

MORAL AUTHORITY, MEN OF SCIENCE, AND THE VICTORIAN NOVEL

Nineteenth-century men of science aligned scientific practice with moral excellence as part of an endeavor to secure cultural authority for their discipline. Anne DeWitt examines how novelists from Elizabeth Gaskell to H. G. Wells responded to this alignment. Revising the widespread assumption that Victorian science and literature were part of one culture, she argues that the professionalization of science prompted novelists to deny that science offered widely accessible moral benefits. Instead, they represented the narrow aspirations of the professional as morally detrimental while they asserted that moral concerns were the novel's own domain of professional expertise. This book draws on works of natural theology, popular lectures, and debates from the pages of periodicals to delineate changes in the status of science and to show how both familiar and neglected works of Victorian fiction sought to redefine the relationship between science and the novel.

ANNE DEWITT is a lecturer in the Princeton Writing Program.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY LITERATURE AND CULTURE

General editor Gillian Beer, *University of Cambridge*

Editorial board
Isobel Armstrong, Birkbeck, University of London
Kate Flint, Rutgers University
Catherine Gallagher, University of California, Berkeley
D. A. Miller, University of California, Berkeley
J. Hillis Miller, University of California, Irvine
Daniel Pick, Birkbeck, University of London
Mary Poovey, New York University
Sally Shuttleworth, University of Oxford
Herbert Tucker, University of Virginia

Nineteenth-century British literature and culture have been rich fields for interdisciplinary studies. Since the turn of the twentieth century, scholars and critics have tracked the intersections and tensions between Victorian literature and the visual arts, politics, social organization, economic life, technical innovations, scientific thought – in short, culture in its broadest sense. In recent years, theoretical challenges and historiographical shifts have unsettled the assumptions of previous scholarly synthesis and called into question the terms of older debates. Whereas the tendency in much past literary critical interpretation was to use the metaphor of culture as "background," feminist, Foucauldian, and other analyses have employed more dynamic models that raise questions of power and of circulation. Such developments have reanimated the field. This series aims to accommodate and promote the most interesting work being undertaken on the frontiers of the field of nineteenth-century literary studies: work which intersects fruitfully with other fields of study such as history, or literary theory, or the history of science. Comparative as well as interdisciplinary approaches are welcomed.

A complete list of titles published will be found at the end of the book.



MORAL AUTHORITY, MEN OF SCIENCE, AND THE VICTORIAN NOVEL

ANNE DEWITT





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107036178

© Anne DeWitt 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United Kingdom by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon CRO 4YY

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library

ısвn 978-1-107-03617-8 Hardback

Additional resources for this publication at www.cambridge.org/9781107036178

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For David, Julie, and Lizzy DeWitt, and for Andrew Goldstone.





Contents

Ack	enowleagments p	oage viii
	Introduction	I
I	The religion of science from natural theology to scientific naturalism	21
2	Moral uses, narrative effects: Natural history in the novels of George Eliot and Elizabeth Gaskell	53
3	"The actual sky is a horror": Thomas Hardy and the problems of scientific thinking	s 94
4	"The moral influence of those cruelties": The vivisection debate, antivivisection fiction, and the status of Victorian science	126
5	Science, aestheticism, and the literary career of H. G. Wells	165
Epilogue		202
Notes		210
Bibliography		246
Index		267



Acknowledgments

The motto of the Writing Center at Princeton is, "Every writer needs a reader." I have been fortunate in having many. Linda Peterson and Stefanie Markovits have advised and supported me from the project's beginnings to the present day. I am grateful as well to numerous other members of the Yale faculty, especially Ruth Yeazell, Janice Carlisle, and Margaret Homans; Pericles Lewis was the first to encourage me to pursue a dissertation on science and literature. Erica Sayers, Ruben Roman, and Diane Repak provided marvelous support. Many of my fellow graduate students shaped my thinking: Rachel Margolis Bond, Colin Gillis, Lindsay O'Neill, and most especially Katie Mannheimer and Annmarie Drury. Anne Kosseff, Bethany Mabee, and Sara Garland provided crucial input at key moments.

The Princeton Writing Program has been the ideal place to work as I revised and completed this book. My colleagues' insights into academic argument and the standard of their scholarship have provided continual inspiration and illumination. I am especially grateful to the participants of the Work-in-Progress colloquium, to Amanda Irwin Wilkins and Andrea Scott for fostering an academic utopia, and to Margie Duncan, Melinda DeNero, and Keith Thomas for making it hum. I am also deeply indebted to my Princeton students; my work with them has transformed my understanding of good writing, and their ideas about Darwin and H. G. Wells have greatly enriched my arguments.

This project began during my years as a graduate student at Yale University, where I was fortunate to have the support of a Mellon Fellowship, a Franke Fellowship, a Whiting Fellowship, and an Enders Fellowship. At Princeton, I have been lucky to have research support from the Tuck Fund, the Learned Society Travel Fund, and the Writing Program.

I have received invaluable assistance from the staff of numerous libraries. These include Sterling Memorial Library, the Geology Library, the Medical Historical Library, and Beinecke Library at Yale University; Firestone Library



Acknowledgments

ix

at Princeton University; the British Library; and Dr Williams's Library. Permission to quote from and reference material in the Dr Williams's Library is granted by the Trustees of Dr Williams's Library.

It has been a delight and a privilege to work with Linda Bree, Gillian Beer, Gillian Dadd, and Maartje Scheltens at Cambridge University Press. Rose Bell, Beata Mako, and Deepti Viswanathan saw the book through the production process with marvelous expertise. I am also very grateful to the two anonymous readers for the Press, whose serious and incisive comments have, I hope, helped me make this a better book.

An earlier version of part of Chapter 2 appeared in *Victorian Periodicals Review* 43 (2010), 1–18, © 2010 Research Society for Victorian Periodicals; published by The Johns Hopkins University Press. An earlier version of part of Chapter 3 appeared in *Nineteenth Century Literature* 61 (2007), 479–506, © 2007 by the Regents of the University of California; published by the University of California Press. Permission to use this material is gratefully acknowledged.

It is hard to know how to thank my parents, David and Julie DeWitt, and my sister, Lizzy DeWitt, for their love and support. They have always inspired me in their dedication to teaching and to the pursuit of knowledge.

In the years that I worked on this project, Andrew Goldstone became its most important reader and the most important person in the world to me. This book may not be everything I could wish, but our life together is, and more.