

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter

[More information](#)

INTERNATIONAL
LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 153

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)*Volumes published under the title:***ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS
OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22) | } | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, KC,
and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24) | | |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26) | } | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, CBE, LLD,
and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28) | | |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30) | } | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32) | | |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34) | | |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37) | | |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40) | | |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) | | |
| Vol. 11 (1919-42) | | |
| Vol. 12 (1943-45) | | |
| Vol. 13 (1946) | | |
| Vol. 14 (1947) | | |
| Vol. 15 (1948) | | |
| Vol. 16 (1949) | | |

*Volumes published under the title:***INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS**

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| Vol. 17 (1950) | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA |
| Vol. 18 (1951) | | |
| Vol. 19 (1952) | | |
| Vol. 20 (1953) | | |
| Vol. 21 (1954) | | |
| Vol. 22 (1955) | | |
| Vol. 23 (1956) | | |
| Vol. 24 (1957) | | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA,
and E. Lauterpacht |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I) | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II) | | |
| Vols. 27-68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1-35 <i>and</i> 36-45 | | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC |
| Vols. 69-150 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases
<i>and</i> Treaties to Vols. 1-80, Vols. 81-100
<i>and</i> Vols. 1-125 | | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC,
and Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC |
| Vols. 151-3 | | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC, LLD,
Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC,
and K. L. Lee |

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

*Lauterpacht Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

**VOLUME
153**

Edited by

SIR ELIHU LAUTERPACHT, CBE, QC, LL.D.
*Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge
Bencher of Gray's Inn*

SIR CHRISTOPHER GREENWOOD, CMG, QC
*Judge of the International Court of Justice
Bencher of Middle Temple*

and

KAREN LEE
*Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge
Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning
and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107036765

© Sir Elihu Lauterpacht 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United Kingdom by CPI Group Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-03676-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to
in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 153	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxiii
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	715

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

PREFACE

The present volume contains international decisions from the Court of Justice of the European Communities (*Commission v. Ireland*) and of the European Union (*Mahamdia v. Algeria* and *Hungary v. Slovakia*) and the European Court of Human Rights (*Silih v. Slovenia* and *Khodorkovskiy v. Russia*). National jurisprudence is reflected in decisions from the courts of Australia (*Snedden, Zentai* and *PT Garuda Indonesia*), China's Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (*Hua Tian Long (No 3)*), England (*Evans, Rahmatullah* and *Othman*), the Netherlands (*Nuhanović*), Northern Ireland (*McCaughy*) and Zimbabwe (*Sibanda* and *Mann*).

As usual this volume has been the work of many hands, to all of whom the Editors wish to express their gratitude. Dr Chester Brown wrote the summaries of the Australian cases and Ms Ciara Murphy summarized *Mahamdia*. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG compiled the Table of Treaties and the Index. Mrs Diane Illott checked the copy and Mrs Jenny Macgregor read the proofs. Ms Karen Lee summarized the cases from the Court of Justice of the European Communities, the European Court of Human Rights, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China, the Netherlands, the *Evans* and *Othman* cases from England, the case from Northern Ireland and the cases from Zimbabwe, prepared the Tables of Cases and Digest and saw the volume through the press.

In addition, we would like to extend our thanks to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, Aptara, and their staff.

E. LAUTERPACHT

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

C. J. GREENWOOD

THE PEACE PALACE,
THE HAGUE

K. L. LEE

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

December 2012

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or ad hoc arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Union). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Committee on Human Rights. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Albert Jan van den Berg, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

xi

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the “Report” in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text in non-English cases indicate the pagination of the original report.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, a Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004. Volume 150 contains Consolidated Tables of Cases for volumes 126-150.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03) 1	McCaughey and Another, <i>In re</i> (Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission and Others intervening) 192
Croatia (Republic of) v. Snedden 335	Minister for Home Affairs (Australia Cth) and Others v. Zentai and Others 366
Evans (Maya) Case 508	Mustafić and Others v. Netherlands (note) 506
Foreign Secretary and Defence Secretary v. Rahmatullah 607	Nuhanović v. Netherlands 467
Garuda (PT) Indonesia Ltd v. Australian Competition and Consumer Commission 406	Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department 651
<i>Hua Tian Long</i> (No 3) 430	PT Garuda Indonesia Ltd v. Australian Competition and Consumer Commission 406
Hungary v. Slovak Republic (Case C-364/10) 92	R (Evans) v. Secretary of State for Defence 508
International Committee of the Red Cross v. Sibanda and Ngangura 689	Rahmatullah v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence 607
Intraline Resources Sdn Bhd v. Owners of the Ship or Vessel <i>Hua Tian Long</i> (No 3) 430	Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence v. Rahmatullah 607
Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Application No 5829/04) 253	Šilih v. Slovenia (Application No 71463/01) 122
Mahamdia v. People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria (Case C-154/11) 58	
Mann v. Republic of Equatorial Guinea 697	

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND
TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND
COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<i>Court of Justice of the European Communities</i>	Mahamdia v. People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria (Case C-154/11) 58
2006	
Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03) 1	<i>European Court of Human Rights</i>
<i>Court of Justice of the European Union</i>	2009
	Šilih v. Slovenia (Application No 71463/01) 122
2012	
Hungary v. Slovak Republic (Case C-364/10) 92	2011
	Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Application No 5829/04) 253

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<i>Australia</i>	<i>The Netherlands</i>
2010	2011
Republic of Croatia v. Snedden 335	Mustafić and Others v. Netherlands (note) 506
2012	Nuhanović v. Netherlands 467
Minister for Home Affairs (Cth) and Others v. Zentai and Others 366	<i>United Kingdom, England</i>
PT Garuda Indonesia Ltd v. Australian Competition and Consumer Commission 406	2010
<i>China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region</i>	The Queen (on the application of Evans) v. Secretary of State for Defence 508
2010	2012
Intraline Resources Sdn Bhd v. Owners of the Ship or Vessel <i>Hua Tian Long</i> (No 3) 430	Orthman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department 651
	Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence v. Rahmatullah; Rahmatullah v.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xvi

TABLE OF CASES

Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence 607	
<i>United Kingdom, Northern Ireland</i>	
2011	
<i>In re McCaughey and Another</i> (Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission and Others intervening) 192	
	<i>Zimbabwe</i>
	2004
	International Committee of the Red Cross v. Sibanda and Ngangura 689
	2008
	Mann v. Republic of Equatorial Guinea 697

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics.
For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	<i>International tribunals</i>
<i>Aliens</i>	Jurisdiction
Arbitration	Lakes and landlocked seas
Canals	Nationality
Claims	Recognition
Comity	<i>Relationship of international law and municipal law</i>
Conciliation	Reprisals and countermeasures
Consular relations	Rivers
<i>Damages</i>	<i>Sea</i>
Diplomatic relations	Sources of international law
Economics, trade and finance	Space
Environment	<i>State immunity</i>
Expropriation	<i>State responsibility</i>
<i>Extradition</i>	State succession
Governments	<i>States</i>
<i>Human rights</i>	Territory
International court of justice	<i>Terrorism</i>
International criminal law	<i>Treaties</i>
<i>International organizations</i>	<i>War and armed conflict</i>

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 153

Page

Aliens

Immigration and deportation — Deportation of non-national to State of nationality — Appellant deemed to be danger to national security — Deportation order authorized by Home Secretary — Non-enforcement of deportation order pending outcome of European Court of Human Rights proceedings — Strasbourg judgment — Home Secretary notifying appellant of intention to deport to native Jordan — Refusal by Home Secretary of appellant's request to revoke deportation order — Appellant appealing against Home Secretary's decision — Whether decision satisfying test identified by Strasbourg Court — Whether Home Secretary's discretion should have been exercised differently — Section 86(3)(b) of Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 — England, Special Immigration Appeals Commission

Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department

651

Damages

Non-pecuniary damage — Whether findings of violations of Articles 3 and 5 of Convention sufficient — Costs and expenses — Whether indication of specific individual measures appropriate — Articles 41 and 46 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Former First Section)

Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Application No 5829/04)

253

Extradition

Double criminality — Nature and scope of requirement — Temporal element — Whether acts constituting offence must have constituted the precise crime for which extradition requested at the date they took place — War crimes — Murder — Respondent accused of war crime of murdering civilian in Hungary in 1944 — Murder constituting offence under Hungarian law in 1944 — Offence of war crimes added to Hungarian law at later date — Australia, High Court

Minister for Home Affairs (Cth) and Others v. Zentai and Others

366

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xx

DIGEST OF CASES

Extradition (*cont.*)

Eligibility for surrender — Risk of discrimination against respondent at trial — Extradition objection — Necessary causal connection between potential punishment, detention or restriction of liberty and person's political opinions — Whether ineligibility for mitigating factors in sentencing is punishment — Extradition Act 1988 (Cth) — Australia, High Court

Republic of Croatia v. Snedden 335

Extradition Act 1988 (Cth) — Bilateral Extradition Treaty between Australia and Hungary — Treaty interpretation — Double criminality — Requirement that offence charged was crime at time of commission of offence — War crimes — Refusal of surrender — Australia, High Court

Minister for Home Affairs (Cth) and Others v. Zentai and Others 366

Human Rights

Inhuman and degrading treatment — Conditions of detention in Russian remand prisons — Conditions in courtroom before and during trial — Whether violation of Article 3 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Former First Section)

Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Application No 5829/04) 253

Limitation on use of restriction on rights — Whether State using criminal prosecution for political ends — Presumption of good faith — Standard of proof — Whether violation of Article 18 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Former First Section)

Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Application No 5829/04) 253

Prohibition of torture — Assurances by Jordanian Government about treatment of appellant on return to Jordan — Whether providing sufficient guarantee of protection against risk of ill-treatment by Jordanian State agents — Article 3 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — England, Special Immigration Appeals Commission

Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department 651

Right to a fair trial — Article 6 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Prohibition on use of evidence obtained by torture — Test to be applied in “foreign” Article 6 cases — Test identified by Strasbourg Court — Assessment of risks — Risk that

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxi

statements obtained by torture admitted in evidence at appellant's retrial — Factors giving rise to risk — Whether appellant would be subjected to flagrantly unfair trial in Jordan — Evidence — Determinative question — Whether real risk impugned statements would be admitted as probative of appellant's guilt at retrial under Jordanian law — Jordanian law — Application by three civilian judges in State Security Court — Admissibility of statements under Article 148.2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure — Whether real risk statements admitted even though real risk they were obtained by torture — Whether Home Secretary establishing no real risk impugned statements would be admitted — Whether deportation would violate Article 6 — Whether test identified by Strasbourg Court satisfied — Whether Home Secretary's discretion should have been exercised differently — Whether appellant's deportation order should be revoked — England, Special Immigration Appeals Commission

Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department 651

Right to liberty and security — Arrest for failure to respond to witness summons — Whether violation of Article 5(1)(b) of European Convention on Human Rights — Exclusion of public from hearings — Whether violation of Article 5(1)(c) of Convention — Whether applicant's continuous detention justified by compelling reasons outweighing presumption of liberty — Whether violation of Article 5(3) of Convention — Whether procedural flaws in detention proceedings — Whether unacceptable delays in appellate review of detention orders — Whether violation of Article 5(4) of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — European Court of Human Rights (Former First Section)

Khodorkovskiy v. Russia (Application No 5829/04) 253

Right to liberty of person — Crime Prevention Law 7 of 1954 — Whether real risk Jordanian authorities would invoke law to secure appellant's detention if acquittal followed retrial — Article 5 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — England, Special Immigration Appeals Commission

Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department 651

Right to life — Article 2 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Nature of obligations — Decision of European Court of Human Rights in *Šilih* — Procedural obligation to investigate deaths detachable from substantive obligation — Procedural obligation requiring State to carry out effective official investigation into circumstances of death —

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)

xxii

DIGEST OF CASES

Human Rights (*cont.*)

Human Rights Act 1998 incorporating Convention into domestic law — Human Rights Act 1998 commencing 2 October 2000 — Deaths occurring before commencement of Act — Scope of inquest into deaths — Whether inquests into deaths to comply with procedural obligation under Article 2 of Convention — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

In re McCaughey and Another (Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission and Others intervening)

192

Right to life — Fundamental nature of right — Applicants' complaint of their son's death due to medical negligence — Applicants instituting criminal and civil proceedings in Slovenia — Procedural obligation to carry out effective investigation under Article 2 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether domestic authorities failing to deal with applicants' claim with level of diligence required by Article 2 — Whether Slovenia violating Article 2 of Convention in its procedural limb — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Šilih v. Slovenia (Application No 71463/01)

122

Torture — Inhuman and degrading treatment — United Kingdom operations in Afghanistan — United Kingdom transferring detainees to Afghan authorities — National Directorate of Security detention facilities — Kabul (Department 17), Kandahar and Lashkar Gah — Whether United Kingdom transferees at real risk of torture or serious mistreatment — Whether practice of transfer breaching Secretary of State's policy — Article 3 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Relevant principles — Role of court — Standard in international legal instruments — Article 3 of Torture Convention, 1984 — Class case — Whether consistent pattern of gross and systematic violations of human rights — Other relevant considerations — Whether proper evidential basis — Independent reports — Allegations of United Kingdom transferees — Canadian material — Safeguards — Afghanistan–United Kingdom Memorandum of Understanding and related assurances — Whether sufficient — Practical operation of transfer arrangements — Access to transferees by United Kingdom personnel — Credibility of allegations — Whether system of specific safeguards insulating United Kingdom transferees altogether from risk of ill-treatment — Whether current United Kingdom practice of transfers unlawful — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division

The Queen (on the application of Evans) v. Secretary of State for Defence

508

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)**International Organizations**

European Community — Autonomy of Community legal system — Whether international agreement affecting allocation of responsibilities defined in EC and Euratom Treaties — Obligations of EC Member States — EC Member State instituting dispute-settlement proceedings against another EC Member State under United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Whether Member State failing to fulfil its obligations under EC and Euratom Treaties — Whether Court of Justice having exclusive jurisdiction over dispute between EC Member States — Court of Justice of the European Communities (Grand Chamber)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03)

1

International Committee of the Red Cross — Privileges and immunities — Employment dispute — Zimbabwe conferring immunity from suit and legal process on ICRC — Whether immunity covering all suits and legal processes — Nature and extent of immunity accorded to foreign sovereign — Doctrine of restrictive immunity — Whether ICRC enjoying immunity from suit and legal process in respect of contracts of employment — Whether contracts of employment terminated by agreement — Whether Retrenchment Regulations applicable — Zimbabwe, Supreme Court

International Committee of the Red Cross v. Sibanda and Ngangura

689

Responsibility — Attribution of acts to international organization or to States — United Nations — Peacekeeping mission — United Nations Security Council establishing United Nations Protection Force (“UNPROFOR”) — Security Council Resolution 743 (1992) — Peacekeeping mission of UNPROFOR extending to Bosnia and Herzegovina — The Netherlands, Court of Appeal of The Hague

Nuhanović v. Netherlands

467

International Tribunals

Arbitral Tribunal established under Annex VII of United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Jurisdiction — EC Member State submitting dispute with other EC Member State to Arbitral Tribunal — Whether dispute concerning Community law — Whether EC Member State thereby failing to fulfil its obligations under EC and Euratom Treaties — Whether system for

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)

xxiv

DIGEST OF CASES

International Tribunals (*cont.*)

resolution of disputes in EC Treaty or Part XV of UNCLOS having precedence — Court of Justice of the European Communities (Grand Chamber)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03)

1

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law

Effect of international law in the law of the European Union — International law forming part of the EU legal order — International law regarding Heads of State — Court of Justice of the European Union (Grand Chamber)

Hungary v. Slovak Republic (Case C-364/10)

92

Effect of international law in the law of the European Union — International law on State immunity — Uncertain scope of international law — Court of Justice of the European Union (Grand Chamber)

Mahamdia v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria (Case C-154/11)

58

European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Incorporation into domestic law by Human Rights Act 1998 — Human Rights Act 1998 entering into force on 2 October 2000 — Application — Interpretation — European Court of Human Rights — Temporal jurisdiction — Grand Chamber decision of European Court of Human Rights — *Silih* — Meaning — Nature of obligations imposed by Article 2 of European Convention — Substantive and procedural obligations — Procedural obligation requiring State to carry out effective official investigation into circumstances of death — Procedural obligation detachable from substantive obligation — Effect of *Silih* decision on domestic law — Previous House of Lords decision in *McKerr* inconsistent with *Silih* decision — Whether *McKerr* binding — Deaths occurring before commencement of Human Rights Act 1998 — Substantive obligation having no application in domestic law — Scope of inquests — Whether inquests into deaths to comply with procedural obligation under Article 2 of Convention — Whether breach of claimants' Article 2 rights under Human Rights Act 1998 — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

In re McCaughey and Another (Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission and Others intervening)

192

DIGEST OF CASES

xxv

Treaties — Customary international law — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Articles 2 and 3 — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 (“ICCPR”), Articles 6 and 7 — Right to life — Prohibition of inhuman treatment — Fundamental legal principles of civilized nations — Universally valid — Binding upon State — Bosnia and Herzegovina party to ICCPR — ICCPR constituting part of Bosnian law — ICCPR provisions having priority over Bosnian law in event of inconsistency — Constitution of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Article 3 — Alleged wrongful conduct to be tested against law of Bosnia and Herzegovina in accordance with Dutch international private law — Alleged wrongful conduct to be tested against legal principles contained in European Convention and ICCPR — The Netherlands, Court of Appeal of The Hague

Nuhanović v. Netherlands

467

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Convention against Torture, 1984, Article 15 — Strasbourg case law — Evidence obtained by torture — Admission — Whether European Convention standards imposed on foreign States — Whether requirement for prosecution in Jordanian case to prove no real risk statement obtained by torture — Jordanian law — Relevance — England, Special Immigration Appeals Commission

Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department

651

Treaties — Interpretation — Extradition treaty — Treaty given effect in Australian law by statute — Approach to treaty interpretation — Australia, High Court

Minister for Home Affairs (Cth) and Others v. Zentai and Others

366

Treaties — Memorandum of Understanding — Effect in municipal law — Memorandum of Understanding regarding transfer of detainees in Iraq — Effect in English law — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence v. Rahmatullah; Rahmatullah v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence

607

Treaties — United Nations Convention against Torture, 1984 — Status in international law — Zimbabwe not party to Torture Convention — Extradition Act — Article 3 of Torture

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxvi

DIGEST OF CASES

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law (*cont.*)

Convention — Non-refoulement principle — Whether Article 3 of Torture Convention applicable in Zimbabwe — Universal prohibition of torture — Customary international law — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981 — Interpretation — Zimbabwe party to International Covenant and African Charter — Zimbabwe's obligations under International Covenant and African Charter — Whether substantial grounds for believing appellant at risk of being subjected to torture if extradited — Whether appellant's extradition prohibited under Extradition Act — Zimbabwe, High Court

Mann v. Republic of Equatorial Guinea

697

Treaties — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Arbitral Tribunal established under Annex VII of UNCLOS — European Community — EC and Euratom Treaties — Obligations of Member States of European Community under EC and Euratom Treaties — Exclusive jurisdiction of Court of Justice of the European Communities — Autonomy of Community legal order — Whether EC Member States having conflicting legal obligations under public international law — Whether UNCLOS part of Community legal order — Court's jurisdiction over mixed agreements such as UNCLOS — Scope — Whether UNCLOS provisions in issue within Community competence — Whether part of Community law — Whether subject to Court's jurisdiction — Whether system for resolution of disputes in EC Treaty or Part XV of UNCLOS having precedence — Court of Justice of the European Communities (Grand Chamber)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03)

1

Sea

Environmental protection — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Protection of marine environment — UNCLOS provisions invoked in dispute relating to protection of marine environment — Whether within scope of European Community competence — Whether subject to jurisdiction of Court of Justice of the European Communities — Court of Justice of the European Communities (Grand Chamber)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03)

1

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxvii

State Immunity

Jurisdictional immunity — Employment — Employment at embassy — Dual German and Algerian national employed as driver at Algerian Embassy in Germany — Employment dispute — Whether Algeria entitled to immunity from jurisdiction of the German courts — EU Regulation No 44/2001 — Whether embassy to be treated as branch, agency or other establishment — Contractual clause giving exclusive jurisdiction to the courts of Algeria — Court of Justice of the European Union (Grand Chamber)

Mahamdia v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria (Case C-154/11) 58

Jurisdictional immunity — Exceptions to immunity — Proceedings concerning commercial transaction — Antitrust law and anticompetitive behaviour — Proceedings instituted by regulator — Public and private law distinction — Agreements or understandings as “commercial transactions” — Australia, High Court

PT Garuda Indonesia Ltd v. Australian Competition and Consumer Commission 406

Nature and scope — Applicability — Concept based on equality of States — People's Republic of China resuming sovereignty over Hong Kong Special Administrative Region after 1 July 1997 — Whether action against organ of Chinese Government in Hong Kong impleading foreign State — Whether sovereign immunity having application inter-provincially — Basic Law of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region — “One country, two systems” principle — Crown immunity — Applicability — Nature — Concept based on inequality of ruler and ruled — Crown Proceedings Ordinance enacted in Hong Kong in 1957 — Effect on common law doctrine of Crown immunity — New constitutional order — Crown immunity after 1 July 1997 — Whether subsisting — Whether defendant owners of vessel *Hua Tian Long* entitled to assert Crown immunity — Whether forming part of Crown of People's Republic of China — Whether submitting to Court's jurisdiction — Whether waiving right to immunity — Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China, Court of First Instance

Intraline Resources Sdn Bhd v. Owners of the Ship or Vessel Hua Tian Long (No 3) 430

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxviii

DIGEST OF CASES

State Responsibility

Attribution — National contingent in United Nations force — State placing troops at disposal of United Nations — Dutch battalion contingent in UNPROFOR — Alleged wrongful conduct of contingent — Whether attributable to State — Whether attributable to United Nations — Whether attributable to both State and United Nations — Assessment in accordance with international law — Decisive criterion for attribution — Effective control — Context for alleged conduct — Whether alleged conduct unlawful — Bosnian law — Principles laid down in Articles 6 and 7 of International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Whether State liable for damages — The Netherlands, Court of Appeal of The Hague

Nuhanović v. Netherlands

467

States

Head of State — Privileges and immunities — Status when visiting territory of another State — Obligations of the host State — Member States of the European Union — Citizenship of the European Union — Free movement of EU citizens — Whether applicable to Head of State — Head of State of one EU Member State barred from entering the territory of another EU Member State — Whether compatible with EU law — Court of Justice of the European Union (Grand Chamber)

Hungary v. Slovak Republic (Case C-364/10)

92

Sovereignty — Afghanistan — United Nations Security Council Resolutions — International community pledging to support and ensure respect for Afghan sovereignty — Afghanistan having jurisdiction over all persons in territory unless expressly agreed otherwise — Entitlement of Afghan Government to prosecute those in jurisdiction committing offences under Afghan law — Security and rule of law in Afghanistan — Afghanistan having overall responsibility — Afghan Constitution and Penal Code prohibiting all acts of torture and inhuman punishment — Whether United Kingdom practice of transferring detainees to Afghan authorities unlawful — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division

The Queen (on the application of Evans) v. Secretary of State for Defence

508

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee
Frontmatter[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxix

Terrorism

Appellant deemed to be danger to national security in United Kingdom — Deportation order for appellant to return to native Jordan — Whether deportation would violate Article 6 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether test identified by Strasbourg Court satisfied — Exercise of Home Secretary's discretion — Whether revocation of deportation order appropriate — England, Special Immigration Appeals Commission

Othman (Abu Qatada) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department

651

Treaties

Application — Principle of non-retroactivity — Article 28 of Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969 — Slovenia ratifying European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 on 28 June 1994 — Death of son of applicants on 19 May 1993 — Applicants complaining that Convention rights breached by Slovenia due to inefficiency of Slovenian judicial system in establishing responsibility for their son's death — Whether Court having temporal jurisdiction to deal with complaint concerning procedural aspect of Article 2 of Convention — Time of interference principle — Detachability of procedural obligations — Whether procedural obligation to carry out effective investigation under Article 2 of Convention separate and autonomous duty — Whether binding on State even if death occurred prior to date of Slovenia's ratification of Convention — Jurisprudence of United Nations Human Rights Committee and Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Principle of legal certainty — Exhaustion of domestic remedies — European Court of Human Rights (Grand Chamber)

Šilih v. Slovenia (Application No 71463/01)

122

EC Treaty — Euratom Treaty — Application and interpretation — Court of Justice of the European Communities — Jurisdiction — Scope — Member States of European Community — Obligations on Member States under EC and Euratom Treaties — Member State instituting dispute-settlement proceedings against another Member State under United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Whether dispute concerning Community law — Whether Ireland failing to respect exclusive jurisdiction vested in Court — Whether dispute requiring interpretation and application of Community law measures — Whether Ireland failing to comply with duty of cooperation — Whether Ireland failing

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03676-5 - International Law Reports: Volume 153

Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, Sir Christopher Greenwood and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxx

DIGEST OF CASES

Treaties (*cont.*)

to fulfil its obligations under Articles 10 and 292 of EC Treaty and Articles 192 and 193 of Euratom Treaty — Court of Justice of the European Communities (Grand Chamber)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03) 1

Interpretation — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969 — Articles 31 and 32 — Whether declaratory of customary international law — Interpretation of treaty given effect by statute — Relevance of subsequent practice of the parties — Australia, High Court

Minister for Home Affairs (Cth) and Others v. Zentai and Others 366

Non-binding agreements — Memorandum of Understanding — Nature — Significance — Assumption that State will comply with undertakings made in Memorandum of Understanding — Memorandum of Understanding concerning transfer of detainees in Iraq — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence v. Rahmatullah; Rahmatullah v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and Secretary of State for Defence 607

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — UNCLOS concluded by European Community and all its Member States — Mixed agreement — Status of UNCLOS in Community legal order — EC Member State relying on UNCLOS provisions in submitting dispute to Arbitral Tribunal — Whether UNCLOS provisions in issue within scope of Community competence — Whether forming integral part of Community legal order — Whether dispute concerning interpretation or application of EC or Euratom Treaties — Effect of UNCLOS on allocation of responsibilities in Treaties — Effect of UNCLOS on autonomy of Community legal system — Court of Justice of the European Communities (Grand Chamber)

Commission of the European Communities v. Ireland (Case C-459/03) 1

War and Armed Conflict

Detainees — Protected persons — Occupied territory — Civilians — Prisoners of war — Pakistani national arrested by British