

INDEX

- accessible language, 72–7
- action
 significance in, 253–4
 significance of, 255–7
- actual knowledge
 actus reus and, 121
 Duff on, 120
 latent knowledge contrasted with, 120
 mens rea and, 121
- actus non facit reum*, 171–4
 Exclusive Thesis on choice and,
 173–4
 Fletcher on, 172–3
- actus reus*, 30–2, 59–60, 84, 212
 actual knowledge and, 121
 in Anglo-American systems, 136–7,
 139
 belief and, 139
 mens rea and, 137
 objective element of, 131
 risk-taking and, 132
- Alaska, 48
- Alexander, Larry, 3, 246–7, 280
 on defendants' beliefs, 17–18
 on indifference, 253
 on probability, 17
 on substantiality, 15–16
- aliefs, 107
 beliefs contrasted with, 107
 defining, 107
 Gendler on, 107
- alienation, 175–6, 248–52, 277
 character and, 285–6
 responsibility and, 254
- Anglo-American systems
 actus reus in, 136–7, 139
 awareness of risk in, 8–9
 belief in, 110–11
 defining, 2
 mens rea in, 78, 136–7, 139
 risk-taking in, 4
 substantial risk-taking in, 13–14
- answerability, 147
- Aristotle
 on character, 199–200
 on culpable ignorance, 159
 on virtue, 199–200
- Ashworth, Andrew, 95
 on belief, 95–6
 on individual autonomy, 162
- attacks and endangerment, 2–3, 223
- attention, 112
- attitudes, 216
- attitudinal accounts, 208–10
- Australia, 38–46
 Common Law jurisdictions, 38–42
 jurisdictional overview, 38
 modern codes in, 44
 older codes in, 42–4
 voluntary intoxication in, 41–2, 45
- autonomy
 bounds of, 168–9
 individual, 161–2
 punishment and, 168–9
 sexual, 264–5
 Tadros on, 262–3
- awareness
 behavioural, 156
 introspective, 156
- awareness of risk, 4–5, 32–65, 122. *See*
 also risk
 in Anglo-American systems, 8–9
 belief and, 7–8, 90–4
 Brudner on, 168–9

- culpability beyond, 178–9
 Dennett on, 113, 115–16, 156
 Husak on, 91, 123–6
 MPC on, 228
R v. G and Another and, 28–9
 recklessness and, 85–6
 significance of, 141–3
 in Standard Account, 7, 90, 98–9, 129
- basic intent, 30, 32
 behaviour, 227–9
 behavioural awareness, 156
 behaviouralism, 100–3
 belief,
 actus reus in, 139
 aliefs contrasted with, 107
 in Anglo-American systems, 110–11
 Ashworth on, 95–6
 awareness of risk and, 7–8, 90–4
 behaviour and, 227–9
 behaviouralism and, 100
 cognitive, 232–3
 confidence and, 95–6
 conscious experience and, 98–9
 control and, 232–6
 Court of Appeal of England and
 Wales on, 94–5
 dispositional, 93, 99, 103, 106
 Duff on, 215–16
 evaluation of, 236–41
 evaluative, 233–4
 failure of, 243–7, 258–9
 false, 126–7
 formation of, 98, 229–36
 Griew on, 99
 Horder on, 96
 Husak on, 99, 115, 135
 in-between, 109
 justification and, 237–40
 necessity of, 92–4
 negligence and, 94, 226, 229–30, 240,
 243, 260–1, 263–4, 266–7, 269
 none, about risk, 241–3
 perception and, 229–32
 phenomenal experience and, 108
 as phenomenal matter, 97–9
 reasonable, 29–30
 reflective, 233–6
- risk and, 126–7
 risk and incorrect, 236–41
 Ryle on, 99
 Schwitzgebel on, 103–5, 109
 Tadros on, 231–4
 true, 128–9
 unreflective, 233–4
 White on, 99, 105
Brennan v. HM Advocate, 63–4
 Brudner, Alan, 161
 on awareness of risk, 168–9
 on punishment, 169–70
 on recklessness, 170
 on wrongdoing, 171
- Caldwell* lacuna cases, 19–20
 California, 52
Cameron v. Maguire, 269
 Canada, 34–7
 voluntary intoxication in, 36–7
 capacity, 116
 carelessness, 247. *See also* culpable
 carelessness
 CCC. *See* Criminal Code of Canada
 certainty, 123
 character, 247–52, 290
 alienation and, 285–6
 Aristotle on, 199–200
 Hampton on, 199
 illusion of, 259–60
 reflecting, 247–52
 tracing, 198–201
 witnesses, 288–9
*Chief Constable of Avon and Somerset
 Constabulary v. Shimmen*, 14, 19–20
- choice
 alternatives, 147–51
 control and, 151–3, 158
 culpability and, 9, 142–3
 defining, 145–7
 deliberation and, 151–2
 in doctrine, 143–4
 Exclusive Thesis and, 151–3
 fair warning and, 163–6
 Ferzan on, 154
 Hampton on, 199
 impulsive, 152
 mens rea and, 171–4

- choice (cont.)
 Moore on, 157
 perception, 195–8
 Pillsbury on, 145, 195–8
 at preconscious level, 154
 punishment and, 166
 significance of, 174–6
 Tadros on, 150–1
- civil negligence, 48, 227
- clarity
 normative, 72–7
 semantic, 72–7
- Code of Adjudication, 78
- cognitive beliefs, 232–3
- cognitive disposition, 105
- coherence in dispositions, 114–15
- Coke, Edward, 172–3
- Colorado, 49
- Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis v. Caldwell*, 73–6, 85–6, 221
 recklessness in, 74–5
- Common Law, Australian, 38–42
- communication, 71–2
 Duff on, 72–3
- conduct, 179–80
 in Anglo-American systems, 180
 behaviouralism and, 101
 ethics and, 187
 Hart on, 179–80
 Horder on, 186–92
 negligence and, 179–80
 Robinson on, 77–81
 rule, 77
- conscious centre of will, 160–1
- conscious disregard, 47, 124–5, 144
- conscious experience, 98–9
- conscious propositions
 Ferzan on, 153–60
 Moore on, 112–13, 157
 preconscious propositions and, 153–4
- consciousness
 attention and, 112
 Dennett on, 113
 Moore on, 112–13
- consciousness of risk
 criminal law theorists on, 112–13
 explicit knowledge and, 112
- consent, 238. *See also* non-consent
- Duff on, 224
 sexual intercourse and, 222, 224, 246–7
- consistency, 110
 in defining *mens rea* terms, 67–8, 73–7
- control
 belief and, 232–6
 choice and, 151–3, 158
 conscious centre of will and, 160–1
 Duff on, 158
 Exclusive Thesis and, 158–60
 Ferzan on, 153–60
 responsibility and, 158–60, 250
- Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007, 204
- correspondence principle, 30–1, 93
 satisfaction of, 194
 voluntary intoxication and, 31
- counterfactuals, 201–8
 criticisms of, 206–8
 Ferzan on, 207–8
 speculative, 207
- Court of Appeal of England and Wales, 28, 32–3
 on belief, 94–5
 on knowledge, 91
- Crimes Act 1961, 58–9
- Criminal Code of Canada (CCC), 34–7
 on criminal negligence, 35–6
 on ordinary negligence, 35–6
- criminal conviction, message of, 1–2
- Criminal Damage Act 1971, 74
- criminal law, 1–2, 16–17, 21
 belief and, 110
 in England and Wales, 27–34
 Gettier cases in, 140
 ideal theory of, 125–6
 liberal, 260–6
 Nozick and, 138–40
 ordinary understandings of, 75–6
 practical concerns, 83–7
 in Scotland, 60–3
 substantiality of risk and, 15–16
 Tadros on, 68, 78
 terms used in, 6–7

- tripartite account of knowledge and, 132
- White on, 105
- Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935, 39
- criminal negligence, 37, 48
 - in Canada, 35–6, 274
- criminalisation, 87–8, 258–9
 - mens rea* and, 88
- culpability, 1–2, 18–19, 139, 268
 - beyond awareness of risk, 178–9
 - behaviouralism and, 101–2
 - choices and, 9, 142–3
 - counterfactuals, 201
 - defining, 3, 142, 146
 - Exclusive Thesis and, 9, 146
 - Hart on, 185
 - Horder on, 190–1
 - risk-taking and, 3–4
 - Simester on, 142–3
 - tracing, 192–201
 - unjustified risk-taking and, 136–7
 - wrongdoing and, 120
- culpable carelessness, 4, 8–10
 - Anglo-American systems on, 13–14
 - Hampton on, 198–201, 231–2
 - Pillsbury on, 195–8, 231–2
 - statutory formulations, 273–9
- culpable ignorance, 159
- culpable indifference
 - Horder on, 202–6
 - Pillsbury on, 195–6
 - Simons on, 201–2
- culpable negligence, 194, 234
 - in Mississippi, 55
- decision-making, 153
- deliberation, 151–2
- Dennett, Daniel C.
 - on awareness, 115–16, 156
 - on consciousness, 113
- determinism, 147
- deterrence, 167
- discretion, 82–3
- disposition
 - cognitive, 105
 - explicit knowledge as manifestation of, 119–20
 - inconsistencies in, 108–9
 - phenomenal, 104–5
 - tacit knowledge as manifestation of, 119–20
- dispositional beliefs, 93, 99, 103
 - Schwitzgebel on, 99
 - Standard Account and, 106
- dispositional stereotypes
 - Schwitzgebel on, 103
- disregard
 - conscious, 47, 124–5, 144
 - defining, 28–9, 143–4
 - Husak on, 124–5
 - MPC on, 47, 144
 - recklessness and, 144
- dole, 60
- dolus eventualis*, 210–11
- Doris, John M., 259–60
- doxastic self-control, 246, 253
- DPP v. Majewski*, 30–3, 41–2, 63–4
- Draft Criminal Code, 27, 34
 - for Scotland, 64
- dualism
 - behaviouralism contrasted with, 101
 - defining, 212
 - Duff on, 101, 212–13
 - pitfalls of, 121
- Duff, R. A., 209
 - on actual knowledge, 120
 - on communication, 72–3
 - on consent, 224
 - on control, 158
 - on dualism, 101, 212–13
 - on explicit knowledge, 111–14
 - on inadvertent recklessness, 223
 - on indifference, 211–25
 - on knowledge, 111, 122
 - on latent knowledge, 118–20
 - Moore on, 255–6
 - on negligence, 219–25
 - on practical indifference, 144, 179, 211–25
 - on rape, 224–5
 - on recklessness, 101, 213, 219–20, 222
 - on tacit knowledge, 114–18, 121–2

- economic justification of risk-taking, 22–3
- Elliott v. C (A Minor)*, 182–3
 Hart and, 182–5
- endangerment and attacks, 2–3, 223
- England and Wales
 recklessness and negligence in, 27–30, 34, 183–4
 voluntary intoxication in, 30–3
- enkratic actors, 249–50
- epistemic failure, 9–10
- ethics, 200
 conduct and, 187
 virtue, 260–6
- European Convention on Human Rights, 287–90
- evaluative beliefs, 233–4
- evidential concerns, 284–7
- evil intent, 5
- exclusionary reasons, 188
- Exclusive Thesis, 8–9, 141, 143, 177
actus non facit reum and, 171–4
 Brudner on, 170
 choice and, 151–3
 control and, 158–9
 culpability and, 9, 146
 individual autonomy and, 161–2
 negative arguments for, 146–7
 positive arguments for, 146–7
 punishment and, 166–71
 responsibility and, 149–50
 Scanlon and, 165
- explicit knowledge
 consciousness of risk and, 112
 driving and, 117
 Duff on, 111–14
 as manifestation of dispositions, 119–20
- expression
 of preferences, 145–6
- fair labelling, 72
- fair trial, 287–90
- fair warning, 71–2, 82–3
 choice and, 163–6
 ignorance of law and, 163–4
 Rule of Law and, 71, 163
- false beliefs, 19–20, 23–4, 126–7, 236–41
- false knowledge, 126–7
- fault, 230–1
 defining, 83
 in rape, 84–6, 264
 in sexual offences, 84–6, 264
- Ferzan, Kimberly, 3, 160, 207–8, 246–7, 280
 on choices, 154
 on conscious propositions, 153–60
 on control, 154, 155–60
 on counterfactuals, 207–8
 on defendants' beliefs, 17–18
 on indifference, 198, 253
 on opaque recklessness, 153–60
 on preconscious propositions, 153–60
 on probability, 17
 on recklessness, 153–60, 276–7
 on responsibility, 156
 on substantiality, 15–16
- Fletcher, George, 20–1, 172–3
 on risk-taking, 22–3
 on social justification, 23–4
- Frankfurt, Harry, 174
 on motivations, 175–6
- free will, 147
- Freud, Sigmund, 112–13
- Gardner, John, 1–2, 68–9
 on justification, 12–13
 on *mens rea*, 68
- Gardner, Simon, 262
- Garvey, Stephen P., 244–7
- Gendler, Tamar Szabó, 107
- Georgia, 52
- Gettier cases, 132–6
 accommodating, 137–40
 counter-examples, 136–7
 in criminal law, 140
 Husak on, 134–5
 responses to, 136–40
- gross negligence, 28–30, 41, 43, 59, 77, 81
 Horder on, 202–6
- Hampton, Jean, 247–8
 on character, 199–200
 on choice, 198–9

- on culpable carelessness, 198–201, 231–2
 - on negligence, 198–201
- harm, 12–15
- Hart, H. L. A., 180
 - Elliott v. C (A Minor)* and, 182–5
 - on inadvertence, 181
 - on incapacity, 182–5
 - on individualised standard of care, 182–3
 - on negligence, 181–6
- Horder, Jeremy, 95, 207–8
 - on belief, 95–6
 - on conduct, 186–92
 - on gross negligence, 202–6
 - on individual autonomy, 161–2
 - on negligence, 186–92, 202–6
 - on regulation, 191
 - on responsibility, 187–8
 - Standard Account and, 190
 - on strong indifference, 202–6
 - on weak indifference, 202–6
- House of Lords, 27, 265–6
- Husak, Douglas N., 256
 - on awareness, 90–1, 123, 124–6
 - on belief, 99, 115, 135
 - on disregard, 124–5
 - on Gettier cases, 134–5
 - on justification, 132
 - on knowledge, 124–6, 140
 - on MPC, 124–5
- ignorance of law, 71
 - fair warning and, 163–4
- impulsive choices, 152
- inadvertence, 172
 - culpable, 200–1
 - Hart on, 181
- inadvertent recklessness, 223
- incapacity
 - Hart on, 182–5
 - mental, 182–3
 - physical, 182–3
- Indiana, 50
- indifference. *See also* practical indifference
 - Alexander on, 253
 - attitudinal accounts of, 210
 - culpable, 195–6, 201–2
 - defining, 29, 209, 215
 - Duff on, 211–25
 - Ferzan on, 253
 - Horder on, 202–6
 - Morse on, 253
 - recklessness and, 210
 - in Scotland, 62–3
 - strong, 202–6
 - weak, 202–6
- indifferent risk-taking, 88–9
- individual autonomy
 - Ashworth and Horder on, 161–2
 - Exclusive Thesis and, 161–2
- individualised standard of care, 182–3
- information processing, 195
- insanity, 285–6
- insufficient concern, 3, 189–90, 219, 258
- intent
 - basic, 30, 32
 - specific, 30, 32
 - Tadros on, 69
- intoxication. *See* voluntary intoxication
- introspective awareness, 156
- invariant standard of care, 182
- involuntary manslaughter, 5
 - ordinary negligence and, 41
 - reckless negligence and, 41
 - recklessness and, 28–9
- Iowa, 49
- Ireland, Republic of, 26
- Jamieson v. HM Advocate*, 238–40
- Johnson, Eric A., 19–20
- jury, 279–81
 - equity, 87
- justification, 11–25, 237–40, 282
 - absence of, 129–32
 - ambivalence to, 129–30
 - belief and, 94–5
 - Gardner on, 12–13
 - Husak on, 132
 - importance of, 237–40
 - need for, 11–20
 - prima facie* wrongdoing and, 11, 13

- justification (cont.)
 of risk-taking, 11–25, 275–6
 social, 22–3
 in Standard Account, 11
 truth and, 237–8
 wrongdoing and, 23
 justified belief, 128–9, 237–8
- Kant, Immanuel, 160
 Kentucky, 48–9
 knowledge, 8, 290
 actual, 120–1
 alternative models, 120–2
 awareness and, 124
 belief and, 122
 Court of Appeal of England and
 Wales on, 90–1
 defining, 125
 Duff on, 111, 114–18, 122
 explicit, 111–14, 117, 119–20
 false, 126–7
 Husak on, 124–6, 140
 Johnson, O., on, 128
 latent, 118–20
 MPC on, 124–5, 129–30
 recklessness and, 124–5
 risk and, 127
 tacit, 114–18
 truth-tracking account of, 138–40
- language
 accessible, 72–3
 ordinary, 74–6, 129
- latent knowledge
 actual knowledge contrasted with, 120
 Duff on, 118–20
- law. *See also* criminal law
 ignorance of, 71, 163–4
 rule of, 71–2, 110, 163, 262
 tort, 22–3
- Law Commission, Draft Criminal
 Code, 27, 34
- liability
 objective, 23
 subjective, 23
- liberal criminal law, 260–6
 localised concerns, 68–71
 Louisiana, 50
- malice, 27
- mens rea*, 30, 32, 56–7, 67, 70–1, 83,
 212, 273–8
 actual knowledge and, 121
 in Anglo-American systems, 68, 78,
 136–7, 139
 choice and, 171–4
 criminalisation and, 88
 defining, 70, 81–2, 84, 172–3
 Gardner on, 68
 in Gettier cases, 134
 malleable definitions of, 80
 rape law and, 86
 role of, 80
 in Scotland, 60
 Tadros on, 69–70, 84
 vocabulary, 88–9
- mental incapacity, 182–3
 mental states, behaviouralism and, 101
 Mississippi, 54
 Model Criminal Code (MCC), 45–6
 Model Penal Code (MPC), 13, 16,
 23–4
 adoption of, 47
 adoption of, with edits, 49–50
 on awareness of risk, 228
 definitions, 46–7
 on disregard, 144
 Husak on, 124–5
 on knowledge, 124–5, 129–30
 on negligence, 13, 47, 227–9
 non-adoption of, 51–2
 partial adoption of, 50
 on recklessness, 13, 46–7
 virtually full adoption of, 48
 virtually full adoption of, with
 different labels, 48–9
 voluntary intoxication in, 55–7
- modified objectivism, 36
- Moore, Michael S., 112–13
 on choice, 157
 on conscious propositions, 112–13,
 157
 on consciousness, 113
 on Duff, 255–6
 on negligence, 157
 on preconscious propositions, 154–5,
 157

- on responsibility, 155–6
 - on tacit knowledge, 115–16
 - on wrongdoing, 156–7
- Morse, Stephen J., 3, 280
 - on defendants' beliefs, 17–18
 - on indifference, 253
 - on probability, 17
 - on substantiality, 15–16
- motivations, 175–6
- MPC. *See* Model Penal Code
- murder, in Scotland, 62
- Nebraska, 50
- negligence, 4–5, 70–1, 245
 - awareness of risk and, 90
 - belief and, 94, 226, 229–30, 240, 243, 260–1, 263–4, 266–7, 269
 - in California, 52
 - civil, 48, 227
 - conduct and, 90
 - criminal, 35, 48, 274
 - culpable, 54, 194
 - defining, 4, 6–7, 13, 79–80, 143–4, 278
 - Duff on, 219–25
 - as epistemic failure, 9–10
 - Garvey on, 244–7
 - in Georgia, 52
 - gross, 28–30, 41, 43, 59, 77, 81, 205
 - Hampton on, 198–201
 - Hart on, 181–6
 - Horder on, 186–92, 202–6
 - Moore on, 157
 - MPC on, 13, 47, 227–9
 - multiple definitions of, 82, 84
 - objectivity and, 257–9
 - ordinary, 35, 41
 - possible direction on, 283–4
 - reckless, 41
 - recklessness and, 44, 53–4, 56–7, 179–80, 219–25
 - Scanlon on, 166
 - in Scotland, 61, 63
 - Simons on, 201–2
 - in Standard Account, 76–7
 - Tadros on, 68, 269–71
 - types of, 81
 - voluntariness and, 161
- New Mexico, 55
- New South Wales (NSW), 38–42
- New Zealand, 58–60
 - voluntary intoxication in, 59
- New Zealand Court of Appeal (NZCA), 58–9
- non-conscious behavior, 161
- non-consent, 253–4. *See also* consent
 - rape and, 84–6, 197–8
- normative clarity, 73–7, 82–3
- Northern Territory (NT), 38, 44
- Nozick, Robert
 - criminal law and, 139–40
 - on justification, 139
 - on truth-tracking account of knowledge, 138–40
- objective liability, 23
- objectivity
 - negligence and, 257–9
 - role, 257–9
- offender's rights, 169–70
- Ohio, 51–2
- opaque recklessness, 153–60
- ordinary language, 73–7, 129
- ordinary negligence
 - CCC on, 35
 - involuntary manslaughter and, 41
- overcriminalisation, 88
- over-inclusiveness of *mens rea* terms, 88–9
- People v. Decina*, 193–4
 - recklessness in, 193
- People v. Eckert*, 194
- perception
 - belief and, 229–32
 - choices, 195–8
- Perry, Stephen, 1
 - on harm, 16
- phenomenal disposition, 104
 - Schwitzgebel on, 104–5
- phenomenal experiences, 107–8
 - belief and, 108
- physical incapacity, 182–3
- Pillsbury, Samuel H., 195–8, 209
 - on choice, 195–8
 - on culpable carelessness, 231–2

- Pillsbury, Samuel H. (cont.)
 on inadvertence, 197
 on perception choices, 195–8
 on risk-taking, 281
 practical indifference, 101
 Duff on, 144, 211–25
 preconscious propositions
 choice and, 154
 conscious propositions and,
 153–4
 Ferzan on, 153–60
 Moore on, 112–13, 154–5, 157
 preconscious states, 112–13, 115–17
prima facie wrongdoing, 2, 11–14,
 20–5
 justification and, 11–14, 20–5
 Principle of Alternative Possibilities
 (PAP), 149–51
 probability of risk, 14–18
 punishment
 autonomy and, 168–9
 Brudner on, 168–71
 choice and, 166–71
 Exclusive Thesis and, 166–71
 Ferzan on, 254
 for character traits, 255–6
 for negligence, 181
- Queensland, 38, 42–3, 45
Quinn v. Cunningham, 62
- R v. Cunningham*, 27–8
 awareness of risk and, 28–9
R v. Daviault, 36–7
R v. G and Another, 27–8, 74–5, 207,
 279
 awareness of risk and, 28–9
R v. Harney, 58–9
R v. Hodggets and Jackson, 43
R v. Howe, 58
R v. J(F), 35
R v. Lavender, 207–8
R v. Leary, 37
R v. O'Connor, 41–2
R v. Parker, 28, 242–3
R v. Woollin, 127
 rape
 Duff on, 224–5
 fault element in, 30, 40–1, 63, 84–6,
 264
 negligence as failure of belief in, 264
 non-consent and, 84–6, 197–8
 recklessness and, 84–6
 Raz, Joseph, 202–3
 on exclusionary reasons, 188
 reasonable beliefs, 29–30
 reasonable doubt, 108–9
 reasonable person, 21, 182, 275–6
 reasonableness, 240
 reason-responsiveness
 of defendants, 148
 responsibility and, 148
 reckless indifference, 39–40
 defining, 40
 House of Lords on, 74
 in Victoria, 40–1
 reckless negligence, 41
 involuntary manslaughter and, 41
 recklessness, 5, 70–1, 107–8
 awareness of risk and, 85–6, 131–2
 Brudner on, 170
 in Canada, 34–6
 in *Commissioner of Police for the
 Metropolis v. Caldwell*, 74–5
 defining, 6–7, 13, 30–1, 54, 67, 69,
 74–6, 143–4, 218–19, 276–8
 disregard and, 144
 Duff on, 101, 211–25
 in English law, 27–34, 183–4
 Ferzan on, 144, 153–6, 276–7
 inadvertent, 223
 indifference and, 195–8, 201–2, 210,
 211–25
 involuntary manslaughter and,
 28–9
 MPC on, 13, 46–7
 multiple definitions of, 82
 negligence and, 44, 53–4, 56–7
 179–80, 219–25
 in Ohio, 51–2
 opaque, 153–60
 in *People v. Decina*, 193
 possible direction on, 281–3
 rape and, 84–5
 in Scotland, 60–4, 144
 Simons on, 201–2

- in Standard Account, 76–7, 131–2, 140
- Tadros on, 269–71
- voluntary intoxication and, 30–3, 88
- wicked, 62–3, 218–19
- reflective beliefs, 234
- responsibility, 286
 - accountability and, 147
 - alienation and, 175–6, 248–52, 254
 - choice and, 147–51
 - constraints on, 150
 - control and, 158–9, 250
 - defining, 147
 - Exclusive Thesis and, 149–50
 - inter-personal, 160–1
 - Moore on, 155–6
 - reason-responsiveness in, 148
 - Scanlon on, 164–6
 - Sher on, 160–1
 - Tadros on, 149
- retributivist theories, 168–71
 - of Brudner, 168–71
- reverse burden, 286–90
- risk. *See also* awareness of risk
 - assessment, 11
 - belief and, 18–19, 126–7
 - consciousness of, 112–13
 - defining, 1–2
 - harm and, 12
 - incorrect beliefs about, 236–41
 - knowledge and, 127
 - no beliefs about, 241–3
 - probability and, 15–20
 - relevant, 31, 93, 194
 - substantiality of, 14–16, 125
 - wrongdoing and, 10–11
- risk-taking
 - actus reus* and, 132
 - in Anglo-American systems, 4
 - culpability and, 3–4
 - Fletcher on, 22–3
 - inadvertent, 7
 - indifferent, 88–9
 - justification of, 11, 20–5, 275–6
 - outcomes of, 12
 - unjustified, 2–3, 10–11, 22, 136–7
 - unreasonable, 10–11
- Robinson, Paul H.
 - on adjudication, 77–81
 - on conduct, 77–81
- Rule of Law, 110, 262
 - fair warning and, 71–2, 163
- Rules of Conduct and Rules of Adjudication, 77–81
- Ryle, Gilbert
 - on behaviouralism, 100
 - on belief, 99
- Salabiaku v. France*, 287–8
- Scanlon, T.M., 251
 - Exclusive Thesis and, 164–6
 - on negligence, 166
 - on responsibility, 164–6
- Schwitzgebel, Eric, 108–9
 - on belief, 103–5, 109
 - on cognitive disposition, 105
 - on disposition, 99
 - on dispositional stereotypes, 103
 - on phenomenal disposition, 104–5
- Scotland, 265–6
 - criminal law in, 60–4
 - Draft Criminal Code in, 64
 - indifference in, 61–3
 - mens rea* in, 60
 - murder in, 62–3
 - negligence in, 61, 63
 - recklessness in, 60–3, 144
 - voluntary intoxication in, 63–4
- self-deception, 108
- self-defence, 240
- semantic clarity, 73–7
- sexual autonomy, 264–5
- sexual intercourse, 222, 224, 246–7
- sexual offences
 - fault element in, 84–6, 264
 - negligence as failure of belief in, 264
- Sexual Offences Act 2003, 86
- Sher, George, 160–1
- significance
 - in action, 253–4
 - of action, 255–7
- Simester, A.P., 32–3, 59–60, 78–9, 251
 - on culpability, 142–3
- Simons, Kenneth W., 14–15, 207–8
 - on culpable indifference, 201–2

- Simons, Kenneth W. (cont.)
 on negligence, 202
 on recklessness, 202
- simple negligence, 41
- social justification, 22–3
 Fletcher on, 23–4
- South African law, 210–11
- South Australia (SA), 38–42
- specific intent, 30, 32
- specific risk, 93
- speculative counterfactuals, 207
- Standard Account, 4–11, 64–6, 89,
 121–2, 270–1
 awareness of risk in, 7, 90, 98–9, 129
 on culpable carelessness, 266
 dispositional beliefs and, 106
 doctrinal trend towards, 26
 generality of, 6–7
 justification in, 11
 negligence in, 76–7
 recklessness in, 76–7, 131–2, 140
 tripartite account of knowledge and,
 136
- State v. Williams*, 216–17, 267–9
- statutory formulations, 273–9
 culpable carelessness, 273–5
- strong indifference, 202–6
- subjective liability, 23
- substantiality of risk, 13–16, 125
- sufficient concern, 261. *See also*
 insufficient concern
- tacit knowledge
 driving and, 117
 Duff on, 114–18, 121–2
 as manifestation of dispositions,
 119–20
 Moore on, 115–16
- Tadros, Victor, 3, 69, 83, 233–5, 262–3,
 288
 on autonomy, 263
 on belief, 231–4
 on choice, 150–1
 on definition, 68, 84
 on intention, 69
 on negligence, 68, 269–71
 on recklessness, 68, 269–71
 on responsibility, 149
- Tasmania, 38, 43–4, 45
- thin ice principle, 82–3
- tort law, 22–3
- tracing, 192–201
 character, 198–201
 relevant risk and, 194
- Transco plc v. HM Advocate*, 62, 203–5
- tripartite account of knowledge
 criminal law and, 132
 Husak on, 128
 MPC and, 129–30
 Standard Account and, 136
- true belief, 128–9
- truth, 236–8
- truth-tracking account of knowledge,
 138–40
- unfairness and avoidability, 230–1
- United States, 46–58. *See also names of
 specific states*
 MPC, 46–7
 voluntary intoxication in, 55–7
 unjustified risk-taking, 2–3, 10–11, 22.
See also justification
 culpability and, 136–7
- unreasonable risk-taking, 10–11. *See
 also* justification
- unreflective belief, 233
- value judgments, 22–3
- Victoria, 38–42
 reckless indifference in, 40–1
- Virginia, 53
- virtue
 Aristotle on, 199–200
 ethics, 260–6
- voluntariness and negligence, 158–9,
 161
- voluntary intoxication, 6
 in Australia, 41–2, 45–6
 in Canada, 36–7
 correspondence principle and, 31
 defining, 6, 30–3
 in England and Wales, 30–3
 in the United States, 55–7
 in New Zealand, 59–60
 recklessness and, 30–3, 88
 in Scotland, 63–4

INDEX

327

- wantonness, 49
- weak indifference, 202–6
- Western Australia, 38, 42–4, 45–6
- White, Alan R.
 - on belief, 99, 105
- wicked recklessness, 62–3, 218–19
- wickedness, 1
- wilful blindness, 241–3
- will, 232–6
- witnesses, character, 288–9
- wrongdoing, 18–19
 - Brudner on, 171
 - culpability and, 120
 - justification and, 20–5
 - Moore on, 156–7
 - responsibility for, 148