

## Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page xi</i>
Introduction . . . . .	1
1 When Words Are Emotive . . . . .	5
1. Triggering Emotions by Defining Reality . . . . .	5
1.1. The Aristotelian Tradition . . . . .	6
1.2. Emotive Words and Definitions in the Latin Tradition. . . . .	8
2. When Words Are Masks . . . . .	15
2.1. Omitting and Distorting . . . . .	17
2.2. Hiding Reality . . . . .	19
3. Modifying Meaning and Emotions: Persuasive Definitions . . . . .	20
4. Conclusion. . . . .	28
2 The Emotions in Our Words . . . . .	30
1. The Force of Ethical Words . . . . .	31
1.1. The Dimensions of Emotive Meaning . . . . .	31
1.2. The Reasons behind Value Judgments. . . . .	33
1.3. Reasons and Meanings . . . . .	34
2. The Structure of Emotive Words . . . . .	36
2.1. The Descriptive Meaning of Ethical Terms . . . . .	36
2.2. Emotive Meaning: Emotions Dependent and Independent of Reality . . . . .	38
2.3. Emotions Triggered by Words: Values in the Frames . . . . .	41
3. The Logical Dimension of Emotive Meaning: Reasoning from Values . . . . .	45
3.1. The Reasoning Process within Words . . . . .	45
3.2. The Prescriptive Meaning: Values as Principles of Action . . . . .	47
4. The Logic of Values. . . . .	50
4.1. Argument from Values . . . . .	51
4.2. The Logical Components of Prescriptive Meaning. . . . .	54

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03598-0 - Emotive Language in Argumentation

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii

*Contents*

5. Hierarchies and Conflicts of Values . . . . .	57
6. The Reasonableness of Emotions . . . . .	63
7. Conclusion . . . . .	67
3 When Words Are Reasoning: Definitions as Strategies of Classification . . . . .	69
1. Why Definitions Cannot Be Persuasive . . . . .	70
2. Definitions as Premises: Reasoning for Classifying . . . . .	73
2.1. The Logical Structure of Classification . . . . .	74
2.2. Reasoning from What Is Acceptable . . . . .	78
2.3. The Structure of Reasoning from Classification . . . . .	80
3. Definitions and Definitional Structure . . . . .	84
4. The Nature of Definition: The Tradition and the Theory of Predicables . . . . .	88
5. Strategies of Obscurity: The Correctness of Definitions . . . . .	92
6. Strategies of Circularity: The Logic of Prior Terms . . . . .	96
7. The Logical Force of Definition by Genus and Difference . . . . .	102
7.1. The Logic of the Genus . . . . .	102
7.2. Specifying the Genus . . . . .	103
7.3. The Logical Force of the Genus-Difference Definition . . . . .	105
8. Conclusion . . . . .	107
4 The Acts of Defining . . . . .	109
1. How Definitions Can Change Reality . . . . .	110
2. The Acts of Defining . . . . .	117
2.1. Positive Acts of Defining . . . . .	118
2.2. Non-Negative Definitions . . . . .	123
2.3. Implicit Definitions . . . . .	125
3. Describing Speech Acts . . . . .	128
4. Speech Acts of Defining . . . . .	131
4.1. Defining for Informing . . . . .	132
4.2. Defining for Reminding . . . . .	132
4.3. Definitions as Standpoints . . . . .	134
4.4. Declaring a Definition . . . . .	135
4.5. Defining for Committing . . . . .	137
5. Acts of Non-Commitment . . . . .	139
5.1. Omitting Definitions . . . . .	140
5.2. Taking Redefinitions for Granted . . . . .	142
6. Strategies of Ambiguity . . . . .	145
7. Conclusion . . . . .	152
5 What Our Words Hide: Presupposition and Dark-Side Commitments . . . . .	154
1. Presuppositions . . . . .	155
2. Presupposition Triggers . . . . .	157
2.1. Sentence Presuppositions: Semantic Presuppositions . . . . .	158

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03598-0 - Emotive Language in Argumentation

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton

Table of Contents

[More information](#)*Contents*

ix

2.2. Sentence Presuppositions: Syntactic Presuppositions . . . . .	159
2.3. Inter-Sentence Presuppositions . . . . .	160
2.4. Dialogical Presuppositions . . . . .	162
2.5. Summary: Levels of Presupposition . . . . .	166
3. How to Presuppose . . . . .	166
3.1. Sentence Presuppositions . . . . .	167
3.2. Intra-Sentence Presuppositions . . . . .	169
3.3. Dialogical Presuppositions . . . . .	170
4. The Dialectical and Rhetorical Force of Presupposition: The Act of Presupposing . . . . .	171
4.1. The Act of Presupposing . . . . .	171
4.2. The Worlds Presupposed . . . . .	174
5. The Limits of Presuppositions . . . . .	175
5.1. Accommodation . . . . .	176
5.2. The Conditions of Accommodation . . . . .	176
5.3. The Conditions of Presupposing . . . . .	178
6. Presuming Knowledge: Presupposition as Presumptive Reasoning . . . . .	180
6.1. Presumptive Reasoning . . . . .	180
6.2. Presumptions as Epistemic Bridges . . . . .	182
6.3. Assessing Presuppositions . . . . .	185
6.4. Presuppositions as Presumptions . . . . .	187
7. The Dialectics of Presupposition . . . . .	187
7.1. The Burdens of Presupposition . . . . .	188
7.2. Dialectical Uses of Presupposition . . . . .	189
7.3. Presupposing Redefinitions . . . . .	192
7.4. Redefining Values . . . . .	194
8. Presuppositions as Rhetorical Strategies . . . . .	196
8.1. Presuppositions as Implicit Character Attacks . . . . .	197
8.2. Presuppositions as Instruments to Alter the Weight of Evidence . . . . .	199
8.3. Presuppositions as Instruments for Fabricating Evidence . . . . .	200
8.4. Presuppositions as Instruments for Jumping to Conclusions . . . . .	201
8.5. The Rhetorical Power of Presuppositions . . . . .	204
9. Conclusion . . . . .	204
6 Dialogues and Commitments . . . . .	207
1. Persuasion Dialogues . . . . .	208
1.1. The Structure of Persuasion Dialogues . . . . .	208
1.2. Commitments and Persuasion Dialogues . . . . .	210
2. Models of Persuasion Dialogues . . . . .	213
2.1. Persuasion Dialogue as a Type of Dialogue . . . . .	213
2.2. Persuasion Dialogue as a Critical Discussion . . . . .	215

3.	Dark-Side Commitments. . . . .	217
3.1.	Dark-Side Moves . . . . .	217
3.2.	Enthymemes and Common Knowledge. . . . .	219
4.	Use of Defeasible Reasoning in Persuasion Dialogues . . . . .	220
5.	Defeasible Reasoning in the Airbag Example . . . . .	223
6.	The Formal Dialogue System CK. . . . .	226
6.1.	Limits of the Existing Models . . . . .	226
6.2.	Developing Formal Dialogue Models. . . . .	227
6.3.	Rules of the CKP Dialogue System . . . . .	229
6.4.	Argumentation in CKP . . . . .	231
7.	Dialogues Containing Arguments about Definitions . . . . .	232
7.1.	Persuasion Dialogues and Definitions . . . . .	232
7.2.	Persuasion Dialogues and Persuasive Definitions . . . . .	234
8.	Applying Argumentation Schemes to Persuasive Definitions in CKP . . . . .	237
8.1.	Definitional Moves and Argumentation Schemes. . . . .	237
8.2.	Countermoves and Critical Questions . . . . .	239
9.	Conclusions . . . . .	240
7	Metadialogues and Redefinitions . . . . .	243
1.	Types of Definitions and Dialogue Moves . . . . .	243
1.1.	Genus-Difference Definition . . . . .	244
1.2.	Definite Description . . . . .	245
1.3.	Definition by Etymology . . . . .	246
1.4.	Definition by Essential Parts . . . . .	247
1.5.	Definition by Material Parts . . . . .	248
1.6.	Definition by Operation . . . . .	248
1.7.	Definition by Negation . . . . .	249
1.8.	Inductive Definition . . . . .	250
1.9.	Definition by Example . . . . .	251
1.10.	Definition by Metaphor . . . . .	252
2.	Acts of Defining and Dialogue Commitment Structure . . . . .	253
2.1.	A. Advancing a Definition. . . . .	253
2.2.	B. Defining for Informing. . . . .	254
2.3.	C. Defining for Reminding. . . . .	255
2.4.	D. Declaring a Definition . . . . .	256
2.5.	E. Defining for Committing . . . . .	257
2.6.	F. Implicit Definition . . . . .	258
3.	Conclusion. . . . .	259
	Conclusion . . . . .	260
	<i>References</i> . . . . .	265
	<i>Index</i> . . . . .	281