

INVESTMENT LAW WITHIN INTERNATIONAL LAW

Developments within various subfields of international law influence international investment law, but changes in investment law also have an impact on the evolution of other fields within international law. With contributions from leading scholars and practitioners, they examine specific links between investment law and other subfields of international law, such as the law on armed conflict, human rights, sustainable development, trade, development and EU law. In particular, this book scrutinises how concepts, principles and rules developed in the context of such subfields could inform the content of investment law. Solutions aimed at resolving problems in other settings may provide instructive examples for addressing current problems in the field of investment law, and vice versa. The underlying question is whether key subfields of public international law, notably international investment law, are open to cross-fertilisation or whether they are evolving further into self-contained regimes.

FREYA BAETENS is Assistant Professor of Public International Law at Leiden University and Visiting Professor at the World Trade Institute in Berne. Concurrent with her academic activities, she is an associate lawyer with VVGB Advocaten/Advocats, Brussels, advising clients on public international law and EU law matters. She has published on a wide range of topics, including treaty interpretation, state responsibility, equality, sustainable development, interaction between legal regimes, discrimination in international migration law and EU external relations.





INVESTMENT LAW WITHIN INTERNATIONAL LAW

Integrationist perspectives

Edited by FREYA BAETENS





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

 $www. cambridge. org\\ Information on this title: www. cambridge. org/9781107038882$

© Cambridge University Press 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013 Reprinted 2013

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

 ${\it Library~of~Congress~Cataloguing~in~Publication~data} \label{library} Investment~law~within~international~law: integrationist~perspectives~/~edited~by~Freya~Baetens.$

pages cm Includes bibliographical references. ISBN 978-1-107-03888-2 (Hardback)

1. Investments, Foreign (International law)
I. Baetens, Freya, editor of compilation.

K3830.I475 2013 346'.092-dc23 2012051782

ISBN 978-1-107-03888-2 Hardback

Additional resources for this publication at www.cambridge.org/baetens

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

List of contributors			viii
Table of cas	ses	xvii	
Preface	xxvii		
Foreword	xliii	i	

PART I International investment and armed conflict

- 1 The protection of investments in armed conflicts
 CHRISTOPH SCHREUER
- 2 The interaction between investment law and the law of armed conflict in the interpretation of full protection and security clauses 21
 GLEIDER I. HERNÁNDEZ
- 3 International criminal responsibility of transnational corporate actors doing business in zones of armed conflict 51

 PHILIPP AMBACH

PART II International investment and human rights 83

- 4 Investment tribunals and human rights treaties: a sociological perspective 85

 MOSHE HIRSCH
- 5 The interaction of international investment arbitration and the rights of indigenous peoples 106
 JUDITH LEVINE
- 6 The protection against expropriations in Venezuela: a right to property in theory? 129

 LEONIE TIMMERS

V



vi CONTENTS

7 International human rights and the interpretation of international investment treaties: constitutional considerations 164

N. JANSEN CALAMITA

PART III International investment and sustainable development 185

- 8 International investment agreements and the emerging green economy: rising to the challenge 187

 MARKUS W. GEHRING AND AVIDAN KENT
- 9 The role of international investment agreements in fostering sustainable development 217
 WOLFGANG ALSCHNER AND ELISABETH TUERK
- Reservations, corporate social responsibility and other mechanisms in support of sustainable development in Canada's Model Foreign Investment Promotion and Protection Agreement 232
 PIERRE-OLIVIER SAVOIE
- Deciding international investment agreement applicability: the development argument in investment 240

DIANE A. DESIERTO

PART IV International investment, trade and developing countries 257

12 International investment law and trade: the relationship that never went away 259

MARY E. FOOTER

- 13 Reviewing the administration of domestic regulation in WTO and investment law: the international minimum standard as 'one standard to rule them all'? 298

 ANASTASIOS GOURGOURINIS
- 14 Are investment treaty standards flexible enough to meet the needs of developing countries? 330

 URSULA KRIEBAUM



CONTENTS vii

15 Relevance of the host state's development status in investment treaty arbitration 341

MARIA GRITSENKO

PART V International investment and the European Union 353

16 The investment regime under Article 207 of the TFEU – a legal conundrum: the scope of 'foreign direct investment' and the future of intra-EU BITs 355

FRIEDL WEISS AND SILKE STEINER

- 17 International investment and the European Union: an uneasy relationship 375

 THOMAS HENQUET
- 18 The new EU competence in foreign direct investment and intra-EU investment treaties: does the emperor have new clothes? 387

PART VI Outlook: international investment in the twenty-first century 415

19 International investment dispute settlement in the twenty-first century: does the preservation of the public interest require an alternative to the arbitral model? 417
NICOLAS HACHEZ AND JAN WOUTERS

20 Non-investment obligations in investment treaty arbitration: towards a greater role for states? 450

VID PRISLAN

RUMIANA YOTOVA

Fragmentation, consolidation and the future relationship between international investment law and general international law 482

RALPH ALEXANDER LORZ

Index 494



CONTRIBUTORS

WOLFGANG ALSCHNER is a PhD candidate in international law at the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies (IHEID) in Geneva, Switzerland. Before that, he studied international law, international relations and economics in Dresden, Beirut and Geneva. In addition, he has been working as a consultant in the Section on International Investment Agreements (IIA) of UNCTAD.

PHILIPP AMBACH is Special Assistant to the President of the International Criminal Court. He previously obtained his 1st and 2nd State Examination in law at Humboldt Universität, Berlin, and Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf, in 2004 and 2007, respectively, and in 2009 wrote a PhD in international criminal law at the Freie Universität, Berlin. In 2007, he worked as a prosecutor in the Office of the Public Prosecutor of Cologne, Germany; from 2008 to 2009 as Associate Legal Officer in the Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia; and from 2009 to 2010 as Associate Legal Officer in the Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda.

FREYA BAETENS, Cand.Jur. and Lic.Jur. (Ghent); LLM (Columbia); PhD (Cambridge), is Assistant Professor of Public International Law at Leiden University and Visiting Professor at the World Trade Institute, Berne. She has been a Research Fellow of the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, Heidelberg, and editor of the Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law. She is a member of the Executive Board of the Society of International Economic Law (SIEL) and a Fellow with the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL), McGill University, Montreal. She is on the editorial board of the Leiden Journal of International Law and the academic review board of the Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law. Concurrent with her academic activities, she is an associate lawyer with VVGB Advocaten/Advocats, Brussels, advising clients on international law and EU law matters.

viii



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

ix

N. JANSEN CALAMITA is the Director of the Investment Treaty Forum and Senior Research Fellow at the British Institute of International and Comparative Law, London. He also holds the post of lecturer in Public International Law at the University of Birmingham. Prior to these posts, he was a member of the Faculty of Law at the University of Oxford, and a visiting fellow of Mansfield College, Oxford. Before becoming a full-time academic, he served in the Office of the Legal Advisor in the US Department of State, representing the United States before the Iran–US Claims Tribunal and in bilateral investment matters, and also in the Office of Legal Affairs at the United Nations as a member of the UNCITRAL Secretariat. He has also been in private practice in New York. He is a graduate of Boston University Law School (J.D. summa cum laude) and the University of Oxford (BCL).

DIANE A. DESIERTO specialises in international economic law, dispute resolution and ASEAN law, holding degrees from Yale Law School (JSD 2011, LLM 2009) and the University of the Philippines (LLB/JD equiv. cum laude 2004, BSc Economics summa cum laude 2000). At Yale, she was Howard M. Holtzmann Fellow in International Arbitration and Dispute Resolution; Lillian Goldman Perpetual Scholar; Editor of the Yale Journal of International Law; 2010-2011 Yale Fellow of HE Judge Bruno Simma and HE Judge Bernardo Sepulveda-Amor at the International Court of Justice, The Hague, the Netherlands; and was awarded the Ambrose Gherini Prize in International Law for her JSD dissertation (Necessity and National Emergency Clauses: Sovereignty in Modern Treaty Interpretation, International Litigation in Practice Series, vol. 3, 2012). She has taught international law at several Philippine law faculties, before taking up her current position as Assistant Professor at Peking University School of Transnational Law, while also continuing as a partner at Desierto Ammuyutan Purisima and Desierto Law.

MARY E. FOOTER is Professor of International Economic Law and Head of the Business, Trade and Human Rights Unit at the Human Rights Law Centre, University of Nottingham School of Law. She holds a Master's degree in Dutch civil law from the University of the Netherlands Antilles, an LLM in Public International Law from University College London and a PhD (cum laude) from the Erasmus University, Rotterdam. She has served as Senior Programme Legal Counsel at the International Development Law Organization and as expert consultant to the WTO and the EU. She is series editor of the Edward Elgar Series on International Economic Law, a member of the Editorial Board of the Manchester Journal of International Economic



X LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Law, the European Journal of Risk Regulation, and a member of the Advisory Board of Legal Issues in International Integration and of the e-journal Law, Social Justice and Global Development. She is a member of the Executive Board of the European Society of International Law, the Executive Council of the British Branch of the International Law Association, the ILA International Trade Law Committee and the Society of International Economic Law.

MARKUS W. GEHRING is a university lecturer in Sustainable Development Law at the Law Faculty, Deputy Director of the Centre for European Legal Studies (CELS) and Fellow in Law at Robinson College, Cambridge University. He holds an *ad personam* Jean Monnet Chair in Sustainable Development Law at the University of Ottawa, Canada, and serves as affiliated lecturer in European and International Law at the Department of Politics and International Studies. He holds an LLM from Yale and a Dr. jur. from Hamburg. He practised European and international trade law with Cleary Gottlieb in their Brussels office. Prior to joining Robinson College, he was a tutor in Public International Law at University College, Oxford. He serves as Lead Counsel for Sustainable International Trade, Investment and Competition Law with the Centre of International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL), McGill University, Montreal and was a member representative of the Concerted Action on Trade and Environment (CATE) research initiative, funded by the European Commission.

ANASTASIOS GOURGOURINIS practises law in Greece as a member of the Athens Bar specialising in international economic law. He is an elected lecturer in International Law at the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, and is a Special Legal Adviser to the Hellenic Ministry of State and Strategic Investments. He completed his doctoral thesis at University College London in 2010, focusing on the fragmentation of international law, and, more specifically, the normative role of equity and equitable principles in the WTO. Among his current and recent publications are: 'Equity in International Law Revisited (with Special Reference to the Fragmentation of International Law)', American Society of International Law Proceedings, 103 (2009): 79-82; 'Delineating the Normativity of Equity in International Law', International Community Law Review, 11 (2009): 327-47; 'The Distinction between Interpretation and Application of Norms in International Adjudication', Journal of International Dispute Settlement, 2 (2011): 31-57; and 'Lex Specialis in WTO and Investment Protection Law', German Yearbook of International Law, 53 (2010): 579-621.



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

MARIA GRITSENKO is an associate in the International Arbitration and

Litigation Group of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP. Her expertise includes investment treaty and investment contract disputes, as well as international commercial arbitration proceedings under a variety of different rules. Originally from Ukraine, she trained as a lawyer in France and the United States. She holds a Master's degree in public international law from the Pantheon-Assas University, Paris II, and an LLM from the University of Michigan Law School, Ann Arbor.

NICOLAS HACHEZ, LLM (NYU), LLB (Louvain), is Project Manager and Research Fellow at the Institute for International Law and at the Leuven Centre for Global Governance Studies, University of Leuven. His research interests mainly include global governance studies, international legal theory, international human rights law and international investment law. He has published on various issues connected to those disciplines, such as corporate social responsibility, the role of non-state actors and of private regulation in global governance, or the relations between international investment law and other branches of international law. Formerly, he worked as an attorney in the Brussels office of an international law firm, where he focused his practice on international investment law and European Community law.

THOMAS HENQUET studied at the University of Amsterdam (LLM) and Yale University (LLM). He practised law in the Amsterdam office of Clifford Chance, specialising in litigation/arbitration and international law. In his current position as legal counsel in the International Law Division of the Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs, his practice includes investment protection.

GLEIDER I. HERNÁNDEZ is Lecturer in Law at Durham Law School, Durham University. Previously, he served as Associate Legal Officer at the International Court of Justice (2007-2010), acting as law clerk to Vice-President Peter Tomka and Judge Bruno Simma from 2008 to 2010. He holds a DPhil from the University of Oxford, where he researched the concept of the international judicial function from the perspective of the International Court of Justice. Previously, he read for an LLM (Hons) at Leiden University, the Netherlands, and for BCL and LLB degrees at McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

MOSHE HIRSCH holds the Maria von Hofmannsthal Chair in International Law, and has been the Director of the Forum of International Law and the

хi



xii

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

chairperson of the Committee on Advanced Studies of the Faculty of Law and Department of International Relations, Hebrew University of Jerusalem since 2005. He is also a Member of the list of the Panel of Conciliators and Arbitrators maintained by the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) (since November 2008). He was a Visiting Lecturer at the Academy of International Trade Law, Macao; the Jay Altmayer Distinguished Visiting Professor of Law, Tulane University School of Law, New Orleans; and Visiting Professorial Fellow at the Institute of International Economic Law, Georgetown University, Washington. He served as a member of the committee established by the Israeli Ministry of Justice to prepare a new statute on sovereign immunity and as a member of the Israeli-Palestinian Research Group on the Jerusalem Area, established under the auspices of the Olof Palme International Center, Stockholm, Sweden. He has been a researcher and member of the think tank on the Future Status of Jerusalem, at the Jerusalem Institute for Israel Studies since 1989, and a member of the Israel Bar Association since 1988.

AVIDAN KENT is a PhD candidate at the University of Cambridge, focusing on international trade and investment and climate change law, with degrees from the University of Haifa (LLB) and McGill University (LLM). He is an Associate Fellow at the Centre of International Sustainable Development Law and a member of the Israeli Bar.

URSULA KRIEBAUM is Associate Professor for Public International Law at the Department of European, International and Comparative Law, University of Vienna. Her academic research focuses on international investment protection law and arbitration, and international and European human rights law. She has worked in the office of the legal adviser of the Austrian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and is the author of several publications in the fields of international investment law and arbitration. She also acts as consultant for law firms in investment arbitration and human rights cases.

JUDITH LEVINE is Senior Legal Counsel at the Permanent Court of Arbitration, The Hague. From 2003 to 2008, she was an attorney in the arbitration group at White & Case LLP, New York, where she represented corporations and sovereign states in ICSID, ICC, AAA and UNCITRAL arbitrations. Her prior roles include law clerk at the International Court of Justice, adviser to the Australian Attorney-General, associate at the High Court of Australia, lecturer at the University of New South Wales (UNSW) and for the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, and member of the Australian



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

xiii

delegation to UNCITRAL Working Group II. She holds a BA/LLB (University Medal) (UNSW) and LLM (NYU).

RALPH ALEXANDER LORZ has held the Chair of German and Foreign Public Law, European Law and Public International Law at Heinrich Heine University of Düsseldorf since 2000. From 2007 to 2009 and again from 2012 to the present, he was on a sabbatical leave serving as Vice-Minister for Higher Education, Research and the Arts in the state government of Hesse. He has studied at the universities of Mainz, Marburg and Mannheim, earned an LLM degree at Harvard Law School in 1994 and is admitted to practise law in Germany and New York. His research work focuses on the European Common Market and international economic law.

VID PRISLAN is a Research Fellow and PhD candidate at the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Leiden Law School. He holds a Diploma in International Relations from the University of Ljubljana and an LLM in International Law from Leiden University. He is an experienced researcher in the field of public international law, has assisted counsel in contentious cases before the PCA and the ICJ, and has regularly provided expert advice to governments and private parties in territorial and maritime delimitation disputes, and in the context of various investment arbitration proceedings. He is book review editor of the *Leiden Journal of International Law*.

PIERRE-OLIVIER SAVOIE is counsel in the Trade Law Bureau, Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, Ottawa, Canada. He has acted as counsel to the government of Canada in several NAFTA Chapter Eleven arbitrations, as well as in several FIPA negotiations. Prior to joining the Trade Law Bureau in 2009, he worked in the international arbitration and commercial litigation groups at White & Case LLP, New York, clerked for Justice Marie Deschamps at the Supreme Court of Canada and for judges Peter Tomka and Mohammed Bennouna at the International Court of Justice.

CHRISTOPH SCHREUER is a graduate of the universities of Vienna, Cambridge and Yale. Over an academic career spanning more than forty years, he has published numerous articles and several books in the field of international law. Since 1992, he has concentrated on international investment law; the main product of which is a 1,500-page commentary on the Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States under the title *The ICSID Convention: A Commentary*. He has written expert opinions in many investment cases and has served as an



xiv

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

arbitrator in ICSID and UNCITRAL cases. He has spent most of his academic career at the Department of International Law of the University of Salzburg, Austria. From 1992 to 2000, he was the Edward B. Burling Professor of International Law and Organization, Paul H. Nitze School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS), Johns Hopkins University, Washington, DC. From 2000 to 2009, he was Professor of International Law at the University of Vienna, Austria. Since 2008, he has been Of Counsel with the law office Wolf Theiss.

NICO SCHRIJVER is Professor of International Law and Academic Director of the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, Leiden University and is a member of the Senate of the Dutch Parliament. He serves as the President of the International Law Association, is vice-chair of the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and is a member of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, the Permanent Court of Arbitration and the Institut de droit international. He is the author of Sovereignty over Natural Resources. Balancing Rights and Duties (Cambridge University Press, 1997), The Evolution of Sustainable Development in International Law (2008) and Development without Destruction. The UN and Global Resource Management (2010).

SILKE STEINER, MA (Bruges), Dr.iur. (Graz), is working as an assistant professor at the Department of European, International and Comparative Law, University of Vienna. Before joining the University of Vienna, she studied law in Graz and EU external relations at the College of Europe, Bruges, and worked at the Austrian Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Her special areas of research include European institutional law, the EU's external relations, fundamental principles and judicial protection on the EU level, as well as international economic law.

LEONIE TIMMERS obtained her LLB in Law with International Relations from the University of Sussex, Brighton. She went on to complete her LLM in Public International Law at Leiden University, where she graduated cum laude. Her chapter in this book is based on her LLM thesis for which she obtained the Leiden Journal of International Law Thesis Prize. She started working as an associate in the Caracas office of Norton Rose, where she has been involved in several arbitrations against the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, before moving to her current post at the Norton Rose London office. Her main areas of interest are public international law and investment arbitration.



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

XV

ELISABETH TUERK is a legal expert in the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), Section on International Investment Agreements in UNCTAD's Division on Investment and Enterprise. She manages the Section's research work and contributes to its technical assistance and capacity-building work, and to the management and organisation of UNCTAD's intergovernmental meetings and events. In her previous UNCTAD assignment, she worked as an Economic Affairs Officer at the Trade Negotiations and Commercial Diplomacy Branch, Division on International Trade in Goods and Services and Commodities of the UNCTAD. Prior to joining UNCTAD, she worked as staff attorney for the Trade and Investment Program of the Center for International Environmental Law (CIEL), Geneva. She holds a Magistra Degree in Law and a Magistra Degree in International Management from the Karl-Franzens University, Graz, Austria, as well as a Master's degree from the World Trade Institute in Berne, Switzerland.

FRIEDL WEISS is a professor in the Department of European, International and Comparative Law at the University of Vienna, as well as Visiting Professor at the universities of Bratislava and Bocconi, Milan. Previously he was Lecturer in Law at the London School of Economics and Political Science, held the Chair of International Economic Law and International Organisations at the University of Amsterdam, as well as various visiting professorships, including at the universities of Louvain-la-Neuve, Panthéon-Assas Paris II, HEI Geneva, Minnesota, Tulane and Wuhan. His research focus includes European and international (economic) law, international organisations, including those of international economic governance and European Community law. He holds a doctorate in law, a Licence spéciale en droit Européen from the European Institute of the Free University of Brussels, as well as an MA in Public International Law and European Law from the University of Cambridge. He has been legal adviser in the EFTA Secretariat, a legal consultant in GATT, and is a member and a former rapporteur of the ILA Committee on International Trade Law, as well as a former member of the ILA committees on International Law on Sustainable Development on Foreign Investment.

JAN WOUTERS is Professor of International Law and International Organizations, Jean Monnet Chair *Ad Personam* EU and Global Governance, and Director of the Leuven Centre for Global Governance Studies and Institute for International Law at the Katholoeke Universiteit Leuven (KU Leuven). He is Visiting Professor at the College of Europe (Bruges), in the Master of



xvi

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Laws in International Economic Law and Policy (University of Barcelona), in the European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation (Venice), and in the Executive Master of European and International Business Law (University of Sankt-Gallen). He is President of the Flemish Foreign Affairs Council, which advises the Flemish Government, and practises law as Of Counsel at Linklaters, Brussels. He is the Editor of the International Encyclopedia of Intergovernmental Organizations and the Vice-Director of Revue belge de droit international. He studied law and philosophy in Antwerp and Yale universities, was a Visiting Researcher at Harvard Law School and obtained his PhD at KU Leuven.

RUMIANA YOTOVA is currently pursuing a PhD in international law at Cambridge University under the supervision of Professor James Crawford. Previously, she obtained a LLM Adv. degree in Public International Law (cum laude) at Leiden University, a Master of Law degree (with excellence) at Sofia University, and a Diploma in English and EU Law at Cambridge University. In 2009, she also obtained a Diploma in Public International Law (cum laude) at The Hague Academy of International Law. She acquired practical experience in her work as a research associate of Professor James Crawford, and as a legal trainee at the Permanent Court of Arbitration and the European Commission, Directorate General Maritime Affairs and Fisheries.



TABLE OF CASES

- Abaclat and others v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/5, Decision on Jurisdiction and Admissibility; Dissenting Opinion, 4 August 2011 244, 444
- ADC Affiliate Ltd and ADC & ADMC Management Ltd v. The Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16, Final Award on Jurisdiction, Merits and Damages, 2 October 2006 409
- ADF Group Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003 35, 234, 324, 467
- AES Summit Generation Ltd and AES-Tisza Erömü Kft v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/22, Award, 17 September 2010 200, 325, 369, 408, 458
- Aguas del Tunari SA v. Republic of Bolivia, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2005 89, 145, 176, 200, 451
- Ahmadou Siado Diallo (Republic of Guinea v. Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICJ Reports 639, Merits, Judgment, 30 November 2010 139, 307
- Alpha Projektholding GmbH v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/16, Award, 20 October 2010 254, 304
- Amco Asia Corporation and Others v. The Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1, Award, 20 November 1984 10, 40, 51
- American Manufacturing & Trading, Inc. (AMT) v. Republic of Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1, Award; Separate Opinion, 21 February 1997 7, 34, 333, 350
- Apitz Barbera et al. v. Venezuela, IACtHR Ser. C, No. 182, Judgment, 5 August 2008 159
- Argentina Measures Affecting the Export of Bovine Hides and Import of Finished Leather, WTO WT/DS155, Panel Report, 19 December 2000 311
- Argentina Safeguard Measures on Imports of Footwear, Textiles, Apparel and Other Items, WTO WT/DS121, Appellate Body Report, 12 January 2000 316
- Asian Agricultural Products Ltd (AAPL) v. Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Final Award on Merits and Damages; Dissenting Opinion, 27 June 1990 8, 36, 283, 348, 461
- Autopista Concesionada de Venezuela CA v. Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/5, Award, 23 September 2003 19
- AWG Group Ltd v. Argentina, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Decision on Liability, 30 July 2010 345

xvii



xviii

TABLE OF CASES

- Azurix Corp. v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award, 14 July 2006; Decision on Annulment, 1 September 2009 34, 90
- Banco de Lima Shareholders v. Peru, IACHR Case No. 10.169, Decision on Admissibility, 22 February 1991 153
- Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Co. Ltd (Belgium v. Spain) (Second Phase), ICJ Reports 3, Merits, Judgment, 5 February 1970 139, 306
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret ve Sanayi AS v. Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005 333
- Biloune and Marine Drive Complex Ltd v. Ghana Investments Centre and the Government of Ghana, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Award on Jurisdiction and Liability, 27 October 1989 89, 460
- Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd v. Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Procedural Order No. 3, 29 September 2006; Procedural Order No. 5, 2 February 2007; Award; Concurring Opinion; Dissenting Opinion, 24 July 2008 7, 39, 101, 199, 240, 301
- Brazil Measures Affecting Imports of Retreaded Tyres, WTO WT/DS332, Panel Report, 12 June 2007; Appellate Body Report, 3 December 2007 293, 318
- Burlington Resources Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/05, Decision on Jurisdiction, 2 June 2010 113
- Canada Administration of the Foreign Investment Review Act (FIRA), GATT L/5504-30S/140, Panel Report, 7 February 1984 265
- Case concerning Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay), ICJ Reports 14, Judgment, 20 April 2010 459
- Certain Questions of Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Djibouti v. France), ICJ Reports 177, Judgment, 4 June 2008 48, 466
- Československá Obchodní Banka, AS (CSOB) v. Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4, Award, 29 December 2004 34
- Channel Tunnel Group Ltd/France-Manche SA v. The Secretary of State for Transport of the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland ('Eurotunnel'), PCA Case No. 2007-2, Partial Award, 30 January 2007 89, 459
- Chaparro Álvarez and Lapo Íñiguez v. Ecuador, IACtHR Ser. C, No. 170, Judgment, 21 November 2007 157
- Chattin (United States of America) v. Mexico, Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 23 July 1927 309
- Chemtura Corporation (formerly Crompton Corporation) v. Canada, UNCITRAL/ NAFTA Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 2 August 2010 295, 468
- Chevron Corp. v. Ecuador, UNCITRAL/PCA Case No. 2009-23, Partial Award on the Merits, 30 March 2010 444
- CME v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Partial Award, 13 September 2001; Final Award, 14 March 2003 44, 422



TABLE OF CASES

xix

- CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award, 12 May 2005; Decision on Annulment, 25 September 2007 23, 91, 333, 421
- Commission of the European Communities v. Austria, CJEU Case C-147/03, Judgment, 7 July 2005 400
- Commission of the European Communities v. Finland, CJEU Case C-343/05, Judgment, 18 May 2006 400
- Commission of the European Communities v. France (French Merchant Seamen), CJEU Case C-167/73, Judgment, 4 April 1974 373
- Commission of the European Communities v. Slovakia, CJEU Case C-264/09, Judgment, 15 September 2011 402
- Commission of the European Communities v. Sweden, CJEU Case C-249/06, Judgment, 3 March 2009 400
- Compañia de Aguas del Aconquija SA and Vivendi International v. Argentine Republic (II) (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3) Award (20 August 2007) 34
- Compañia del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, Award, 17 February 2000 432, 452
- Competence of the Community or one of its Institutions to Participate in the Third Revised Decision of the OECD on National Treatment, CJEU Opinion 2/92, 24 March 1995 357
- Consortium RFCC v. Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/6, Award, 22 December 2003 244
- Continental Casualty Co. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/9, Decision on Jurisdiction, 22 February 2006; Award, 5 September 2008 249, 253, 322, 421
- Corporación Quiport SA and others v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/23, Settlement and Discontinuance, 11 November 2011 149
- Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua), ICJ Reports 213, Judgment, 13 July 2009 468
- Duke Energy Electroquil Partners & Electroquil SA v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/4/19, Award, 18 August 2008 47, 322, 345
- Eastern Sugar BV v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL/SCC Case No. 088/2004, Partial Award, 27 March 2007 6, 38, 367, 399, 452
- Eco Swiss China Time Ltd v. Benetton International NV, CJEU Case C-126/97, Judgment, 1 June 1999 378
- El Paso Energy International Co. v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15, Decision on Jurisdiction, 27 April 2006 336
- Electrabel SA v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19, Decision on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law and Liability, 30 November 2012 369, 372
- Elettronica Sicula SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v. Italy), ICJ Reports 15, Judgment, 20 July 1989 9, 40, 268, 310



XX

TABLE OF CASES

- Enron Corp. and Ponderosa Assets LP v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Award, 22 May 2007; Decision on Annulment, 30 July 2010 23, 421, 462
- Eudoro Armando Olguín v. Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5, Award, 26 July 2001 345
- Eugen Schmidberger, Internationale Transporte und Planzüge v. Austria, CJEU Case C-112/00, Judgment, 12 June 2003 486
- Eureko BV v. Slovak Republic, PCA Case No. 2008–13, Award on Jurisdiction, Arbitrability and Suspension, 26 October 2010 109, 388, 399, 405, 454
- European Communities Customs Classification of Frozen Boneless Chicken Cuts, WTO WT/DS269, Appellate Body Report, 12 September 2005 467
- European Communities Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas III, WTO WT/DS27, Panel Report, 22 May 1997; Appellate Body Report, 25 September 1997; Decision by the Arbitrators under Article 22.6 DSU, 24 March 2000 290, 301
- European Communities Selected Customs Matters, WTO WT/DS315, Panel Report, 16 June 2006; Appellate Body Report, 13 November 2006 312
- Frontier Petroleum Services (FPS) v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL/PCA Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 12 November 2010 349
- Gambrinus Corp. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/31, Request for Arbitration, 2 December 2011 147
- GAMI Investments, Inc. v. Mexico, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Final Award, 15 November 2004 324
- Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/9, Award, 16 September 2003 333, 345
- Genin and others v. Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Award, 25 June 2001 326, 333, 344 Glamis Gold Ltd v. United States of America, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 8 June 2009; Decision on Application of and Submission by Quechan Indian Nation, 16 September 2005; Non-Party Submission of Quechan Indian Nation, 19 August 2005; Article 1128 Submission of Canada, 19 January 2009 99, 116, 208, 324, 455
- Grand River Enterprises Six Nations Ltd et al. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL/ NAFTA Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 12 January 2011; Claimants' Memorial on the Merits, 10 July 2008; Letter from the National Chief of the Assembly of First Nations to the Tribunal, 19 January 2009 111, 118, 208, 247
- Gustavo Adolfo Cesti Hurtado v. Peru, IACtHR Ser. C, No. 78, Judgment on Reparations, 31 May 2001; Order Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, 17 November 2004; Order Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, 22 September 2006 156
- Himpurna California Energy Ltd v. PT (Persero) Perusahaan Listruik Negara (PLN), UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Final Award, 4 May 1999 350, 467



TABLE OF CASES

xxi

- Impregilo SpA v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/17, Final Award, 21 June 2011 249 Inceysa Vallisoletana SL v. El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26, Award, 2 August 2006 88, 102, 462
- International Thunderbird Gaming Corp. v. Mexico, UNCITRAL/NAFTA Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 26 January 2006 234, 292, 323
- Iron Rhine Arbitration (Belgium v. The Netherlands), PCA Case No. 2007-2, Award, 24 May 2005 409
- Ivcher Bronstein v. Peru, IACtHR Ser. C, No. 74, Judgment, 6 February 2001; Order Monitoring Compliance with Judgment, 21 September 2005 155
- James and Others v. United Kingdom, ECHR Case 8793/79, Judgment, 21 February 1986 90
- Jose Maria Cantos v. Argentina, IACtHR Ser. C, No. 85, Judgment, 7 September 2001 154
- Joy Mining Machinery v. Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, Award on Jurisdiction, 30 July 2004 244, 303
- Kadi and Al Barakaat International Foundation v. Council of the European Union and Commission of the European Communities, CJEU Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/05, Judgment, 3 September 2008 485
- Korea Measures Affecting Imports of Fresh, Chilled and Frozen Beef, WT/DS161 and WT/DS169, Appellate Body Report, 11 December 2000 293
- Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, ICJ Reports 136, Advisory Opinion, 9 July 2004 29
- Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons, ICJ Reports 226, Advisory Opinion, 8 July 1996 28, 463
- Lemire v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/18, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 January 2010 208, 322, 347, 425, 441
- L. F. H. Neer and Pauline Neer (USA) v. United Mexican States, Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 15 October 1926 118, 309
- LG&E Energy Corp., LG&E Capital Corp. and LG&E International Inc. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1, Decision on Liability, 3 October 2006 198
- Loewen Group Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, Award, 26 June 2003 234, 441
- Malaysian Historical Salvors Sdn Bhd v. Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10, Award on Jurisdiction; Dissenting Opinion, 17 May 2007; Decision on Annulment, 16 April 2009 244, 254, 462
- Marvin Roy Feldman Karpa v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1, Award, 16 December 2002 291



xxii

TABLE OF CASES

- Mary Carpenter v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, CJEU Case C-60/00, Judgment, 1 July 2002 486
- Merrill & Ring Forestry LP v. Canada, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 31 March 2010 324
- Methanex Corp. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA Ad hoc Arbitration, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions from Third Persons to Intervene as Amici Curiae, 15 January 2001; Final Award on Jurisdiction and Merits, 3 August 2005 200, 240, 437
- Mexico Anti-Dumping Duties on Steel Pipes and Tubes from Guatemala, WTO WT/ DS331, Panel Report, 24 July 2007 316
- Mihaly International Corp. v. Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/2, Award, 15 March 2002 243, 303
- Mobil Corp. v. Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/27, Decision on Jurisdiction, 10 June 2010 146, 327, 382
- Mondev International Ltd v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, Award, 11 October 2002 89, 121, 323, 450
- MOX Plant (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Annex VII UNCLOS/PCA, Order No. 3, Suspension of Proceedings on Jurisdiction and Merits and Requests for Further Provisional Measures, 24 June 2003 459
- National Grid v. Argentina, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 3 November 2008 336
- Noble Ventures v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/11, Award, 12 October 2005 9, 41 North Sea Continental Shelf cases (Germany/Denmark; Germany/Netherlands) ICJ Reports 3, Judgment, 20 February 1969 26
- Occidental Exploration and Production Co. v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN 3467, Final Award, 1 July 2004 34, 291, 301
- Oil Platforms (Iran v. United States of America), ICJ Reports 161, Judgment, 6 November 2003 27, 48
- Pan American Energy LLC v. Plurinational State of Bolivia, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/8, Arbitration Request, 12 April 2010 149
- *Panevezys-Saldutiskis Railway (Estonia* v. *Lithuania*), PCIJ Ser. A/B, No. 76, Judgment, 28 February 1939 139
- Pantechniki SA Contractors and Engineers v. Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21, Award, 28 July 2009 9, 42, 254, 303, 333, 347
- Parkerings-Compagniet AS v. Lithuania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, Award, 11 September 2007 36, 333, 470
- Patrick Mitchell v. Democratic Republic of Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/7, Decision on Annulment, 1 November 2006 90, 249



TABLE OF CASES

xxiii

- Phoenix Action Ltd v. Czech Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/5, Award, 15 April 2009 103, 244, 303, 342, 457
- Plama Consortium Ltd v. Bulgaria, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24, Decision on Jurisdiction, 8 February 2005; Award, 27 August 2008 303, 444
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada, UNCITRAL/NAFTA Ad hoc Arbitration, Award on the Merits, Phase 2, 10 April 2001 290, 478
- Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić, ICTY Case No. IT-94-1-A, Decision on the Defence Motion for Interlocutory Appeal on Jurisdiction, 2 October 1995 69
- Prosecutor v. Miroslav Kvočka et al., ICTY Case IT-98-30, Judgment, 2 November 2001 69
- Prosecutor v. Tihomir Blaškić, ICTY Case No. IT-95-14, Appeals Chamber Decision, 29 July 2004; Trial Chamber Decision, 3 March 2000 60
- PSEG Global Inc. and Konya Elektrik Üretim ve Ticaret Ltd Sirketi v. Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5, Award, 19 January 2007 44
- Rafael Enrique Montserrat Prato, Constitutional Chamber of the Venezuelan Supreme Tribunal, Case No. 00-0190, Decision No. 776, 18 May 2001 159
- Rights of Minorities in Upper Silesia (Minority Schools) (Germany v. Poland), PCIJ Ser. A, No. 15, Judgment, 26 April 1928 306, 460, 473
- Romak SA v. Uzbekistan, UNCITRAL/PCA Case No. AA280, Award, 26 November 2009 304
- Rompetrol Group NV v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3, Decision on Respondent's Preliminary Objections on Jurisdiction and Admissibility, 18 April 2008 383
- Ronald S. Lauder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 3 September 2001 44, 422
- RosInvest v. Russian Federation, UNCITRAL/SCC Case No. V 079/2005, Award on Jurisdiction, 1 October 2007 469
- RSM Production Cooperation v. République Centrafricaine, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/02, Décision sur la compétence et la responsabilité, 7 December 2010 19
- Saipem SpA v. Bangladesh, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/7, Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 March 2007 91, 244, 450
- Salem case (Egypt v. United States of America), Ad hoc Arbitration, Award, 8 June 1932 309
- Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v. Jordan, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/13, Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 November 2004 244
- Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL/PCA Ad hoc Arbitration, Partial Award, 17 March 2006 6, 34, 108, 381, 465
- Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay, IACtHR Ser. C, No. 146, Judgment, 29 March 2006 121



xxiv

TABLE OF CASES

- S. D. Myers v. Canada, UNCITRAL/NAFTA Ad hoc Arbitration, First Partial Award on Liability, 13 November 2000 290, 323, 433
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, Award, 28 September 2007 17, 90, 249, 421
- Siemens AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award, 6 February 2007 44, 89, 324, 474
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona, SA and Vivendi Universal, SA v.
 Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19, Response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae, 19 May 2005; Decision on Liability, 30 July 2010 88, 102, 199, 433
- Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed SA v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, Award, 29 May 2003 90, 174, 346
- Telenor Mobile Communications v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/15, Award, 13 September 2006 409
- Test Claimants in the FII Group Litigation v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue, CJEU Case C-446/04, Judgment, 12 December 2006 361
- *Tokios Tokelés* v. *Ukraine*, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18, Decision on Jurisdiction; Dissenting Opinion, 29 April 2004 146, 347
- Total SA v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/01, Decision on Liability, 21 December 2010 208, 249, 326
- Toto Costruzioni Generali SpA v. Lebanon, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/12, Decision on Jurisdiction, 11 September 2009 8, 19, 303, 325, 336
- TSA Spectrum de Argentina SA v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/5, Award, 19 December 2008 146, 383
- Unglaube and Unglaube v. Costa Rica, ICSID Case Nos ARB/08/1 and ARB/09/20, Award, 16 May 2012 251
- *United States Final Anti-Dumping Measures on Stainless Steel from Mexico*, WTO WT/DS344, Appellate Body Report, 30 April 2008 295, 327
- United States Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products, WTO WT/
 DS58, Appellate Body Report, 12 October 1998; Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Malaysia, Appellate Body Report, 22 October 2001 313, 437
- United States Measures Affecting the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and Betting Services, WTO WT/DS285, Appellate Body Report, 20 April 2005 315
- *United States Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline*, WTO WT/DS2, Appellate Body Report, 20 May 1996 317, 461
- Vattenfall AB and others v. Germany, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/12, Proceedings pending 214, 424, 451
- Vattenfall AB, Vattenfall Europe AG, Vattenfall Europe Generation AG & Co. KG (Sweden and Europe) v. Germany, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/6, Award, 11 March 2011 188, 219, 424



TABLE OF CASES

xxv

Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States (No. 2), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/3, Award, 30 April 2004 323

Wena Hotels Ltd v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4, Award, 8 December 2000 9, 43

World Duty Free Co. Ltd v. Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, Award, 4 October 2006 88, 102, 347

X (United Kingdom) v. Central European Republic, UNCITRAL/SCC Case No. 49/2002, Award, 9 September 2003 344





PREFACE

The last two decades have witnessed an exponential increase in investor-state arbitrations. Investment tribunals now regularly render binding decisions as to whether states have violated protection standards guaranteed under various investment treaties. The pace by which these tribunals deliver their awards has turned investment law into one of the most dynamic fields of public international law. Developments in other subfields of international law influence the development of international investment law, but also vice versa, changes in investment law have an impact on the evolution of other fields of international law.

As the majority of publications focus on the application and interpretation of investment protection standards, the interaction of international investment law with other subfields of international law has not yet been so extensively explored. To fill this gap, academics and practitioners contributing to this collection examine specific links between investment law and such other rules of international law. In particular, this book scrutinises how concepts, principles and rules developed in the context of other subfields of international law could, or should, inform the content of investment law. Solutions conceived for resolving problems in other settings may provide instructive examples for addressing current problems in the field of investment law, and vice versa. This serves as an aid for several contributors to determine whether various subfields of public international law, particularly international investment law, are open to cross-fertilisation or whether they are evolving ever further into self-contained regimes.

This book contains a peer-reviewed selection of the most innovative and outstanding papers resulting from presentations at the international conference on 'The Interaction of International Investment Law with Other Fields of Public International Law', which took place on 8 and 9 April 2011 at Leiden University. This conference brought together experts from the field of international investment law with renowned scholars and practitioners from other subfields of international law.

xxvii



XXVIII PREFACE

Every part of this volume addresses one particular interrelationship: the authors of each part have had the opportunity to examine each other's ideas so as to guarantee a coherent approach to the matter, thereby avoiding a fragmented discussion.

One important comment received in the course of preparing this volume was that a book such as this one could pursue two goals: (1) an overall, single volume, assessment of the literature 'with some extra edge'; or (2) a selection of the most relevant issues. So, in which category does this work belong? The answer would be that this book pursues mainly the first goal, but without any claim to exhaustiveness, while each individual part focuses more on the second goal. Fully achieving the first goal would be impossible without publishing a series of volumes on the topic, while merely focusing on the second goal might result in a rather incoherent collection. For that purpose, this book has been divided into broad themes, whereby the contributions to each part are of a more specific nature: aiming to add to existing scholarship by analysing new angles. This inevitably entails choices in terms of topics that are addressed, so, for example, in Part II, aside from two contributions with a general approach from a novel angle (sociological and constitutional) by Hirsch and Calamita, two more specific topics are analysed which bear high current relevance (indigenous peoples and the right to property) by Levine and Timmers.

Following the brief historical introduction by Nico Schrijver, who uses the early foreign investment policy of the Netherlands as a case study, some chapters build on previous research, such as those examining the relationship between investment and sustainable development, human rights or trade. Other topics are highly novel and controversial, for example, as elaborated upon in the contributions on investment and armed conflict in Part I. Attention is also paid to the relationship of investment with regional developments, such as those occurring in the EU and in developing countries. The main aim of this book is to assess these interactive relationships so as to inspire future debate and scholarship.

Part I: international investment and armed conflict

This volume commences with the, perhaps, most unusual interrelationship of all: the link between international investment and armed conflict. Rarely were two legal fields seemingly so distinct; yet cannot virtually every conflict be found to be rooted in economic ground? This part



PREFACE XXIX

shows that two of the most specialised subfields of international law interact and will probably do so increasingly in the future. Although historically many investor claims have arisen out of armed conflict and have been examined under customary international law, in contemporary scholarship it is uncommon to analyse current investment treaty rules in the light of the law on armed conflict, and vice versa.

The starting shot is fired by a universally acknowledged *éminence grise* of international investment law, Christoph Schreuer, who delivers an in-depth examination of the protection of foreign investment in times of armed conflict. He concedes that there is no obvious or express link between international investment law, on the one hand, and the law that applies in situations of armed conflict or hostilities, on the other. However, rules on protection of foreign investors are not automatically suspended as soon as an armed conflict looms; on the contrary, bilateral investment treaties (BITs) often contain clauses that address precisely such situations: 'full protection and security' clauses. This chapter examines how such clauses have been applied and interpreted by arbitral tribunals in cases such as Amco Asia v. Republic of Indonesia, including the question as to why such claims have been brought rather rarely. Another point of interest pertains to how the interpretation of these clauses has been extended beyond physical security in certain more recent cases. Moreover, during armed conflict, it is explored how foreign investors could be protected under other investment treaty obligations, for example, expropriation or national treatment clauses. Finally, this chapter assesses potential expansion of investment protection during armed conflict.

The baton is then passed to a public international law scholar, Gleider I. Hernández, who continues on the theme of full protection and security clauses. He sees international investment law as containing a tension between its existence as a primarily treaty-based *lex specialis* and its claim to being a projection of principles of general international law. It is, indeed, consistent with the inner logic of international investment law that only those rules of investment protection which *must* yield to the law of armed conflict *should be expected* to yield. Yet in an international legal order where even fundamental human rights may become subject to derogation in situations of armed conflict, certain questions concerning the scope and applicability of international investment law must be answered. As investment law transcends its origins as a primarily self-contained regime, there are areas in which it borrows extensively by analogy from international humanitarian law, such as the protection of



XXX PREFACE

aliens. However, claims of the continued application of investment law in situations where humanitarian law supersedes general international law are scrutinised with a certain dose of scepticism in this chapter.

The final chapter in Part I is perhaps the most extraordinary one: it does not address the role of foreign investors during armed conflict from the perspective of the protection that is owed to them, but rather the opposite: what are the duties of such investors? As Special Assistant to the President of the International Criminal Court, Philipp Ambach is well placed to assess the international criminal responsibility of transnational corporate actors doing business in zones of armed conflict. He maintains that investor protection during armed conflict highlights only one group of interests worthy of protection. Civil communities also need protection, not only from the immediate effects of the armed conflict, but from the persons or entities 'pulling the strings' as well. Business corporations that maintain trade relations with partner groups or entities that are at the same time engaged in armed conflicts may become indirectly involved in the commission of serious crimes. Through the provision of financial resources to regional armed groups, for the exploitation of natural resources in conflict zones, for example, international business actors may even incur criminal liability if they know that their resources are also used to provide these armed groups with weapons that are subsequently used against civilians. The crimes committed may amount to international crimes, such as war crimes, crimes against humanity or even genocide. In such cases, corporate actors may even come under scrutiny by the International Criminal Court for their participatory role in such crimes, if the individual criminal liability of the person(s) in control of such financial transactions on behalf of a corporate actor can be established.

Part II: international investment and human rights

From international humanitarian law to human rights law, the need to balance corporate and community interests continues in time of peace. The interrelationship between international investment and human rights is often examined in scholarly writings, but this part zooms in on less-often explored aspects of that relationship.

Starting with a sociological perspective to investment and human rights treaties, Moshe Hirsch, a legal scholar as well as international relations specialist at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, explains how analysis of the decisions of investment tribunals relating to human rights