Scoreboard, 167–170
- Kadi* case, 71–72
- Karner* case, 63
- Kilpatrick, Claire, 206
- Kirkhope report, 123
- Kroes, Neelie, 159–160
- Laval* case, 25–26
 - collective enforcement of rights and, 39–40
 - political disagreement and, 36–39
- Legal, Hubert, 169
- levels of protection
 - margin of appreciation and, 67–70
 - Melloni* case and, 67–69
 - Schrems* case and, 69–70
 - ZZ* case and, 67
- LIBE committee
 - politicisation and, 102–103
 - role, 102–104
 - working party and, 123–124
- Lisbon Treaty, 128
- MAF. *See* Multi-Annual Framework
- margin of appreciation (MOA), 25–26
 - Article 51 of Charter and, 53–58
 - balancing different varieties of, 72–82
 - Brüstle* case and, 61–62
 - CJEU and
 - horizontal dimension, 71–76
 - vertical dimension, 53–70
 - Convention system and, 49–50
 - Digital Rights Ireland* case and, 64–65
 - diversity variable and, 51
 - under ECHR, 26, 49–53
 - empirical critique and, 26
 - Family Reunification Directive and, 72–73
 - Fransson* case and, 55–56
 - Kadi* case and, 71–72
 - levels of protection and, 67–70
 - Melloni* case and, 67–69
 - nature of rights variable and, 51–52, 62–65, 71–73
 - normative dispersal and, 49
 - procedural variable and, 52, 65–66, 73–76
 - purpose of, 50–51

- margin of appreciation (MOA) (cont.)
Schrems case and, 69–70
Siragusa case and, 57–58
 sliding scale approach and,
 77–79
Test-Achats case and, 73–74
Volker und Markus Scheke case and,
 74–75
ZZ case and, 62–63
- Marxism, communitarian critique
 and, 22
- media, 159–161, 180
- Melloni* case
 binary distinction and, 77–79
 diversity variable and, 59
 levels of protection and, 67–69
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
- MOA. *See* margin of appreciation
- Monti Regulation, 107–108
- MTU* case, 118–119
- Muir, Elise, 78–79
- Multi-Annual Framework (MAF),
 127–128
- multi-lateral surveillance, 167
- National Constitutional Courts
 margin of appreciation and,
 49–53
 national framework and, 50–51
 Portugal and, 219–220
 Reverse Solange and, 175
 social rights and, 206
- National Parliaments, 37
 European Council and, 107–108
 Hungary and, 154–155
 social rights responsibilities division
 and, 217–219
- nature of rights variable, 51–52
 ECHR and, 62–65
 ECHR and CJEU compared
 regarding, 64
 margin of appreciation horizontal
 dimension and, 71–73
 margin of appreciation vertical
 dimension and, 62–65
- Nice Treaty, 100
- non-retrogression, 187
- normative dispersal, 3
 fundamental rights and, 6
 margin of appreciation and, 49
- OMC. *See* Open Method of
 Coordination
- Omega* case, 60
- OMT* case, 203–204
- Open Method of Coordination (OMC)
 European Commission and,
 167–168
 European Semester and, 167
 multi-lateral surveillance and, 167
 Roma and, 167–168
 rule of law and, 167–170
- Orban, Viktor, 101–102. *See also*
 Hungary
- ordinary legislative procedure, 86, 94,
 104–107
- Passenger Name Record (PNR)
 Directive)
 data protection and, 133–135
 FRA and, 135–141
- peer review, rule of law and,
 110–111
- PILOT procedure
 infringement action and, 97
 infringement power of European
 Commission and, 120–121
- PNR Directive. *See* Passenger Name
 Record
- Poland
 Constitutional Tribunal Statute
 amendment and, 180–182
 EP and, 183–184
 Hungary and Romania compared
 with, 182
 media law and, 180
 rule of law crisis in, 179–184
 Rule of Law Recommendation and,
 182–183
 Venice Commission and, 182–183
- political disagreement
 fundamental rights and, 36–44
Laval case and, 36–39
 normative value of, 36–39
Viking case and, 36–37

- political process
 EO probing in-transparency policies and, 120
 fundamental rights in, 119–121
 Ponta, Viktor, 101–102, 161–164
 Portugal
 collective bargaining and, 197–198
 constitutional court of, 219–220
 ECSR and, 210
 European Semester and, 197–198
 financial assistance and, 195–198
 health and pension coverage reduced in, 196
 social rights and, 195–198
 wage reductions in, 196–197
 Poulou, Anastasia, 221, 222
 preliminary reference procedure, 69–70, 146–147
 CJEU and, 175
 Family Reunification Directive and, 72–73
 Italy and, 150–151
Pringle case, 203–204
 procedural approach
 access to documents and, 32–33
 assumptions about role of Courts and, 47
 conclusions about, 44–46, 82–83
 Ely and, 27–29
 in European human rights law, 31–36
 governing not government and, 178–179
 Habermas and, 29–31
 overview of, 26–27
 process-oriented approach and, 80–82
 to rule of law, 146–147
 to trans-national rights protection, 26–36
 voting rights and, 33–35
 procedural variable
 CJEU and, 65–66
Digital Rights Ireland case and, 65–66
 margin of appreciation, 52
 horizontal dimension, 73–76
 vertical dimension, 65–66
 process-oriented approach, 80–82
- proportionality
 diversity variable and, 58–62
Karner case and, 63
 nature of rights variable and, 62–65
Sayn Wittgenstein case and, 60–61
Volker und Markus Scheke case and, 74–75
ZZ case and, 62–63
- Race Directive, 40–41
 RE-FIT. *See* Regulatory Fitness Communication
 Regulation 472/2013, 220
 Regulatory Fitness Communication (RE-FIT), 41–42
 Better Regulation Watchdog and, 42–43
 regulatory scrutiny board (RSB), 92–93
 Reverse Solange, 173–175
 Roma, 43, 167–168
 Romania
 constitutional framework of, 161
 Copenhagen Commission and, 170–173
 CVM and, 163–165
 differentiation and, 176
 emergency ordinances enacted in, 161–163
 governing between law and politics, 177–178
 Hungary compared with, 164–166, 182
 knowledge and, 170
 multi-level action and, 176–177
 Poland compared with, 182
 political competition in, 161
 rule of law crisis in, 161–166
 RSB. *See* regulatory scrutiny board
 rule of law
 autonomy and, 152–156
 Copenhagen Commission and, 170–173
 in crisis
 Austria, 149
 conclusions, 179–184
 early warnings, 149–151
 Hungary, 151–161
 Italy, 149–151

- rule of law (cont.)
 Poland, 179–184
 Romania, 161–166
 electoral competition and, 156–159
 freedom of expression and, 159–161
 future of intervention on democracy
 and, 167–175
 from governance perspective,
 175–179
 differentiation and, 176
 governing between law and
 politics, 177–178
 governing not government,
 178–179
 multi-level action and, 176–177
 internal relation between democracy
 and, 29–31
 judicialisation of, 173–175
 Justice Scoreboard and, 168
 knowledge and, 170
 media and, 159–161
 multi-lateral surveillance and, 167
 new governance and quantification
 of democracy, 167–170
 OMC and, 167–170
 oversight of, justifying EU, 144–149
 overview of issues related to, 12–13
 peer review and, 110–111
 procedural approach to, 146–147
 Reverse Solange and, 173–175
 sanctions and, 166
 TEU and, 144–145
 Rule of Law Recommendation, 182–183
- Sayn Wittgenstein* case, 60–61
- scepticism
 communitarian critique and, 22–25
 counter-majoritarian difficulty and,
 21–22
 empirical critique and, 25–26
 fundamental rights, 21–26
- Schecke* case, 90
- Schengen Borders Code, 75–76
- Scheppele, Kim Lane, 174
- Schrems* case, 63–64
 levels of protection and, 69–70
 process-oriented approach and,
 80–82
- Schutter, Olivier de, 90
 scope/field of application, 53–58,
 130–131
- Scoreboard. *See* Justice Scoreboard
- Siragusa* case, 57–58
- SLG. *See* Starting Line Group
- social rights. *See also* European
 Committee on Social Rights
 in age of austerity, 189–203
 Better Regulation Watchdog and,
 42–43
 Charter and, 185–186
 collective enforcement of rights and,
 39–40
 common elements related to,
 201–203
 competences for realising, 186
 conclusions, 224–225
 duties in governance of, 212–222
 assessing social impacts,
 212–214
 division of responsibilities,
 217–221
 empowerment of vulnerable,
 221–222
 politicising Europe's economic
 and monetary union, 214–217
- EU institutions role related to,
 203–211
- financial assistance and, 13–14,
 189–203, 212–222
- Greece and, 190–195
- Ireland and, 198–200
- Member States discretion regarding,
 204–206
- National Constitutional Courts
 and, 206
- National Parliaments and, 217–219
- overview of issues related to, 13–14
- pillars of, 186–188
- Portugal and, 195–198
- responsibility allocation and,
 203–206
- SOLID: Promoting Strategic
 Litigation and, 41
 what are, 185–188
- social security reform, in Ireland,
 199–200

- SOLID: Promoting Strategic Litigation, 41
- Somek, Alexander, 23–24
- Spain v United Kingdom* case, 33–35
- Starting Line Group (SLG), 40–41
- Sweden and Turco v Council* case, 32–33
- Sweden v Commission* case, 32–33
- SWIFT agreement, 105
- Tavares Report, 101–102, 157–159
- Test-Achats* Directive, 73–74
process-oriented approach and, 80–82
- TEU. *See* Treaty on European Union
- Timmermans, Frans, 179–180
- trans-national rights protection, 26–36
- transparency, 120
- Treaty on European Union (TEU), 144–145
- UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (UNCESCR), 220–221
- Venice Commission, 156–161, 162–163, 181–183
- Viking* case, 25–26
political disagreement and, 36–37
- Vogel-Polsky, Elaine, 40–41
- Volker und Markus Scheke* case, 74–75
- voting rights, 33–35
- watchdog institutions. *See also* Better Regulation Watchdog
CJEU, 114
EO, 114–116
implementing institutions and, 121
- Weiler, Joseph, 1–2, 21, 23
- WP. *See* Article 29 Working Party
- ZZ case
levels of protection and, 67
MOA and, 62–63