

Inferences during Reading

Inferencing is defined as "the act of deriving logical conclusions from premises known or assumed to be true," and it is one of the most important processes necessary for successful comprehension during reading. This volume features contributions by distinguished researchers in cognitive psychology, educational psychology, and neuroscience on topics central to our understanding of the inferential process during reading. The chapters cover aspects of inferencing that range from the fundamental bottom-up processes that form the basis for an inference to occur, to the more strategic processes that transpire when a reader is engaged in literary understanding of a text. Basic activation mechanisms, word-level inferencing, methodological considerations, inference validation, causal inferencing, emotion, development of inferences processes as a skill, embodiment, contributions from neuroscience, and applications to naturalistic text are all covered as well as expository text and online learning materials, and literary immersion.

EDWARD J. O'BRIEN is Professor of Psychology at the University of New Hampshire.

ANNE E. COOK is Professor of Educational Psychology at the University of Utah.

ROBERT F. LORCH, JR., is Professor of Psychology at the University of Kentucky.





Inferences during Reading

Edited by

Edward J. O'Brien

University of New Hampshire

Anne E. Cook

University of Utah

Robert F. Lorch, Jr.

University of Kentucky





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107049796

© Cambridge University Press 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

O'Brien, Edward I.

Inferences during reading / Edward J. O'Brien, University of New Hampshire, Anne E. Cook, University of Utah, Robert F. Lorch, Jr., University of Kentucky. – First Edition.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-04979-6 (Hardback)

1. Inference. 2. Cognitive psychology. I. Cook, Anne E. II. Lorch, Robert F. III. Title.

BF442.O27 2015

418'.4019-dc23 2014044901

ISBN 978-1-107-04979-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

		ge vii
	List of tables	X
	List of boxes	xi
	List of contributors Preface	xii
	EDWARD J. O'BRIEN, ANNE E. COOK, AND ROBERT F. LORCH	xv , JR.
1	Comprehending implicit meanings in text without making inferences CHARLES A. PERFETTI AND JOSEPH Z. STAFURA	1
2	Passive activation and instantiation of inferences during reading ANNE E. COOK AND EDWARD J. O'BRIEN	19
3	Cognitive theories in discourse-processing research GAIL MCKOON AND ROGER RATCLIFF	42
4	Validation of text and discourse inferences – and explicit content MURRAY SINGER	68
5	Inference generation in text comprehension: automatic and strategic processes in the construction of a mental representation PAUL VAN DEN BROEK, KATINKA BEKER, AND MARJA OUDEGA	94
6	Emotion inferences during reading: going beyond the tip of the iceberg PASCAL GYGAX AND CHRISTELLE GILLIOZ	122
7	Inference processing in children: the contributions of depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge JANE OAKHILL, KATE CAIN, AND DIANA MCCARTHY	140
8	A general inference skill PANAYIOTA KENDEOU	160



vi	Contents	
9	Toward an embodied approach to inferences in comprehension: the case of action language MANUEL DE VEGA	182
10	The cognitive and neural correlates of individual differences in inferential processes CHANTEL S. PRAT AND BRIANNA L. YAMASAKI	210
11	Inferences during text comprehension: what neuroscience can (or cannot) contribute EVELYN C. FERSTL	230
12	Causal inferences and world knowledge LEO NOORDMAN, WIETSKE VONK, REINIER COZIJN, AND STEFAN FRANK	260
13	Constructing inferences in naturalistic reading contexts ARTHUR C. GRAESSER, HAIYING LI, AND SHI FENG	290
14	Inference generation during online study and multimedia learning KIRSTEN R. BUTCHER AND SARAH DAVIES	321
15	What about expository text? ROBERT F. LORCH, JR.	348
16	The role of inferences in narrative experiences RICHARD J. GERRIG AND WILLIAM G. WENZEL	362
17	Interpretive inferences in literature SUSAN R. GOLDMAN, KATHRYN S. MCCARTHY, AND CANDICE BURKETT	386
	Index	416



Figures

1.1	A hierarchical instrinsicalist view of explicit and implicit	
	meaning.	age 2
1.2	Average amplitude over parietal electrodes following	
	onset of critical word.	12
1.3	The mirrored pyramid view of text meaning processes.	15
4.1	Schematic depiction of the validation of anaphoric resolution	. 70
4.2	Schematic depiction of validating a causal bridging inference.	74
5.1	The causal inference maker. Adapted from van den	
	Broek, 1994.	105
7.1	Prediction of the two inference types.	147
7.2	Synonym and hypernym tasks as predictors of reading	
	comprehension skill.	154
7.3	Theme words as predictors of reading comprehension.	155
9.1	Mean latencies of motor responses and semantic choices.	
	Source: de Vega et al., 2014.	192
9.2	Activation changes as a function of task.	
	Source: de Vega et al., 2013.	197
9.3	Scalp distribution of the mu oscillatory rhythms.	
Source: I. Moreno, M. de Vega, and I. León, "Understa		g
	action language modulates oscillatory mu and beta rhythms	
	in the same way as observing actions," Brain and Cognition,	
	82(3), 236–42. Copyright 2013, with permission	
	from Elsevier.	200
9.4	Changes in the N400 component of ERP as a function of	
	action compatibility. Source: E. J. Santana and M. de Vega,	
	"An ERP study of motor compatibility effects in action	
	language," Brain Research, 1526, 71-83. Copyright 2013,	
	with permission from Elsevier.	202
10.1	Relationship between vocabulary size and right hemispheric	
	activation. Source: C. S. Prat, R. A. Mason, and M. A. Just,	
	"Individual differences in the neural basis of causal	

vii



viii	List of Figures	
	inferencing," Brain and Language, 116(1), 1-13.	
	Copyright 2011, with permission from Elsevier.	219
10.2	Relation between average syntactic adaptability and	21)
10.2	individual working memory capacity.	
	Source: C. S. Prat and M. A. Just, "Exploring the neural	
	dynamics underpinning individual differences in sentence	
	comprehension," <i>Cerebal Cortex</i> , 2011, 21(8), by permission	222
111	of Oxford University Press.	223
11.1	A left lateral view of the Talairach standard stereotaxic	221
	brain.	231
11.2	A right medial view of the Talairach standard stereotaxic	
	brain.	232
11.3	Recalled idea units in a replication of the Anderson and	
	Pichert paradigm. Source: E. C. Ferstl, T. Guthke, and D. Y.	
	von Cramon, "Change of perspective in discourse	
	comprehension: encoding and retrieval processes after brain	
	injury," Brain and Language, 70(3), 385-420. Copyright	
	1999, with permission from Elsevier.	235
11.4	The results of the coherence judgment task.	
	Source: E. C. Ferstl and D. Y. von Cramon, "What does	
	the frontomedian cortex contribute to language processing:	
	coherence or theory of mind?" NeuroImage, 17(3),	
	1599–1612. Copyright 2002, with permission from Elsevier.	239
11.5	The extended language network.	
	Source: Ferstl and von Cramon, 2002.	241
12.1	Example of a visual stimulus in the visual world paradigm	
	experiment. Source: Reinier Cozijn, Edwin Commandeur,	
	Wietske Vonk, and Leo Noordman (2011). "The time course	
	of the use of implicit causality information in the	
	processing of pronouns: a visual world paradigm study,"	
	Journal of Memory and Language, 64(4), 381-403 with	
	permission from Elsevier.	268
12.2	Knowledge representation of nonexperts.	
	Source: L. G. M. Noordman, W. Vonk, and W. H. G. Simon	S
	(2000). "Knowledge representation in the domain	
	of economics" in L. Lundquist and R. J. Jarvella (eds.),	
	Language, Text, and Knowledge: Mental Models of Expert	
	Communication (pp. 235–60), with permission from	
	Mouton de Gruyter.	273
12.3	Knowledge representation of experts.	,
	Source: L. G. M. Noordman, W. Vonk, and W. H. G. Simon	s
	(2000), "Knowledge representation in the domain of economic	
	(2000), Midwiedge representation in the domain of economi	-0



	List of Figures	1X
	in L. Lundquist and R. J. Jarvella (eds.), Language, Text, and	
	Knowledge: Mental Models of Expert Communication	
	(pp. 235-60), with permission from Mouton de Gruyter.	274
17.1	Percentage of participants who made interpretive statements.	405
17.2	Percentage of participants identifying indicated concepts as	
	symbols.	405



Tables

1.1	Passages showing manipulation of lexical association in the	
	paraphrase effect (from Stafura and Perfetti, unpublished) p	age 11
4.1	Mean target reading times and experimental question and	
	answer times (in milliseconds [ms]) of Singer, Harkness,	
	and Stewart (1997, Experiment 4) as a function of relation	
	(explicit, implicit)	78
5.1	Causally relevant inferences	101
10.1	Sample stimuli used in Prat, Mason, and Just (2011)	218
11.1	Example materials for the four conditions of the experiment.	. 237
12.1	Mean reading and verification times (ms) in the causal relati	on
	experiments (the codes in the first column are for textual	
	reference)	264
12.2	Mean reading and verification times (ms) in the because	
	experiments (the codes in the first column are for textual	
	reference)	270
12.3	Mean reading and verification times (ms) in the expert-	
	nonexpert experiments (the codes in the first column are for	
	textual reference)	276
13.1	Inference classes and their status of being generated during	
	comprehension according to the constructionist model of	
	Graesser, Singer, and Trabasso (1994)	297
	Example Coh-Metrix measures and indices	304
16.1	Taxonomy of participatory responses (Bezdek, Foy, and	
	Gerrig, 2013)	365
17.1	Four essay-writing instructions used in Harrison	
	Bergeron study	399
17.2.	Length and composition of essays produced in the four task	
	instruction conditions for Harrison Bergeron	400
17.3	Examples of responses classified as thematic or global	
	interpretive inferences	404
17.4	Percentages of interpretive inferences for "The Butterfly"	
	and "Eleven"	406

X



Boxes

2.1	Example from O'Brien and Albrecht (1991)	22
2.2	Example from O'Brien, Shank, Myers, and Rayner (1988)	26
2.3	Example from Cook et al. (2001)	30
2.4	Example from Peracchi and O'Brien (2004) and Guéraud,	
	Tapiero, and O'Brien (2008)	31
4.1	Sample materials for comparing causal consistent, causal	
	inconsistent, and temporal-control conditions (Singer, 1993)	73
6.1	Example of an experimental narrative used in Gygax et al.	
	(2007)	127
6.2	Example of an extended narrative used in Gygax et al. (2004)	128
6.3	Example of an ambiguous narrative used in Gygax et al.	
	(2004) focused on the emotion of sad. In the ambiguous	
	version, the ambiguous part is in italics	129
6.4	Example of an emotional narrative used in Gillioz and Gygax	
	(2013) in two saliency conditions. The optimal condition has	
	all components of emotion experiences and the moderate	
	version has only three components (in italics are the filler	
	sentences replacing the two removed component sentences)	133
9.1	Examples of materials from the action compatibility effect	
	experiments by de Vega et al. (2013)	191
	The Dog and the Shadow	390
17.2	Representative essays for each condition	401

xi



Contributors

- KATINKA BEKER Brain and Education Laboratory, Leiden University
- CANDICE BURKETT Learning Sciences Research Institute, University of Illinois Chicago
- KIRSTEN R. BUTCHER Department of Educational Psychology, University of Utah
- KATE CAIN Department of Psychology, Lancaster University
- ANNE E. COOK Department of Educational Psychology, University of Utah
- REINIER COZIJN Tilberg Center for Communication and Cognition, Tilburg University
- SARAH DAVIES Department of Educational Psychology, University of Utah
- MANUEL DE VEGA Department of Psychology, University of La Laguna
- SHI FENG Department of Psychology, University of Memphis
- EVELYN C. FERSTL IIG/Cognitive Science, University of Freiburg
- STEFAN FRANK Centre for Language Studies, Radboud University Nijmegen
- RICHARD J. GERRIG Department of Psychology, State University of New York Stony Brook
- CHRISTELLE GILLIOZ Department of Psychology, University of California San Diego
- SUSAN R. GOLDMAN Department of Psychology, University of Illinois Chicago
- ARTHUR C. GRAESSER Department of Psychology, University of Memphis

xii



List of Contributors

xiii

- PASCAL GYGAX Department of Psychology, University of Fribourg
- PANAYIOTA KENDEOU Department of Educational Psychology, University of Minnesota
- HAIYING LI Department of Psychology, University of Memphis
- ROBERT F. LORCH, JR. Department of Psychology, University of Kentucky
- DIANA MCCARTHY Department of Theoretical and Applied Linguistics, University of Cambridge
- KATHRYN S. MCCARTHY Department of Psychology, University of Illinois Chicago
- GAIL MCKOON Department of Psychology, The Ohio State University
- LEO NOORDMAN Tilberg Center for Communication and Cognition, Tilburg University
- JANE OAKHILL School of Psychology, University of Sussex
- EDWARD J. O'BRIEN Department of Psychology, University of New Hampshire
- MARJA OUDEGA Brain and Education Laboratory, Leiden University
- CHARLES A. PERFETTI Learning Research and Development Center, University of Pittsburgh
- CHANTEL S. PRAT Institute for Learning and Brain Sciences, University of Washington
- ROGER RATCLIFF Department of Psychology, The Ohio State University
- MURRAY SINGER Department of Psychology, University of Manitoba
- JOSEPH Z. STAFURA Learning Research and Development Center, University of Pittsburgh
- PAUL VAN DEN BROEK Department of Educational Studies, Leiden University
- WIETSKE VONK Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics and Centre for Language Studies, Radboud University Nijmegen
- WILLIAM G. WENZEL Department of Psychology, State University of New York Stony Brook
- BRIANNA L. YAMASAKI Department of Psychology, University of Washington





Preface

Research in the area of reading comprehension has made enormous progress during the last thirty or more years. There are numerous books, research articles, and journals devoted solely to work done on increasing our understanding of the comprehension process. Because reading comprehension is so complex, even today, there are no models of the complete process; instead, models are designed to address various subcomponents of the complete comprehension process. Perhaps one of the most important processes necessary for successful comprehension during reading is that of inferencing. Over the last twenty-five years, research on inference generation during reading has advanced to the point that it has become a relatively "mature" area. We know a great deal about this critical comprehension process. In fact, across disciplines (e.g., education, psychology) and perspectives, there has been a good deal of convergence in terms of theoretical accounts and understanding of both the reader and text characteristics that promote the types of inferential processes that facilitate comprehension. Despite this high level of convergence, there is no centralized resource that captures it. Our goal was to bring together a set of chapters that capture this convergence while also providing a unified resource for interested readers who want to learn about the current state of the field's understanding of the inference process. The present book contains chapters by many distinguished researchers on topics central to our understanding of the inferential process during reading. These chapters cover aspects of inferencing that range from the fundamental bottom-up processes that form the basis for an inference to occur to the more strategic processes that occur when a reader is engaged in literary understanding of a text. Within this range, a wide variety of topics are covered that include basic activation mechanisms, word-level inferencing, methodological considerations, inference validation, causal inferencing, emotion, development of inference processes as a skill, embodiment, contributions from neuroscience, as well as applications to naturalistic, expository text, online learning materials, and literary immersion. We have used this progression from lower-level

χV



xvi Preface

to higher-level processing as the organizing framework for the sequence of chapters in the volume.

The topics in this volume are grounded in research that comes from a wide variety of perspectives, including basic cognitive psychology, applied educational psychology, and neuroscience. This interdisciplinary coverage is apparent throughout the volume; many chapters touched on different levels or topics in inferencing; and many topics were covered by chapters from a variety of different perspectives. Within this interdisciplinary mingle two fundamental principles emerged. First, there are some basic cognitive mechanisms (i.e., passive memory activation) that drive inference generation, regardless of whether the inference occurs at a very basic word level or at a higher level of literary interpretation. Second, when inferences are encoded, the exact nature of what is encoded affects ultimate comprehension, memory, and learning. This is true regardless of whether the inference occurs during reading of narrative text, expository text, or during the encoding of multimedia materials for academic purposes.

Challenges and directions for future work also emerge from this volume. It is clear that a better understanding of the active, strategic processes involved in the production of inferences is needed, such as those that include literary interpretation, or integrating content across several texts. Much of the research and theory presented in these chapters stopped short of providing any serious model of inference production that can explain and/or predict active, strategic processes on the part of the reader. It is clear that the next major step – and likely the most difficult step – in our understanding of the inference process will occur with the development of testable models of active inference production. Such a model would surely deepen our understanding of the inference process, as well as define where current models of inferencing succeed in explaining research findings, and, more important, where they fail.

We are indebted to the contributors to this volume for their efforts in writing chapters that captured the current state of research into inference processing. Each chapter contributes to this understanding in ways that are unique to each author's line of work, but also overlapping with other authors to the extent that the field has indeed begun to converge on a high level of understanding of the inference process. The chapters are ordered – roughly – in terms of the issues addressed, beginning with those that address the more bottom-up aspects of the inference process to those that address the interaction of both bottom-up and top-down processes, and finally to those chapters that raise the most interesting and still least understood aspects of inferencing during reading.

Edward J. O'Brien Anne E. Cook Robert F. Lorch