

Index

Ackerman, Bruce, 72 n. 23
 on ideal legal system, 72 n. 22
 on Rawls’s law of peoples as mere *modus vivendi*, 257
 thought experiment about justice using spaceship example, 69–74
 Waldron’s objections to, 73–4

Adorno, Theodore
 on socially produced evil, 163

agreements
 self-enforcing, 225–6

Alexander, Sydney
 on the primacy of rational choice in the original position, 42

Alstott, Linda, 119 n. 1
 objection to ideal of egalitarian family, 135

Anderson, Elizabeth
 on patriarchal conceptions of the good in a Rawlsian society, 125

Arendt, Hannah
 on justice as presupposing plurality, 68

Arneson, Richard, 36 n. 10, 152 n. 24

Attas, Daniel, 94 n. 30

Audard, Catherine, 258
 on Rawls’s law of peoples as a critical theory, 263

Aumann, Robert, 226 n. 2

Baehr, Amy, 119 n. 1, 132 n. 31, 136 n. 42, 139 n. 1

Barry, Brian, 39

basic liberties
 liberal-democratic specification (Hinton), 171
 list of, 160
 radical-democratic specification (Hinton), 171

basic structure
 whether to be understood as legally coercive arrangements only, 124

Beitz, Charles, 248
 on global difference principle, 253

Bhandary, Asha, 119, 130 n. 21, 130 n. 24, 136 n. 43
 on free choice of occupation, 131
 on those who care for the not fully cooperating, 130

Binmore, Kenneth, 42
 objection to principle of insufficient reason, 55
 on maximin reasoning, 48

Blake, Michael and Smith, Patrick Taylor
 criticism of Rawls’s treatment of non-liberal societies, 256

Brake, Elizabeth, 137 n. 44

Brink, David, 139, 159 n.
 on the separateness of persons, 24 n. 6

Brock, Gillian, 262 n. 10, 262 n. 11
 on Rawls’s view of human rights, 260 n. 8

Casal, Paula, 36 n. 10

Christman, John, 80 n. 6, 84 n. 12
 on taxation and property, 94 n. 30

Clark, Barry and Gintis, Herbert, 65 n. 9

Clayton, Matthew, 112 n. 24, 116 n. 30
 argument for political liberal defense of equality of resources, 117 n. 31

Cohen, G. A. 80 n. 6, 88 n. 19, 111 n. 23, 123 n. 9, 134 n. 33, 135 n. 39, 139 n. 1, 141 n. 4, 142 n. 5, 145 n. 13, 146 n. 16, 152 n. 24, 154 n. 25, 156 n. 29, 158 n. 34
 agreement with Plato, 143, 145 n. 14
 on the basic structure, 123
 on capitalist unfreedom, 175
 critique of Rawls’s metaethics, 146
 distinction between fact-dependent and fact-independent principles, 141–2
 on equal access to advantage, 111
 on feminist critique of Rawls, 135
 interpretation of Dworkin’s view of the original position, 99 n. 3
 on principles of justice as principles for individuals, 134

- on Rawls’s view of rational intuitionism, 145 n. 16
- on relative versus ultimate fact-independence of principles, 142–3
- on self-ownership, 93 n. 28
- on the terms “moral hazard” and “differential care,” 155
- unearthing strategy (Estlund), 143–5
- Cohen, Joshua, 18, 35 n. 9, 190 n. 6
- contractualism
 - Public Reason Model (Cohen), 196–9
 - and the Rational Advantage Model (Cohen), 181–8
 - Reasonable Complaint Model (Cohen), 194–6
- Crisp, Roger, 36 n. 10
- Cudd, Ann, 119 n. 1, 120 n. 3
- decision theory
 - decisions under certainty, risk, and ignorance distinguished, 30–1
- distributive justice
 - currency of, 21
- Dworkin, Ronald, 39, 91 n. 25, 98 n. 2, 99 n. 3, 99 n. 5, 101 n. 8, 102 n. 9, 104 n. 15, 104 n. 13, 105 n. 16, 107 n. 18, 108 n. 19, 111 n. 22, 112 n. 24, 113 n. 26, 115 n. 27, 115 n. 29, 117 n. 32
- auction of resources (thought experiment), 103–4
- criticism of G. A. Cohen, 111
- envy test, 104
- on how the original position relies on a right to equal concern and respect, 101–2
- hypothetical insurance scheme, 104–5
- on the objectionable insensitivity of the difference principle, 107
- on political morality and ethical independence, 110–11
- on Rawls’s conception of equality, 91
- on role of hypothetical insurance scheme in equality of resources, 97–8
- on the role of hypothetical agreement in Rawls’s theory of justice, 99
- thin veil of ignorance in hypothetical insurance scheme, 113–14
- on why hypothetical agreements can sometimes have force, 100
- Eaton, A. W., 127 n. 16
- Estlund, David, 18, 122 n. 6, 123 n. 8, 123, 128 n. 18, 159, 224
- on human nature, 157 n. 30
- on “ought” and the inability to muster the will, 122
- extraspecial conception of justice (Brink)
 - argument for, as distinct from Rawls’s special conception, 27–8
- feminist considered convictions (Baehr), 120–1
- Fisk, Milton, 159 n. 1
- Forst, Rainer, 255
- Frankfurt, Harry, 36 n. 10
- Freeman, Samuel, 59 n. 1, 143 n. 8, 179, 246 n. 9, 257 n. 4
 - on the original position, 2 n. 5, 140 n. 3
- Fundamental Derivation Thesis (Gaus and Thrasher), 39
 - as interpretation of the original position, 40
- Gauthier, David, 41, 42 n. 1, 82 n. 8, 199
 - on the concept of an Archimedean point for judging society, 42
 - objection to Harsanyi, 57
 - objection to the original position, 52
- Gibbard, Allan, 80 n. 6
- Gorr, Michael, 91 n. 25, 91, 92 n. 27
 - on original position and political conception of the person, 91–2
- Hampton, Jean, 119 n. 1
- Harsanyi, John, 2 n. 3, 31 n. 8, 60 n. 3
 - axiomatic model of original position reasoning, 53–4
 - on the distinction between cooperative and non-cooperative games, note, 225
 - and equiprobability assumption, 31, 55
 - and identification test (Gaus and Thrasher), 57
 - and interpersonal comparisons of utility, 56–7
 - objection to maximin reasoning, 53–4
 - and principle of expected utility, 31
 - and recognitional test (Gaus and Thrasher), 57
 - on value judgments, 53 n. 4
- Hart, H. L. A., 22 n. 4
 - on our mutual vulnerability, 72 n. 24
- Hart, Henry and Sacks, Albert
 - on “self-application” in legal theory, 73 n. 25
- Hartley, Christie and Watson, Lauri, 119 n. 1
- Heath, Joseph, 257 n. 4, 258
 - criticism of the idea of a global basic structure, 260

- Herman, Barbara, 205 n. 5, 211 n. 11, 221 n. 20
Hill, Thomas, 205 n. 5, 213 n. 12
Hinsch, Wilfried
 on international obligations to the worst off, 255
Hinsch, Wilfried and Stepanians, Markus, 259 n. 7
Hinton, Timothy, 18, 77 n., 82 n. 8, 97 n., 119, 139 n. 1, 179, 224
 on common ownership formula, 175 n. 17
 on the connections between liberal legalism and the radical critique of liberalism, 160 n. 3
 on individual sovereignty, 170 n. 12
Hobbes, Thomas, 179
Hume, David
 on the easy movability of resources, 72 n. 24
 on justice as dependent on conventions, 218 n. 16
Hurrell, Andrew
 criticism of Rawls's assumption that societies are self-sufficient, 255

ideal-historical versus real-historical approaches (Hinton), 163–4
identification test (Gaus and Thrasher), 41, 51
individualism
 judgmental and substantive contrasted (Cohen), 189

James, Aaron, 131 n. 27
justice
 circumstances of, 68
 primacy of, 2
 theory of as political conception, 51
 theory of, as branch of rational choice theory, 39
 willingness versus ability to comply with the principles of, 72
justice as fairness
 and commitment to democracy, 3
 compared to utilitarianism, 2–3
 as conception-based, rather than rights-based, 102
 and feminist considered convictions (Baehr), 126–33
 forms of Kantian autonomy in, 215–16
 and the “full publicity” condition, 234
 and libertarian conceptions of justice, 18 n. 3
 as open to the charge of being mere ideology, 138
 as political version of liberalism, 18 n. 2
 well-ordered society and the gender system, 122–3
justification
 and objectivity, 1
 political, 9–10
 Socratic, 9

Kant, Immanuel, 20, 179, 202 n. 1
 on autonomy as source of normativity, 206
 Critique of Practical Reason, 206 n. 7
 fact of reason, 206 n. 7
 on free agency, 213 n. 12
 on the interest in happiness, 209 n. 9
 on morality and autonomy, 204–7
 Religion Within the Boundaries of Mere Reason, 209 n. 9
 on two levels of moral principles, 207
Kittay, Eva, 131 n. 28
Kohlberg, Lawrence
 on “reversible” principles, 45
Korsgaard, Christine, 205 n. 5, 211 n. 11
Kukathas, Chandran and Pettit, Philip
 reply to Nozick's criticism of the difference principle, 86
Kuper, Andrew, 255–7
 criticism of Rawls on cultural pluralism, 256

Legassick, Martin, 166 n. 8
liberalism
 and contractualism, 69
 and liberal legalism, 160
 vision of society, 68
Lichtenstein, Alex, 166 n. 8
Lloyd, Sharon, 119 n. 1
Locke, John, 20, 179
Luce, R. Duncan and Raiffa, Howard, 45 n. 2, 48

Mandle, Jon, 257 n. 4
Martin, Rex
 on the duty of assistance in international affairs, 261
maximin rule, 6, 29, 47
 as a principle of rational choice and as a principle of equity, 49
McClennen, Edward, 48
Meadowcroft, John, 81 n. 6

- Metz, Thaddeus, 87 n. 16, 88 n. 18
- Miller, Richard, 65 n. 9, 159 n. 1
- objection to Rawls appealing to Marxist theory, 65
- Mills, Charles, 166 n. 8
- distinction between white settler states and white sojourner colonies, 167
- Moehler, Michael, 54
- Moellendorf, Darrel, 255
- on Rawls as sacrificing justice for the sake of agreement, 257
- Murphy, Liam and Nagel, Thomas, 94 n. 29
- Nagel, Thomas, 108 n. 20
- objection to the ethical ignorance of the parties in the original position, 108–9
- Nagel, Thomas and Murphy, Liam
- on private property as legal convention, 93–4
- Narveson, Jan, 78 n. 1, 82 n. 8
- Neufeld, Blaine and van Schoelandt, Chad, 119 n. 1
- on aspects of institutions that are relevant to justice, 124
- Nozick, Robert, 22 n. 5, 64 n. 7, 64, 77, 79 n. 2, 81 n. 7
- analogy between the distribution of grades and distributive justice, 86
 - on bias of the original position towards end-state or patterned principles of justice, 85
 - on calculating fair shares of the social surplus, 82
 - on the connection between the social product and the original position argument, 84
 - criticism of the original position compared to G. A. Cohen’s, 149
 - criticisms of Rawls on natural endowments, 22
 - on historical injustice, 163 n. 6
 - on ideal history, 163
 - on inconsistency in Rawls’s treatment of morally arbitrary factors, 89–90
 - the Lockean proviso on appropriation, 80
 - on marginal contribution to the social product, 83–4
 - on ownership rights as pre-political, 93
 - on the primacy of “micro” examples, 87
 - on Rawls’s treatment of natural talents as “social assets,” 87
 - on redistributing body parts, 87
 - on rights as side constraints that respect persons, 93
 - Wilt Chamberlain example, 88
- Nussbaum, Martha, 119 n. 1
- O’Neill, Onora, 205 n. 5
- Okin, Susan, 83 n. 11, 119 n. 1, 119, 134 n. 34, 135 n. 38, 136 n. 40, 138
- account of gender, 134
 - on applying the principles of justice to the internal workings of the family, 134
 - claim that justice requires 50-50 division of housework and caregiving, 135
 - on coercive measures to end injustice, 136
 - objections to the gendered division of labor, 134
 - paycheck sharing proposal, 127
- O’Neill, Martin and Williamson, Thad, 175 n. 18
- original position
- agreement in, as self-enforcing (Weithman), 224
 - as analytical device, 25
 - as Archimedean point, 42–3
 - argument as based on rational choice, rather than on hypothetical consent, 40–1
 - argument for the priority of the liberal freedoms, 160–2
 - and the argument from publicity, 7–8
 - and the argument from self-respect, 8, 162, 190–4
 - and aversion to risk, 59
 - as based on conception of persons as free and equal agents, 203
 - conception of freedom and equality, 94
 - and constructivism, 209–10
 - deliberations of parties as irrelevant to justice efficiency considerations (G. A. Cohen), 153–6
 - reasoning that defers to moral infirmity (G. A. Cohen), 156–8
 - regulatory reasoning (G. A. Cohen), 150–2
 - and dependency, 136–7
 - as device of representation, 10–11, 69, 106, 225
 - as directly applicable to the internal workings of the family (Okin), 134–6
 - distinction between reasoning within the original position and reasoning about how to set up the original position, 182
 - and economic benefits of one’s talents, 88

278 Index

- original position (cont.)
 as fair choice procedure, 139–40
 and fair terms of social cooperation, 97
 and feminist considered convictions (Baehr), 122, 133
 the first and second fundamental comparisons, 5 n. 6
 as heuristic device, 75
 historically sensitive version (Hinton), 169
 how normative considerations are modeled in it, 187
 as hypothetical thought experiment, 62
 and the ideal of public justification, 109–10
 and the law of peoples for decent societies, 251–2
 and the law of peoples for liberal societies, 250–1
 and maximin reasoning, 6–7, 29–33, 59–60
 as mechanism for abstracting from historical injustice, 96
 as modeling a fair initial situation, 19
 as modeling citizens as free and equal persons, 212–14
 and the moral point of view, 41
 and morally arbitrary factors, 79
 as open to rational revision, 4–5, 165–6
 and parties' desire for liberty, 169
 on the possible use of ethical reasoning within, 187–8
 and practical reason, 210–12
 and rational choice argument, 59–60
 and the reasonable, 40
 and reasonable pluralism, 10
 and reasoning about one's own interests, 182
 and reflective equilibrium, 3–4, 75–6, 97, 120 n. 2, 126
 as requiring parties to reason from arguable factual claims (Cohen), 190–4
 role in Rawls's law of peoples, 248–53
 role of non-moral facts in, 143
 similarities to Kant's categorical imperative procedure, 215–16
 and strains of commitment argument, 7–8
 and sufficientarianism, 36–7
 and the two principles of justice, 5
 and the veil of ignorance, 4, 24–5, 61 n. 4, 106
 as well-defined deliberative procedure (Reath), 202
 as wrongly assimilating principles of justice to rules of social regulation, 148–50
 the veil of ignorance and morally arbitrary factors, 79
 viewing the social world from the perspective of, 8–9
- Otsuka, Michael, 81 n. 6
- Parfit, Derek, 18
- Parr, Thomas, 97
- Pateman, Carole, 83 n. 11
- Pendlebury, Michael, 159
- Pettit, Philip
 on liberty as non-domination, 170 n. 11
- Plato, 143 n. 6
 Euthyphro problem similar to G. A. Cohen's objection to Rawls, 145
 Euthyphro, 145 n. 12
- Pogge, Thomas, 87 n. 15, 89 n. 20, 91, 91 n. 21, 145 n. 11, 255, 257, 260, 264, 264 n. 13
 on ambiguities in Rawls's account of the basic structure, 82 n. 9
 criticisms of international institutions, 254
 on global resources tax, 255
 objection to Nozick on Robinson Crusoes, 83
 reply to Nozick on where the principles of justice should properly be focused, 91
 view that the two principles of justice should apply globally, 248
- primary goods
 as all purpose means, 173 n. 15
 compared with utility as measure of distribution, 28
 as currency of distributive justice, 21–2
 Dworkin's critique of as currency of distributive justice, 112
 as metric of advantage, 173
 in Rawls's middle model (Gaus and Thrasher), 47
 skills and talents as natural primary goods, 89
- principle of average utility, 6
 and deprivations of liberty and goods, 59
- principle of diminishing marginal utility, 28
- principle of expected utility, 29
- principle of insufficient reason, 55
- Pummer, Theron, 18
- racial capitalism
 theory of, 166–7

Rawls, John

argument against global difference principle, 253
 and average utilitarianism, 71
 conjecture linking the original position to
 reasonable grounds for the principles of
 justice, 186
 contractual argument against mixed
 conceptions of justice, 34–6
 contractual argument against mixed
 conceptions of justice, distinguished from
 contractual argument against
 utilitarianism, 19–20
 contractual argument as hypothetical and
 moralized, 21
 dispute with Pogge, 264
 and duties to address global
 poverty, 261–5
 and Dworkin, different views about
 hypothetical agreements and justice, 98
 early model (Gaus and Thrasher), 43–6
 conception of legitimate claims, 44
 version of the two principles, 44
 on the effects on individuals of the
 institutional forms of society, 83
 and equality of opportunity, 22
 on expressing one's nature as a
 moral person, 231
 final model (Gaus and Thrasher), 50–2
 relation to Fundamental Derivation Thesis
 (Gaus and Thrasher), 51
 first principle of justice, 160
 and the four-stage sequence connecting
 principles and laws, 177, 235
 and Harsanyi, original position reasoning
 compared, 52
 and ideal theory, 71, 178
 and ideal versus non-ideal theory, 164
 on the importance of background
 institutions, 82
 and Kant, different conceptions of
 the person, 222–3
 on Kantian constructivism, 201
 the Kantian interpretation of justice as
 fairness, 201, 216–20
 on Kantian negative freedom, 214 n. 13
 on the Kantian principle that people are ends
 in themselves, 8
 on Kantian versus political conceptions of
 equality, 91

on Kant's categorical imperative
 procedure, 207–9
 on Kant's conception of autonomy, 202–3
 on liberty of conscience, 161–2
 middle models (Gaus and Thrasher), 50
 emergence of difference principle in, 49
 primary goods in, 47
 role of maximin reasoning in, 49
 veil of ignorance in, 46
 on moral education, 236
 on the need for a conception of justice to
 generate its own support, 71–2
 and Nozick on natural talents, 79 n. 4
 and Nozick on non-ideal justice, 78, 94–6
 and Nozick on talents, 22–3
 and Nozick on the presumption of equality, 84
 and perfectionism, 161
 on the principle of democratic participation, 75
 and the publicity of the principles of justice, 74
 on racial discrimination, 176
 and the Rational Advantage
 Model (Cohen), 187–8
 the reasonable and the rational, 51
 on reflective equilibrium, 120
 on religious intolerance as urgent
 social evil, 163
 reply to Alexander, 42
 reply to Dworkin's account of the original
 position, 102–3
 role of reconciliation in political
 philosophy, 133
 on Rousseau, 94
 and self-enforcing agreements
 (Weithman), 226–9
 and the separateness of persons, 68
 on Sidgwick's objection to Kant, 219 n. 17
 and the toleration of nonliberal
 societies, 261–5
 similarity between the system of natural liberty
 and Nozick's entitlement theory, 80
 the two moral powers, 22, 50, 161–2
 the two principles of justice, 18, 21, 80
 and the turn to political liberalism, 238–44
 and utilitarianism, 18–19, 161
 on utilitarianism and the separateness of
 persons, 24
 view of strict compliance compared to
 Ackerman, 71
 Reath, Andrews, 206 n. 6, 221 n. 20

- recognition test (Gaus and Thrasher), 41, 52
Reidy, David, 257 n. 4
 defense of Rawls on human rights, 260
Robeyns, Ingrid, 132 n. 30
 concerns about basic income for women, 132
Rousseau, Jean Jacques, 20, 94, 95 n. 32, 95 n. 33, 179
Ryan, Cheyney, 80 n. 6

Savage, Leonard
 on maximin reasoning, 48
Scanlon, T. M., 2 n. 4, 9 n. 7, 18, 179 n. 2
 on contractualism and aggregation, 197
 contractualist theory of rightness, 179
 on the individualistic basis of
 contractualism, 188
 moral contractualism as Reasonable Objection Model (Cohen), 189
Scheffler, Samuel, 124 n. 12
 on legal measures as effective in ending egregious sexism, 125
 on patriarchal conceptions of the good in a Rawlsian society, 125
 on social institutions and individuals' characters, 124 n. 12
Sen, Amartya
 on adverse consequences of non-democratic regimes, 256
Shields, Liam, 36 n. 10
Shiffrin, Seana, 179
social theories
 thick and thin, distinguished (Hinton), 164
South Africa, Republic of
 Constitution of 1996, 171 n. 13
special conception of justice, 34
 argument for, as distinct from Rawls's general conception of justice, 27
 contract argument for, 28–33
 two kinds of priority within, 23
Stark, Cynthia, 119, 130 n. 20, 130 n. 22, 131, 131 n. 26, 137 n. 46
 on the original position and the not fully cooperating, 137
Sterba, James, 78 n. 1, 86 n. 14
 on the moral implications of being entitled to our natural assets, 90 n. 22
 reply to Nozick's criticism of the original position, 90
 strains of commitment
 and acting in good faith, 59
 as an argument about gaming morality rather than gaming rationality, 60–1
 and being unwilling rather than unable to give up one's extra advantages, 65
 as blurring the line between the principles chosen in the original position and their application to the real world, 67
 distinguished from mere dissatisfaction, 65
 and insupportable deprivation versus preferential dislike, 66
 malcontents objection (Waldron), 62–7
 stated, 61–2
 model-theoretic objection (Waldron), 67–75
 stated, 62
 and mutual regard, 76
 and non-ideal theory, 74
 and a person's distributive shares under different principles of justice, 64
Street, Sharon
 on constructivism, 202 n. 2
sufficientarianism, 36
 and the difference principle, 36
 and mixed utilitarianism, 36
Syme, Timothy, 139 n. 1

Tan, Kok-Chor, 255, 257
Tasioulas, John, 259 n. 7
Thompson, Janna, 136 n. 43

unearthed Rawlsian principle (Estlund), 144
utilitarianism
 classical and average distinguished, 29
 and ideal observer, 67–8
 and individual burdens, 59
 mixed, 34

Valentini, Laura and Ronzoni, Miriam, 145 n. 13
Vallentyne, Peter and Steiner, Hillel, 93 n. 28
veil of ignorance
 distinction between thicker and thinner veils (Cohen), 181
 merits of thin versus thick veil (Clayton), 115–18
 and nullifying the effects of partiality, 183–4
von Mises, Ludwig
 on the violent origins of private property, 96
von Neumann, John

argument for maximin reasoning, 45, 48 n. 3	Weithman, Paul, 18, 139 n. 1, 159 n., 232 n. 5
on maximin reasoning in zero sum games, 45	Wenar, Leif, 259 n. 5
von Neumann–Morgenstern transformation, the, 54	Williams, Andrew, 97, 112 n. 24
Waldron, Jeremy, 36 n. 11, 73 n. 25, 75 n. 26	Wolff, Robert Paul, 43–4, 159 n. 1
on social minimum, 66 n. 13	Wolpe, Harold, 166 n. 8
	Wood, Allen
	on capitalist exploitation, 175 n. 16