

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-05914-6 — International Law Reports

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht , Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

INTERNATIONAL
LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 167

*Volumes published under the title:***ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS
OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22) | } | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, KC,
and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24) | | |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26) | } | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, CBE, LLD,
and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28) | | |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30) | } | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32) | | |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34) | | |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37) | | |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40) | | |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) | | |
| Vol. 11 (1943-45) | | |
| Vol. 12 (1946) | | |
| Vol. 13 (1947) | | |
| Vol. 14 (1948) | | |
| Vol. 15 (1949) | | |

*Volumes published under the title:***INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS**

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| Vol. 17 (1950) | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA |
| Vol. 18 (1951) | | |
| Vol. 19 (1952) | | |
| Vol. 20 (1953) | | |
| Vol. 21 (1954) | | |
| Vol. 22 (1955) | | |
| Vol. 23 (1956) | | |
| Vol. 24 (1957) | | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA,
and E. Lauterpacht |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I) | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II) | | |
| Vols. 27-68 and Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1-35 and 36-45 | | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC |
| Vols. 69-150 and Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties to Vols. 1-80, Vols. 81-100 and Vols. 1-125 | | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC,
and Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC |
| Vols. 151-67 | | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC, LLD,
Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC,
and K. L. Lee |

*Lauterpacht Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME
167

Edited by

SIR ELIHU LAUTERPACHT, CBE, QC, LL.D.
*Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge
Bencher of Gray's Inn*

SIR CHRISTOPHER GREENWOOD, CMG, QC
*Judge of the International Court of Justice
Bencher of Middle Temple*

and

KAREN LEE
*Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge
Vice-Mistress and Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-05914-6 — International Law Reports
Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, Christopher Greenwood, Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107059146

© Cambridge University Press 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-05914-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 167	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxix
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	773

PREFACE

The present volume contains the 2014 award of the arbitration tribunal in the *Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration (People's Republic of Bangladesh v. India)* case. International jurisprudence is further reflected in decisions from the European Court of Human Rights (*Chiragov v. Armenia*) and the United Nations Human Rights Committee (*BL, Blessington, Abdullayev and Q*). The volume also contains jurisprudence from England (*Norris Nos 1 and 2*), Uganda (*Kwoyelo*) and the United States (*Zivotofsky v. Kerry*).

The Editors are most grateful to the many people whose work has made this volume possible. Mr Massimo Lando summarized the *Bay of Bengal (People's Republic of Bangladesh v. India)* arbitration award and Ms Ellie Fogarty summarized *Norris*. Ms Sukriti Slehria prepared the summaries of the United Nations Human Rights Committee Views and Ms Rosalind Elphick summarized *Zivotofsky*. Ms Maria Netchaeva, the ILR Editorial Assistant, prepared the Tables of Cases and Digest. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, compiled the Table of Treaties and the Index. Mrs Alison Tickner checked the copy and Mr Jack Webb read the proofs. Ms Karen Lee wrote the summaries of *Kwoyelo* and *Chiragov*, and saw the volume through the press.

The Editors wish to thank Professor Dr Anja Seibert-Fohr and Mr Konstantine Vardzelashvili for selecting the cases from the United Nations Human Rights Committee and supplying the text of the Views for reproduction in these Reports. They are also very grateful to the Permanent Court of Arbitration, the European Court of Human Rights and the Supreme Court of the United States for kindly permitting these Reports to use the electronic files posted on their official websites. The judgments from England published in this volume carry Parliamentary copyright and contain Parliamentary information licensed under the Open Parliament Licence v3.0, or Crown copyright and contain public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0; the electronic files were sourced from the UK Parliament, UK Supreme Court and the British and Irish Legal Information Institute websites.

Finally, our thanks go to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, SPi, and their staff.

E. LAUTERPACHT

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

C. J. GREENWOOD

THE PEACE PALACE,
THE HAGUE

K. L. LEE

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE FOR
INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

May 2016

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or ad hoc arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Union). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Human Rights Committee. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public

international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Albert Jan van den Berg, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the “Report” in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text in non-English cases indicate the pagination of the original report.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, a Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004. Volume 165 contains Consolidated Tables of Cases for volumes 126-165.

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

Abdullayev <i>v.</i> Turkmenistan (Communication No 2218/2012) 484	Kwoyelo Case 519
Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration between the People’s Republic of Bangladesh and the Republic of India (People’s Republic of Bangladesh <i>v.</i> India) (Award) 1	Latoni Case 519
BL <i>v.</i> Australia (Communication No 2053/2011) 439	Norris <i>v.</i> Government of the United States of America (No 1) 577
Blessington and Elliott <i>v.</i> Australia (Communication No 1968/2010) 457	Norris <i>v.</i> Government of the United States of America (No 2) 623
Chiragov and Others <i>v.</i> Armenia (Application No 13216/05) (Admissibility) (Merits) 210	Q <i>v.</i> Denmark (Communication No 2001/2010) 500
	Uganda <i>v.</i> Kwoyelo (alias Latoni) 519
	Zivotofsky <i>v.</i> Kerry, Secretary of State 708

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND
TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND
COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<i>Arbitration Tribunal</i>	<i>United Nations Human Rights Committee</i>
2014	2014
Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration between the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Republic of India (People's Republic of Bangladesh <i>v.</i> India) (Award) 1	BL <i>v.</i> Australia (Communication No 2053/2011) 439
<i>European Court of Human Rights</i>	Blessington and Elliott <i>v.</i> Australia (Communication No 1968/2010) 457
2011	2015
Chiragov and Others <i>v.</i> Armenia (Application No 13216/05) (Admissibility) 210, 223	Abdullayev <i>v.</i> Turkmenistan (Communication No 2218/2012) 484
2014	Q <i>v.</i> Denmark (Communication No 2001/2010) 500
Chiragov and Others <i>v.</i> Armenia (Application No 13216/05) (Merits) 210, 268	

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<i>Uganda</i>	2010
2015	Norris <i>v.</i> Government of the United States of America (No 2) 623, 658
Uganda <i>v.</i> Kwoyelo (alias Latoni) 519	<i>United States of America</i>
<i>United Kingdom, England</i>	2015
2008	Zivotofsky <i>v.</i> Kerry, Secretary of State 708
Norris <i>v.</i> Government of the United States of America (No 1) 577	
2009	
Norris <i>v.</i> Government of the United States of America (No 2) 623, 631	

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics.
 For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	<i>International Tribunals</i>
Aliens	<i>Jurisdiction</i>
Arbitration	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Canals	Nationality
Claims	Recognition
Comity	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Conciliation	Reprisals and Countermeasures
Consular Relations	Rivers
Damages	<i>Sea</i>
Diplomatic Relations	Sources of International Law
Economics, Trade and Finance	Space
Environment	State Immunity
Expropriation	State Responsibility
<i>Extradition</i>	State Succession
General Principles of International Law	<i>States</i>
Governments	<i>Territory</i>
<i>Human Rights</i>	Terrorism
International Court of Justice	<i>Treaties</i>
International Criminal Law	<i>War and Armed Conflict</i>
International Organizations	

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 167

Page

Extradition

Extradition Act 2003 — Whether statutory bars to extradition — Whether extradition compatible with Human Rights Act 1998 — Article 8 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Interference with Article 8 rights — Correct test to apply in determining proportionality of interference — Whether gravity of crime affecting proportionality — Speciality rule — Application and requirements of speciality rule — Public interest — Shared interest of States in preventing and punishing crime — Applicability of Article 8 in extradition cases — United Kingdom, High Court, Supreme Court

Norris v. Government of the United States of America (No 2) 623

Extradition offences — Double criminality — Whether price-fixing without aggravating features a common law crime in United Kingdom — Restrictive trade practices — Transposition and substitution — Legal certainty — No one to be punished under a law unless it is sufficiently clear and certain — No one to be punished for an act which was not clearly and ascertainably punishable when committed — Whether “offence test” or “conduct test” of double criminality applying under Extradition Act 2003 — Public interest — Passage of time as potential risk to fair trial — Interference with European Convention on Human Rights Article 8 rights — England, House of Lords

Norris v. Government of the United States of America and Others (No 1) 577

Human Rights

Discrimination and unequal treatment — Respondent charged with offences arising from alleged activities during rebellion in northern Uganda — Amnesty Act — Respondent renouncing rebellion and seeking amnesty — Respondent denied amnesty under Amnesty Act — Director of Public Prosecutions granting amnesty to others renouncing rebellion — Whether respondent victim of discrimination and unequal treatment under Amnesty

Human Rights (*cont.*)

Act — Whether respondent entitled to amnesty under
 Amnesty Act — Uganda, Supreme Court

Uganda v. Kwoyelo (alias Latoni)

519

Ill-treatment in prison — Whether violation of rights under
 Articles 7 and 10 of International Covenant on Civil and
 Political Rights, 1966 — Right to be treated with humanity and
 respect for inherent dignity — Double jeopardy principle —
 Whether violation of Article 14(7) of Covenant — Right to
 freedom of thought, conscience and religion — Right to
 conscientious objection to military service — Civilian alternative
 to compulsory military service — Whether inherent right to
 freedom of thought, conscience and religion — Fundamental
 character of freedoms enshrined in Article 18(1) of Covenant —
 Whether State Party violating its obligations under Articles 7, 10,
 14(7) and 18(1) of Covenant — Effective remedy — United
 Nations Human Rights Committee

Abdullayev v. Turkmenistan (Communication No 2218/2012)

484

Imposition of life sentences on juveniles without a real possibility
 of release on parole — Right not to be subjected to cruel,
 inhuman and/or degrading punishment — International
 Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Article 7 — Aims
 of penitentiary system — Article 10(3) of Covenant —
 Retroactive application of penal laws — Article 15(1) of
 Covenant — Right to such measures of protection as are
 required by status as a minor — Article 24(1) of Covenant —
 Whether State violating its obligations under Articles 7, 10 and 24
 of Covenant — Effective remedy — United Nations Human
 Rights Committee

*Blessington and Elliott v. Australia (Communication No 1968/
 2010)*

457

Property rights — Whether applicants having “possessions”
 within meaning of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 to European
 Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Right to respect for
 family and private life under Article 8 of Convention —
 Armenia ratifying Convention on 26 April 2002 — Relevance
 of earlier events — Applicants forced to flee homes and property
 after military attack in May 1992 — Whether interference with
 peaceful enjoyment of applicants’ possessions — Whether
 justification for interference — Whether proprietary rights

DIGEST OF CASES

xxi

remaining valid — Applicants denied access to homes — Whether justified — Whether Armenia responsible for continuing violations of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 to European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 and Article 8 of Convention — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05)
(Admissibility) (Merits)

210

Protection of property — Right to respect for private and family life — Right to an effective remedy — Prohibition of discrimination — Applicants forced to flee homes and property in Azerbaijan during Armenian–Azerbaijani conflict over Nagorno-Karabakh — Applicants claiming prevented from returning to homes and property in Armenian occupied territory — Applicants not receiving compensation — Admissibility of applicants' application — Armenian Government raising preliminary objections — Whether matter already submitted to other international procedure — Whether Court having jurisdiction *ratione temporis* — Whether applicants failing to exhaust domestic remedies — Whether applicants lacking victim status — Whether Armenia having jurisdiction over Nagorno-Karabakh and surrounding territories — Whether matters complained of within Armenia's jurisdiction — Whether continuing violation of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 to European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether continuing violation of Article 8 of Convention — Whether continuing violation of Article 13 of Convention — Whether separate issue arising under Article 14 of Convention — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05)
(Admissibility) (Merits)

210

Right to effective remedy — Applicants denied access to property and homes — Lack of compensation — Whether adequate and effective remedy available to applicants — Whether realistic displaced Azerbaijanis afforded effective redress — Whether Armenia responsible for continuing violation of Article 13 of Convention — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05)
(Admissibility) (Merits)

210

Human Rights (*cont.*)

Right to equality before the law and equal protection of the law without any discrimination — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Article 26 — Whether State Party applying language requirement for naturalization in arbitrary and discriminatory manner — Requirement to give reasons for decision — Effective remedy — Whether State Party violating Article 26 of Covenant — United Nations Human Rights Committee

Q v. Denmark (Communication No 2001/2010) 500

Right to freedom from interference with private and family life — Article 8 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Human Rights Act 1998 — Compatibility of extradition with human rights — Section 87 of Extradition Act 2003 — England, House of Lords

Norris v. Government of the United States of America and Others (No 1) 577

Right to freedom from interference with private and family life — Article 8 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether interference with right proportionate — Applicable test — Balancing rights of individual against public interest — Whether gravity of crime relevant factor — Whether Article 8 rights of extraditee's family members relevant — Whether possibility of prosecution of extraditee within requested State relevant — United Kingdom, High Court, Supreme Court

Norris v. Government of the United States of America (No 2) 623

Whether sufficient substantiation of claims — Whether domestic remedies exhausted — Request for refugee status — Whether deportation by State Party violating obligations under International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Right to life — Article 6 of Covenant — Right of protection against cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment — Article 7 of Covenant — Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion — Article 18 of Covenant — Doctrine of internal flight or relocation — Principle of non-refoulement — Whether State Party violating its obligations under Articles 6, 7 and 18 of Covenant — United Nations Human Rights Committee

BL v. Australia (Communication No 2053/2011) 439

International Tribunals

Arbitration tribunal constituted under UNCLOS Annex VII — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Jurisdiction — Unilateral application — Maritime boundary delimitation — Site visit — Arbitration Tribunal

Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration between the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Republic of India (People's Republic of Bangladesh v. India) (Award)

1

Procedure and evidence — Evidence — Ownership of property — Human rights application — Whether flexible standard of proof — Whether account to be taken of practical difficulty for applicants in obtaining evidence — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05) (Admissibility) (Merits)

210

Procedure and evidence — Weight to be given to evidence submitted by one party in the absence of any contrary evidence — Evidence of ill-treatment in prison submitted by former prisoner — Absence of any contradictory evidence from detaining State — United Nations Human Rights Committee

Abdullayev v. Turkmenistan (Communication No 2218/2012)

484

Jurisdiction

Extraterritorial jurisdiction — Whether Armenia having jurisdiction over Nagorno-Karabakh and surrounding territories — Whether Armenia exercising effective control over Nagorno-Karabakh and surrounding territories, including district of Lachin — Question of fact — Primary determining factor — Military presence — Other relevant factors — Military, economic and political support — Whether matters complained of within Armenia's jurisdiction for purposes of Article 1 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05) (Admissibility) (Merits)

210

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law

Recognition of foreign States — Recognition of sovereignty over territory — Status of Jerusalem — Petitioner United States citizen born in Jerusalem — Petitioner requesting place of birth in passport to be designated as Israel in accordance with Section 214(d) of Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2003 — United States Executive policy not to take position on political status of Jerusalem — Passport issued listing Jerusalem as birth place — Petitioner filing claim against State Department invoking Act — Separation of powers — Foreign affairs — Constitution delegating foreign affairs powers to Executive and Legislative — Constitutionality of Section 214(d) of Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 2003 — United States Supreme Court

Zivotofsky v. Kerry, Secretary of State 708

Treaties — 1972 Extradition Treaty between United Kingdom and United States — Obligations with respect to extradition requests — Extradition Act 2003 — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Human Rights Act 1998 — Domestic case law — United Kingdom, High Court, Supreme Court

Norris v. Government of the United States of America (No 2) 623

Treaties — Crimes against international law — Amnesty — Legality and constitutionality of Amnesty Act — Constitution of Uganda — Uganda's international law obligations — Whether Uganda violating Uganda's obligations under international treaties ratified and implemented in domestic law — Geneva Conventions Act — Whether applicable — Whether Amnesty Act impinging on prosecutorial powers of Director of Public Prosecutions — Whether Amnesty Act granting blanket amnesty for all crimes — Whether uniform standards in respect of amnesty — Whether Amnesty Act inconsistent with Constitution of Uganda — Uganda, Supreme Court

Uganda v. Kwoyelo (alias Latoni) 519

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Human Rights Act 1998 — Extradition Act 2003 — Whether extradition compatible with Convention rights — England, House of Lords

Norris v. Government of the United States of America and Others (No 1) 577

Sea

Maritime boundary delimitation — Single maritime boundary — Territorial sea — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 (“UNCLOS”) — Article 15 — Equidistance/special circumstances — Whether suitable base points could be on low-tide elevations — Exclusive Economic Zone — Continental shelf — Continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles — UNCLOS Articles 74 and 83 — Three-stage approach — Equidistance/relevant circumstances — Proportionality — Relevant coasts — Relevant area — Bay of Bengal — Coastal instability — Concavity of coast — Cut-off effect — Dependence on fishing — Angle-bisector method — Whether geographical configuration of Bay of Bengal warranting use of angle-bisector method — UNCLOS Article 76 — “Grey area” issue — Whether UNCLOS allowing “grey areas” — Arbitration Tribunal

Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration between the People’s Republic of Bangladesh and the Republic of India (People’s Republic of Bangladesh v. India) (Award)

1

States

Recognition — Entity proclaimed as State — “Nagorno-Karabakh Republic” — “NKR” not recognized by any State or international organization — Armenian–Azerbaijani conflict over Nagorno-Karabakh — Armenia, Azerbaijan and “NKR” signing ceasefire agreement in 1994 — 2001 undertaking to settle conflict peacefully — Whether “NKR” and Armenia integrated — Level of dependency between Armenia and “NKR” — Whether Armenia exercising effective control over Nagorno-Karabakh and the surrounding territories, including the district of Lachin — Whether matters complained of under European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 within jurisdiction of Armenia — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05) (Admissibility) (Merits)

210

Territory

Land boundary terminus — 1947 Radcliffe Award — Whether Radcliffe Award determining land boundary terminus between Bangladesh and India — Maps — Whether a map constituting contemporaneous evidence to be used for determination of land boundary terminus — Whether exchange of letters between Parties constituting binding agreement on their land

Territory (*cont.*)

boundary — Level of seniority of officials concerned — Arbitration Tribunal

Bay of Bengal Maritime Boundary Arbitration between the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Republic of India (People's Republic of Bangladesh v. India) (Award)

1

Sovereignty — Recognition of sovereignty over disputed territory — Jerusalem — Whether part of Israel — United States legislation requiring that passport of United States citizen born in Jerusalem show place of birth as Israel — United States Executive policy of taking no position regarding sovereignty over Jerusalem — United States Supreme Court

Zivotofsky v. Kerry, Secretary of State

708

Treaties

Interpretation — Aids to interpretation — Relevance of one treaty in the interpretation of another — Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1989 — Near-universal participation — Relevance in the interpretation of obligations of State Party to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — United Nations Human Rights Committee

Blessington and Elliott v. Australia (Communication No 1968/2010)

457

War and Armed Conflict

Armed conflict — Distinction between international and non-international armed conflict — Non-international armed conflict — Rebellion in northern Uganda — Respondent charged with offences under Geneva Conventions Act — Amnesty Act — Constitutionality of Amnesty Act — Respondent renouncing rebellion and seeking amnesty — Whether right to amnesty — Whether Director of Public Prosecutions acting within his powers in prosecuting respondent for specific crimes under Geneva Conventions Act — War crimes and grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions — Uganda, Supreme Court

Uganda v. Kwoyelo (alias Latoni)

519

Occupation — Armenian–Azerbaijani conflict over Nagorno-Karabakh — Applicants fleeing district of Lachin in

DIGEST OF CASES

xxvii

Azerbaijan — Armenia occupying territory — Internally displaced persons — Applicants claiming loss of property and home — Whether applicants having victim status — Evidence — Whether Armenia having jurisdiction over Nagorno-Karabakh and surrounding territories, including district of Lachin — Whether Armenia exercising effective control — Military presence — Military, economic and political support — Whether Armenia violating Article 1 of Protocol No 1 to European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 and Articles 8 and 13 of Convention — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Chiragov and Others v. Armenia (Application No 13216/05)
(Admissibility) (Merits) 210

Occupation — Jerusalem — Whether Jerusalem part of Israel — United States Supreme Court

Zivotofsky v. Kerry, Secretary of State 708

TABLE OF TREATIES

This table contains a list, in chronological order according to the date of signature, of the treaties referred to in the decisions printed in the present volume. It has not been possible to draw a helpful distinction between treaties judicially considered and treaties which are merely cited.

In the case of bilateral treaties, the names of the parties are given in alphabetical order and references to the texts of treaties have been supplied, including wherever possible at least one reference to a text in the English language unless these are known to be included in the Flare Index to Treaties available at <http://ials.sas.ac.uk/treatyindex.htm>. Multilateral treaties, all included in the Flare Index, are referred to by the name by which they are believed commonly to be known.

1907

- Oct. 18 Hague Convention No IV on the Laws and Customs of Warfare on Land, Regulations annexed to the Convention
Section III
Art. 42..... 304-5, 426
Art. 49..... 305-6

1919

- June 28 Covenant of the League of Nations (Part I of the Treaty of Versailles)
Art. 10 430

1928

- Aug. 27 General Treaty Providing for the Renunciation of War (Kellogg–Briand Pact) 430

1933

- Dec. 26 Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (165 LNTS 19; PAULTS 37; 137 BFSP 282; USTS 881; 49 Stat 3097; 4 US Treaties 4807; 3 Bevans 145; 6 Hudson 620; 28 AJIL (1934) Supp. 75)
Art. 1..... 374-5, 432-3
Art. 10 430
Art. 11 430

1945

- June 26 Charter of the United Nations
 Chapter I
 Art. 2(4) 430

1949

- Aug. 12 Geneva Conventions Relative to the Protection of the Victims of
 International Armed Conflicts (Common Articles) 543-8
- Aug. 12 Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons
 in Time of War (Geneva Convention IV)
 Art. 146 527
 Art. 147 546, 560-6

1950

- Nov. 4 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and
 Fundamental Freedoms, First Protocol (20 March 1952)
 Art. 1 233-4, 244-9, 263-4, 318-30, 342-7, 326-8,
 370-1, 436-7

1958

- Apr. 29 Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf
 Art. 6 185-93

1966

- Dec. 16 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
 Art. 2 482-3, 496, 518
 Art. 2(3)(a) 482, 496, 517-18
 Art. 5(1) 452
 Art. 6 444-5, 452-4
 Art. 6(5) 481-2
 Art. 7 444-5, 452-4, 459,
 465-6, 468-70, 475-6, 479-82, 487-90, 492-3, 495
 Art. 10 487-8
 Art. 10(1) 493, 495
 Art. 10(2)(b) 481-2
 Art. 10(3) 459, 465, 470-2, 476, 479-82
 Art. 14(7) 487-8, 490, 494-5
 Art. 15 493-4, 497-9
 Art. 15(1) 459, 466, 472-4, 476-8, 480, 482
 Art. 18 444-5, 451-4
 Art. 18(1) 487-8, 490, 494-7
 Art. 18(3) 496-7
 Art. 24 482
 Art. 24(1) 459, 464-5, 474-5, 478-82

TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxi

	Art. 26	502-18, 572
	Art. 27	572
Dec. 16	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Optional Protocol (First)	
	Art. 5(1).....	479, 492, 515
	Art. 5(2)(a).....	451, 479, 492, 515
	Art. 5(2)(b).....	451-2, 492, 515
	Art. 5(4).....	482, 495, 517
1972		
June 8	UK–USA, Extradition Treaty (as amended by a Supplementary Treaty (25 June 1982) and an Exchange of Notes (19/20 August 1986)) (28 UST 227; TIAS 8468; Parl. Papers, Misc. 2 (1972), Cmnd 5040)	
	Art. I	701
1977		
June 8	Protocol additional to the Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War (Geneva Convention IV) (Protocol II) (relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts)	
	Art. 6(5).....	535, 572
1982		
Dec. 10	UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)	
	Part II, Section 2	
	Art. 7(2).....	80-1, 83
	Art. 13.....	71-3, 86-7
	Art. 15.....	25, 77-89, 121-31
	Part V	
	Art. 56.....	171
	Art. 56(1)(a).....	170
	Art. 56(3).....	170
	Art. 57.....	171
	Art. 58.....	171
	Art. 74.....	25, 185-93, 198-9
	Art. 74(1).....	89-144, 184-93, 198-9
	Part VI	
	Art. 76.....	31-3
	Art. 76(1).....	201-3
	Art. 76(4).....	146, 156, 206-7
	Art. 76(5).....	206-7
	Art. 76(8).....	32
	Art. 77.....	171

Art. 77(1).....	31-2
Art. 77(2).....	31-2
Art. 78.....	171
Art. 79.....	171
Art. 83.....	25, 31-2, 146-61, 163-9
Art. 83(1).....	89-144, 156-61, 184-93, 198-9
Part XV, Section 1	
Art. 283	30
Part XV, Section 2	
Art. 287	11, 28-30
Part XV, Section 3	
Art. 298	30
Annex II (Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf)	31-3
Annex III (Basic conditions of prospecting, exploration and exploitation)	
Art. 9	32
Annex VII (Arbitration)	
Art. 1	30
Art. 3(a)	12
Art. 3(b)	12
Art. 3(c)	12
Art. 3(e)	12
Art. 6(b)	13

1989

Nov. 20	Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)
	Art. 37(a) 464-5, 468-70, 475, 478-9, 481-2
	Art. 37(b) 468-72, 478-9
	Art. 40(1) 464-5, 468-70, 478-9

1993

Nov. 15	Australia–Hong Kong [Special Administrative Region], Agreement for the Surrender of Accused and Convicted Persons ([1997] ATS 11)
	Art. 3(3)..... 614-15

1998

July 17	Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court
	Art. 8..... 527-8
Nov. 1	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950) as amended by Protocol 11, effective as of 1 November 1998 (ECHR)
	Art. 1..... 237-44, 330-42, 355-70, 383-401, 411-28
	Art. 7(1)..... 601-5

TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxiii

Art. 8.....	234, 264-8, 347-9, 370-1, 631-58, 658-87, 692-700
Art. 8(2).....	234, 640-2, 661-2, 675-6, 687-90, 692-700, 703-7
Art. 13	234, 265-6, 349-50, 370-1
Art. 14	234, 266-8, 350-2, 370-2
Art. 19	382
Art. 26(4)	269
Art. 26(5)	269
Art. 30	269
Art. 35(1)	244-9, 311-18, 379-81, 403-8
Art. 35(2)(b)	235-6
Art. 35(3)(a)	264, 265, 266, 267-8
Art. 36	223-4
Art. 36(1)	269
Art. 41	352-3
Art. 45(2)	353

2003

Mar. 31	UK–US, Extradition Treaty (UKTS 13 (2007), Cm 7146; TIAS 07–427)
	Art. 2(4)..... 701