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UNGA resolution 62/243 (Nagorno-Karabakh) 286, 339, 414-15
visits to Nagorno-Karabakh by senior Armenian political and military figures (2012/2013) 287
Zalijan, Sargsyan and Serobyan 291, 339, 341
Armenia-NKR political and judicial relations 292-3, 341
Armenia’s wish to incorporate Nagorno-Karabakh into Armenia 338
passports 295-6, 341
relevant domestic law (including extracts)
Azerbaijan Republic 301-4
Constitution 1978, Art. 13 298-9
Housing Code 1983, Art. 10.3 301
Instruction on Rules of Registration of Housing Facilities 1985 301
Land Code 1992, Arts. 10, 11, 23, 30, 31 and 32 302-4
Law on Property 1991, Art. 21 301-2
Azerbaijan SSR, Land Code 1970, Arts. 4, 24, 25, 27, 126 and 131 299-300
relevant international law (including extracts)
GC IV 49 (forced transfers) 305-6
HR 42 (occupied territory) 304-5
relevant UN and CoE materials (including extracts)
CoE Committee of Ministers Recommendation 2006(6) (internally displaced persons) 311
PACE resolution 1497 (2006) (refugees and displaced persons in Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia) 310
PACE resolution 1708 (2010) (solving property issues of refugees and displaced persons) 308-10
Pinheiro Principles 306-8
situation (1989-94) 224-6, 270-3
situation (June 2015) 227-8, 273-5
Chiragov (merits)
alleged breach of ECHR 8 (respect for private and family life/home) 347-9
Court’s assessment and conclusion 347-9
parties’ submissions
applicants 347
Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 348
respondent government 347-8
alleged breach of ECHR 13 (right to effective remedy) 349-50
Court’s assessment and conclusion 350
parties’ submissions
applicants 349
Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 350
respondents 349-50
alleged breach of ECHR 14 (non-discrimination obligation) 350
Court’s assessment and conclusion (interdependence with other articles) 352
dissenting opinion (Ziemele J) 370-2
Chiragov (merits) (cont.)
parties’ submissions
applicants 351
Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 351-2
respondent government 351
alleged breach of ECHR Protocol 1:1 (right to peaceful enjoyment of possessions) 342-7
Court’s assessment 344-7
applicants’ rights to possessions 344
competing obligations, relevance 346
critical date/continuing violation 344-7
denial of return or access to property in Nagorno-Karabakh 345
non-discrimination obligation 346
obligation of State to take positive measures to ensure 346
ongoing negotiations as justification 346
property claims mechanism as interim measure 346
self-defence as justification 345
Court’s conclusion 346-7
parties’ submissions
applicants 343
Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 344
respondent government 343-4
background: see Chiragov (background)
Court’s decision 353
exhaustion of local remedies (ECHR 35(1)) 311-18
Court’s assessment
additional submissions 314
decision (burden of proof) 318
effective remedy requirement 317-18
general principles (application to Chiragov) 317-18
general principles (including review of the jurisprudence) 314-17
dissenting opinion (Gyulumyan J) 379-81
dissenting opinion (Pinto de Albuquerque J) 403-8
parties’ submissions
applicants 311-12
Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 313-14
respondent government 312-13
just satisfaction (ECHR 41), reservation of decision 352-3
NKR’s status under international law (separate opinions) 417-24
CoE position 418-19
EU position 419-20
NKR’s external representation 423-4
OSCE position 420-3
remedial secession 376, 428-37
right to self-determination 375-9, 431-8
secession 361, 370
as State 373-5
UN position 417-18
procedural history 268-70
separate opinions
Gyulumyan J (dissenting) 372-401
Hajiyev J (partly dissenting) 368-72
Motoc J (concurring) 354-61
Pinto de Albuquerque J (dissenting) 401-38
Ziemele J (partly concurring, partly dissenting) 361-8
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victim status/property rights of displaced persons (including ECHR Protocol 1:1)
318-30; see also Chiragov (admissibility), victim status/property rights of displaced persons (including ECHR Protocol 1:1)
(Court’s assessment) 321-30

general principles 321-5

evidence of ownership/rights of property 322-5
“possession” 322-3

general principles, application to Chiragov 325-30

applicable law for determination of property rights 327

applicants’ land and houses in Lachin as “homes” for purposes of ECHR 8 330

Court’s decision 330

proof of identity and place of residence 325

proof of possessions 325-7

protection of applicants’ rights, applicability of Protocol 1:1 327-30

“right of use” (Azerbaijan SSR property law) 328

NKR’s status under international law (separate opinions) 417-24

review of TRNC-related property cases 321-3

victim status/property rights of displaced persons (including ECHR Protocol 1:1)
(dissenting opinions)

evidence of ownership/rights of property (Gyulumyan J) 382-3

evidence of ownership/rights of property (Pinto de Albuquerque J) 408-10

Pinheiro Principles 15.7 410-11

victim status/property rights of displaced persons (including ECHR Protocol 1:1)
(parties’ submissions)

applicants 318-19

Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 320-1

respondent government 319-20

“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)/extension to extraterritorial acts (Court’s assessment) 335-42

general principles (application to Chiragov) (effective control test) 337-42

Armenia’s wish to incorporate Nagorno-Karabakh into Armenia 338

Armenia-NKR financial/economic relations 341-2

Armenia-NKR military relations 338-41

Armenia-NKR political and judicial relations 341

authorized agent of State abroad/State agent authority and control 383-5

Court’s conclusion 342

Court’s evaluation of the evidence 338-41

general principles (Catani/Ilaçcu/Al-Skeini principles) 336-7, 386-92

“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)/extension to extraterritorial acts (effective control test) (Gyulumyan J)

application of the effective control test to Armenia-NKR relations 395-401

control through subordinate local administration 383-92

jurisdiction and responsibility distinguished 385-92

NKR’s right to self-determination 375-9

standard of attribution/review of the jurisprudence 392-5

“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)/extension to extraterritorial acts (effective control test) (Motoc J), raising the threshold/adoption of ICJ standard 355-61

“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)/extension to extraterritorial acts (effective control test) (Pinto de Albuquerque J) 411-28, 431-8

Al-Skeini challenged 425-8

Armenia-NKR financial/economic relations 425
Chiragov (merits) (cont.)
Armenia-NKR military relations 412-15
autonomy of NKR’s administration 424-5
critical date/continuing violation 411-12
independence of NKR’s judiciary 424
NKR’s right to self-determination 431-8
remedial secession, right to 428-37
“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)/extension to extraterritorial acts (effective control test) (Ziemele J)
Armenia-NKR military relations 369-70
jurisdiction and responsibility distinguished 362-8
“within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)/extension to extraterritorial acts (parties’ submissions)
applicants 330-1
Azerbaijani Government (third-party intervener) 334-5
respondent government 332-4
coasts (for purposes of maritime delimitation)
jurisprudence
Barbados/Trinidad and Tobago 99
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 89-102
Black Sea 91, 99
North Sea 91
relevant coasts
Bangladesh-India 89-102
generation of overlapping projections as key task 99-102
identification of/generation of overlapping projections as role of tribunal 89-91, 99
purpose of identification 91
underlying principles 91
compensation for war-related events including occupation-related events: see Pinheiro Principles (2005) by principle; property rights of displaced persons including peaceful enjoyment of possessions (ECHR Protocol 1:1)
conscientious objection (HRC GC 22:11) 494-5
conscientious objection (ICCPR 18)
alternatives to military service, obligation to provide
Korean position on 494-5
Turkmenistan’s position on 494-5
limitations necessary for national security, law and order or public welfare (ICCPR 18(3)), non-derogable rights (ICCPR 4(2)) 494-5
conscientious objection, jurisprudence (ECHR 9/ICCPR 18), Abdullayev 490, 494-7
continental margin (UNCLOS 76(3)), criteria for determining outer edge (UNCLOS 76(4)) 146, 156
Continental Shelf, Commission on the Limits of (Annex II) (CLCS)
coastal State’s right to establish final and binding continental shelf limits (UNCLOS 76(8)), dependence on submission of information to Commission 32
functions (UNCLOS 76(8)), relationship with role of international courts and tribunals 32
continental shelf delimitation (CSC 6/UNCLOS 83)
beyond 200 nautical miles
Bay of Bengal: see Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Delimitation (Bangladesh/India)
CLCS, functions (UNCLOS 76(8)) 32
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Council of Europe (CoE)
Recommendations (Council of Ministers), 2006(6) (internally displaced persons) 311
Resolutions (Parliamentary Assembly)
1497 (2006) (refugees and displaced persons in Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia) 310
1708 (2010) (solving property issues of refugees and displaced persons) 308-10
2005 (1416) (Nagorno-Karabakh) 284, 297-8, 370

Denmark
Naturalization Guidelines (2008): see also Q v. Denmark (non-discrimination obligation in relation to naturalization (ICCPR 26)) as breach of non-discrimination obligation (ICCPR 26) 515-17
text (s 24) 503n. 9
differential treatment (ECHR 14/ICCPR 26)
jurisprudence
Chiragov (Ziemele J) 370-2
Lithgow 370-1
Q v. Denmark 502-18
Rasmussen 370-1
naturalization 516
“reasonable and objective” requirement 370-2
displaced persons: see property rights of displaced persons including peaceful enjoyment of possessions (ECHR Protocol 1:1)
double criminality
common law practice 614-15
equivalent act or omission, criteria/relevant factors conduct vs offence text 605-15 “transposition” policy 615-20
jurisprudence
Al Fauwaz 616-17
Aronson 607-8, 613
Bermingham 611, 701-2
Cando Armas 610-13
Collins 617-19
Dahas 611-13
Gilligan 608-9
Hill 608
Ismail 613
McCaffery 606-7
McVey 613-14
Nielsen 606-7
Norgren 619
Norris (No 1) 605-15
Riley 618-19
Schreiber 618

ECHR (1950), applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)
authorized agent of State abroad/State agent authority and control, authority and control as sufficient basis (Issa) vs territorial principle (Bankovic) 362, 383-5
ECHR (1950), applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1) (cont.)
extraterritorial acts, extension to 330-42
"jurisdiction" for purposes of State responsibility distinguished 337, 367-8
matters arising prior to entry into force, Protocol 1 and 338, 344-5
jurisprudence
Al-Skeini (ECtHR) 425-8
Catan 336-7, 384
Ilascu 391-2
occupied territory/effective control of area as result of military action
control through subordinate local administration 337, 362-5, 383-92
"effective control” test 337-42, 355-61
Nagorno-Karabakh and surrounding territories occupied by Armenia 337-42,
355-61
ECtHR
interpretation of municipal law/determination of compliance with ECHR as matter for
national authorities 314-15
"victim” (ECHR 34 [25(1)])
Chiragov 249-51, 318-30
next of kin 235
ECtHR Rules of Court (2014)
24 (composition of Chamber) 269
38(1) (written pleadings: late filing of observations/documents) 314
44(2) (third-party intervention) 269
52(1) (allocation of cases) 269
59(1) (individual applications: parties' observations) 269
59(3) (individual applications: public hearing) 269
71 (applicability of procedural provisions) 269
71(1) (applicability of procedural provisions: Grand Chamber) 314
72 (relinquishment to Grand Chamber) 269
74(2) (separate opinions) 353
effective remedy before national authority, need for (ECHR 13)
burden standard of proof 318
"effective remedy”, Chiragov 349-50
persons discharged from Nagorno-Karabakh 349-50
effective remedy before national authority (ICCPR 2(3))
measures to ensure non-repetition (ICCPR 2(3)(a)) 496, 517-18
review of decision in breach of State’s ICCPR obligations 517-18
effective remedy for human rights breaches
Chiragov 317-18
equidistance (median line)/special circumstances rule
(TSC 12(1)/CSC 6/UNCLOS 15)
equidistance/median line, adjustment/correction to achieve equitable result 134-8,
163-5
parameters for (Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India)) 131, 163; see also special
circumstances/relevant factors (maritime delimitation) (including CSC 6/TSC
12/UNCLOS 15), eligibility for consideration as
jurisprudence
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 77-80, 83-9, 121-39
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/Myanmar) 137
Black Sea 112-13, 163, 168
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Libya/Malta 112-13
Nicaragua/Honduras 113-14
North Sea cases 136-7

equitable principles (maritime delimitation), refashioning of nature, exclusion 131

Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 112-13, 134-8, 184, 198-9: see also Bay of
Bengal Maritime Boundary Delimitation (Bangladesh/India), EEZ and
continental shelf within 200 nautical miles delimitation (UNCLOS
74 and 83)

European Parliament resolutions
2009/2216 (South Caucasus strategy) 286-7
2011/2315 (EU-Armenia Association Agreement) 287

evidence (ECtHR)
burden/standard of proof 340
Chiragov 338-41

exhaustion of local remedies (ECHR 35(1))
jurisprudence
Akdivar 314-17
Chiragov 251-4, 311-18, 379-81, 403-8
Demopoulos 314-15
Kazali 314-15

protection of national legal order as purpose 314-15

exhaustion of local remedies (ICCPR OP 1:5(2)(b)), preclusion of judicial review in
absence of judicial error 451-2

extradition agreements: see extradition (general considerations including basis of and
jurisdiction), role in prevention of disorder and crime (ECHR 8(2))

extradition, deportation or expulsion to country where risk of breach of
human rights including torture/inhumane treatment (ECHR
3 ICCPR 7/TC 3) and “flagrant breach of justice” (including
“foreign cases”)

balance between public interest and rights of individual, relevance 640
fair trial/promt hearing, right to (ECHR 5(4) and ECHR 6(1)), passage of time,
relevance 620-2, 691-2

“flagrant breach” 663
jurisprudence
Cruz Varas 688-9
EM (Lebanon) 693
HG 665
MT (Algeria) 693
Saadi 668-9
Soering 391, 663-5, 688-9
Ullah 670-2
Wellington 640-1, 675, 677, 703

extradition (general considerations including basis of and jurisdiction)
ECHR references to extradition/extension to rights not covered by (including
Extradition Act (UK) provisions) 622, 635, 659, 663-5, 670-1, 674-5, 680,
702-3
deportation/expulsion of alien/distinguished 663, 669-70, 688-9, 691
forcem conveniens considerations (including Soering) 681, 687
reciprocity as fundamental principle 677, 691-2
extradition (general considerations including basis of and jurisdiction) (cont.)
role in prevention of disorder and crime (ECHR 8(2)) 637, 661-2, 666-7, 670-1, 677, 686-92, 695-700, 703-7: see also Norris (No 2)

extradition procedure
Benbow 621
Jenkins 621
Kakis 620
Wellington 621

fair hearing/rights of the accused (ICCPR 14)
HRC GC 32 494
family/private life, respect for (ECHR 8(1)), justified restrictions/interference by public authority, grounds/requirements (ECHR 8(2)): see also Norris (No 2)
balance of interests of individual and community as a whole/public interest 640-2
exceptionality test 637-9, 641-2, 661-2, 673-6, 687-93, 698, 705-7
in extradition cases 647-8, 705-7
gravity of the offence, relevance 666-7, 680
high threshold test 637, 641, 643, 661-2, 673-6, 693, 696
legitimate aim, extradition as 637
“proportionate to the legitimate aim” 637-42: see also Norris (No 2)
family/private life, respect for, justified restrictions/interference by public authority (ACHR 17, ECHR 8(2), ICCPR 17), jurisprudence
AG (Eritrea) 639, 641-2, 674, 705
Beoku-Bette 639, 680-1
Birmingham 672-3, 681, 701-2
Boulisf 666-7, 691, 703
de Freitas 637-9
Dickson 693-6, 698
EB (Kosovo) 639, 694
Eberhard and M 703
HG 703-4
Huang 637-9, 641-2, 673-6, 688-94, 698-9, 706
Jaso 639-43, 674-6
Kay 698-9
Keegan 703
King 686-8, 695-8, 704
KR (Iraq) 641-2
Lauder 641-2, 665-6, 675-6, 678-9, 686-90, 697-8, 704
McCann 698-9
Massey 677-8, 689
Norris: see Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ ECHR 8 (private and family life))
Oakes 637-9
Ocalan 704
Raidl 665, 669-70, 695-6, 704
Razgar 637-9, 670-4, 693
Soering 703-4
Tajik 639-43, 656-7, 675-6
Ullah 672-3, 688-9, 693, 695, 702-3
Üner 667-70, 691
Warren 640
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Y v. Russia 703

*forum [non] conveniens*, extradition and (including Soering) 681, 687, 704-5

General Assembly, Resolution 62/243 (Nagorno-Karabakh) 286

General Comments (HRC)

18 (ICCPR 26 (non-discrimination)) 515, 554

differential treatment, requirements (HRC GC 18:13) 516

20 (ICCPR 7 (torture/cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment)) 492-3

21 (ICCPR 10 (humane treatment of persons deprived of liberty)), rehabilitation obligation (ICCPR 10(3)) 481

31 (ICCPR 2(1) (nature of general legal obligation imposed on States Parties to Covenant)) 453

32 (ICCPR 14 (right to equality before courts and tribunals and to a fair trial)) 494

Geneva Conventions (1949), grave breaches: see grave breaches (GC (1949))

grave breaches (GC (1949))

classification as (GC IV:147) 546, 563-4

“not justif[ied by] military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly” (GC IV:147) 546

home, respect for (ECHR 8)

Chiragov 330, 347-9
denial of return or access to property in Nagorno-Karabakh and 330, 347-9

“home” 330

HRC (UN Human Rights Committee)

evaluation of facts and evidence as presented in national fora, limitations on 452-4

Rules of Procedure (ROP), 93(1) (admissibility) 451, 492: see also admissibility (HRC including OP 1:5)

ICC

serious violations of the laws and customs applicable in armed conflicts not of an international character (ICC 8(e)) 546-7

ICCPR (1966), interpretation

treaty of universal application (CRC) as aid 481-2

inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), detention conditions as

Abdullayev 492-3

social isolation/solitary confinement 492-3

UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (1957/77) 489-90

Jerusalem, East

status [as capital of Israel]

US position 712-14, 753-4, 759-60; see also United States of America (USA), passports, Foreign Relations Authorization Act (Fiscal Year 2003, 116 Stat. 1350), s 214(d) (right of US citizen born in Jerusalem to have “Israel” in passport as place of birth); Zivotofsky (constitutionality of US Foreign Relations Authorization Act 2003) (Supreme Court (2015))

Zivotofsky (Supreme Court (2015)) 712-32, 759-64

Juba Agreements (2007) 548-56
Kwoyelo (Uganda Supreme Court): see also amnesty; Uganda, Amnesty Act 2000
factual and procedural background 524-5, 561-2

good faith of the State 559-60
grounds of appeal 525-6
issue 1 (constitutionality of Amnesty Act 2000 (DPP prosecutorial powers)) 536-41, 564-71
amnesty, definition 539, 541-2
amnesty and pardon distinguished 541-3
blanket amnesty 537-41
relevant law (extracts) 536-9, 564-5, 568-70
issue 2 (legality of amnesty under international law) 536, 543-8
absence of international standards 555-6
constitutionality of Geneva Conventions Act 543-8
“crime committed in the furtherance of war or armed rebellion” 539-41, 545-8, 570
South African practice 555-6
issue 3 (non-discrimination obligation) 536, 548-55, 570-1
Amnesty Act provisions 551-2, 564-6
Constitution 21 (equality before the law) 550-1
HRC GC 18 (non-discrimination) 554
Juba Agreements (2007) 548-56
respondent’s arguments 552-6
right to life (ICCPR 6/Constitution 22(1)) 554-5
standard/burden of proof 551
issues for determination (summary) 536
judgments
Katureebe JSC 524-60
conclusions/decision 560
Kisaakye JSC 561-6
Kitumba AG, JSC 575-6
Okello AG, JSC 566-75
parties’ arguments
appellant 527-31
respondent 531-6

legal certainty/legality principle
Chiragov 261
Varnava 255-6, 259

liberty and security of person, right to, exceptions (ECHR 5(1))
lawful arrest or detention to prevent unauthorized entry or with a view to deportation/ expulsion of alien (ECHR 5(1)(f))
Chahal 666
R (P) 677

life/long-term imprisonment (including ECHR 3/ICCPR 7 provisions)
Blessington and Elliot 479-82
review obligation, standard of review 480-1

low-tide elevations (TSC 11/UNCLOS 13)
as baselines for measuring the breadth of the territorial sea (UNCLOS 13) 86-7

maps and charts, evidentiary value
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 41-52, 62-5
satellite imagery 50-2
maritime area (for purposes of maritime delimitation) 165-9
relevant area, proportionality and 165-9

maritime delimitation/boundaries (general)
apportionment, distributive justice and Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 131
Libya/Malta 131
North Sea Continental Shelf cases 131
delimitation in absence of established outer limits or agreed baselines 31-3
stability and 74-5

maritime delimitation/boundaries, methods
bisector of angle method 107-14
jurisprudence
Black Sea 112-13
Nicaragua/Honduras 113-14

Nagorno-Karabakh (NKR): see also Chiragov
classification as State 373-5, 417-24
NKR, right to self-determination 375-9, 431-8
naturalization
differential treatment, justification (ICCPR 26) 516
necessity/duress as defence/justification (including war-related situations, war crimes
or crimes against humanity)
grave breaches (GC IV 147) (“not justified by military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly”) 546
non-discrimination obligation (ECHR 14)
burden/standard of proof 371-2
interrelationship with other ECHR articles/non-autonomous/independent nature of obligation 352
jurisprudence
Chiragov 350
Hasan Ilhan 371-2
Nachova 371-2
non-discrimination obligation/equality before the law (ICCPR 26)
as autonomous right 515-16
jurisprudence, Q 502-18
State responsibility of legislature 515-17
non-encroachment principle/avoidance of cut-off Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 132-8
“cut-off” defined 133
non-international armed conflict (including GC Protocol II (1977))
amnesty, desirability (GC II 6(5)) 557-8
Norris (No 1): see also United Kingdom, restraint of trade
count 1 (conspiracy to operate price-fixing cartel) (15 USC 1 (Sherman Act)) (price-fixing under UK criminal law) 583-615
Committee’s decision 615
common law 583-92
double criminality 605-15
legal certainty 601-5
statutory and other relevant non-judicial material 592-601
counts 2, 3 and 4 (perversion of course of justice) 615-22
application of section 82 of the 2003 Extradition Act (“unjust or oppressive”
extradition by reason of passage of time) 620-2
Norris (No 1) (cont.)
Committee’s decision 622
compatibility with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life) 622
construction and application of section 137(2)(b) of 2003 Extradition Act 615-20
factual and procedural background
counts 2-4 (perversion of the course of justice) 582-3
decision to extradite 581-2
indictment
count 1 (conspiracy to operate price-fixing cartel) (15 USC 1 (Sherman Act)) 582-3
US investigations leading to 582
Norris (No 2): see Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life)) (High Court); Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life)) (Supreme Court)

Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life)) (High Court) 625-8
background
factual and procedural background 632-5
grounds of appeal 625-32
Extradition Act, s 103 appeal (appeals to High Court against decision to send case to Secretary of State) 636-48
Court’s conclusion 647-8
Court’s findings on
error of fact: gravity of the offence 643-4
error of fact: health of appellant and wife/family life 644-7
error of law 643
ECHR references to extradition/extension to rights not covered by (including Extradition Act provisions) 622, 635
justified restrictions (ECHR 8(2))
balance of interests of individual and community as a whole/public interest 640-2, 647-8
exceptionality test 637-9, 641-2
high threshold test 637, 641
“proportionate to the legitimate aim” 637-42
Extradition Act, s 108 appeal (appeal against extradition order)/speciality (Extradition Act, s 95) 636-48
Court’s conclusions 656-8
jurisprudence 650-5
points at issue 649-50
fair hearing, right to/passage of time (Extradition Act s 82) 691-2
Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life)) (Supreme Court)
background
distinguishability between
deportation/expulsion and extradition 663, 669-70, 688-9, 691
flagrant breach/“foreign cases” 663, 670
Extradition Act 2003, summary of relevant provisions 659
UK-USA Extradition Treaty 1972, applicability to pre-2007 proceedings 659-60
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extradition, role
ECHR references to extradition/extension to rights not covered by (including Extradition Act provisions) 659, 663-5, 670-1, 674-5, 680, 702-3 forum conveniens considerations 681, 687, 704-5 prevention of disorder and crime (ECHR 8(2)) 661-2, 666-7, 670-1, 677, 686-92, 695-700, 703-7 reciprocity as fundamental principle 677, 691-2 judgement/separate opinions Lord Brown 690-2 Lord Collins 700-5 Lord Hope 687-90 Lord Kerr 705-7 Lord Mance 692-700 Lord Phillips (judgment of the Court) 658-87 conclusion/application of principles to the facts 681-6 jurisprudence (domestic) 670 AG (Eritrea) 674, 705 Bermingham 672-3, 681, 701-2 Dickson 693-6, 698 EB (Kuwait) 694 EM (Lebanon) 693 Huang 673-6, 688-94, 698-9, 706 Jaso 639-40, 674-6 King 686-8, 695-8, 704 Maisey 677-8, 689 MT (Algeria) 693 Norris (No 1) (divisional court) 675-6 R (P) 677 Raidl 670-4, 693 Tajik 656-7, 675-6 Ullah 670-3, 688-9, 693, 695, 702-3 Wellington 675, 677, 703 jurisprudence (ECtHR) 663-9: see also extradition, deportation or expulsion to country where risk of breach of human rights including torture/inhumane treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7/TC 3) and “flagrant breach of justice” (including “foreign cases”), jurisprudence; family/private life, respect for, justified restrictions/interference by public authority (ACHR 17, ECHR 8(2), ICCPR 17), jurisprudence analysis bar to exportation or deportation of alien cases 669 bar to extradition cases 669 flagrant breach “foreign cases” 669 proportionality, relevant factors 669-70 Bougrab 666-7, 691, 703 Chahal 666 HG 665 Kay 698-9 Lauder 665-6, 675-6, 678-9, 686-90, 697-8 McCann 698-9 Ocalan 704 Raidl 665, 669-70, 695-6, 704
Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life)) (Supreme Court) (cont.)

Saadi 668-9
Soering 663-5, 688-9, 695, 703-4
Üner 667-70, 691

justified restrictions (ECHR 8(2))

balance of interests of individual and community as a whole/public interest 658-9
deporation/expulsion and extradition distinguished 663, 669-70
exceptionality test 661-2, 673-6, 687, 691, 693-4, 698, 705-7
in extradition cases 672-3, 675-8, 686, 689-92, 695-700, 703-7
high threshold test 661-2, 673-6, 693, 696

parties' arguments

common ground 660-1
exceptionality test 661-2
proportionality, relevant factors 662

nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege/non-retroactivity (ECHR 7/ICCPR 15/UDHR 11(2))

Hashman 603
Jones (Margaret) 602-3
Norris (No 1) 601-5
Rimmington 601-2
SW v. UK 603-4

passports

jurisprudence

Urtegaita 731, 740
nature and function 739-40

peaceful enjoyment of possessions (ECHR Protocol 1:1)

continuing violation 344-5
deprivation/interference with, classification as
denial of return or access to property in Nagorno-Karabakh 345
termination of property rights of Kurdish Azerbaijani nationals 328-30
evidence of ownership/rights of property 322-7, 382-3
non-discrimination obligation (Pinheiro Principle 3) 346
obligation of State to take positive measures to ensure 346
competing obligations, relevance 346
Pinheiro Principles (2005) as guide 346
property claims mechanism 346

“possession” 322-3

peaceful enjoyment of possessions, deprivation/interference with, justification/requirements (ECHR Protocol 1:1(2))

jurisprudence

Chiragov 249-51, 318-30, 342-7
Doğan 322-3
Elsanova 324-5
Kerimova 324
Loizidou 321-2
Lordos 324-5
Oneryıldız 323
Orphanides 324
Index

Prokopovic 324-5
Solomonides 322
ongoing negotiations 346
self-defence 345

Pinheiro Principles (2005) by principle
2 (housing and property restitution) 306
3 (non-discrimination) 307, 346
12 (national procedures, institutions and mechanisms) 307
13 (accessibility of restitution claims procedures) 307-8
15 (housing, land and property records and documentation) 308
15.7 (mass displacement/absence of documentation) 325, 410-11
21 (compensations) 308

precedent (arbitral/claims tribunals)
decision of another arbitral tribunal, with different parties/different fora 134-6

property rights of displaced persons including peaceful enjoyment of possessions
(ECHR Protocol 1:1)
applicable law 327
CoE resolutions and recommendations 308-11: see also peaceful enjoyment of possessions (ECHR Protocol 1:1)
Pinheiro Principles 306-8, 325, 346

proportionality (maritime delimitation)
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 165-9
Black Sea 168
Guinea/Guinea-Bissau 168

Q v. Denmark (non-discrimination obligation in relation to naturalization (ICCPR 26)) 502-18
admissibility (OP 1:5) 514-15
“being examined under another procedure of international investigation or settlement” (OP 1:5(2)(a)) 515
exhaustion of local remedies (OP 1:5(2)(b)) 515
Committee’s decision 517
Committee’s Views on the merits
differential treatment, reasonable and objective grounds requirement (HRC GC 18:13) 516
ICCPR 26 as autonomous right (HRC GC 18:12) 515-16
State responsibility of legislature 515-17
complaint 504-5
State party’s observations on admissibility and merits 505-12
author’s comments on 512-14
effective remedy (ICCPR 2(3)), request for information on measures taken to give effect to Committee’s Views 518
facts as presented by the author 502-4
remedies
compensation 517-18
obligation to provide author with effective remedy (ICCPR 2(3)(a)) 517-18
review of decision in breach of State’s ICCPR obligations 517-18

evidence of/implied 716-21, 752-4
recognition of State/government (cont.)
disclaimer of intent to recognize 766-7
passport, inclusion of place of birth 753-4, 761-4
recognition of Israel 752-4
refugee status/definition (RC 1A)
internal flight/relocation alternative 453-6
religious freedom (ICCPR 18)
BL 454
res judicata/non bis in idem principle (including double jeopardy rule)
Abdullayev 493-4, 497-9
conscientious objection (ICCPR 18(1)) and 494, 497-9
ICCPR 14(7) 490, 494-5

secession of constituent part of a [federal] State
Nagorno-Karabakh 361
remedial secession 376, 428-37
Security Council resolutions by number and year
822 (1993) (Nagorno-Karabakh) 280-1
853 (1993) (Nagorno-Karabakh) 281
874 (1993) (Nagorno-Karabakh) 281
self-determination, right of
Chiragov (separate opinions) 431-8
NKR 375-9
solitary confinement/detention incommunicado as cruel, inhuman or degrading
treatment (including ACHR 5, ICCPR 7 and ICCPR 10)
Abdullayev 492-3
special circumstances/relevant factors (maritime delimitation) (including CSC 6/TSC
12/UNCLOS 15), eligibility for consideration as
coastal configuration
concave/convex coastline 124-30, 132-4
refashioning of nature, exclusion 131
coastal instability 121-4, 132
cut-off effect 124-30, 134-8
economic considerations 130
fishing rights/practices 138-9
terminus of previous delimitation not on equidistance line 88-9
special circumstances/relevant factors (maritime delimitation), jurisprudence
Barbados/Trinidad 138-9
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 77-80, 83-9, 121-39, 158-61
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/Myanmar) 132-3
Cameroon v. Nigeria 132-3
Gulf of Maine 138-9
Jan Mayen 138-9
Nicaragua/Honduras 132
speciality (extradition)
Ahmad & Aswad 656-7
Bermingham 654-8
Norris (No 2) 648-58; see also Norris (No 2)
Tajik 656-7
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Tal 655
Welsh and Thrasher 636, 650-8, 701-2

statehood/classification as State
Nagorno-Karabakh Republic (NKR) 373-5, 417-24

territorial sea, definitions and delimitation (TSC 3-13/UNCLOS 3-16)
baselines (including TSC 3/UNCLOS 5), low-tide elevations (TSC 4(3)/UNCLOS 7(4)) 86-7
Bay of Bengal: see Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Delimitation (Bangladesh/India), territorial sea (delimitation) (UNCLOS 15)
territory/title/territorial sovereignty, evidence of/requirements
Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh/India) 33-5: see also Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Delimitation (Bangladesh/India), land boundary terminus
date of critical date 62
timeliness/obligation to act without undue delay
jurisprudence
Chiragov 259-63
Varnava 257-60
legal certainty and 255-6, 259, 261
torture (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7)
prompt, thorough and impartial investigation requirement 492-3
treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(2)) (context)
other treaties concluded by same parties (CRC 37(a)/ICCPR 24(1)) 481-2
treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(3)) (points to be taken into account together with context), subsequent agreement between the parties regarding the interpretation or application of the treaty (VCLT 31(3)(a)) (India-Pakistan Exchange of Letters (1951)) 60
Turkmenistan: see also Abdullayev (HRC)
Conscription and Military Service Law, 2010 amendments (HRC comments on) 494-5
Conscription and Military Service Law by article, 18(4) (repeated call-up for military service) 493-4
Constitution (2008) by article, 41 (compulsory military service) 494-5
Criminal Code by article, 219(1) (refusal to perform compulsory military service) 493-4

Uganda
amnesty
DPP’s role 538
legality under international law 536, 543-8, 558
pardon (Constitution 121) distinguished 541-3
Amnesty Act 2000: see also Kwoyelo (Uganda Supreme Court)
equal treatment/non-discrimination, right to 536, 548-55, 570-5
object and purpose (“amnesty for Ugandans involved in acts of warlike nature”) 539, 558
selective targeting vs blanket amnesty 537-41
Amnesty Act 2000 by article
preamble (object and purpose), text 520n. 3
1(a) (definition) 539
text 520n. 3
2 (declaration of amnesty: scope and applicability), text 539, 551, 564, 569-70
Uganda (cont.)
2(1)(c) (crime committed in the furtherance of war or armed rebellion) 539-41, 545-
8, 570
2(2) (crime committed in the cause of war or armed rebellion) 539-41, 551
2A (persons ineligible for amnesty) 541
3 (grant of amnesty), text 564-5, 569-70
3(3) (denial of release in case of crimes falling outside s 2) 551-2, 573-5
4(3) (DPP’s role) 538
text 537-8
Amnesty Amendment Act 2006 by section, 3 (persons ineligible for amnesty)
541
Constitution 1995/2006 by article
8A (national interest and common good) 558
21 (equality before the law), text 550-1
21(1) (equality before the law), burden/standard of proof 551
22(1) (deprivation of life: fair trial) 554-5
28(10) (non bis in idem) 542
28(12) (criminal offence; need for definition and penalty prescribed by law) 538
79(1) (power of Parliament to legislate for the peace, order, development and good
governance of Uganda) 558-9
79(2) (functions of Parliament: exclusive right to legislate) 538
120(3)(b) (DPP: power to institute criminal proceedings) 566, 575-6
text 536-7
120(3)(e) (DPP: power to take over criminal proceedings instituted by another
person) 538
120(6) (DPP: independence of any control of any person or authority) 542-3
121(4) (grant of pardon after conviction) 542
126 (exercise of judicial power) 558
Geneva Conventions Act 1964, constitutionality 543-8
Geneva Conventions Act 1964 by section
2 (grave breaches in international conflict) 544-5
3 (grave breaches in non-international conflict) 545
Juba Agreements (2007) 548-56
Northern Uganda conflict, as international/non-international conflict 545
UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (1957/77)
inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7) and 489-90
UNCLOS dispute settlement
choice of procedure (UNCLOS 287) 28-30
UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: section 1 (general provisions))
obligation to exchange views (UNCLOS 283(1)) (Bay of Bengal Maritime Delimitation
(Bangladesh/India)) 30-1
unilateral declaration/undertaking (including validity and legal effects)
Kosovo declaration of independence (17 February 2008) 375-6
United Kingdom
deportation/expulsion/refusal of admission of alien, extradition, deportation or
expulsion to country where risk of breach of human rights including torture/
inhumane treatment and “flagrant breach of justice” (“foreign cases”) 663, 669
double criminality: see also double criminality, jurisdiction; Extradition Act 2003 by
section, 137 (extradition offences: person not sentenced for offence) below
common law practice 614-15
conspiracy to operate price-fixing agreement (Sherman Act)/conspiracy to defraud
(UK law) 605-15
INDEX 803

equivalent act or omission
conduct vs offence text 605-15
"transposition" policy 615-20
review of the legislation/jurisprudence (Norris (No 1)) 605-15
Extradition Act 1870 by section
10 (double criminality) 616-17
text 606
26 (definitions), "extradition crime" 606
Extradition Act 1989 by section, 2(1)(a) (extradition crime: punishment criterion) 608
Extradition Act 2003 by section
82 (passage of time) 620-2, 691-2
text 578n. 6, 620
"unjust" 620
87 (compatibility with ECHR): see also Norris (No 2)
text 578n. 5, 625-32
87(5) (submission of case to Secretary of State for decision on extradition) 636
95 (speciality), text (extracts) 648
103 (appeals to High Court against decision to send case to Secretary of State) 636-48
108 (appeal against extradition order) 648-58
137 (extradition offences: person not sentenced for offence)
Extradition Act 1989 compared 609-10
text 609
137(2)(b) (extradition offences/double criminality: punishment of offence requirements) 615-20
extradition (general considerations including basis of and jurisdiction)
deporation/expulsion of alien as/distinguished 663, 669-70, 688-9, 691
ECHR references to extradition/extension to rights not covered by (including Extradition Act (UK) provisions) 622, 635, 659, 663-5, 670-1, 674-5, 680, 702-3
role in prevention of disorder and crime (ECHR 8(2)) 637, 661-2, 666-7, 670-1, 677, 686-92, 695-700, 703-7
extradition procedure
Back ing of Warrants (Republic of Ireland) Act 1965 by section, 2(2) (double criminality) 608-9
"extradition crime": see Extradition Act 1870 by section, 26 (definitions), "extradition crime" below
reciprocity as fundamental principle 677, 691-2
family/private life, respect for (ECHR 8(1))
"proportionate to the legitimate aim": see Norris (No 2) (compatibility of extradition with Extradition Act 2003, s 87/ECHR 8 (private and family life))
Fugitive Offenders Act 1967, s 3(1)(c) (offence against UK law requirement) 607-8
restraint of trade
"Cartel Agreements, Criminal Conspiracy and the Statutory 'Cartel Offence'" (Lever and Pike) 604
common law 583-92
principles (contemporary significance) 588-92
principles (summary) 587-8
EU law
applicability (post-1973) 594
Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 (competition law) 600-1
United Kingdom (cont.)
jurisprudence
  Adelaide Steamship Co.  585-6
  De Berenger  589-91
  GG  600
  Jones v. North  584, 589-91
  Laker  588-9, 591
  Lewis  589-91
  Mogul  584-5, 587-91
  Nordenfelt  584
  Norris; see Norris
  North Western Salt  586, 590-1
  OBG  590-1
  Rawlings  586-7, 590-1
  Scott v. Brown, Doering, McNab  589-91
  legal certainty/nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege  601-5

ministerial statements on 599

reports/articles on
  Cairns Committee Report (1955)  592-3, 599
  criminalization of cartel offences (Hammond/Penrose) (2001)  596
  “Productivity in the UK: Enterprise and Productivity Challenge” (2001)  595-6

restraint of trade, legislation relating to
  analysis of legislation (Norris (No 1))
    Divisional Court  597
    House of Lords  598-601
  Competition Act  1998  595
  absence of provision on self-incrimination  599
  Competition Act  2002  596-7
    long title  597-9
    s 30A (use of response to investigation under ss 26-8 in s 188 prosecution)  597
    s 188(1) (cartel offence: dishonest arrangements “of the following kind”)  597-9
    s 188(2)(a) (cartel offence: fixing directly or indirectly of the price for supply in the UK)  597
    s 190 (cartel offence: penalty)  597-9
  Enterprise Act  2002  595-6
  Resale Prices Act  1964  593
  Restrictive Practices (Inquiry and Control) Act  1948  592
    s 11 (exclusion of criminal proceedings)  592, 598
  Restrictive Trade Practices Act  1956  593, 598
  Restrictive Trade Practices Act  1968  593-4, 598
  Restrictive Trade Practices Act  1976
    absence of provision on self-incrimination  599
    enactment/object and purpose  594, 598
  Restrictive Trade Practices Act  1976 by section
    6(1) (applicability of Act)  594
    10 (public interest)  594
    35(1) (effect of failure to register agreement appropriately)  595, 600
    35(2) (criminal proceedings)  595, 598, 600
    37(1) (formal examination of s 36 non-complying person)  595
    s 37(2)(b) (obligation to answer questions in formal examination)  595
    s 38 (non-compliance with s 36 request)  595
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United States of America (USA)
Constitution by article
I(8) (powers of Congress) 733-4, 764-5
I(8)(4) (naturalization)
  passport powers and 747-50, 760
  regulation of consular reports of birth abroad as Congress power 750-2
I(8)(18) (Necessary and Proper Clause) 743-6
  “carry into execution”/law directly linked to enumerated power 743
  “proper” 744-50, 760
II(2) (President’s powers: treaties, diplomatic and judicial appointments) 733-4
  exclusive power, whether 719-21
  historical practice 725-9
  jurisprudence reviewed (Zivotofsky) 721-5
  omission of “herein granted” (Constitution I:1)/exercise of unenumerated powers
  recognition of State/government 715-21
II(3) (President’s powers: “take care that the Laws be faithfully executed”) 767-8
foreign relations including recognition and State immunity issues, responsibility for executive and courts, relationship, “one voice” principle 719, 729-31, 768
President, delegation to: see also Constitution by article, II(2) (President’s powers: treaties, diplomatic and judicial appointments) above

passports
Foreign Relations Authorization Act (Fiscal Year 2003, 116 Stat. 1350), s 214(d)
  (right of US citizen born in Jerusalem to have “Israel” in passport as place of birth)
  constitutionality 712-32, 754-72
Naturalization Power (Constitution I:8(4)) and 747-50, 760, 769
  recognition of State, relevance to 761-2
  text 706n, 3
as residual Presidential power 739-43
  Congress’s exercise of enumerated legislative powers 740-1, 760-1, 769-72
President, powers: see also Constitution by article, II(2) (President’s powers: treaties, diplomatic and judicial appointments) above; Zivotofsky (constitutionality of US Foreign Relations Authorization Act 2003) (Supreme Court (2015)), President’s powers relating to recognition of State/separation of powers, jurisprudence
recognition of State
  definition/summary of law relating to 716-21, 761-2
  evidence of/implied disclaimer of intent to recognize 766-7
  passport, inclusion of place of birth 753-4, 761-4
Taiwan (formerly Formosa)
  Foreign Relations Authorization Act (Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995) 746-7, 762-4
  status including recognition/withdrawal of recognition 728-9, 762-4
uti possidetis/intangibility principle, jurisprudence, Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Delimitation (Bangladesh/India) 53

war crimes/crimes against humanity
  obligation to seek out and prosecute, GC (1949) 544

background
procedural history 714-15
recognition of State, definition/summary of law relating to 716-21, 752-4, 761-2
inclusion of place of birth in passport, relevance 753-4, 761-4
US position on Jerusalem 712-14, 753-4, 759-60, 762-4
Court’s conclusions/separate opinions
effect of legislation/FRAA 214(d) in conflict with President’s position 729-32
Breyer J 739, 750
exclusiveness of President’s powers relating to recognition of State 729
regulation of consular reports of birth abroad as Congress power (Breyer J) 750-2
relevance of recognition under FRAA 214(d) (Scalia J) 761-4
judgment 712-32
separate opinions
Breyer J (concurring) 732
Roberts CJ, joined by Alito J (dissenting) 754-72
Scalia J, joined by Roberts CJ and Alito J 759-72
Thomas J (part concurring, part dissenting) 733-54
passports as residual presidential power 739-43
Congress’s exercise of enumerated legislative powers 740-1, 760-1, 769-72
Constitution I:8(4) (Naturalization Power) 747-50, 760, 769
Constitution I:8(18) (Necessary and Proper Clause) 743-6
“carry into execution”/law directly linked to enumerated power 743
“proper” 744-50, 760
President’s powers relating to recognition of State/separation of powers, historical practice 725-9
China (PRC)/China (ROC) (Taiwan) 728-9
Cuba (1898) 728
exercise of unenumerated/residual powers under Constitution II:1 734, 736
France post-1793 726
Haiti and Liberia (1861) 727-8
Philippines (1934) 761-2
scholarly writings
Blackstone 735
Locke 734-5
Massachusetts Constitution (1780) 735, 740-1
Montesquieu 735
PUBLIUS (Alexander Hamilton) in The Federalist 736, 768
South American Spanish Colonies 726-7, 767
Texas post-rebellion against Mexico 727
Washington Administration-Congress relations 737-8
President’s powers relating to recognition of State/separation of powers, jurisprudence 721-5
Baker v. Carr 722-3
Belmont 722
Bowdoin 724-5
Bowen v. Roy 762-3
Cherokee Nation 724-5
Cohens 760
Comstock 742-3, 751-2
Crosby 719, 729
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Curtiss-Wright 723-4, 757-8
Dames & Moore 724, 755-8
Free Enterprise Fund 747
Freytag 732
Garamendi 719
Gonzales v. Raich 743
Guaranty Trust 717, 722
Haig 731-2
Hamdan 757-8
Hamdi 734
Head Money Cases 768
Henderson 769
Jones 724-5
Kent 731-2, 770-1
Knowlton v. Moore 744
Little 724, 757-8, 771
Lopez 742
McCulloch 743, 760-1, 769
Marbury v. Madison 767-8
Medellin 724, 754-8
Miller 750
Morrison 742
National City Bank of NY 717, 722-3
Nixon v. Administrator of General Services 725
NLRB v. Noel Canning 725, 740
Oetjen 717, 725
Palmer 725, 756
Perez v. Mortgage Bankers 745-6
Pink 721, 722, 729
Sabbatino 719-23
The Three Friends 767
Urtetiqui 731, 740
Vermilya-Brown 724-5
Williams 719-22
Wong Kim Ark 750, 760
Youngstown 715-16, 720, 724, 730, 754-8, 771
Zemel 731-2
Zivotofsky v. Clinton 756-7