

INDEX

- Alexy, Robert, 195, 219, 237
- balancing
 anti-rules, as, 234
 characteristic, as, 12
 deference, and, 136
 definition, 17, 18
 formal and the substantive, 24–28
 formal vs substantive discourse, 7
 global age of, 10
 global pervasiveness, 9, 28, 243
 history, 33
 how formal and substantive, 26–28
 intuitive reasoning, 7
 judicial references, 11
 law's *proprium*, 24–26
 legalism, and, 2–6
 legitimacy, 21–24
 lens and an object, a, 16–18
 local meanings, 1, 6, 21–24
 nineteenth-century legal thought,
 and, 71
 optimization, 195
 problematic, 242
 process and discourse, as, 16–21
 relativity, 21–24
 rethinking, 6–9
 rise of, 4, 235
 role, 4
 scepticism vs faith dichotomy, 232
 scepticism, and, 5
 triumph of test, 56
 universality, 13
 value and interest, 4
- Black, Hugo, 126, 132, 134, 137–39, 140,
 141, 142, 145, 146, 147–50, 151,
 152, 153, 155, 156, 169, 170, 183,
 184–86, 196, 250, 255, 262
- Chafee, Zechariah, 157–60, 177,
 178, 196
- civil rights
 balancing, and, 122
- classes of expression, 186
- classical orthodoxy, 31, 33–56
 autonomy and neutrality, 62–64
 autonomy, and, 36
 balancer's account, 34
 balancing and interests, 69–70
 balancing of interests, 61
 categorization and
 subsumption, 47–50
 conceptual reasoning, 47
 conceptual vocabulary, 34
 conceptualism, 46
 critique, 43, 46–56
 definition, 48
 degrees and
 interconnectedness, 64–66
 European vs United States, 48
 France, 57–60
 freedom of contract, 53
 gap-filling, 57
 gap-filling through sensible
 weighing, 61–62
 Géný, François, 57–60
 Germany, 60–64
 gradualism, 49
 Heck, Philipp, 60–64
 Langdellian legal science and legal
 education, 41–43
 legal formality, and, 48

- classical orthodoxy (*cont.*)
 - liberty vs regulation, 70
 - local differences, 34
 - postulates, adherence to, 37
 - Pound and linking method and politics, 53–56
 - Pound, Roscoe, 64–69
 - Pound's mechanical
 - jurisprudence, 43–46
 - public and private power, 50–52
 - rights, policies and interests, 66–69
 - rule-based approaches, 52
 - scholarly, private law critique in Germany, 39
 - scientific law and legal
 - formality, 35–37
 - system, subsumption and idealism in Germany, 39
 - United States, 54, 64–69
 - worldviews, and, 50
- comparative law
 - community of discourse, 15
 - constitutional law, 6
 - formal vs substantive, in, 2
- comparative method
 - balancing, and, 27
 - identifying balancing, 18
 - interpretation of balancing, 20
 - one dimensionality of meaning, 20
 - problems, 18–21
 - process and discourse, 18
- constitutional law
 - globalization, of, 2
- constitutional legal
 - perfectionism, 193
- constitutionalism
 - aspirational, 115
 - comprehensive, 74, 117, 232
 - foundations, 95
 - Germany, 190, 235, 242
 - laissez-faire attitude of courts, 41, 65
 - material, 74, 94, 102–3, 207, 210, 242
 - perfect-fit, 112, 195
 - shared paradigm, 243
- contemporary trends, 2
- Continental Europe, 3, 6, 9, 218
- dialectical reasoning, 90
- doctrines, 18
- Ely, John Hart, 125, 182, 213, 216
- Emerson, Thomas, 125, 146, 149, 151, 152, 173, 182–84, 197
- enchantment, 227
- faith in law, 229
- formal vs substantive opposition, 10, 28
- formalism
 - anti, 225
 - beliefs, and, 8, 227, 228
 - blaming, 223
 - classical orthodoxy, 43
 - commitment, and, 228
 - conceptual, 218
 - conceptualism, and, 68
 - juristic, 218
 - Lochner*, 202
 - logical, 90
 - mechanical jurisprudence, and, 178
 - nature, 227
 - new formalism movement, 231
 - positivism, and, 223
 - pragmatic, 8
 - realism, and, 213
 - role, 223
 - rule-scepticism, 227
 - strategy, as, 49
 - understanding, 217
 - United States, 41, 43, 70, 214
 - vices, 169
- formality
 - autonomy, and, 192
 - strategy, and, 212
- Forsthoﬀ, Ernst, 87, 117, 224
- Frankfurter, Felix, 72, 126, 132, 134, 136, 137, 141, 151, 153, 154, 160, 162, 171, 173, 259
- freedom of expression
 - adjustment, 109
 - analytical framework, German, 85
 - anti-positivism, 101
 - balancing approach, 75
 - balancing, dominance, 72
 - categorization, 180

- characteristics, 72
- conduct, and, 145
- conflict, 136
- definitional approaches, and, 184
- development, 72
- expression, 182
- freedom of public discussion, 183
- German law, 75, 78, 94–98, 106
- limitations, 98
- optimization, 109
- purpose of laws, and, 95
- qualification, 76
- relativity, 79
- Smend, Rudolf, 97
- Smend's importance, 102
- social character, 99
- textual foundations, 75–76
- trade-offs, 100
- underlying principles, 184
- United States jurisprudence, and, 124–26
- United States law, 75
- weighing the circumstances, 130
- fundamental rights
 - balancing, 11
 - full effectiveness, principle of, 202
 - Germany, 78
 - interpretation of, 91
 - societal goods, and, 99
- German constitutional law, 27
 - actualization, 91
 - aspirational constitution, 114
 - balancing and Smend's critics, 100–2
 - balancing and new standards of legal reasoning, 91–93
 - balancing and the perfect fit constitution, 115–16
 - balancing as synthesis, 74
 - balancing, (1958–1976), 76–86
 - complete constitution, 105–12
 - complete constitution and balancing, 111–12
 - comprehensive constitutional order, 103–19
 - comprehensive constitutionalism, 74
 - constellations of values, 100
 - constitution as a value system, 105–7
 - constitutional legal perfectionism, 117
 - constitutional normativity and social reality, 112
 - contemporary critiques of the *Lüth* line on balancing, 86–93
 - controversy, 81
 - coverage, 105
 - critics, 86
 - debates, 72–73
 - definitional, categorical, formal, 95–96
 - Der Spiegel* (1966), 81–82
 - Deutschland Magazin* (1976), 84–86
 - dialectical rationality and topical reasoning, 89–93
 - disciplining, 87
 - discretion, and, 100
 - early cases, 76
 - evaluating values, 106
 - evaluation of court's work, 90
 - explaining constitutional perfectionism, 116–19
 - faith, 121
 - formalism, 74
 - free speech, 82
 - freedom of press, 81, 111
 - guidance, lack of, 84
 - harmonization in legal theory, 108
 - history, 236
 - human dignity, 106
 - interpretation as actualization, 113–14
 - judicial role, 110–11
 - key features, 73
 - Lebach* (1973), 84–86
 - legal scholarship, 92
 - Lüth* (1958), 77–79
 - material constitutionalism, 74, 93–103
 - material constitutionalism and balancing, 102–3
 - meaningful enjoyment of rights, 114
 - Mephisto* (1971), 84–86
 - new standards for legal reasoning, 90–91

- German constitutional law (*cont.*)
 norm and application, 113
 objectivism, 113
 obligation, 116
 optimization, 93, 109–10
 overcoming conflicts, 109–11
 paradigm, as, *see* paradigms
 perfect fit constitution, 112–16
Plakaten (1958), 79–80
 proportionality, 116
 public goods, and, 99
 ranking elements, 99
 reading commands together, 107
 rehabilitation of moral status, 118
 responsibility, and, 118
 role and meaning of discourse
 balancing, 119
 Schmid-Spiegel (1961), 79–80
 significance of unity, 108
 Smend's challenge, 96–98
 Smend's work, balancing in, 98–100
 structure of norms, 91
 structured balancing, 87–89
 substantive values, 93
 substantive, the, 74
 synthesis, 120
 synthesis and paradox, 73–75
 systematic character of value
 order, 107
 trends, 83
 unitary, harmonizing constitution,
 107–9
 value order, 86
 value-based conception of State, 97
 value-less domains, 105
 Weimar origins, 94–98
 globalization, 13
- hegemonic discourse, 11–16
 convergence and contrast, 13–15
 doctrine, theory, portrait, 11–13
 global community of
 discourse, 15–16
 Hesse, Konrad, 91, 92, 109
- idealism, 229
 imagery
 balancing, of, 86
 conceptual, 183
 precedence, 99
 proportions, 1
 weights, 1
 instrumentalism, 162–63, 205
 interests, 69–70
 meaning, 69
 social, 69
- jurisprudence
 aspirational attitude, 230
 attachment to legalism, 235
 character of beliefs, 8
 concepts, of, 33–56
 conceptual formalism, 219
 constitutional rights, 230
 critique and replacement, of, 33
 Germany, 38–40
 interests, of, 56–70
 legal science, 218
 mechanical, 41, 43–46
 neutrality, 202
 objectivity, 231
 process, 165
 sociological, 157–60
 United States, 41–46
 US and German case study, 7
- language, 13
 adjudication, 147, 156
 alternatives, 21, 31
 balancing, of, 2, 10, 12, 13, 14,
 15, 16, 19, 20, 23, 31, 60, 72, 74,
 85, 94, 116, 123, 125, 127, 142,
 145, 147, 151, 155, 188, 191, 192,
 239, 242
 choice, 156
 definitional tradition, 176
 ideas, and, 156
 judicial, 130, 155
 local meaning, 122
 metaphorical, 69
 United States, 138
 United States, trends, 148–50
- law
 constitutional conflicts, 25
 reasoning, 26
 relative formality, 26

- scientific, 35–37
- Western traditions, 24
- legal institutions
 - relationship with balancing, 17
- legal reasoning
 - analysis, 8
 - new ways, 90
- legalism
 - aspirational, 228–30
 - attachment to, 235
 - balancing, and, 2–6
 - constitutional, 195, 196
 - evidence, 240
 - faith, as a matter of, 227
 - formality, and, 227
 - method of justification, and, 227
 - nature, 192
 - rationality, and, 227, 231
 - reconciling with balancing, 2–6
 - rethinking, 6–9
 - shared paradigm, 243
 - scepticism, and, 236
 - turn to, 71
- legitimacy, 21–24
 - autonomy of the juridical, 24
 - balancing, and, 242
 - belief, as, 226
 - dependent on values, 103
 - form, 227
 - Germany, 241
 - ideal, 25
 - securing, 165
 - United States, 239
 - world outside of law, engaging with, 25
- liberal constitutional order, 9
- Meiklejohn
 - Alexander, 182–84, 197
- optimization, 103
- paradigms
 - anti-perfectionism and legal formality, 198
 - anti-perfectionism in US constitutional jurisprudence, 195–99
 - aspirational legalism and balancing, 228–30
 - attributes and attitudes, 232–34
 - autonomy, 192
 - categorical approach, 198
 - choice and strategy, 214
 - common law approach, 197
 - comparative perspective, 210
 - conceptual formality vs rule formality, 218–20
 - conceptual systemization, 219
 - conflict and synthesis, 211–26
 - constitutional rights, 242
 - constitutional legal perfectionism, 193–95
 - constitutional rights
 - adjudication, 239
 - constraint, 199
 - continuity and change, 236–38
 - convergence, 240
 - definitional balancing, 214
 - differences, 206, 240
 - dilemmas, 226
 - embedded informality, 199
 - facets of American constitutional
 - anti-perfectionism, 196–98
 - faith and disenchantment, 226–34
 - faith in autonomy, 200
 - features, 190
 - flights from substance, 196
 - formal and the substantive, 211–26
 - in German constitutional jurisprudence, 217–26
 - in US constitutional jurisprudence, 211–17
 - formal vs substantive opposition, 27
 - formal, the, 192–203
 - formality, 241
 - formality as optimization, 200–3
 - formalization, 212
 - frameworks, 207
 - ideal understandings, 191
 - informality, 215
 - instrumentalism, 205
 - instrumentalization of legal formality, 214–17
 - interpretive strategy, 214
 - judicial self-restraint, 216

- paradigms (*cont.*)
- materiality and policy, 203–10
 - materialization and
 - deformalization in German law, 224–26
 - method and substance in German legal thought, 222–24
 - multi-part American test, 213
 - naturalness, 202
 - neutrality, 201
 - paradoxes, 216
 - perfection and limitation, 192–203
 - perfectionism and balancing
 - in German constitutional jurisprudence, 199–203
 - policy, 205
 - policy argument, 208
 - politics, 225
 - positivism, 223
 - postwar, 242
 - pragmatism, 205
 - process of materialization, 203
 - reasoned elaboration, 215
 - sceptical pragmatism and balancing, 230–32
 - steps and tests, 220
 - strictly juristic method, 63
 - substantive, and, 203–10
 - substantivization, 213
 - synthesis tradition in German legal thought, 220–22
 - system thinking, 224
 - tensions, overcoming, 220
 - terminological differences, 206
 - United States vs German legal thought, 205–10
 - validity, 239
 - value system, 199
 - perfectionism, 190
 - Pound, Roscoe, 25, 31, 41, 43, 44, 47, 53–56, 57, 59, 64–69, 122, 170, 215
 - pragmatic instrumentalism, 162–63
 - pragmatism, 162–63, 205
 - cynicism, and, 237
 - new judicial, 14
 - sceptical, 230–32
 - proportionality, 19
 - abstract rights protection, 116
 - appropriateness, 54
 - balancing as discourse and process, 10
 - commonly invoked, 2
 - comprehensive, 220
 - conflict between principles, 195
 - discourse, 18
 - distinguishing, 19
 - doctrines, as, 18
 - dominance, 19
 - European courts, 4
 - Germany, 116
 - importance, 13
 - investigations, 18
 - judiciary and, 18
 - jurisprudence, 116
 - manifestations of reason, as, 4
 - necessity, 54
 - outlook, 241
 - process, 18
 - qualification, 228
 - similarities with balancing, 19
 - steps, 220
 - technique, 18
 - three-step model, 89
 - United States, 220, 240
 - realism
 - critique, 3
 - sociological jurisprudence, 17
 - rights
 - individual and collective, 110
 - limitation, and, 110
 - Schmitt, Carl, 100, 102, 110
 - semantics, 16
 - US constitutional jurisprudence
 - 1950s context, 164
 - absolutes, 184–86
 - academic interest, 143
 - ad hoc-, result-oriented reasoning, 170–71
 - adjudication, general nature of, 147–48
 - appropriate standards, 124
 - balancing as choice, 144

- balancing opinions at the Supreme Court, 131–43
- balancing test, 140
- balancing, the pragmatic and the reasoned, 156–76
- Barenblatt* (1959), 137–39
- beyond the balancing debate, 141–43
- candour vs mechanistic reasoning, 168–69
- case-by-case approach, 170
- categories and definitions, 182
- categories and the first amendment, 180–88
- categories of cases, 127
- Chafee, Zechariah and sociological jurisprudence, 157–60
- Chaplinsky* (1942), 180–82
- clear-and-present-danger test, 128, 133
- clear and present danger to balancing, from, 157–64
- clear and present danger, beyond, 160–62
- contemporary critiques in 1950s and 1960s, 143–56
- courts of law, 172–74
- critiques of balancing, 150–53
- debates, 127
- definitional tradition, 123
- definitional tradition and balancing, 176–88
- Dennis* (1951), 134–37
- doctrinal legacies, 131
- Douglas* (1950), 132–34
- early balancing opinions, 132–37
- early Supreme Court categories, 180–82
- freedom of speech, and, 125
- Germany, and, 188
- House Un-American Activities Committee, 131
- ideas and practices, 160
- institutional position of the judiciary, 153–56
- judicial behaviour, 172, 174
- judicial disagreement, 126
- judicial role, 154
- Konigsberg* (1961), 139–41
- later balancing opinions, 137–41
- legacies of pre-1950s first amendment doctrines, 127–31
- legal reasoning, 3
- levels of discourse, 148–50
- liberation, balancing as, 163
- local meaning, balancing's, 188–89
- loss of faith, 231
- loyalty oaths, 131
- nature and scope of balancing discourse, 143–50
- neutral principles of constitutional law, call for, 166–67
- not definition, balancing as, 186–88
- policy and balancing, 160–62
- pragmatism and instrumentalism, 162–63
- principled decision, 167
- principled reasoning and balancing, 167–76
- process jurisprudence, 165
- realism, 135
- reasoned elaboration, 165
- refusal to answer problems, 132
- rejection of balancing, 142
- relativism, 135
- rules vs standards dichotomy, 189
- slippery slope, 152
- speech vs conduct dichotomy, 185
- standards of judicial reasoning, 164–67
- subversive advocacy, 128–29
- time, place and manner restrictions, 129–31
- weighing and outweighing, 153
- written constitution, genius of, 150
- vocabulary
 - abstract, 36
 - balancing, 8, 150
 - classical orthodoxy, 34
 - conceptual, 119
 - dilemmas, 226
 - formal vs substantive, 7

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-04441-8 - Balancing Constitutional Rights: The Origins and Meanings
of Postwar Legal Discourse
Jacco Bomhoff
Index
[More information](#)

280

INDEX

vocabulary (*cont.*)

formalism, 34, 36, 227, 232
formality and balancing, 178
Germany, 109
proportionality, 240

scepticism, 228
United States, 84

Wechsler, Herbert, 123,
157, 166