

RED GLOBALIZATION

Was the Soviet Union a superpower? *Red Globalization* is a significant rereading of the Cold War as an economic struggle shaped by the global economy. Oscar Sanchez-Sibony challenges the idea that the Soviet Union represented a parallel socio-economic construct to the liberal world economy. Instead, he shows that the Soviet Union, a middle-income country more often than not at the mercy of global economic forces, tracked the same path as other countries in the world, moving from 1930s autarky to the globalizing processes of the postwar period. Far from an economic force in the world, the Soviets managed only to become dependent providers of energy to the rich world, and second-best partners to the global South. In examining the constraints and opportunities afforded the Soviets in their engagement of the capitalist world, Oscar Sanchez-Sibony questions the very foundations of the Cold War narrative as a contest between superpowers in a bipolar world.

OSCAR SANCHEZ-SIBONY is Assistant Professor of World History at the University of Macau, China, where he teaches courses on Soviet history, the Cold War, and global capitalism.



NEW STUDIES IN EUROPEAN HISTORY

Edited by

PETER BALDWIN, University of California, Los Angeles
CHRISTOPHER CLARK, University of Cambridge
JAMES B. COLLINS, Georgetown University

MIA RODRÍGUEZ-SALGADO, London School of Economics and
Political Science
LYNDAL ROPER, University of Oxford
TIMOTHY SNYDER, Yale University

The aim of this series in early modern and modern European history is to publish outstanding works of research, addressed to important themes across a wide geographical range, from southern and central Europe, to Scandinavia and Russia, from the time of the Renaissance to the present. As it develops the series will comprise focused works of wide contextual range and intellectual ambition.

A full list of titles published in the series can be found at: www.cambridge.org/newstudiesineuropeanhistory



RED GLOBALIZATION

The Political Economy of the Soviet Cold War from Stalin to Khrushchev

OSCAR SANCHEZ-SIBONY





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107040250

© Oscar Sanchez-Sibony 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Sanchez-Sibony, Oscar, 1977-author.

Red globalization : the political economy of the Soviet Cold War from Stalin to Khrushchev / Oscar Sanchez-Sibony.

pages ; cm. – (New studies in Éuropean history) ISBN 978-1-107-04025-0 (Hardback)

Soviet Union–Foreign economic relations.
 Foreign trade regulation–Soviet Union.
 Cold War–Economic aspects–Soviet Union.
 Soviet Union–Economic conditions–1945–1955.
 Soviet Union–Economic conditions–1945–1965.
 Title. II. Series: New studies in European history.

нғ3628.826 2014 337.47009′045–dc23 2013035760

ISBN 978-1-107-04025-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



This first book I've written is dedicated to my parents.

No sequence of sentences, no short narrative can explicate their presence in this book.

Because I am him they made, this book is also theirs.





Contents

List of figures	<i>page</i> viii
Introduction	I
PART I ISOLATION	
1 Depression Stalinism	25
2 Postwar: The Bretton Woods Cold War	57
PART II ASPIRATION	
3 Restoration: Resuming the relationship with Capitalism	91
4 Maelstrom: The decolonization vortex	125
PART III INTEGRATION	
5 Conformity and profit: The Soviet economy under America	n
hegemony	173
6 Poor relations: The limits of Soviet economic dysfunction	204
Conclusion: Mikoyan's legacy	245
Bibliography	254
Index	269

vii



Figures

Figure 1	Trade as percentage of national economy page	5
Figure 1.1	NEP economic recovery (1913 = 100)	
	Source: Dohan, "Soviet Foreign Trade in	
	the NEP," 177	29
Figure 1.2	Russian and Soviet foreign trade in current prices,	
	1913, 1918–28 (million rubles)	
	Source: Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR za 1918–1940 gg., 14.	34
Figure 1.3	Value of grain marketings (1913 = 100)	
	Source: Davies, From Tsarism to the New Economic	
	Policy, 279.	36
Figure 1.4	Volume of grain exports, 1913, 1922/23–1934	
	Source: Davies, Harrison and Wheatcroft, The	
	Economic Transformation of the Soviet Union, 316.	
	Calculated from Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR za	
	<i>1918–1940 gg.</i> , 84, 110, 144, 179	36
Figure 1.5	Soviet foreign trade in current prices, 1927–35	
	(million rubles)	
	Source: Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR za 1918–1940 gg., 14.	49
Figure 2.1	Foreign trade turnover by region (in millions of	
	1961 rubles)	
	Source: Ministry of Foreign Trade, Vneshniaia	
	torgovlia SSSR. Statisticheskii obzor, 1918–1966	
	(Moscow: Izdatel'stvo "Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniia,"	
	1967), 62.	71
Figure 2.2	Soviet trade with the capitalist world, June 1949 to	
	June 1950	
	Source: Calculated from RGASPI, f. 84, op. 1,	
	d. 66, ll. 79–87.	78

viii



	List of figures	ix
Figure 4.1	Utilized Soviet loans to India, 1951–1966 (in millions of rupees)	
	Source: Economic Survey 1966–67, Government of India	139
Figure 4.2	Utilized Soviet grants to India, 1951–1966 (in millions of rupees)	
	Source: Economic Survey 1966–67, Government of India USSR trade with West Germany (in millions of	139
Figure 5.1	current rubles) Source: As derived from the <i>Vneshniaia torgovlia</i>	
Figure 6.1	SSSR za Statisticheskii obzor series Regional weight of Soviet foreign trade, 1950 Source: From Ministerstvo vneshniaia torgovlia,	190
	Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR, 1922–81. Iubileinyi statisticheskii obzor (Moscow: Izdatel'stvo financy i statistika, 1982), 26–27.	206
Figure 6.2	Regional weight of Soviet foreign trade, 1960 Source: From Ministerstvo vneshniaia torgovlia, Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR, 1922–81. Iubileinyi statisticheskii obzor (Moscow: Izdatel'stvo financy	
Figure 6.3	i statistika, 1982), 26–27. Regional weight of Soviet foreign trade, 1970 Source: From Ministerstvo vneshniaia torgovlia, Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR, 1922–81. Iubileinyi statisticheskii obzor (Moscow: Izdatel'stvo financy	206
Figure 6.4	i statistika, 1982), 26–27. Regional weight of Soviet foreign trade, 1980 Source: From Ministerstvo vneshniaia torgovlia, Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR, 1922–81. Iubileinyi statisticheskii obzor (Moscow: Izdatel'stvo financy	206
Figure 6.5	i statistika, 1982), 26–27. Soviet–Egyptian trade turnover (in millions of rubles) Source: From Ministerstvo vneshniaia torgovlia, Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR, 1922–81. Iubileinyi statisticheskii obzor (Moscow: Izdatel'stvo financy	207
Figure 6.6	i statistika, 1982), 26–27. Soviet cocoa imports from Ghana Source: from Jacques Rolfo, "Optimal Hedging under	221



X

List of figures

Price and Quantity Uncertainty: The Case of a Cocoa Producer," *The Journal of Political Economy* 88:1 (1980): 100–16. Soviet volume and ruble imports, in 1961 rubles, are calculated from the *Vneshniaia torgovlia SSSR za... Statisticheskii obzor* series.

231



Acknowledgements

Thank you, Sheila. You were unimpressed with my first idea of what a book might look like, and limited yourself simply to reminding me of those interests that brought me to the University of Chicago in the first place and which I had put behind me during my immersion into the field. The fog lifted; you supported my next idea for a book. And when that one crumbled against the gates of the foreign ministry archives, you supported a new, impromptu book scheme. You showed confidence in my strengths and interests, and the dissertation, the first incarnation of this book, owed much to that blend of constancy and flexibility that has made you one of the field's great advisors. Later, while on a trip back to Moscow to temper the dissertation into a book, you pointed me to some excellent sources that have served this book well. The content of the book itself was perhaps somewhat outside of your usual Soviet stomping grounds, and for its wild assertions, combative tone (against your better judgment), and mistakes I alone must answer. But what use it might be to colleagues and general readers owes most to your guidance. Thank you.

To my fellow Chicago cohort, I owe you much as well. For years we discussed each other's work, at workshops and bars, while walking and eating. We did not always have the most enlightening things to tell of each other's work, but the continuous intellectual agitation the exchange itself created was the point, I think. Those conversations, on endless Soviet subjects, echoed in the recesses of my brain, finding outlet in arguments, expressions, and writing methods throughout this book. Surely my instincts are wrong when they try to take credit for the voice of the book. Perhaps more importantly, the example of your individual strengths was a thing to aspire to, and a constant motivation: the work ethic, the commitment to history and its Soviet experience, the archival expertise. Stacey Manley, Andrey Shlyakhter, Ken Roh, Michael Westren, Andy Janco, Ke-chin Hsia, Mie Nakachi, Ben Zajicek, Ed Cohn, Jennifer Amos, Alan Barenberg, Julia Fein, Rachel Applebaum, Julie Bashkin, Leah



xii

Acknowledgements

Goldman, Flora Roberts, and Natalie Belsky, thank you for your companionship in Chicago. I am particularly thankful to have coincided in Chicago with one of its many talented students, one by the name of Sloin. Andrew, not too long ago I rediscovered the very first email that you wrote me. It was a response to a draft of some chapter, perhaps the first one I wrote for the dissertation. I can't remember what I must have thought of your email then, probably that it was irrelevant and somewhat eccentric. I reread it more recently with increasing embarrassment in seeing so many of the underlying arguments I had struggled so painstakingly to construct in this book reflected – anticipated – so effortlessly in your email. I think this book has, in some subliminal manner, been chasing that vision, probably because it was given greater substance over the many beers and emails we later shared and exchanged.

In Chicago there were three somewhat more distant figures that nevertheless continue to shape my academic identity, and therefore this book. The late Richard Hellie was often inclined toward ideas I did not share, but he was a dedicated teacher. He was sometimes provocative, always gruff, and his praise was as reluctant as it was encouraging. He was unimpeachably collegial - a walking lesson on the matter - and one I will endeavor always to emulate. Ronald Suny rounded out the remarkable team in Chicago in Russian-Soviet history. Ron, your talent to size up an argument and leave it better than when you encountered it is a bit more difficult to emulate, but it has always been enlightening to behold at the Chicago workshop and in private consultations. It was an equal privilege to have had the advice of Bruce Cumings. Your touch was light, Bruce, and all the more convincing for it. What intellectual value this book carries derives in large part from an interaction with your ideas. These were not always easy to grasp, but rereading your prose was always a pleasure anyway. This book does not yet show that commitment to good writing that you preach and practice, but I am converted, and what scant literary pleasures might be found here owe to your example.

Bruce Cumings came, once upon a time, from the field of International Political Economy (IPE), a general approach to understanding power and international relations that is generally uncontroversial among political scientists but which the conservative field of Cold War history processed, impossibly, as Marxist "revisionism" and "economic determinism." If Bruce planted the seeds, Omar, brother, you guided me through the IPE thicket that obtained. You read, reread, advised, and goaded. You critiqued, encouraged, and then advised some more. As did our sister Olivia's, your guidance started long ago, before the edge of memory, and



Acknowledgements

xiii

it continues. There would have been no impromptu plan for Sheila Fitzpatrick to support, nor a follow-through for her to believe in; this book might not have been but for you, or it might have been something else entirely, and certainly less interesting.

It's not only my own family, or Chicago, that has provided moral support and intellectual sustenance. Elizabeth McGuire provided both. At key moments of particular intellectual solitude, you appeared as if by magic to provide a readership of one that was as good as ten thousand, and a critical appraisal that was the essence of encouragement. Your latest act of magic came in the form of a dissertation review (for which I also thank the editors of the eponymous website) right as I was making the last push to finish the book. Thank you for that. Ben Loring, Brigid O'Keeffe, Ben Sawyer, Kelly Kolar, and others have continued the conversation on matters Soviet and otherwise well after the dissertation stage – sporadically to be sure, now that I am far – and theirs has been a reassuring continuity. Steve Maddox, Christian Teichmann, Josh Sanborn, Jesse Ferris, Arch Getty, Sean Guillory, Maya Haber, Greg Kveberg, Alex Oberlaender, Christoph Gumb, and the many others that gathered faithfully every Friday evening long ago in Moscow during the time I spent there doing the bulk of the research for this book, thank you for your companionship and conversation.

Institutions that have provided the critical money and infrastructure necessary for the book's production have been many. A Fulbright-Hayes fellowship and an unexpected IREX fellowship fronted the money for its initial dissertation form. I ended up with a product rather different than the one I sold these fine institutions, for which I always felt somewhat guilty, especially since the new topic, the one that is the subject of this book, never again found the enthusiastic backing of the one I aborted on Soviet-Cuban relations. Back in Chicago, a University of Chicago Trustees fellowship afforded me the time to write the bulk of the dissertation. The archivists at the State Archive of the Russian Federation (GARF), the Russian State Archive of the Economy (RGAE), and the Russian State Archive of Contemporary History (RGANI), as well as the librarians at the Lamont Library in Harvard, and June Farris at the University of Chicago library were invariably competent and helpful. And although no institution thought this subject matter worthy of further support, it did finally find its reward in the Association for Slavic, East European, and Eurasian Studies (ASEEES) with the Tucker/Cohen Dissertation Prize; I will always be grateful to its 2010 committee, and especially its chair, Lewis Siegelbaum, for the morale boost that vote of confidence signified.



xiv

Acknowledgements

Later still, an early draft of the book was given a thorough mauling while in beautiful Poland. I thank Andrzej Kaminski and Jim Collins for the invitation to the conference on Recovering Forgotten History: The Image of East—Central Europe in Anglo-Saxon Textbooks, the people at Lazarski University for the impeccable organization and the lesson in hospitality, and Daria Nałęcz and Andrzej Nowak for taking time out of their busy schedules to read through the draft and critique it. A return trip to Moscow in 2011 introduced me to the helpful staff of the Russian State Archive of Socio-Political History (RGASPI), to whom I am also much obliged. Money for that trip was provided by the always-generous University of Macau, where I must particularly thank Tim Simpson for his decisive help in unlocking the funds in time.

Kai, you never did like this book much, did you? By the time you even think of reading it, its ideas will be old. Whether useful or not, the book will be remembered, if at all, for the things it missed or got wrong altogether. Kai, the book kept me from you, as future ones will also do. They will keep me from your brother Luka too (not born as I write this, he will yet be older than this book). Kai, don't be mad. I must start on this journey, and this book is where the conversation starts. I don't know where this conversation will lead, Kai, but it forms now part of the effort to illuminate things that were dark, so you may walk in the world with more confidence. Your mother also wants you poised and wise. She has sacrificed more than anyone else in making this humble addition, and this is as much her project as it is mine, as many more will be. Kai, don't be mad. I hope one day you too will join the conversation. Its forms are many and varied, and you may choose your own. Until such a day, your mother and I will talk with you, and with your brother Luka, and also with the many thousands that we now journey with. Thank you, Yin, for that.