Moral China in the Age of Reform

Three decades of dizzying change in China’s economy and society have left a tangible record of successes and failures. Less readily accessible but of no less consequence is the story, as illuminated in this book, of what China’s reform has done to its people as moral and spiritual beings. Jiwei Ci examines the moral crisis in post-Mao China as a mirror of deep contradictions in the new self as well as in society. He seeks to show that lack of freedom, understood as the moral and political conditions for subjectivity under modern conditions of life, lies at the root of these contradictions, just as enhanced freedom offers the only appropriate escape from them. Rather than as a ready-made answer, however, freedom is treated throughout as a pressing question in China’s search for a better moral and political culture. A probing account of moral China in the age of reform, this book is also an original philosophical inquiry into the relation between moral subjectivity and freedom.
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It has not been an easy time writing this book, especially emotionally, as I am not only an observer but also a participant in relation to the subject matter, and prolonged reflection on the deep roots of the moral crisis and the huge obstacles to freedom as its solution is bound to take its toll on the participant in the author. All the more invaluable under such circumstances has been the intellectual and moral company of friends, which gives constant life to the simple truth that passionate critique is a labor of love. Thus, without mentioning these friends by name, as they know best who they are, I thank them both for helping keep me sober and for making me dare to be more hopeful than I would otherwise be.

It gives me special pleasure to express my deep appreciation of the two anonymous readers for Cambridge University Press for their engaged commentary and thoughtful suggestions. Last, but not least, I am greatly indebted to Robert Dreesen, my editor at Cambridge University Press, for his good judgment and enthusiastic support from the very start, and to Elizabeth Janetschek, Robert’s assistant, for taking patient care of the details.