

How Social Movements Die

Repression and Demobilization of the Republic of New Africa

How do social movements die? Some explanations highlight internal factors such as factionalization, whereas others stress external factors such as repression. Christian Davenport offers an alternative explanation where both factors interact. Drawing on organizational as well as individual-level explanations, Davenport argues that social movement death is the outgrowth of a coevolutionary dynamic whereby challengers, influenced by their understanding of what states will do to oppose them, attempt to recruit, motivate, calm, and prepare constituents, while governments attempt to hinder all of these processes at the same time. Davenport employs a previously unavailable database that contains information on a black nationalist-secessionist organization, the Republic of New Africa, and the activities of authorities in the U.S. city of Detroit and state and federal authorities.

Christian Davenport is professor of political science and faculty associate at the Center for Political Studies at the University of Michigan and Global Fellow at the Peace Research Institute Oslo. He is the author of *State Repression and the Promise of Democratic Peace* (Cambridge, 2007) and *Media Bias, Perspective, and State Repression: The Black Panther Party* (Cambridge, 2010), which won an award for the best book in racial politics and social movements from the American Political Science Association. He is a coeditor of *Repression and Mobilization* (with Carol Mueller and Hank Johnston, 2004) and *Paths to State Repression: Human Rights Violations and Contentious Politics* (2000).





Cambridge Studies in Contentious Politics

Editors

Mark Beissinger Princeton University

Jack A. Goldstone George Mason University

Michael Hanagan Vassar College

Doug McAdam Stanford University and Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences

Sarah Soule Stanford University

Suzanne Staggenborg University of Pittsburgh

Sidney Tarrow Cornell University

Charles Tilly (d. 2008)

Elisabeth J. Wood Yale University

Deborah Yashar Princeton University

Ronald Aminzade, Race, Nation, and Citizenship in Post-Colonial Africa: The Case of Tanzania

Ronald Aminzade et al., Silence and Voice in the Study of Contentious Politics Javier Auyero, Routine Politics and Violence in Argentina: The Gray Zone of State Power

W. Lance Bennett and Alexandra Segerberg, *The Logic of Connective Action:*Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics

Clifford Bob, The Marketing of Rebellion: Insurgents, Media, and International Activism

Charles Brockett, Political Movements and Violence in Central America

Valerie Bunce and Sharon Wolchik, Defeating Authoritarian Leaders in Postcommunist Countries

Lars-Erik Cederman, Kristian Skrede Gleditsch, and Halvard Buhaug, *Inequality*, *Grievances*, and Civil War

Christian Davenport, How Social Movements Die: Repression and Demobilization of the Republic of New Africa

Christian Davenport, Media Bias, Perspective, and State Repression: The Black Panther Party

Gerald F. Davis, Doug McAdam, W. Richard Scott, and Mayer N. Zald, Social Movements and Organization Theory

Donatella della Porta, Clandestine Political Violence

Todd A. Eisenstadt, Politics, Identity, and Mexico's Indigenous Rights Movements Daniel Q. Gillion, The Political Power of Protest: Minority Activism and Shifts in Public Policy

Jack A. Goldstone, editor, States, Parties, and Social Movements

(continued after the Index)





How Social Movements Die

Repression and Demobilization of the Republic of New Africa

CHRISTIAN DAVENPORT

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Peace Research Institute Oslo





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107613874

© Christian Davenport 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Davenport, Christian, 1965-

How social movements die : repression and demobilization of the Republic of New Africa / Christian Davenport.

pages cm – (Cambridge studies in contentious politics)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-04149-3 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-61387-4 (paperback)

African Americans – Political activity – History – 20th century.
 Black militant organizations – United States – History – 20th century.
 Social movements – United States – History – 20th century.
 Title.

E185.615.D3837 2015

323.1196'0730904-dc23 2014022609

ISBN 978-1-107-04149-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-61387-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To Juliet "Ndidi" Seignious

The freest and most beautiful human I know

Mother, mentor, miracle





Contents

Tables	page xi
Figures	xiii
Acknowledgments	xv
Introduction	I
PART I. THEORY	
1. Killing Social Movements from the Outside or the Inside	21
2. Killing Social Movements from the Outside and the Inside	38
PART II. CASE	
3. Repression and Red Squads	61
4. Record Keeping and Data Collection	80
PART III. ORIGINS	
5. We Shall Overcome? From GOAL to the Freedom Now	
Party	III
6. We Shall Overthrow! From the Malcolm X Society to the	
Republic of New Africa	132
PART IV. EXAMINATION	
7. Birth of a Black Nation	161
8. To Ocean Hill-Brownsville and B(l)ack	186
9. New Bethel and the End of the Beginning	213
10. When Separatists Separate	245
11. Mississippi: The Last Stand(off)	272
	ix



X	Contents
PART V. CONCLUSION	
12. Understanding the Death of Social Movement Organizations	299
References	321
Index	335



Tables

2.1. Intersections of External and Internal Sources of Demobilization2.2. Context and Contention

page 39

50





Figures

2.1.	Basic dynamics of mobilization, dissent, and repression	<i>page</i> 41
2.2.	Social movement organization countermeasures	43
2.3.	Government counter-countermeasures	48
3.1.	Understanding government responses to dissent	63
4.1.	Sample informant record	86
4.2.	Sample surveillance record	88
4.3.	Sample arrest record	90
4.4.	Organizational chart of leadership	91
7.1.	Attendees of RNA events around the founding	179
7.2.	RNA meetings around the founding	183
7.3.	RNA activity around the founding	184
8.1.	Repressive behavior around Ocean Hill-Brownsville	200
8.2.	Additional repressive behavior around Ocean	
	Hill-Brownsville	201
8.3.	Attendees of RNA events around Ocean Hill-Brownsville	209
8.4.	RNA meetings around Ocean Hill-Brownsville	209
8.5.	RNA activity around Ocean Hill-Brownsville	210
9.1.	Repressive behavior around New Bethel	233
9.2.	The effectiveness of covert action around New Bethel	235
9.3.	Complete network diagram of RNA	236
9.4.	RNA meetings around New Bethel	242
10.1.	Repressive behavior around RNA separation	264
10.2.	RNA meetings around RNA separation	268
10.3.	Speakers at RNA events around RNA separation	268
10.4.	RNA activity around RNA separation	269
II.I.	RNA flyer following Mississippi raid	284

xiii





Acknowledgments

As people who know me would readily attest, I am fond of saying that "it takes a village to" do a wide variety of things. This is definitely true with regard to writing a book – especially one that has taken nearly a decade to complete and that has followed me to numerous institutions and parts of the United States. While working on this manuscript, I received a hundred and one kindnesses and a few bruises along the way. This said, some individuals and institutions provided a bit more consistent assistance than others as I repeatedly came back to them for a clarifying point, yet another reread, some coffee, a meal, a distraction, or a brilliant insight. I wish to acknowledge them here and give them their props.

For the majority of the time that I was working on what became the current book, three individuals provided amazing input: Imari Obadele and Chuck Tilly as well as Mark Lichbach. Early on, these scholars-mentors-advisors saw something in the project that most did not and encouraged me to pursue it. They did not tell me what to do but merely suggested what might be lucrative topics in which people might be interested. One institution in particular proved invaluable: the Walter Reuther Library in Detroit. This is that rare institution where no question was too stupid or too small to merit full attention and assistance. Such an attitude was encouraging and refreshing – especially after some not-so-nice interactions with librarians on an earlier book. Two individuals came to provide some much-needed companionship as I wallowed through document after document, as they were doing some wallowing of their own: David Cunningham and Gilda Zwerman. They immediately understood what I was trying to do and served as a constant source of inspiration, letting me hear about what they were going through and how they continued amid the problems that emerge in a large research project.

When I was at the University of Colorado, I received a grant from the National Science Foundation that proved invaluable for scanning and coding

χV



xvi Acknowledgments

the various documents that I came across. During this time, I had the amazing luck of working with four graduate students who helped me figure out what was there and what could be done with it: Heather Frazier, David Reilly, Glen Galaich, and Claudia Dahlerus. Also during this time, my colleague Scott Adler introduced me to his father, Sheldon Adler, who had served as a lawyer in Detroit during the period of my investigation. These contacts turned out to be extremely useful, in part because they taught me a great deal about who would speak to whom and about what.

Later, I moved to the University of Maryland, where I had two amazing colleagues who consistently provided valuable input: Jillian Schwedler and Marc Howard. Through the workshop on Conflict and Contentious Politics and numerous conversations, their interventions opened me up to the implications of the case for other societies (i.e., the Middle East and Europe – their areas of specialization, respectively). They also prompted me to think comparatively, which I was not always inclined to do. During this time, I also came across two undergraduate students who provided over-the-top assistance with coding: Gabrielle Birnberg and Yael Kletter. They always put everything they had into the work that they did, and seeing this made me even more interested in the topic as the interest of yet another generation reenergized me.

There were useful comments provided to me within diverse workshops around the United States where I discussed the case, my argument, and some of the evidence used. These included two locations in particular and specific individuals: the Contentious Politics Workshop at Columbia University, with Vince Boudreau, and the Order, Conflict, and Violence Workshop at Yale University, with Elisabeth Wood, Nicholas Sambanis, and Stathis Kalyvas. I was challenged at these venues in ways that pushed me further into the examination in ways that I did not foresee.

With support from the National Science Foundation and the Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences at Stanford University, I was able to obtain a fellowship for a year to write up my thoughts. The amazing staff at the center were invaluable in helping me track down hard-to-find material, submitting dozens of Freedom of Information Act (otherwise known as FOIA) requests, and locating individuals throughout the United States. Additionally, two other Fellows, Rose McDermott and Lani Guinier, and my colleague and dear friend Sarah Soule (also at Stanford University), provided insights into my subject that not only made me see the subject in new ways but created an atmosphere within which I could actually write. This was a bizarre combination of space, support, and energetic engagement. I am thankful for that.

I must admit that the project began to wear on me after a while, but a final push came from a variety of individuals. I was blessed to have some incredibly insightful as well as giving colleagues at the University of Notre Dame: Ernesto Verdeja and Cat Bolten (WTPA!). They listened, prodded, probed, attacked, thought, and rethought topics that were always useful. Additionally, I was able to bounce some ideas off participants at two workshops: one on "Peace



Acknowledgments xvii

and Conflict" and another on the "Study of Politics and Movements." Especially noteworthy here were Kraig Beyerlein and Rory McVeigh (colleagues and friends in sociology) as well as Cyanne Loyle and Chris Sullivan (former students, friends, and now colleagues).

Perhaps my last and most useful pushes came from three individuals who read the last version of the manuscript and provided extremely useful comments (after my arrival at the University of Michigan). One such individual was Keffrelyn Brown, who always sought the narrative voice. Another was Errol Henderson. Errol engaged the work with the seriousness and care for which one can only wish. We did not always agree, but his critical eye was always a truthful one that had to be engaged. Finally, there was Ragnhild Nordås. In a moment of kindness or madness, Ragnhild went through the final manuscript with such a close look that even I (the author) had to go back and wonder about what I had written. It was amazing to have this careful evaluation, because by the time she approached it, I felt I really did not have anything left in me. Encouraged, engaged, and insightfully guided, I was able to finish the book and actually like it, which writers know, after working on something for a while, is quite an accomplishment. Many thanks to her.

Now, with all these thanks and acknowledgments, I do not want anyone to think that any faults with the current work lie with anyone but myself. I take full responsibility for what you are about to read. Of course, I would also like to take some of the praise, but I will leave that for you to decide.

Peace, Christian Davenport (Nom de Guerre)