

INDEX

- Abacha, Sani, 40–41
- abuse of functions, in anti-corruption treaties, 82–83
- accessibility, in cooperative confiscation laws, 204–207
- active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction and, 60–61
- Additional Protocol to the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (COECrimCC-AP), 16t
- Ad Hoc Committee for the Negotiation of a Convention against Corruption (GA), asset recovery and, 23–27
- administrative law, private sector bribery in, 77–80
- Advocaten voor de Wereld VZW v. Leden van de Minsterraad*, 209
- African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (AfCHPR)
- collective right to wealth and resources under, 281–288
- group property rights and, 10–11
- interference and its justification, Art. 14 provisions concerning, 279–281
- procedural guarantees in, 95–96
- property rights in, 276–288
- property rights issues and, 291–292
- scope of rights under Art. 14, 276–279
- summary of property rights in, 288
- African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (AfCmHPR), 276–277
- collective right to wealth and resources and, 286–288
- fairness of enforcement and, 295
- on interference in property rights cases, 279–281
- property rights issues and, 291–292
- scope of property rights and, 276–279
- African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (AfCtHPR), 276–277
- summary of property rights in rulings of, 288
- African Union (AU)
- anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3
- discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 61–62
- foreign versus domestic bribery provisions in treaties of, 66–70
- private sector bribery in, 77–80
- African Union Convention on Preventing and Combating Corruption (AUCPCC), 16t
- abuse of functions and breach of duty provisions in, 82–83
- active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
- asset diversion and misuse provisions, 83–84
- bribee definitions in, 66–70
- briber identity, in public bribery provisions, 65–66
- confiscation provisions in, 103–105
- consideration for the bribe, 73–74
- contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
- corruption definition in, 20–22
- duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in, 124–126
- illicit wealth provisions, 84–87
- mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60

- African Union Convention (cont.)
 mental elements of bribery, 74–76
 money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
ne bis in idem (double jeopardy) in, 99
 object- and value-based confiscation provisions in, 114–116
 passive personality/protective principles, discretionary jurisdiction, 61–62
 penalties for corruption in, 92
 prevention and investigation of corruption in, 94–95
 private sector bribery in, 77–80
 procedural guarantees in, 95–96
 proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 public sector bribery offenses provisions, 64–76
 trading and abusing influence provisions in, 80–82
Ahorugeze v. Sweden, 156–162, 166–169
Air Canada v. UK
 foreign proceeds confiscation in, 189–190
in rem property in, 175–177
 interference rules in, 190–195
 local confiscation orders in, 186–187
 procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 234–235
 protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
 value of foreign confiscation orders in, 223–228
 Al Barakaat International Foundation, 245–248
 alien property rights, sovereignty issues and, 33–35
Al-Jedda v. UK, 162–166
 Alldridge, Peter, 109–111
Allgemeine Gold- und Silberscheideanstalt AG (AGOSI) v. UK, 175–177, 186–187, 189–195, 234–235
 procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
 Al-Qaida, 243–248
 frozen assets of, 46–47
 Al-Qaida Sanction Committee, 46–47
Al-Saadoon and Mufdhi v. UK, 166–170, 171–172
Al-Skeini and Others v. UK, 147–148
 American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR)
 Art. 21, 10–11
 collective dimension in asset recovery and, 275
 interference in property rights under, 270–271
 justification for interference in, 271–275
 personal scope of property rights in, 266–267
 property rights issues and, 291–292
 property rights under, 264–276
 substantive scope of property rights and, 269–270
 summary of enforcement orders applicability, 275–276
 temporal scope of property rights in, 266
 territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
 American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man (ADRDM), 264–276
 anti-corruption treaties
 abuse of functions and breach of duty provisions in, 82–83
 abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions, 212–217
 asset diversion and misuse in, 83–84
 bribee identity in, 66–70
 briber's role in, 65–66
 compatibility with human rights, 140–141
 confiscation of instrumentalities and, 111–112
 confiscation orders enforcement, 121

- connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
- contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
- criminalization of corruption and, 8, 63, 100
- defenses contained in, 90–92
- detection instruments in, 93–94
- discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 60–63
- discretion not to confiscate, 121
- double jeopardy and legal certainty in, 210–212
- dual criminality requirement in cooperative confiscation and, 209
- duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation in, 123–129
- duty to enable confiscation content in, 106–123
- enforcement of, 93–100
- foreign versus domestic bribery provisions in, 66–70
- historical development of, 1–3
- illicit enrichment provisions in, 84–87
- immunity from jurisdiction in, 97–98
- international confiscation standards and, 129–137
- lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
- liability of things to confiscation in, 109–116
- mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
- money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) principle in, 99
- non-enforcement of, 96–100
- norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–172
- obstruction of justice provisions in, 90
- penalties in, 92
- persons affected by confiscation, 116–120
- predicate offenses to confiscation in, 107–109
- prescriptive provisions for criminalization in, 63–93
- prevention and investigation procedures in, 94–95
- private sector bribery in, 77–80
- procedural guarantees in, 95–96
- proceeds and instrumentalities as property in, 112–114
- property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
- protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
- public sector bribery offenses, 64–76
- social, political, and intellectual developments and, 3–5
- sovereignty principle in, 99
- statutes of limitations, 98
- substantive scope of property rights in, 172–183
- summary of provisions in, 93
- suppression conventions as, 13–17
- third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, public sector bribery, 70–71
- trade and abuse of influence in, 80–82
- Apostolakis v. Greece*, 223–228
- Arab Charter on Human Rights (ArCHR), 288–290, 291–292
- Arab Forum on Asset Recovery, 54
- Arab Human Rights Committee (ArHRCmte), 288–290
- Arab Spring. *See also specific countries* asset recovery and, 9, 47–54 property rights in wake of, 288–290
- arbitrariness
 - accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207
 - mutual legal assistance powers compatibility with rule of law and, 203–217
 - refusal of assistance, grounds for, 207–217
- Arcuri and Others v. Italy*, 187–189, 195, 236–237, 252–254
- Asaad, Bashar al-, 47–54
- ASEAN Human Rights Declaration (AHRD), 290–291
- Asian Development Bank (ADB), anti-corruption efforts in, 17–20

- Asia-Pacific region
 absence of human rights treaty in, 31–33
 anti-corruption efforts in, 17–20
 human rights to property, 290–291
- asset diversion and misuse, in
 anti-corruption treaties, 83–84
- asset recovery
 abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions, 212–217
 in Arab Spring, 47–54
 assurances, effect in, 166–169
 case studies in, 38–56
 collective and individual interests in, 9
 collective dimension in, 275
 compensation provision and, 257
 cooperative confiscation as tool for, 101
 counter-terrorist sanctions regimes, 46–47
 criminalization of corruption and, 299–301
 in customary international law, 33–35
 definitions of, 9, 22–29, 56–57, 293–294
 deterrence of corruption and, 140–141
 double jeopardy and legal certainty in cases of, 210–212
 dual criminality requirement in cooperative confiscation and, 209
 duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation and, 124
 duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth, 137–138
 equality, interaction with rights to property, 259–261
 in failed states, 42–46
 fairness of enforcement proceeding in, 228–252
 flagrant denial of rights criteria and, 156–162
 foreign confiscation orders, value of, 223–228
 fragmentation of international law and, 293
 human rights and, 1, 140–141
 international law and, 5, 294
 jurisdiction rules and, 58–63
 justification for interference and, 273–275
 lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
 legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 literature sources on, 23n.65
 narrow definition of, 27–28
 new Arab property rights and, 288–290
 norm conflicts in public international law and, 169–172
 peoples' rights to property and, 35–37
 personal scope of property rights, 145–146
 practical challenges to, 54–55
 preventative confiscation and, 237–239
 property in cases of, 182–183
 property rights and, 6–8, 56–57
 proportionality in cases of, 10–11, 248–252
 public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
 regional property rights and, 264
 requested state responsibilities in, 153–172
 sanctions case law and, 193–195
 substantive scope of property rights and, 269–270
 successful recovery cases, 38–42
 temporal scope in, 255–256
 temporal scope of property rights, 144–145
 UNCAC definition of, 23–27
- assistance in confiscations
 compatibility with rule of law, 203–217
 grounds for refusal, 207–217
- Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), property rights issues and, 31–33, 290–291
- assurances, flagrant denial of justice and effect of, 166–169
- asylum rights, due diligence standard and requesting state trustworthiness and, 162–166

- aut dedere aut judicare* principle,
mandatory assumptions of
jurisdiction and, 59–60
- Axen, Hermann, 145–146, 178–181,
230
- Azinas v. Cyprus*, 223–228
- Babar Ahmad and Others v. UK*,
156–162, 166
- background corruption, trade and abuse
of influence and, 80–82
- Baklanov v. Russia*, 201–202
- Balkan v. Russia*, 195
- Banfield v. UK*, 223–228
- Bank for International Settlements
(BIS), *Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case
and, 40–41
- banking secrecy
asset recovery responsibilities and,
23–27
duty to cooperate for the purposes of
confiscation and, 124–126
Swiss laws on, 12
- Banković v. Belgium*, 147–148
- bare possession of property, as property
right, 178
- Ben Ali, Zine El-Abidine, 47–54
- Benet Czech, spo. s.r.o. v. Czech Republic*,
177, 255–256
- Beyeler v. Italy*, 178, 182–183
- bilateral mutual legal assistance treaties
(MLATs), confiscation provisions,
134–136
- bin Laden, Usama, 46–47,
243–248
- Blake v. UK*, 239
- bona fide* third parties, 122
bilateral mutual legal assistance
treaties on confiscation and,
134–136
duty to cooperate in disposal of
confiscated illicit wealth, 137–138
EU framework decisions on
confiscation and, 131–133
persons affected by confiscation and,
116–120
protection of, 252–254
SADC-MLAP confiscation
requirements concerning, 134
- Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm Ve
Ticaret Anonim Sirketi v. Ireland*,
162–166, 169–170, 171–172
- Bozano v. Switzerland*, 198–199
- breach of duty
abuse of functions and, 82–83
in private sector bribery, 78–79
- bribery
Arab Spring asset recovery cases
and, 49
confiscation of instrumentalities and,
111–112
consideration for the bribe, 73–74
contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
definitions of, 12–13
foreign versus domestic bribery
provisions, bribery identification,
66–70
in personam rights and, 177
in private sector, 77–80
mental elements of, 74–76
Nigeria v. Sani Abacha case, 40–41
objective elements in, 71–72
OECD Convention, 20–22
proceeds and instrumentalities as
property and, 112–114
proceeds-not-profits doctrine and,
109–111
in public sector, 64–76
territorial scope of property rights
and, 267–269
third party beneficiaries and
intermediaries, 70–71
- burden of proof
connection between thing and
offense in confiscation
instruments, 115–116
flagrant denial of rights and degree
of injustice criteria and,
156–162
lawfulness of interference and,
196–219
- Butler v. UK*, 190, 195, 202–203,
237–239, 254
- Cairo Declaration on Islamic Human
Rights (CDIHR), 288–290
- Calvo Doctrine, alien property rights
and, 33–35

- Canada, Arab Spring asset recovery supported by, 54
- Cantos v. Argentina*, 266
- Carter, Jimmy, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and, 1–3
- case studies in asset recovery, 38–56. *See also specific cases*
- sanctions case law and, 193–195
- Cayman Islands banks, *Peru v. Vladimiro Lenin Montesinos Torres* case, 41–42
- Cebotari v. Moldova*, 215–216
- censorship laws, confiscation under, 186–187
- Centre for Minority Rights Development (Kenya) and Minority Rights Group International on behalf of Endorois Welfare Council v. Kenya*, 279–281, 286–288, 296–298
- Chahal v. UK*, 166–169
- Chaparro Álvarez and Lapo Íñiguez v. Ecuador*, 266–267, 270–271, 273–275, 291–292, 295–298
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (EUCFR), European human rights to property and, 141–143
- Charter of the United Nations (UNC), counter-terrorist sanctions regimes and, 46–47
- civil law
- limits of state power and, 293
 - persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
 - private sector bribery in, 77–80
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - qualified rights, flagrant denials and, 166
- Civil Law Convention on Corruption (COECivCC), 16t
- active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
- civil proceedings, in *Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
- civil rights and obligations
- proportionality of cooperative confiscation and, 228–230
 - right to fair trial and international cooperation and, 149–150
- Clinton, Bill, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and, 1–3
- Çoban, Ali Rıza, 190–195
- COECivCC. *See* Civil Law Convention on Corruption
- COECrimCC. *See* Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (COECrimCC)
- COECrimCC-AP. *See* Additional Protocol to the Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (COECrimCC-AP)
- COEMLC 1990. *See* Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime (COEMLC 1990)
- COEMLC 2005. *See* Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime and on the Financing of Terrorism
- Cold War, treaty-based human rights to property after, 31–33
- collective wealth
- AfCHPR property rights provisions and, 281–288
 - indigenous and tribal property claims, 275
 - peoples' rights to property and, 35–37
- colonialism, property rights and, 6–8
- COM(2012) 85
- connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 - decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
 - enforcement or non-enforcement of confiscation orders, 121
 - persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
 - procedure for proof of predicate offense in, 108–109
 - proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111

- commercial transactions, public sector
bribery and intent of, 74–76
- Commission, Council, United Kingdom v. Yassin Abdullah Kadi (Kadi No. 4)*, 193–195, 245–248
- Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS)
Convention on Legal Assistance and Legal Relations in Civil, Family and Criminal Matters (Minsk Convention), 204–207
property rights and, 141–143
- Commonwealth of Independent States
Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (CISCHR), property rights and, 141–143, 143n.14
- communal property, human rights and, 29–31
- competing obligations, norm conflicts
in asset recovery and, 170–171
- compliance presumption
due diligence standard and
requesting state trustworthiness and, 165–166
legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
- compulsory acquisition, bare
possession of tangible things
as property, 178
- Conference of States Parties (COSP),
asset recovery and, 27
- confiscation. *See also* cooperative
confiscation; duty to enable
confiscation
in anti-corruption treaties, 294
asset recovery and, 5
concept of, 107
connection between thing and
offense rules, 115–116
cooperative confiscation, 9
in customary international law,
33–35
degree of injustice and flagrant denial
of rights, 156–162
discretion not to confiscate, 121
domestic law to enable, 105–106
duty to cooperate for purposes of,
123–137
duty to cooperate in disposal of illicit
wealth, 137–138
duty to enable confiscation, 102–123
effect on property, 114–116
enforcement of order, 121
exceptional circumstances with,
257–258
fairness of enforcement proceeding
in, 228–252
human rights versus, 140–141
instrumentalities concept in, 111–112
interference rules concerning,
184–196
international standards, 102–106,
129–137
liability of things to, 109–116
new Arab property rights and,
288–290
object-based confiscation provisions,
114–116
offenses predicate to, 107–109
persons affected by, 116–120
Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos asset case, 38–40
proceeds and instrumentalities as
property and, 112–114
proceeds concept and, 109–111
of property, in asset recovery cases,
182–183
property as legitimate expectation
and, 181–182
property liable to, identification and
preservation of, 121–122
property rights and, 8, 144–184
proportionality to general interest in,
219–259
requested state responsibility for
foreign confiscation orders,
152–153
temporal scope of property rights in,
255–256
- conflict-resolution techniques, right to
corruption-free society and,
283–286
- constitutional property, illicit wealth as
property and, 174–175
- Convention on the Civil Aspects of
International Child Abduction
(Hague Convention), 170–171

- Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions (OECD-ABC), 16t
- active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
- bribee identity in, 66–70
- briber identity in, 65–66
- concept of confiscation in, 107
- confiscation standards in, 102–106
- consideration for the bribe, 73–74
- defenses to corruption charges in, 90–92
- duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation in, 124
- foreign versus domestic bribery provisions in, 66–70
- investigative and prosecutorial discretion in, 96–97
- mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
- mental elements of bribery, 74–76
- money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) in, 99
- penalties for corruption in, 92
- persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
- predicate offenses to confiscation and, 107–109
- proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
- public sector bribery provisions, 64–76
- statutes of limitations in, 98
- third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, 70–71
- Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of the Member States of the European Union (EUOCC)
- confiscation provisions in, 103–105
- consideration for the bribe, 73–74
- contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
- corruption definition, 16, 20–22
- domestic versus foreign bribery in, 66–70
- double jeopardy and legal certainty in, 210–212
- duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in, 124–126
- mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction and, 59–60
- mental elements of bribery, 74–76
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) in, 99
- passive personality/protective principles, discretionary jurisdiction, 61–62
- penalties for corruption in, 92
- persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
- third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, passive bribery involving, 70–71
- Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests (EUCPFI), 16t
- active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
- corruption definition, 20–22
- double jeopardy and legal certainty in, 210–212
- mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) in, 99
- penalties for corruption in, 92
- conviction-based confiscation
- procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
- proof of predicate offense and, 108–109
- cooperative confiscation. *See also* confiscation
- abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions, 212–217
- accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in laws concerning, 204–207
- in anti-corruption treaties, 9, 101
- asset recovery and, 5
- barriers to, 54–55
- compatibility with human rights, 140–141

- compensation provision and, 257
- competing obligations in, 170–171
- in customary international law, 33–35
- democratic legitimacy as condition for, 217
- domestic law on duty to cooperate, 105–106
- double jeopardy and legal certainty in, 210–212
- dual criminality requirement, 209
- duty to cooperate for purposes of, 123–137
- duty to enable confiscation, 102–123
- ECHR jurisdiction concept and, 154–162
- illicit wealth as property and, 174–175
- interference with, 186–190
- international law principles and, 218
- lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
- legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
- norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–172
- personal scope of property rights and, 145–146
- prescriptive provisions, 63–93
- procedural fairness and
 - proportionality in, 248–252
- proportionality in ECtHR case law concerning, 228–230
- public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
- refusal of assistance, grounds for, 207–217
- regional treaties and, 295, 298–299
- requested state responsibilities in asset recovery, 153–172
- requesting state hearing
 - proportionality and, 240–245
- summary of legal basis for, 218–219
- temporal scope of property rights, 144–145, 255–256
- territorial scope of property rights, 146–172
- corporate offenders
 - active nationality principle and, 60–61
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 8
 - in bribery offenses, 65–66
 - foreign confiscation orders and, 295
 - international versus transnational law concerning, 13–17
 - personal scope of property rights of, 145–146
 - political prosecution of, 212–217
 - proceeds as property in, 178–181
 - property as legitimate expectation for, 181–182
 - public international law on corruption and, 20–22
 - value of foreign confiscation and, 223–228
- corruption and corrupt practices.
 - See also* criminalization of corruption
 - asset recovery as deterrent to, 140–141
 - bribery in public sector, 64–76
 - criminalization of, 58
 - definitions of, 9, 12–22, 293–294
 - human rights and, 1
 - international definitions of, 20–22, 56–57
 - international treaties and supranational legislative instruments, 16t
 - lack of agreed meaning for, 22
 - offenses, categories of, 64–90
 - public international law common definition, 20–22
 - soft law norms on, 17–20
 - suppression conventions and, 13–17
- corruption-free society, right to, Kofele-Kale's concept of, 283–286
- corrupt trilateral relationship, trade or abuse of influence as, 80–82
- Council Framework Decision EU 2003/568/JHA, 16t
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 - breach of duty in private sector bribery and, 78–79
 - confiscation standards and, 103–105
 - connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116

- Council Framework Decision EU 2003/568/JHA (cont.)
 context and consequences of private sector bribery, 79–80
 mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction and, 59–60
 penalties for corruption in, 92
 private sector bribery in, 77–80
 proceeds concept in confiscation and, 109–111
- Council Framework Decision EU 2003/577/JHA, decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
- Council Framework Decision EU 2005/212/JHA
 confiscation standards and, 103–105
 persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
 proceeds concept in, 109–111
 thing and offense connection in confiscation procedures, 115–116
- Council Framework Decision EU 2006/783/JHA, confiscation cooperation standards and, 131–133, 136–137
- Council of Europe (COE)
 anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3, 17–20
 briber identity in public bribery treaties, 65–66
 confiscation standards and, 102–106
 contents of bribery provisions in treaties of, 72–73
 Convention on Extradition, 198–203
 discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 61–62
 domestic versus foreign bribery in treaties of, 66–70
 European human rights to property and, 141–143
 framework decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
 money laundering convention, 87–89
 object-based confiscation provisions and, 114–116
 persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 private sector bribery in, 77–80
 property definitions of, 112–114
 public sector bribery offenses, 64–76
 terrorism financing convention, 87–89
- Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime (COEMLC 1990)
 confiscation provisions in, 103–105
 enforcement or non-enforcement of confiscation order, 121
 international confiscation standards and, 129–131
 money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
 persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
 procedure for proof of predicate offense in, 108–109
 proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
- Council of Europe Convention on Laundering, Search, Seizure and Confiscation of the Proceeds from Crime and on the Financing of Terrorism (COEMLC 2005)
 connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 enforcement or non-enforcement of confiscation orders, 121
 international confiscation standards and, 129–131
 money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
 procedure for proof of predicate offense in, 108–109
 proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 counter-terrorist sanctions regimes
 asset recovery and, 46–47
 property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
 criminal expenditures, definitions in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 criminalization of corruption
 ambiguity concerning, 58
 in anti-corruption treaties, 9

- defenses to, 90–92
- double jeopardy and legal certainty
 - in, 210–212
- flagrant denial of justice in foreign
 - penalty enforcement, 150–152
- illicit wealth provisions, 84–87
- immunity from jurisdiction and, 97–98
- impact of anti-corruption treaties on, 100
- international issues in, 299–301
- investigative and prosecutorial discretion and, 96–97
- jurisdiction and, 58–63
- legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
- money laundering and concealment, 87–89
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) principle, 99
- obstruction of justice offense, 90
- offense categories, 64–90
- penalties for, 92
- predicate offenses to confiscation, 107–109
- prescriptive provisions for, 63–93
- private sector bribery, 77–80
- procedural fairness and
 - proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
- proceeds and instrumentalities as
 - property in confiscation provisions, 112–114
- property liable to confiscation,
 - identification and preservation of, 121–122
- proportionality requirement and, 296–298
- public sector bribery, 64–76
- right to fair trial and international cooperation and, 149–150
- soft law norms and, 17–20
- sovereignty principle and, 99
- statutes of limitations, 98
- trade and abuse of influence and, 80–82
- criminal law
 - barriers to prosecution, in asset recovery cases, 54–55
 - dual criminality requirement for extradition and, 209
 - international versus transnational law, 13–17
 - qualified rights, flagrant denials and, 166
 - soft law norms and, 17–20
- Criminal Law Convention on
 - Corruption (COECrimCC), 16t
 - active nationality principle, 60–61
 - breach of duty in private sector bribery and, 78–79
 - bribee identity in private sector bribery, 78
 - briber identity in public bribery provisions, 65–66
 - confiscation provisions in, 103–105
 - consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 - contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
 - context and consequences of private sector bribery, 79–80
 - corruption definition in, 20–22
 - discretionary jurisdiction under, 60–63
 - domestic versus foreign bribery in, 66–70
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in, 124–126
 - immunity from jurisdiction in, 97–98
 - mandatory jurisdiction assumptions, 59–60
 - mental elements of bribery, 74–76
 - money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
 - passive personality and protective principles, 61–62
 - penalties for corruption in, 92
 - persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
 - predicate offenses to confiscation and, 107–109
 - prevention and investigation of corruption in, 94–95
 - private sector bribery in, 77–80
 - proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 - statutes of limitations in, 98

- Criminal Law Convention on Corruption (cont.)
- third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, passive bribery involving, 70–71
 - trading and abusing influence provisions in, 80–82
 - value- and object-based confiscation provisions in, 114–116
- criminal organizations
- anti-corruption treaties and, 3–5
 - proceeds as property and, 178–181
 - proceeds from local offenses, confiscation of, 187–189
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 230–232, 236–237
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties and, 252–254
- Crowther v. UK*, 232–233
- cultural defenses, to corruption charges, 90–92
- custodial sentencing, for corruption, 92
- customary international law, property rights in, 33–35
- damage to public interest principle, in public sector bribery, 76
- Dassa Foundation v. Liechtenstein*, 255–256
- Deauville Partnership with Arab Countries in Transition, 54
- de facto* possession
- jurisdiction in cooperative compensation and, 155–156
 - property in asset recovery, 182–183
 - regional treaty comparisons concerning, 295
- defense, in criminalization of corruption, 90–92
- procedural guarantees and, 95–96
 - requesting state hearing proportionality concerning, 240–245
- degree of injustice, flagrant denial of rights and, 156–162
- de jure* possession, jurisdiction in cooperative compensation and, 155–156
- de minimis* value, predicate offenses to confiscation based on, 107–109
- democratic legitimacy, cooperative confiscation and, 217
- Democratic Republic of Congo, asset recovery case involving, 43
- Denisova and Moiseyeva v. Russia*, 181–182, 193–195, 239–240
- deportation decision
- abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions and, 212–217
 - flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice concerning, 156–162
- detection of corruption, anti-corruption treaty provisions for, 93–94
- detention for extradition, accessibility, precision, and foreseeability requirements, 204–207
- developmental defenses, to corruption charges, 90–92
- Dimitar Krastev v. Bulgaria*, 239–240
- Dino Noca v. DRC*, 279–281, 283–286
- diplomatic protection
- customary human rights under, 33–35
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
- direct proceeds, definitions in confiscation provisions, 109–111
- discretionary jurisdiction
- active nationality principle and, 60–61
 - in anti-corruption treaties, 60–63
 - bribery, investigative/prosecutorial discretion, 96–97
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation, 127–128
 - grounds for assumption, 60–63
 - interference rules and, 193–195
 - non-confiscation discretion, 121
 - passive personality/protective principles, 61–62
 - public interest in confiscation of possessions and, 219–222
- discretionary prosecution, double jeopardy and legal certainty and, 210–212
- discriminatory prosecutions
- public interest in confiscation of possessions and, 219–222

- refusal of assistance in cooperative confiscation cases based on, 207–217
- “disguised extradition” principle, 212–217
- disproportionate confiscation, interference rules and, 193–195
- domestic bribery
 - criminalization of, 66–70
 - private sector bribery, 77–80
 - third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, 70–71
- domestic law
 - accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 9
 - confiscation standards and, 105–106
 - discretionary jurisdiction based on, 62–63
 - duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation in, 123–137
 - duty to enable confiscation content in, 106–123
 - effects of confiscation on property in, 114–116
 - illicit enrichment offenses and, 84–87
 - illicit wealth as property and, 174–175
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 - objects and instrumentalities of confiscation under, 186–187
 - predicate offenses to confiscation in, 107–109
 - private sector bribery in, 77–80
 - proportionality in ECtHR case law concerning, 230–240, 258–259
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
 - right to fair trial and international cooperation and, 149–150
- double jeopardy
 - bilateral mutual legal assistance treaties on confiscation and, 134–136
 - connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 - criminalization of corruption and, 99
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 124–126
- EU framework decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
- international confiscation standards, 129–131
- justification for interference and, 296
- persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
- refusal of assistance in cooperative confiscation cases based on, 210–212
- “double punishment/double payment” argument, double jeopardy and legal certainty in confiscation and, 210–212
- “double recovery” principle, proportionality of lawfulness and, 227–228
- DRC v. Mobutu Seso Seko* case, 43
- Drozd and Janousek v. France and Spain*, 150–153, 154–166
- drug trafficking
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 3–5
 - in rem* property rights and, 175–177
 - instrumentalities of local offenses and, 186–187
 - interference to the general interest in, 219–222, 295–296
 - international versus transnational criminal law and, 13–17
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 202–203
 - liability for possession of, 240–245
 - preventative confiscations and, 237–239
 - proceeds from, confiscation of, 187–189
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law, 230–232
 - value of foreign confiscation order and, 223–224
- dual criminality requirement
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 127–128

- dual criminality requirement (cont.)
 - justification for interference and, 296
 - principle *in abstracto*, 207–210
 - principle *in concreto*, 207–210
 - refusal of assistance in cooperative confiscation cases based on, 209
- Dublin Regulation, 162–166
- Duboc v. Austria*, 152–153, 154–162, 169–172
 - arbitrariness in, 203
 - dual criminality requirement and lawfulness in, 209
 - illicit wealth as property in, 174–175
 - in personam* rights in, 177
 - legal basis for enforcement in, 198–199
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 251–252
 - property in asset recovery in, 182–183
 - proportionality of cooperative confiscation in, 228–230, 296–298
 - proportionality of lawfulness to general interest in, 219–222, 226
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
 - temporal scope issues in, 255–256
- due diligence standard, requesting state trustworthiness and, 162–166
- due process rights, illicit enrichment offenses and, 84–87
- duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation, 123–137
- duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth, 137–138
- duty to enable confiscation
 - content of, 106–123
 - cooperative confiscation agreements in, 102–123
 - international standards, 102–106
 - OECD-ABC template for, 102
 - persons affected by confiscation, 116–120
 - regional treaties and instruments, 103–105
 - UNCAC/UNTOC provisions, 105–106
- Duvalier, Jean-Claude (“Baby Doc”), 43–46
- EC Convention between Member States of the European Communities on Double Jeopardy, 210–212
- ECHR. *See* European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
- ECHR-P1. *See* Protocol to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
- ECHR-P7. *See* European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), Protocol No. 7
- Economic and Social Council of the United Nations (ESC)
 - asset recovery terminology in, 23–27
 - soft law norms on corruption and, 17–20
- Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3
- economic policies
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 286–288
 - corruption and, 3–5
- Egypt, asset recovery in, 47–54
- El-Masri* doctrine, flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice and, 156–162
- Embargos Act (Switzerland) (Emba), Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
- embezzlement
 - Arab Spring asset recovery cases and, 49
 - criminalization of, 83–84
 - duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth and, 137–138
 - legal basis for enforcement in cases of, 198–203
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 - Peru v. Vladimiro Lenin Montesinos Torres* case, 41–42
 - proceeds and instrumentalities as property and, 112–114

- value of foreign confiscation in cases of, 223–228
- “emergence” standard for flagrant injustice, 162–166
- encroachment principles, in AfCHPR provisions on interference, 279–281
- enemy combatants category, assurances, effect of in violations cases, 166–169
- enforcement proceedings. *See also* non-enforcement of anti-corruption treaties in AfCHPR provisions on interference, 279–281 in anti-corruption treaties, 93–100, 295 of confiscation, 121 fairness of, 228–252 flagrant denial of justice in foreign penalty enforcement, 150–152 foreign confiscation orders and, 261–263 legal basis for, 198–203 protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254 requested state responsibilities in asset recovery, 153–172 *Engel and Others v. Netherlands*, 149–150, 230–232, 248–252 entry into force, temporal scope of property rights and, 144–145, 266 equality, property and, 259–261 equivalent protection presumption due diligence standard and requesting state trustworthiness and, 165–166 norm conflict in asset recovery and, 171–172 *Eskinazi and Cheluche v. Turkey*, 170–171, 243–245, 251–252 EUCFR. *See* Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (EUCFR) EUCPFI. *See* Convention on the protection of the European Communities’ financial interests EUCPFI-P1 and P2. *See* Protocol and Second Protocol to the Convention on the protection of the European Communities’ financial interests EU Dec. 2003/568/JHA. *See* Council Framework Decision EU 2003/568/JHA EUOCC. *See* Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of the Member States of the European Union Euro-crimes concept, connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116 European Arrest Warrant, 162–166 dual criminality requirement and lawfulness in enforcement of, 209 European Commission of Human Rights (ECmHR) human rights versus confiscation orders and, 140–141 legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203 proceeds from local offenses and, 187–189 European Communities (EC), anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR) abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions and, 212–217 adverse consequences of extradition and, 148 Art. 5(1)(f) cases and, 193–195, 204–207, 212–217 Art. 6, fairness of enforcement proceeding in, 228–252, 258–259 degree of injustice criteria, 156–162 dual criminality requirement and lawfulness in, 209 due diligence standard and state trustworthiness, 162–166 European human rights to property and, 141–143 flagrant denial of rights under, 150–152, 156–162

- European Convention (cont.)
 foreign penalty enforcement under,
 150–152, 295
 in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case, 43–46
 jurisdiction concept in, 147–148,
 154–162
 lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
 legal basis for enforcement and,
 198–203
 norm conflicts in asset recovery
 and, 169–172
 personal scope of property rights
 under, 145–146
 procedural fairness and
 proportionality in asset recovery
 and, 248–252
 property and equality under, 259–261
 Protocol No. 7, 210–212
 public interest in confiscation of
 possessions and, 219–222
 qualified rights, flagrant denials and,
 166
 requested state responsibility for
 foreign confiscation orders,
 152–153
 right to fair trial and international
 cooperation in, 149–150
- European Court of Human Rights
 (ECtHR)
 abuse of processes, political offenses,
 and political prosecution
 exceptions and, 212–217
 accessibility, precision, and
 foreseeability in cooperative
 confiscation and, 204–207
 confiscation orders and, 9–10
 democratic legitimacy and
 cooperative confiscation in, 217
 detention for extradition cases,
 204–207
 double jeopardy and legal certainty in
 cases of, 210–212
 dual criminality requirement and
 lawfulness and, 209
 due diligence standard and state
 trustworthiness, 162–166
 equality, interaction with rights to
 property, 259–261
 exceptional circumstances in
 confiscation and, 257–258
 fairness of enforcement proceeding
 and, 228–252
 flagrant denial of rights and degree of
 injustice rulings, 156–162
 foreign confiscation orders and, 295
 human rights versus confiscation
 orders and, 140–141
 illicit wealth as property and,
 174–175
in personam rights and, 177
in rem property rights and, 175–177
 interference rules interpretations
 summary, 195–196
 international law principles and, 218
 lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
 legal basis for enforcement and,
 198–203
 local confiscation orders, objects and
 instrumentalities, 186–187
 nature of interference in rules of,
 184–196
 norm conflicts in asset recovery and,
 169–170
 personal scope of property rights and,
 145–146
 procedural fairness and
 proportionality in asset recovery
 and, 248–252
 proceeds as property principle and,
 178–181
 proceeds from local offenses and,
 187–189
 proceeds of foreign corruption,
 confiscation of, 189–190
 property and possessions defined by,
 173–174
 property as legitimate expectation in,
 181–182
 proportionality in cooperative
 confiscation case law of, 228–230,
 296–298
 protection of *bona fide* third parties
 in rulings by, 252–254
 public interest in confiscation and,
 219–222
 requested state responsibility for
 foreign confiscation orders, 152–153

- requested state responsibility in asset recovery, 153–154
- summary of enforcement issues, 258–259
- temporal scope of property rights and, 144–145
- territorial jurisdiction rulings of, 147–148
- value of foreign confiscation orders and, 223–228
- European Court of Justice (ECJ)
 - European property rights and, 143
 - human rights versus confiscation orders and, 140–141
 - proportionality in targeted financial sanctions and, 245–248
 - sanctions case law and, 193–195
- European Union (EU)
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3, 59–60
 - Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
 - briber identity in public bribery treaties, 65–66
 - confiscation standards in framework decisions, 102–106
 - contents of bribery provisions in treaties of, 72–73
 - discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 61–62
 - domestic versus foreign bribery in treaties of, 66–70
 - framework decisions on confiscation, 131–133
 - object-based confiscation provisions and, 114–116
 - persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 - property definitions of, 112–114
 - public sector bribery offenses and, 64–76
 - targeted financial sanctions case law, proportionality in, 245–248
 - third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, passive bribery involving, 70–71
- European Union Council Directive 91/308/EEC, money laundering provisions, 87–89
- European Union General Court (EGC), human rights versus confiscation orders and, 140–141
- exceptional circumstances in confiscation, 257–258
- exequatur* proceedings
 - dual criminality requirement and, 209
 - illicit wealth as property and, 174–175
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 - proportionality of cooperative confiscation in, 228–230
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
- expropriation of property, in customary international law, 33–35
- expulsion cases, flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice and, 156–162
- extended confiscation principle, connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), anti-corruption efforts of, 17–20
- extradition procedures
 - abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions in, 212–217
 - accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207
 - adverse consequences of, 148
 - dual criminality requirement, 209
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 127–128
 - flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice and, 156–162
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction and, 59–60
 - regional treaty comparisons of, 295
 - right to fair trial and international cooperation and, 149–150
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-05850-7 - Corruption, Asset Recovery, and the Protection of Property in Public International Law: The Human Rights of Bad Guys

Radha Ivory

Index

[More information](#)

366

INDEX

- extra-judicial transfer, flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice and, 156–162
- extraterritorial jurisdiction
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdictions, 60–61
 - cooperative confiscation and, 154–162
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty in proceedings involving, 210–212
 - flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice and, 156–162
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction and, 59–60
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 233–234
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
- facilitation payments, exemption from criminalization, 90–92
- failed states, asset recovery cases and, 42–46
- fairness of enforcement, in confiscation orders, 228–252
- fair trial standards
 - assurances, effect of, 166–169
 - flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice criteria for, 156–162
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law, 230–232
 - requesting state hearing proportionality and, 240–245
 - territorial scope of property rights and right to, 149–150
- Fawcett, James, 150–152
- Federal Act of March 20, 1981 (Switzerland)
 - Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case and, 43–46
 - Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
- Federal Act on Freezing and Restitution of Assets of Politically Exposed Persons obtained by Unlawful Means (Switzerland) (SRVG),
 - Arab Spring asset recovery and, 53–54
- Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (FDFA) (Switzerland), in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case, 43–46
- final judgment waiver, duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth and, 137–138
- Financial Action Task Force (FATF), asset recovery responsibilities and, 23–27
- financial institutions, asset recovery from, 23–27
- financial sanctions. *See* targeted financial sanctions
- “*Five Pensioners*” v. *Peru*, 273
- flagrant denial of justice
 - assurances, effect of, 166–169
 - degree of injustice and, 156–162
 - in foreign penalty enforcement, 150–152
 - norm conflicts and, 170
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 251–252
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation laws and, 239–240
 - qualified rights and, 166
 - requesting state hearing proportionality and, 240–245
 - requesting state trustworthiness and due diligence standard, 162–166, 183–184
- flagship principle, cooperative confiscation and jurisdiction issues, 154–162
- foreign bribery, criminalization of, 66–70
- foreign confiscation orders
 - abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions in, 212–217
 - asset recovery, requested state responsibilities, 153–172
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 286–288
 - compatibility with human rights, 140–141

- dual criminality requirement and
 - lawfulness in enforcement of, 209
- fairness of enforcement proceeding
 - in, 228–252
- flagrant denial of rights criteria and, 156–162
- interference in, 186–190, 261–263, 270–271
- legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
- mutual legal assistance powers
 - compatibility with rule of law in, 203–217
- protection of *bona fide* third parties and, 252–254
- qualified rights, flagrant denials and, 166
- regional human rights treaties and, 295
- requested state responsibility for, 152–153
- requesting state proportionality and, 243–245
- substantive scope of property rights and, 269–270
- value of, 223–228
- foreign corruption offenses, confiscation of proceeds from, 189–190
- Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (USA) (FCPA)
 - impact of, 1–3
 - Watergate scandal and, 3–5
- foreign penalty enforcement
 - flagrant denial of justice in, 150–152
 - procedural fairness and
 - proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
- foreign property-holders, AfCHPR Art. 14 on rights of, 276–279
- foreign public official, mandatory jurisdiction in bribery of, 60–61
- foreseeability, in cooperative confiscation laws, 204–207
- forfeiture procedures. *See* seizure procedures
- The Former King of Greece and Others v. Greece*, 181–182
- Forminster Enterprises Limited v. Czech Republic*, 206–207, 255–256
- fragmentation
 - asset recovery and, 293
 - right to corruption-free society and, 283–286
- free disposition
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 281–288
 - peoples' rights to property and, 35–37
- Frisen v. Russia*, 178–182, 193–195, 201–202
- frozen assets
 - Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 281–288
 - counter-terrorist sanctions regimes, 46–47
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty in proceedings involving, 210–212
 - in *DRC v. Mobutu Seso Seko* case, 43
 - in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case, 43–46
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 - Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
 - property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
 - requesting state proportionality and, 243–245
 - sanctions case law and, 193–195
 - targeted financial sanctions and, 245–248
 - temporal scope and, 255–256
- Fujimori, Alberto, 41–42
- Gabrić v. Croatia*, 223–224
- Garabayev v. Russia*, 200
- Geerings v. Netherlands*, 233–234, 248–252
- General Assembly of the United Nations (GA)
 - asset recovery terminology in, 23–27
 - bilateral mutual legal assistance treaties on confiscation and, 134–136

- General Assembly of the United Nations (GA) (cont.)
 Resolution 1803 (XVII) on Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources, 35–37
 soft law norms on corruption and, 17–20
 general interest principle, proportionality of lawfulness to, 219–259
 German Democratic Republic (GDR), personal scope of property rights and, 145–146
 “global settlement,” in *Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 good faith requirement, abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions and, 212–217
 governmental power, international legal norms on limits of, 37–38
Grayson and Barnham v. UK, 232–233
Grifhorst v. France, 257–258
 Group of Eight (G-8)
 asset recovery and, 27
 Deauville Partnership with Arab Countries in Transition, 54
 Group of States against Corruption (GRECO) (COE), 17–20
 breach of duty in private sector bribery and, 78–79
 confiscation standards and, 103–105
 connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 contents of bribery provisions in treaties of, 72–73
 discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 62–63
 enforcement or non-enforcement of confiscation orders, 121
 persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 predicate offenses to confiscation and, 107–109
 proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 on trade or abuse of influence, 80–82
 value-based confiscation provisions and, 114–116
 Group of Twenty (G-20), asset recovery and, 27
 group rights
 as human rights, 29–31
 property rights as, 10–11
 proportionality requirement and, 296–298
 public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
Gunme and Others v. Cameroon, 286–288
Gusinskiy v. Russia, 215–216
Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier case, 43–46
Handyside v. UK, 175–177, 186–187, 190–195, 261–263
 Harare Scheme in Relation to Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters within the British Commonwealth, 134
 harmonious interpretation principle, norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 170–171
 harm to public interest principle, in public sector bribery, 76
Hauer v. Rheinland Pfalz, 143
 haven jurisdictions
 abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions in, 212–217
 Arab Spring asset recovery and, 54
 due diligence standard for, 162–166
 duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth and, 137–138
 fairness of enforcement proceeding in, 228–252
 skepticism towards asset recovery in, 54–55
 Switzerland as, 38
 value of foreign confiscation orders and, 223–228
 Higgins, Rosalyn (Dame), 33–35, 299–301
 high-value accounts, asset recovery responsibilities concerning, 23–27
Hirsi Jamaa and Others v. Italy, 154–162, 171–172

- Honecker, Erich, 145–146, 178–181, 230
- Honecker and Others v. Germany*, 145–146, 178–181, 205–206, 230, 252–254, 257–258
- Hull Formula, alien property rights and, 33–35
- human dignity, property rights and, 37–38
- human rights
- anti-corruption efforts and, 5–6, 9–10
 - in Asia-Pacific region, 290–291
 - asset recovery and, 140–141
 - assurances, effect of, 166–169
 - confiscation orders and, 8
 - cooperative confiscation and, 298–299
 - criminalization of corruption and, 299–301
 - European property rights as, 141–143
 - flagrant denial of rights and, 156–162
 - fundamental entitlements in, 293
 - as group entitlements, 29–31
 - international standards for, 9–10
 - legal basis for enforcement and violation allegations, 198–203
 - persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 - procedural guarantees in anti-corruption treaties for, 95–96
 - property rights as, 29–38, 56–57
 - in public international law, 294
 - qualified rights, flagrant denials and, 166
- Hurford v. UK*, 240–245
- IACAC. *See* Inter-American Convention against Corruption (IACAC)
- IACMACM. *See* Inter-American Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (IACMACM)
- Ilaşcu and Others v. Moldova and Russia*, 156–162
- illegal gains, definitions in confiscation provisions, 109–111
- illicit acquisition, connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
- illicit wealth
- anti-corruption treaty provisions concerning, 84–87
 - challenges in recovery of, 54–55
 - compensation provision in confiscation of, 257
 - confiscation versus property rights standards, 9–10
 - duties to enable restraint and confiscation of, 102–106
 - duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated wealth, 137–138
 - fairness of enforcement proceeding in confiscation of, 228–252
 - Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case and, 43–46
 - inter-American and pan-African jurisprudence and, 10–11
 - lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 - peoples’ rights to property and, 35–37, 145–146
 - Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
 - as property, 174–175
 - property as legitimate expectation and, 181–182
 - property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
 - requesting state hearings and confiscation of, 240–245
 - state responsibilities concerning, 23–27
 - Switzerland as haven for, 38
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 146–172
- immunity, from jurisdiction, 97–98
- Implementation of international sanctions, Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
- in absentia* convictions, flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice rulings, 156–162
- indigenous claims, 272
- collective dimension in, 275

- indigenous claims (cont.)
 - collective right to wealth and resources under AfCHPR and, 281–288
 - group property rights and, 10–11
 - interference rules and, 270–275, 279–281
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
- Indigenous Community of the Yakye Axa v. Paraguay*, 271–275
- influence, trading or abusing of, provisions against, 80–82
- Insanov v. Azerbaijan*, 162, 180–181, 182–183, 239–240
- instrumentalities
 - in confiscation provisions, 111–112
 - criticism of interference rules and, 190–195
 - in rem* property rights and, 175–177
 - liability attached to, 114–116
 - local confiscation orders, 186–187
 - property as, 112–114
 - third party offenses, proportionality in domestic confiscation law, 234–235
 - value of foreign confiscation orders and, 223–228
- instrumentum sceleris*, interference rules and, 190–195
- intent
 - illicit enrichment offenses, 84–87
 - in public sector bribery, 74–76
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACmHR)
 - property rights and, 291–292
 - property rights issues and, 264–276
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
- Inter-American Convention against Corruption (IACAC), 16t
 - abuse of functions and breach of duty provisions in, 82–83
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 - asset diversion and misuse provisions, 83–84
 - bribee definitions in, 66–70
 - briber identity in public bribery provisions, 65–66
 - concept of confiscation in, 107
 - confiscation provisions in, 103–105
 - consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 - contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
 - corruption definition in, 20–22
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in, 124–126
 - illicit wealth provisions, 84–87
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
 - mental elements of bribery, 74–76
 - money laundering provisions, 87–89
 - penalties for corruption in, 92
 - prevention and investigation of corruption in, 94–95
 - public sector bribery offenses provisions, 64–76
 - trading and abusing influence provisions in, 80–82
- Inter-American Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (IACMACM), confiscation provisions in, 103–105, 133
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR), 264–276
 - collective dimension in asset recovery and, 275, 286–288
 - extradition orders and, 295
 - interference in property rights and, 270–271
 - justification for interference in rulings of, 271–275
 - personal scope of property rights and, 266–267
 - property rights and, 291–292
 - substantive scope of property rights and, 269–270
 - summary of enforcement orders applicability, 275–276
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
- inter-American jurisprudence, asset recovery and, 10–11
- interference with property rights
 - accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207

- AfCHPR Art. 14 provisions concerning, 279–281
- Asia-Pacific conventions on justification for, 290–291
- compensation provision and, 257
- cooperative confiscations, rules applied to, 186–190
- criticism of three rules of, 190–195
- equality, interaction with rights to property, 259–261
- exceptional circumstances in confiscation and, 257–258
- fairness of enforcement proceedings under ECHR Art. 6, 228–252
- foreign confiscation orders and, 261–263, 295–296
- inter-American concepts of, 270–271
- international law principles and, 218
- justification for, in ACHR, 271–275
- lawfulness of, 196–219
- objects and instrumentalities, local offenses, 186–187
- personal scope of, 266–267
- procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
- proceeds of foreign corruption, 189–190
- proceeds of local offenses, 187–189
- proportionality of, 219–259
- protection of *bona fide* third parties, 252–254
- protection of *bona fide* third parties and, 252–254
- summary of issues in, 258–259
- temporal scope of, 255–256
- “three rules” of, 184–196
- “two-pronged” test for interference, 286–288
- value of foreign confiscation order and, 223–228
- intergovernmental organizations, anti-corruption efforts by, 17–20
- Interights and Others v. Mauritania*, 279–281
- International Chamber of Commerce, Rules to Combat Extortion and Bribery in Business Transactions, 17–20
- international cooperation, territorial scope of property rights and right to, 149–150
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
- collective right to wealth and resources under AfCHPR and, 281–288
- omission of property rights in, 6–8, 31–33
- peoples’ rights to property in, 35–37
- Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)
- collective right to wealth and resources under AfCHPR and, 281–288
- omission of property rights in, 6–8, 31–33
- peoples’ rights to property in, 35–37
- International Criminal Court (ICC), Syrian asset recovery and, 49
- international criminal law
- soft law norms and, 17–20
- transnational law versus, 13–17
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, flagrant denial of rights trials and, 156–162
- international human rights treaties, as sources of property rights, 31–37
- international law. *See also* customary international law, property rights in
- collective right to wealth and resources and, 283–286
- comparison of regional rights treaties with, 291–292
- confiscation standards, 102–106, 129–137
- cooperative confiscation and, 298–299
- cooperative confiscation and principles of, 218
- criminalization of corruption and, 299–301

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-05850-7 - Corruption, Asset Recovery, and the Protection of Property in Public International Law: The Human Rights of Bad Guys

Radha Ivory

Index

[More information](#)

372

INDEX

- international law. (cont.)
 duty to cooperate for purposes of
 confiscation in, 123–137
 jurisdiction in criminal law and, 58–63
 procedural fairness and
 proportionality in asset recovery
 and, 248–252
 property rights in, 6–8
 International Law Commission (ILC),
 283–286
 International Mutual Assistance in
 Criminal Matters (Swiss Federal
 Act) (IMAC)
Nigeria v. Sani Abacha case, 40–41
*Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda
 Marcos* asset recovery case,
 38–40
 international property guarantees, 11
 international standard of treatment
 principle
 persons affected by confiscation and,
 116–120
 property rights in, 6–8
 international standards on confiscation,
 102–106
 investigation of corruption
 anti-corruption treaties' provisions
 for, 94–95
 investigative and prosecutorial
 discretion, 96–97
 property liable to confiscation,
 identification and preservation of,
 121–122
 investment treaties, alien property
 rights and, 33–35
 Isa, Hamad bin (King), 50
 Islamic terrorism, interdictions against,
 46–47
Ismayilov v. Russia, 223–224
Ivcher Bronstein v. Peru, 266–267,
 270–271, 273

James v. UK, 219–222
 jurisdiction
 in anti-corruption treaties, 294
 in cooperative confiscation, ECHR
 concept of, 154–162
 criminalization of corruption and,
 58–63
 discretionary assumption of, 60–63
 double jeopardy and legal certainty in
 multiple jurisdictions, 210–212
 ECHR Art. 1 concept of, 147–148
 foreign confiscation orders and issues
 of, 295
 immunity from, 97–98
 mandatory assumption of, 59–60
 territorial scope of property rights
 and, 146–172, 267–269
 jury prejudice, flagrant denial of rights
 and, 156–162
jus cogens principle
 peoples' rights to property and,
 35–37
 proportionality of confiscation and,
 223–228
 sanctions case law and, 193–195
 targeted financial sanctions and,
 245–248

*Kadi v. Council of the European Union
 and Commission of the European
 Communities (Kadi No. 1)*,
 193–195, 245–248
 Kälin, Walter, 29–31
Karamitrov and Others v. Bulgaria,
 144–145, 201–202
Khodorkovskiy and Lebedev v. Russia,
 180–181, 182–183, 212–217,
 225–226
*Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Khodorkovskiy
 No. 1)*, 214
Khuzhin and Others v. Russia, 202–203
 Klip, André, 162–166
 Kofele-Kale, Ndiva, 283–286
Konovalov v. Russia, 193–195,
 202–203
Kopecky v. Slovakia, 173–174
Kreydich v. Ukraine, 200
 Künzli, Jörg, 29–31

 language, definitions and, 12
 Lausanne Seminars on Asset
 Recovery, 54
 lawfulness requirement
 abuse of processes, political offenses,
 and political prosecution
 exceptions and, 212–217

- accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207
 in AfCHPR Art. 14, 279–281
 democratic legitimacy and, 217
 dual criminality requirement in cooperative confiscation and, 209
 enforcement rules, 198–203
 IACtHR emphasis on, 271–275
 interference in possession and, 196–219
 international law principles and, 218
 justification for interference and, 296
 refusal of assistance based on, 207–217
 summary of, 218–219
- League of Arab States, 288–290
- legal certainty principle, refusal of cooperation in confiscation cases due to, 210–212
- legal expenses exceptions
 duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth and, 137–138
 property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
- legitimate expectations of property, 181–182
 in asset recovery cases, 182–183
- Lehavi, Amnon, 298–299
- lex posterior* principle, norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–170
- liability
 adverse consequences of extradition and, 148
 of briber, in public bribery treaties, 65–66
 illicit enrichment offenses, 84–87
 instrumentality in confiscation and, 114–116
 things liable to confiscation, 109–116
- Libya, asset recovery in, 47–54
- Lindberg v. Sweden*, 166, 200–201
- lobbying, as trade or abuse of influence, 80–82
- local law. *See* domestic law
- locus regit actum* principle, duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth, 137–138
- Loizidou v. Turkey*, 147–148
- Loukanov v. Bulgaria*, 219–222
- Low, Lucinda, 83–84
- Luchtman, Michiel, 148, 150–152
- Lutsenko v. Ukraine*, 216–217
- Mamatkulov and Askarov v. Turkey*, 166–169
- mandatory jurisdiction
 double jeopardy and legal certainty and, 210–212
 grounds for assumption, 59–60
- Marcos, Ferdinand and Imelda, asset recovery case involving, 38–40
- Martelly, Michel, 43–46
- Mattei, Ugo, 298–299
- Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua*, 270–275
- Mechanism for Follow-up on the Implementation of the IACAC (MESICIC)
 abuse of functions and breach of duty provisions in, 82–83
 confiscation provisions in, 103–105
- mens rea* offenses, illicit enrichment as, 84–87
- MESICIC. *See* Mechanism for Follow-up on the Implementation of the IACAC
- Middle East
 anti-corruption efforts in, 17–20
 Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
 new property rights in, 288–290
- Milanovic, Marko, 155–156, 169–170, 172
- Milosavljev v. Serbia*, 223–228
- mini-MLAT, duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in UNCAC and, 127–128
- minor fraud, criminalization of, 83–84
- Minsk Convention, 204–207
- money laundering
 in anti-corruption treaties, 87–89
 anti-corruption treaties and, 4–5
 double jeopardy and legal certainty in proceedings involving, 210–212
 dual criminality requirement and, 209

- money laundering (cont.)
 - duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth and, 137–138
 - exceptional circumstances in confiscation and, 257–258
 - international confiscation standards and, 129–131
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 - persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 - Peru v. Vladimiro Lenin Montesinos Torres* case and, 41–42
 - proportionality requirement and, 296–298
 - public interest in confiscation of possessions and, 219–222
 - requested state responsibility for foreign confiscation, 152–153
 - Swiss laws on, 12
- Montesinos Torres, Vladimiro Lenin, 41–42
- MSS v. Belgium and Greece*, 162–166, 170–171
- Mubarak, Hosni, 47–54
- multinational enterprise(s) (MNEs)
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 - corruption and, 3–5
- mutual legal assistance treaties (MLATs)
 - abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions in, 212–217
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 4–5
 - Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
 - asset recovery requests, 23–27, 294
 - bilateral MLATs, confiscation provisions, 134–136
 - compatibility with human rights, 140–141, 299–301
 - concept of confiscation in, 107
 - confiscation of instrumentalities and, 111–112
 - confiscation orders enforcement, 121
 - cooperative confiscation and, 101
 - counter-terrorist sanctions regimes and, 46–47
 - discretion not to confiscate, 121
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty in, 210–212
 - dual criminality requirement and lawfulness in, 209
 - duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation in, 123–137
 - duty to cooperate in disposal of confiscated illicit wealth, 137–138
 - duty to enable confiscation in, 102–123
 - failed states and, 42–46
 - in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case, 43–46
 - international confiscation standards and, 102–106, 129–137
 - lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 - liability of things to confiscation in, 109–116
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 - norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–172
 - persons affected by confiscation in, 116–120
 - Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
 - proceeds and instrumentalities as property in, 112–114
 - property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties, 252–254
 - regional treaties, confiscation provisions, 103–105
 - substantive scope of property rights in, 172–183
 - Swiss laws on, 12
 - treaties and instruments for, 9
- mutual recognition principle, EU framework decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
- Nada v. Switzerland*, 154–162, 164–165, 170–172, 243–245
- Nasrullojev v. Russia*, 204–207
- nationality principle
 - mandatory jurisdiction based on, 60–61

- passive personality/protective principles, discretionary jurisdiction, 61–62
- nationalization of property, property as legitimate expectation and, 181–182
- national law. *See also* domestic law
 - accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207
 - duty to enable confiscation content in, 106–123
 - foreign public officials defined in, 66–70
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - territorial jurisdiction and, 147–148
- national standard of treatment
 - principle, property rights and, 6–8
- natural wealth and resources
 - collective dimension in recovery of, 275
 - collective right to, under AfCHPR property rights provisions, 281–288
 - group versus individual property rights and, 10–11
 - peoples' rights to property and, 35–37
 - property rights and, 9
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) principle
 - bilateral mutual legal assistance treaties on confiscation and, 134–136
 - connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 - cooperative confiscation and, 210–212
 - criminalization of corruption and, 99
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 124–126
 - EU framework decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
 - international confiscation standards, 129–131
 - justification for interference and, 296
 - persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 - refusal of assistance in cooperative confiscation cases based on, 207–217
 - ne bis poena in idem*, cooperative confiscation and, 210–212
 - necessary and sufficient treaty basis principle, duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 127–128
 - negative rights, property rights as, 29–31
 - net profit from crime, definitions in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 - Neulinger and Shuruk v. Switzerland*, 201
 - New International Economic Order (NIEO)
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 35–37
 - natural resources resolutions, 35–37
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* asset recovery case, 40–41
 - non-conviction-based confiscation
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty issues, 210–212
 - international legal framework for, 138
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - proof of predicate offense and, 108–109
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 233–234, 236–237
 - UNCAC and UNTOC provisions concerning, 105–106
 - non-criminal sanctions, for corruption, 92
 - non-enforcement of anti-corruption treaties, 96–100
 - non-governmental organizations (NGOs)
 - anti-corruption efforts by, 17–20
 - assurances, effect of, 166–169
 - corruption and, 3–5
 - flagrant denial of rights criteria and, 156–162

- non-judicial orders
 - assurances, effect in, 166–169
 - concept of confiscation and, 107
- non-refoulement* principle, asset recovery and, 169–170
- non-retroactivity principle, duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 124–126
- non-torture-related flagrant denial of rights, criteria for, 156–162
- norm conflicts
 - asset recovery in public international law, 169–172
 - authorization of interference and, 206–207
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 281–288
- North Africa. *See also* Arab Spring anti-corruption efforts in, 17–20
- “no separate issue” principle, qualified rights and flagrant denials and, 166
- Novikov v. Russia*, 181–182
- nulla poena sin lege*, dual criminality requirement and, 209
- OAo *Neftyanaya Kompaniya Yukos v. Russia*, 198, 212–217
- object-based confiscation model
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 124–126
 - effects on property and, 114–116
 - international confiscation standards, 129–131
 - local offenses, interference under, 186–187
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 234–235
 - value of foreign confiscation orders and, 223–228
- objectum sceleris* principle, interference rules and, 190–195
- obstruction of justice offense, in anti-corruption treaties, 90
- OECD-ABC. *See* Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions
- offense categories, in criminalization of corruption, 64–90
 - abuse of functions and breach of duty, 82–83
 - asset diversion and misuse, 84
 - civil versus criminal offenses classification, 149–150
 - confiscation as presupposition of offense, 107–109
 - connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 - dual criminality requirement in cooperative confiscation and, 209
 - illicit enrichment, 84–87
 - in rem* property rights and, 175–177
 - local offenses, proceeds from, 187–189
 - money laundering and concealment, 87–89
 - obstruction of justice, 90
 - political offenses, 212–217
 - private sector bribery, 77–80
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - public sector bribery, 64–76
 - statutes of limitations and, 98
 - trading and abusing influence, 80–82
- Office of the Attorney General (OAG) (Switzerland), *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case and, 43–46
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3, 17–20
 - asset recovery and, 27–28
 - briber identity in public bribery treaties, 65–66
 - bribery conventions and protocols, 20–22
 - contents of bribery provisions in treaties of, 72–73
 - foreign versus domestic bribery provisions in treaties of, 66–70

- investigative and prosecutorial discretion conventions, 96–97
- object-based confiscation provisions and, 114–116
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Working Group on Bribery in International Business Transactions (OECD-WGB), 17–20
- contents of bribery provisions in treaties of, 72–73
- discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 62–63
- duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation in, 124
- enforcement or non-enforcement of confiscation orders, 121
- intent in public sector bribery and, 74–76
- international confiscation standards and, 102–106
- ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) in, 99
- persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
- predicate offenses to confiscation and, 107–109
- proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
- Organization of African Unity, 276–277
- Organization of American States (OAS)
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3
 - confiscation standards and, 102–106
 - foreign versus domestic bribery provisions in treaties of, 66–70
 - property definitions of, 112–114
 - property rights and, 291–292
 - regional property rights and, 264–276
- Organization of the Islamic Conference, 288–290
- Orwell, George, 12
- Othman (Abu Qatada) v. UK*, 156–162, 166–169
- out-of-court settlements, *Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
- ownership restrictions, confiscation rule concerning, 186–190
- Oxford English Dictionary
 - asset recovery defined in, 22–23
 - corruption defined in, 12–22
 - human property rights in, 29
- Öztürk v. Germany*, 149–150, 230–232, 248–252
- pan-African property rights
 - asset recovery and, 10–11
 - jurisprudence concerning, 31–33, 276–288
- passive personality principle, discretionary jurisdiction assumption, 61–62
- Patricia v. Bulgaria*, 193–195
- Patrikova v. Bulgaria*, 201–202
- Pauwelyn, Joost, 170
- “peaceful enjoyment” of possession
 - AfCHPR Art. 14 provisions concerning, 279–281
 - confiscation rule concerning, 184–185
- Pelligrini v. Italy*, 150–153, 162–166
- people, definitions of, 35–37, 281–288
- peoples’ property rights in public international law, 35–37
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 286–288
 - property encroachment and, 282
- permanent sovereignty, peoples’ rights to property and, 35–37
- Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources (GA Res. 1803), 35–37
- personal scope of property rights, 145–146, 183–184
 - ACHR Art. 21 provisions on, 266–267
 - cooperative confiscation and, 154–162
- Peru v. Vladimiro Lenin Montesinos Torres* case, 41–42
- Peukert, Wolfgang, 191–193
- Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
- Phillips v. UK*
 - interference rules in, 184–185, 195
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
- proceeds from local offenses
 - confiscation in, 187–189

- Phillips v. UK* (cont.)
 proportionality in domestic
 confiscation law in, 230–232,
 233–234
in rem property rights in, 175–177
- Pieth, Mark, 109–111
- political instability, Arab Spring asset
 recovery and, 47–54
- politically exposed persons (PEPs)
 abuse of processes, political offenses,
 and political prosecution
 exceptions and, 216–217
 AfCHPR Art. 14 on rights of,
 276–279
 Arab Spring asset recovery and,
 53–54
 asset recovery and, 5, 8, 23–27,
 28–29
 double jeopardy and legal certainty in
 proceedings of, 210–212
 equality, interaction with rights to
 property, 259–261
 fairness of enforcement proceeding
 and, 228–252
 foreign confiscation orders and, 295
 in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc”
 Duvalier* case, 43–46
in rem property rights of, 295
 international law principles and, 218
 justification for interference and, 296
 Protocol to the European Convention
 for the Protection of Human
 Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
 (ECHR-P1) and, 9–10
 rights in customary international law
 of, 33–35
- political offense exception, cooperative
 confiscation and, 212–217
- political prosecution exception, in
 cooperative confiscation, 212–217
- political transitions in states
 fairness of enforcement proceeding
 and, 228–252
 flagrant denial of rights in, 156–162
- politics, anti-corruption treaties and,
 3–5
 “Politics and the English Language”
 (Orwell), 12
- positive rights, property rights as, 29–31
- possessions
 bare possession as property right
 principle, 178
 concept of property in ECHR-P1
 Art. 1, 173–174
 confiscation as control of, 190–195
in rem property rights and,
 175–177
 interference with peaceful enjoyment
 of, 184–185
 lawfulness of interference in, 196–219
 legal basis for impairment of,
 198–203
 property as legitimate expectation
 and, 181–182
 proportionality of lawfulness to
 general interest in confiscation of,
 219–259
 public interest in deprivation of,
 219–222
- post-conflict environments, flagrant
 denial of rights and degree of
 injustice criteria for, 156–162
- precision, in cooperative confiscation
 laws, 204–207
- predicate offenses
 to confiscation, 107–109
 flagrant denial of rights criteria and,
 156–162
- procedural fairness and
 proportionality in asset recovery
 and, 248–252
- procedure for proof of, 108–109
- public interest in confiscation of
 possessions and, 219–222
 value of foreign confiscation orders
 and, 223–228
- prescriptive provisions for
 criminalization of corruption,
 63–93
 illicit wealth provisions, 84–87
- Presidential Commission on Good
 Governance, *Philippines v.
 Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos*
 asset recovery case, 38–40
- presumption of innocence
 confiscation of illicit wealth and,
 240–245
 due diligence standard and, 162–166

- persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
- preventative confiscation and, 239
- presumption of ownership
 - connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 - proceeds and instrumentalities as property and, 112–114
- prevention of corruption
 - anti-corruption treaties' provisions for, 94–95
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 237–239
- private property
 - asset recovery and, 6–8
 - collective rights and, 29–31
 - effects of confiscation on, 114–116
 - as legitimate expectation, 181–182
 - proceeds and instrumentalities as, 112–114
- private sector, bribery in, 77–80
 - abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions and, 216–217
 - bribee identity, 78
 - consideration in, 78–79
 - context and consequences of, 79–80
- procedural fairness
 - abuse of, in cooperative confiscation, 212–217
 - in anti-corruption treaties, 95–96, 294
 - degree of injustice and flagrant denial of rights, 156–162
 - IACtHR emphasis on, 271–275
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 202–203
 - proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - proportionality in domestic confiscation law and, 239–240
- procedural proportionality. *See* proportionality
- “proceeds-not-profits” doctrine
 - in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 - proportionality of, 226
- proceeds of corruption
 - confiscation and concept of, 109–111
 - connection between thing and offense in confiscation instruments, 115–116
 - crime regime proceeds, 232–233
 - in foreign offenses, confiscation of, 189–190
 - in local offenses, interference in, 187–189
 - new Arab property rights and, 288–290
 - as property, 178–181
 - property as, 112–114
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
- property of foreign origin, confiscation of, 105–106
- property rights
 - in Asia/Pacific region, 290–291
 - asset recovery and, 1, 6–8, 182–183
 - assurances, effect of, 166–169
 - bare possession of property, 178
 - comparison of international provisions for, 291–292
 - concept of property in ECHR-P1 Art.1, 173–174
 - confiscation of instrumentalities and, 111–112
 - in customary international law, 33–35
 - definitions of, 9, 293–294
 - effects of confiscation on, 114–116
 - equality under ECHR and, 259–261
 - European human rights to property, 141–143
 - group versus individual rights, 10–11
 - as human rights, 29–38
 - identification and preservation of property liable to confiscation, 121–122
 - illicit wealth as property, 174–175
 - in personam* rights, 177
 - international human rights sources, 31–37
 - international legal norms, 37–38
 - legitimate expectations of property, 181–182
 - nature of interference with, 184–196
 - new Arab property rights, 288–290
 - normative relationships and, 29–31

- property rights (cont.)
 - proceeds and instrumentalities as
 - property, 112–114, 178–181
 - in public international law, 29–31, 294
 - rights *in rem*, 175–177
 - scope of rights, ECHR-P1 Art. 1, 144–184
 - treaty-based human rights to, 31–33
 - in Western hemisphere, 264–276
- proportionality
 - in AfCHPR Art. 14, 279–281
 - asset recovery and, 10–11, 248–252
 - in ECtHR cooperative confiscation case law, 228–230
 - in ECtHR domestic confiscation case law, 230–240
 - equality, interaction with rights to property, 259–261
 - exceptional circumstances in confiscation, 257–258
 - fairness of enforcement proceeding and, 228–252
 - foreign confiscation orders, value of, 223–228
 - in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case, 43–46
 - of interference to general interest, 219–259
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties, 252–254
 - regional treaty comparisons concerning, 296–298
 - requesting state hearings and, 240–245
 - sanctions case law and, 193–195
 - summary of issues in, 258–259
 - targeted financial sanctions case law, 245–248
 - temporal scope and, 255–256
- prosecution in criminalization of corruption
 - barriers to, in asset recovery, 54–55
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty and, 210–212
 - of illicit enrichment offenses, 84–87
 - investigative and prosecutorial discretion, 96–97
 - non-enforcement issues, 96–100
 - political prosecutions, 212–217
- prosecutorial delay, flagrant denial of rights and, 156–162
- protective principles, discretionary jurisdiction assumption, 61–62
- Protocol Against Corruption to the Treaty of the South African Development Community (SADC-PAC)
 - abuse of functions and breach of duty provisions in, 82–83
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 - asset diversion and misuse provisions, 83–84
 - bribee definitions in, 66–70
 - briber identity in public bribery provisions, 65–66
 - confiscation provisions in, 103–105
 - consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 - contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
 - corruption definition of, 20–22
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in, 124–126
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
 - mental elements of bribery, 74–76
 - money laundering provisions, 87–89
 - ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) in, 99
 - object- and value-based confiscation provisions in, 114–116
 - penalties for corruption in, 92
 - prevention and investigation of corruption in, 94–95
 - private sector bribery in, 77–80
 - public sector bribery offenses provisions, 64–76
 - trade and abuse of influence provisions in, 80–82
- Protocol on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters to the Treaty of the Southern African Development Community (SADC-MLAP)
 - concept of confiscation in, 107
 - confiscation provisions in, 103–105, 134

- persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
- proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
- Protocol to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR-P1), 16t
- criticism of interference rules and, 190–195
- double jeopardy and legal certainty in, 210–212
- dual criminality requirement and lawfulness in enforcement of, 209
- fairness of enforcement proceeding and, 228–252, 295
- human rights versus confiscation orders and, 140–141
- interference rules in Art. 1, 184–196
- international law principles and, 218
- lawfulness of interference in, 204–207
- local confiscation orders, objects and instrumentalities, Art. 1 provisions, 186–187
- norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–172
- proceeds as property principle and, 178–181
- proceeds from local offenses, measures concerning, 187–189
- proceeds of foreign corruption, confiscation of, 189–190
- property as legitimate expectation Art.1, 181–182
- property concept in Art. 1, 173–174
- property rights protections, 9–10
- proportionality of interference to general interest in, 219–259
- qualified rights and flagrant denials and, 166
- scope of property rights in, 144–184
- Protocol to the European Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests (EUCPFI-P1), 16t
- active nationality principle, assumption of discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
- asset diversion and misuse provisions, 83–84
- confiscation provisions in, 103–105
- consideration for the bribe, 73–74
- contents of bribery provisions, 72–73
- domestic versus foreign bribery in, 66–70
- duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation in, 124–126
- European human rights to property and, 141–143
- harm or damage to public interest in public sector bribery, requirement for, 76
- immunity from jurisdiction in, 97–98
- in rem* property rights and, 175–177
- lawfulness requirement and, 196–197
- mandatory jurisdiction assumptions, 59–60
- mental elements of bribery, 74–76
- passive personality/protective principles, discretionary jurisdiction, 61–62
- penalties for corruption in, 92
- property protections in Art. 1, 143
- requested state responsibility for foreign confiscation orders, 152–153
- third party beneficiaries and intermediaries, passive bribery involving, 70–71
- public interest
 - IACtHR emphasis on, 271–275
 - proportionality of lawfulness to general interest and, 219–222
- public international law
 - Arab property rights and, 288–290
 - corruption definitions in, 12–22
 - human property rights in, 29–31
 - international versus transnational criminal law and, 13–17
 - norm conflicts in, 169–172
 - peoples' rights to property under, 35–37, 294
 - personal scope of property rights and, 145–146
 - right to corruption-free society and, 283–286

- public officials, corruption involving
in personam rights and, 177
 value of foreign confiscation orders,
 223–228
- public sector bribery
 bribe contents, 72–73
 bribee identity and, 66–70
 briber identity, 65–66
 consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 criminalization of, 64–76
 harm or damage to public interest
 and, 76
in personam rights and, 177
 mental elements of, 74–76
 objective elements in, 71–72
 third party beneficiaries and
 intermediaries, 70–71
 value of foreign confiscation issues in,
 223–228
- Qaddafi, Muammar el-, 47–54
 Qaddafi, Muatassim, 49
 Qaddafi, Seif al-Islam el-, 49, 276–279
 qualified rights, flagrant denials and,
 166
Quinn v. France, 199–200
- Raimondo v. Italy*
 interference rules in, 184–185, 195,
 270–271
 legal basis for enforcement in,
 202–203
 proceeds as property in, 178–181,
 184–185
 proceeds from local offenses in,
 187–189
 property as asset recovery in,
 182–183
 property as legitimate expectation in,
 181–182
 proportionality in domestic
 confiscation law and,
 236–237, 239
- ratione loci* principle, territorial scope of
 property rights and, 267–269
- ratione materiae* principle
 new Arab property rights and,
 288–290
 proceeds as property and, 178–181
- ratione personae* principles
 international law and, 218
 territorial scope of property rights
 and, 267–269
- real risk standard, flagrant denial of
 rights and degree of injustice
 criteria and, 156–162
- reasonable living expense exceptions,
 property liable to confiscation,
 identification and preservation of,
 121–122
- reasonable time principle, in
 confiscation cases, 255–256
- regional anti-corruption treaties
 absence in Asia-Pacific region of,
 290–291
 comparison of property rights
 provisions in, 291–292
 confiscation provisions in, 103–105
 cooperative confiscation and, 295,
 298–299
 duty to cooperate for purposes of
 confiscation and, 124–126
 foreign confiscation orders and, 295
 inter-American and pan-African
 jurisprudence, 10–11
 international property guarantees
 and, 11
 lawfulness requirement
 comparisons, 296
 peoples' rights to property and,
 35–37
 property rights and, 8, 9–10, 294
 proportionality requirement
 comparisons, 296–298
- regional property rights
 asset recovery and, 264
 in Western hemisphere, 264–276
- requested state responsibilities in
 confiscation
 abuse of process, political offenses,
 and political prosecution
 exceptions, 212–217
 adverse consequences of extradition
 and, 148
 asset recovery cases, 153–172
 in bilateral MLATs, 134–136
 challenges in, 54–55
 COEMLC treaties and, 129–131

- domestic law referability in, 198–203, 218–219
- double jeopardy and legal certainty and, 210–212
- dual criminality principle and, 207–210
- fairness of enforcement proceeding and, 228–252
- foreign confiscation orders, 152–153
- fund sharing with requesting states, 23–27
- IACMACM treaty and, 133
- illicit wealth, cooperation duty, 137–138
- lawfulness of interference and, 196–197
- procedural fairness and
 - proportionality in asset recovery and, 251–252
- proportionality of interference in, 219–222, 240–245
- regional treaties and instruments and, 103–105, 124–126
- SADC-MLAP and, 134
- territorial scope of property rights and, 146–147, 183–184
- trustworthiness and due diligence standard, 162–166
- UNCAC and UNTOC provisions concerning, 127–128
- requesting state responsibilities in confiscation
 - abuse of process, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions, 212–217
 - in bilateral MLATs, 134–136
 - COEMLC 1990/2005 treaties and, 129–131
 - dual criminality requirement and, 207–210
 - due diligence standard and trustworthiness of, 162–166
 - effect of assurances in, 166–169
 - EU framework decisions on, 131–133
 - fairness of enforcement proceeding in, 228–252
 - flagrant denial of rights and, 156–162, 166, 183–184
 - in IACMACM treaties, 133
 - illicit wealth disposal, duty to cooperate in, 137–138
 - lawfulness requirement and, 218–219
 - proportionality of interference and, 219–222, 240–245
 - qualified rights and, 166
 - regional treaties and instruments, 103–105, 136–137
 - UNCAC/UNTOC provisions concerning, 127–128
- Restitution of Illicit Assets Act (RIAA) (Switzerland)
 - Arab Spring asset recovery and, 53–54
 - criminalization of corruption and, 250n.772
 - in *Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case, 43–46
- restraining orders
 - accessibility, precision, and foreseeability in cooperative confiscation and, 204–207
 - compensation provision and, 257
 - criticism of interference rules and, 190–195
 - interference rules and, 186–190
 - legal basis for enforcement, 201
 - local procedures, objects and instrumentalities, 186–187
 - proceeds of local offenses, 187–189
 - property confiscation identification and preservation, 121–122
 - temporal scope of, 255–256
- return of assets principle
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty in confiscation and, 210–212
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
 - in UNCAC, 1, 4–5
- RIAA. *See* Restitution of Illicit Assets Act (RIAA)
- rights *in personam*, 177, 183–184
 - foreign confiscation orders and, 261–263
 - inter-American concepts of, 269–270
- rights *in rem*, 175–177
 - foreign confiscation orders and, 261–263

- rights *in rem*, (cont.)
 inter-American concepts of, 269–270
 regional treaty comparisons concerning, 295
- rule of law
 abuse of processes, political offenses, and political prosecution exceptions and, 212–217
 cooperative confiscation and, 101
 democratic legitimacy and, 217
 enforcement rules, 198–203
 flagrant denial of rights and, 156–162
 interference with possessions and, 196–219
 international law principles and, 218
 mutual legal assistance powers, compatibility with, 203–217
 summary of principles of, 218–219
- Rwandan genocide, flagrant denial of rights trials and, 156–162
- Saadi v. Italy*, 166–169
Saadi v. UK, 212–217
Saccoccia v. Austria
 arbitrariness in, 203
 asset recovery and, 182–183
 dual criminality requirement and lawfulness in, 209
 due diligence and state trustworthiness in, 162–166
 flagrant denial of rights in, 156–162
 foreign confiscation in, 152–153, 184–185, 295
 illicit wealth as property in, 174–175
in personam rights in, 177
 legal basis for enforcement in, 198–199
 norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–172
 procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 proceeds as property in, 180–181
 proceeds of foreign corruption offenses, confiscation of, 189–190
 proportionality of cooperative confiscation in, 228–230, 296–298
 proportionality of lawfulness to general interest in, 219–222, 226
 protection of *bona fide* third parties under, 252–254
 temporal scope issues in, 255–256
- SADC-MLAP. *See* Protocol on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters to the Treaty of the Southern African Development Community
- SADC-PAC. *See* Protocol Against Corruption to the Treaty of the South African Development Community
- safe havens, cooperative confiscation and, 101
- Salabiaku v. France*, 240–245
 Saleh, Ali Abdullah, 50
Salvador Chiriboga v. Ecuador, 273
- sanctions case law. *See also* targeted financial sanctions
 asset recovery and, 193–195
 requesting state proportionality and, 243–245
- Saramaka People v. Suriname*, 271–275, 286–288
- Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay*, 271–275
- Schengen Implementing Convention, 210–212
- Schilling, Theodor, 150–152
- scope of property rights
 under ACHR Art. 21, 266–270
 in AfCHPR, 276–279
 in ECHR-P1 Art. 1, 144–184
 legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
 personal scope, 145–146
 sanctions case law and, 193–195
 substantive scope, 172–183
 temporal scope, 144–145
 territorial scope, 146–172
 value of foreign confiscation orders and, 223–228
- Second Protocol to the Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests (EUCPFI-P2), 16t
- money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89

- Security Council (SC) (United Nations)
- Arab Spring asset recovery and, 47–54
 - counter-terrorist sanctions regimes and, 46–47
 - due diligence standard and
 - requesting state trustworthiness and, 162–166
 - proportionality in targeted financial sanctions cases and, 245–248
 - sanctions case law and resolutions of, 193–195
- seizure procedures
- justification for interference in, 273–275
 - legal basis for enforcement, 198–203
 - objects and instrumentalities in local offenses, 186–187
 - proceeds as property and, 178–181
 - property liable to confiscation, identification and preservation of, 121–122
 - temporal scope in, 255–256
- self-determination, right of, peoples' rights to property and, 35–37
- Seso Seko, Mobutu, asset recovery case involving, 43
- Shelton, Dinah, 299–301
- Silickienė v. Lithuania*, 237–239, 248–254, 257–258
- smuggling cases
- in rem* property rights and, 175–177
 - interference rules and, 193–195
 - legal basis for enforcement in, 201–202
 - local confiscation orders, 186–187
 - objectum sceleris* principle, 190–195
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
 - value of foreign confiscation order and, 223–224
- social conditions, anti-corruption treaties and, 3–5
- socialist regimes, corruption in, 3–5
- Sodantenko v. Ukraine*, 204–207
- Soering v. UK*
- adverse consequences of extradition in, 148
 - extraterritorial jurisdiction in, 154–162
 - flagrant denial of rights in, 150–152
 - norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 169–170
 - proportionality of lawfulness to general interest in, 219–222
 - requested state responsibility for foreign confiscation in, 152–153
- soft laws
- asset recovery duties and, 23–27
 - bribery offenses and, 65–66
 - corruption definitions in, 12–13
 - norms of corruption in, 17–20
- Southern African Development Community (SADC)
- anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3
 - confiscation standards and, 102–106
 - foreign versus domestic bribery provisions in treaties of, 66–70
 - private sector bribery in, 77–80
 - property definitions of, 112–114
- sovereignty
- criminalization of corruption and, 99
 - due diligence standard and requesting state trustworthiness and, 162–166
 - indigenous and tribal property claims, 275
 - property rights in customary international law and, 33–35
 - property rights versus, 10–11
 - public international law and, 6–8, 22
 - right to corruption-free society and, 283–286
- Special Administrative Measures, flagrant denial of rights and, 156–162
- Special Immigration Appeals Commission (UK), 156–162
- SRVG. *See* Federal Act on Freezing and Restitution of Assets of Politically Exposed Persons obtained by Unlawful Means (SRVG) (Switzerland)
- stakeholders, personal scope of property rights and, 266–267
- Stapleton v. Ireland*, 162–166

- state institutions
 - anti-corruption efforts by, 17–20
 - asset recovery responsibilities of, 23–27
 - criminal conduct definitions, 9
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction and, 59–60
 - peoples' rights to property in public international law and, 35–37
- statutes of limitations
 - criminalization of corruption, 98
 - in *DRC v. Mobutu Seso Seko* case, 43
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 124–126
 - failed states asset recovery barriers and, 42–46
 - legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
- Stephenson, Kevin, 121–122
- Stessens, Guy, 78–79
- Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR), asset recovery defined by, 27–28
- Strasbourg conventions
 - arbitrariness arguments and, 203
 - cooperative confiscation and, 298–299
 - counter-terrorist sanctions regimes and, 46–47
 - degree of injustice provisions, 156–162
 - ECHR-P1 Art. 1 and, 143
 - foreign penalty enforcement, flagrant denial of justice, 150–152
 - in rem* property rights, 175–177
 - interference with property rights, 184–185, 261–263, 295–296
 - norm conflicts in public international law and, 169–170
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
 - proceeds as property under, 178–181
 - proportionality in, 258–259
 - protection of *bona fide* third parties in, 252–254
 - summary of lawfulness requirement and, 218–219
- substantive scope of property rights, 172–183
- inter-American concepts of, 269–270
- substitute assets, legal basis for enforcement and, 198–203
- Sudan Human Rights Organization and Another v. Sudan*, 279–281
- suppression conventions
 - duty to cooperate for purposes of confiscation in, 123–137
 - international crimes and, 13–17
- supranational organizations
 - due diligence standard and requesting state trustworthiness and, 165–166
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
- suspicious transactions, asset recovery responsibilities concerning, 23–27
- Swiss asset recovery system
 - Arab Spring cases, 47–54
 - case studies, 38–56
 - counter-terrorist sanctions regimes and, 46–47
 - failed states and, 42–46
 - Haiti v. Jean-Claude “Baby Doc” Duvalier* case and, 43–46
 - Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case, 40–41
 - Peru v. Vladimiro Lenin Montesinos Torres* case, 41–42
 - Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
 - special-purpose asset recovery law, 42–46
 - survey of, 9
- Syria, asset recovery in, 47–54
- Taliban, 243–248
 - frozen assets of, 46–47
- targeted financial sanctions
 - counter-terrorist sanctions regimes, 46–47
 - equality, interaction with rights to property, 259–261
 - norm conflicts in asset recovery and, 170–171
 - procedural proportionality in, 243–248

- technical assistance/technical cooperation principles, duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 124–126
- temporal scope of property rights, 144–145, 183–184
under ACHR Art.21, 266
in confiscation orders, 255–256
- territoriality principle, mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction and, 59–60
- territorial scope of property rights, 146–172, 183–184
ACHR provisions concerning, 267–269
adverse consequences of extradition and, 148
in AfCHPR Art. 14 provisions, 276–279
cooperative confiscations, ECHR concept of jurisdiction and, 154–162
flagrant denial of justice in foreign penalty enforcement, 150–152
right to fair trial and international cooperation and, 149–150
- terrorism financing
COE convention on, 87–89
requesting state proportionality and, 243–248
- thing-based confiscation
AfCHPR Art. 14 property rights provisions and, 276–279
asset recovery cases and, 182–183
bare possession as property, 178
bribery involving, 72–73
in COEMLC 1990/2005 treaties, 129–131
compensation provision and, 257
cooperative confiscation and, 298–299
corruption definitions and, 12–13
deprivation as result of, 107
diversion and misuse of assets and, 83–84
ECHR cooperative confiscation and, 154–162
in personam property rights and, 173–174
in rem property rights and, 173–174, 175–177
interference rules and, 190–195
in international law, 138
liability of things in, 109–116
money laundering and, 87–89
personal scope of property rights and, 116–120, 145–146
proceeds as property and, 178–181
proof of predicate offense and, 108–109
property as legitimate expectation and, 181–182
property rights and, 29–38
proportionality of interference and, 219–222
proportionality requirement and, 296–298
protection of *bona fide* third parties and, 252–254
in public international law, 29–31, 35–37
in regional treaties, 124–126
substantive scope of property rights and, 269–270
- third party rights. *See also bona fide* third parties; victim communities
equality, interaction with rights to property, 259–261
EU framework decisions on confiscation and, 131–133
international confiscation standards and, 129–131
justification for interference and, 271–275
persons affected by confiscation, 116–120
procedural fairness and proportionality in asset recovery and, 248–252
proceeds and instrumentalities as property and, 112–114
proportionality in domestic confiscation law, in third-party offenses, 234–235
protection of *bona fide* third parties, 252–254
public sector bribery, 70–71

- third states
 - foreign confiscation orders and, 295
 - requesting state hearing
 - proportionality and, 240–245
- “three rules” approach to property rights interference, 184–196
- title to property
 - effects of confiscation on, 114–116
 - permanent removal, confiscation rule concerning, 184–185
 - proceeds and instrumentalities as property and, 112–114
- “torture evidence”
 - assurances, effect of, 166–169
 - flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice rulings, 156–162
- trade and abuse of influence, in
 - anti-corruption treaties, 80–82
- transnational criminal law (TCL)
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 3–5
 - international criminal law versus, 13–17
 - objective elements in public sector bribery, 71–72
- Transparency International,
 - anti-corruption efforts of, 17–20
- transparency principle, persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
- treaty-based obligations
 - duty to cooperate for the purposes of confiscation and, 127–128
 - European human rights to property and, 141–143
 - peoples’ rights to property and, 35–37
 - property rights as, 31–33
- Treaty of Lisbon, European human rights to property and, 141–143
- Treaty on European Union, persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, confiscation standards and, 103–105
- tribal land claims
 - collective dimension in, 275
 - collective right to wealth and resources under AfCHPR and, 281–288
 - group property rights and, 10–11
 - interference rules and, 270–275, 279–281
 - territorial scope of property rights and, 267–269
- Tsonyo Tsonnev v. Bulgaria*, 156–162
- Tunisia, asset recovery in, 47–54
- “two-pronged” test for interference
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 286–288
 - property encroachment and, 279
- Tymoshenko, Yuliya, 216
- UNC. *See* Charter of the United Nations (UNC)
- UNCATND. *See* United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances
- “undue advantage”
 - bribe contents and benefits, 72–73
 - consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 - mental elements of, 74–76
- unfairness, allegations of, flagrant denial of rights and degree of injustice and, 156–162
- UN Global Compact, anti-corruption efforts of, 17–20
- United Kingdom, *Nigeria v. Sani Abacha* case and, 40–41
- United Nations (UN)
 - anti-corruption treaties and, 1–3
 - briber identity in public bribery treaties, 65–66
 - contents of bribery provisions in treaties of, 72–73
 - discretionary assumptions of jurisdiction, 61–62
 - investigative and prosecutorial discretion in conventions, 96–97
 - object-based confiscation under instruments of, 114–116
 - public sector bribery offenses, 64–76
 - United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC), 16t
 - abuse of functions and breach of duty provisions in, 82–83
 - active nationality principle, discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61

- Arab Spring asset recovery and, 54
 asset diversion and misuse
 provisions, 83–84
 asset recovery and, 294
 asset recovery definitions, 22–29
 bribe identity in private sector
 bribery, 78
 concept of confiscation in, 107
 confiscation standards in, 102–106
 connection between thing and
 offense in confiscation cases,
 115–116
 consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 contents of bribery provisions in,
 72–73
 context and consequences of private
 sector bribery, 79–80
 cooperative confiscation and, 101
 corruption definition of, 20–22
 defenses to corruption charges in,
 90–92
 definitions contained in, 9
 domestic versus foreign bribery in,
 66–70
 dual criminality requirement in
 cooperative confiscation and, 209
 duty to cooperate for the purposes of
 confiscation and, 127–128
 duty to cooperate in disposal of
 confiscated illicit wealth, 137–138
 duty to enable confiscation in,
 102–123
 illicit wealth provisions, 84–87
 immunity from jurisdiction in, 97–98
 investigative and prosecutorial
 discretion in, 96–97
 mandatory assumptions of
 jurisdiction in, 59–60
 mental elements of bribery, 74–76
 money laundering and concealment
 provisions, 87–89
 obstruction of justice provision
 in, 90
 passive personality/protective
 principles, discretionary
 jurisdiction, 61–62
 penalties for corruption in, 92
 persons affected by confiscation and,
 116–120
 predicate offenses to confiscation in,
 107–109
 prevention and investigation of
 corruption in, 94–95
 private sector bribery in, 77–80
 procedural guarantees in, 95–96
 proceeds, concept in confiscation
 provisions, 109–111
 property definitions in, 112–114
 public sector bribery offenses
 provisions, 64–76
 return of assets principle, 1, 4–5
 right to corruption-free society and,
 283–286
 sovereignty principle in, 99
 statutes of limitations in, 98
 trading and abusing influence
 provisions in, 80–82
 United Nations Convention against
 Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs
 and Psychotropic Substances
 (UNCATND), 87–89
 concept of confiscation in, 107
 cooperative confiscation and, 101
 duty to cooperate for the purposes of
 confiscation and, 127–128
 duty to enable confiscation in, 102–123
 persons affected by confiscation and,
 116–120
 United Nations Convention against
 Transnational Organized Crime
 (UNTOC), 16t
 active nationality principle,
 discretionary jurisdiction, 60–61
 concept of confiscation in, 107
 confiscation standards in, 102–106
 connection between thing and
 offense in confiscation
 instruments, 115–116
 consideration for the bribe, 73–74
 contents of bribery provisions in,
 72–73
 corruption definition in, 20–22
 defenses to corruption charges in,
 90–92
 domestic versus foreign bribery in,
 66–70
 duty to cooperate for the purposes of
 confiscation and, 127–128

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-05850-7 - Corruption, Asset Recovery, and the Protection of Property in Public International Law: The Human Rights of Bad Guys

Radha Ivory

Index

[More information](#)

390

INDEX

- United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (cont.)
- investigative and prosecutorial discretion in, 96–97
 - mandatory assumptions of jurisdiction in, 59–60
 - mental elements of bribery, 74–76
 - money laundering and concealment provisions, 87–89
 - obstruction of justice provision in, 90
 - passive personality/protective principles, discretionary jurisdiction, 61–62
 - penalties for corruption in, 92
 - persons affected by confiscation and, 116–120
 - prevention and investigation of corruption in, 94–95
 - procedural guarantees in, 95–96
 - proceeds, concept in confiscation provisions, 109–111
 - property definitions in, 112–114
 - public sector bribery offenses in, 64–76
 - sovereignty principle in, 99
 - statutes of limitations in, 98
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)
- deprivation defined in, 33–35
 - property rights in, 31–33, 37–38
- UN Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), asset recovery defined by, 27–28
- UNTOC. *See* United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
- US Alien Tort Claims Act, *Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
- US Racketeering and Corrupt Organizations Act, *Philippines v. Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos* asset recovery case, 38–40
- value-based confiscation
- in anti-corruption instruments, 114–116
 - foreign confiscation orders, 223–228
 - in rem* property rights and, 175–177
 - international confiscation standards, 129–131
 - procedural fairness and proportionality in, 248–252
 - proportionality in ECtHR case law concerning, 230–240
 - van Hoek, Aukje*, 148, 150–152
 - van Offeren v. Netherlands*, 233–234, 248–252
 - Varnava and Others v. Turkey*, 144–145
 - Vatican, flagrant denial of justice standard and, 150–152
 - victim communities
 - collective right to wealth and resources and, 35–37, 281–288
 - double jeopardy and legal certainty in proceedings of, 210–212
 - fairness of enforcement proceeding in, 228–252
 - flagrant denial of rights criteria and, 156–162
 - jurisdiction in cooperative compensation and, 155–156
 - persons affected by confiscation, 116–120
 - property rights of, 35–37
 - public interest in confiscation and, 219–222
 - value of foreign confiscation orders and, 223–228
 - Vulakh and Others v. Russia*, 239
 - Waldemar Nowakowski v. Poland*, 193–196
 - Walsh v. UK*, 248–252
 - Watergate scandal, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and, 3–5
 - wealth distribution
 - collective right to, under AfCHPR property rights provisions, 281–288
 - group versus individual property rights and, 10–11
 - private property rights and, 6–8
 - Welch v. UK*, 187–189
 - Willcox v. UK*, 240–245

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-05850-7 - Corruption, Asset Recovery, and the Protection of Property in Public
International Law: The Human Rights of Bad Guys

Radha Ivory

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

391

- Wolfsberg Group of financial
institutions, asset recovery
responsibilities and, 23–27
- World Bank
anti-corruption efforts by, 17–20
asset recovery defined by, 27–28
- Yassin Abdullah Kadi and Al Barakaat
International Foundation*
v. *Council of the European
Union and Commission of the
European Communities*
(*Kadi No. 2*), 193–195, 245–248
- Yassin Abdullah Kadi and Al Barakaat
International Foundation*
v. *European Commission (Kadi
No. 3)*, 193–195, 245–248,
255–256
- Yildirim v. Italy*, 234–235
- Zerbes, Ingeborg, 75
- Zlinsat v. Bulgaria*, 205, 239–240