

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
<i>List of acronyms and abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xxi
<i>Table of treaties</i>	xxxvii
<i>Table of documents</i>	xl
Introduction	1
The research question and this book’s perspective	1
The importance of the framework suggested in this book	7
The thrust of the argument	11
The structure of this book	12
1 The rise of forum shopping	16
1.1 Introduction	16
1.2 The transformation of international adjudication	17
1.2.1 The multiplication of international tribunals	18
1.2.2 From ad hoc consent to inbuilt consent to jurisdiction	21
1.2.3 The “privatization” of international litigation	23
1.2.4 The new market of international adjudication: let’s go shopping	27
1.3 Potential concerns arising from forum shopping	30
1.3.1 The delegation function of procedural norms in a dynamic context: the puzzle of inbuilt consent	32
1.3.2 The enabling and protective functions of procedural norms in a dynamic context:	

a balance between complainant’s autonomy and fairness-to-the-defendant considerations	35
1.3.3 The allocative function of procedural norms in a dynamic context: a system-based versus a regime-based approach to international tribunals	40
1.3.4 Systemic- and party-driven concerns arising from conflicting rulings: the question of mutually (in)consistent rulings	43
1.4 Concluding remarks	46
2 Forum shopping and procedure	47
2.1 Introduction	47
2.2 Procedure	48
2.3 Procedure in the context of forum shopping: a new, emerging role for preliminary objections	54
2.3.1 Preliminary objections as procedural shields	56
2.3.2 Preliminary objections as transmission belts of procedure-regulating rules	62
2.4 Some limitations of the present approach	65
2.4.1 Three levels at which politics, policies, and preferences influence the assessment of forum shopping	68
2.5 Concluding remarks	75
3 Preliminary questions and preliminary objections	76
3.1 Introduction	76
3.2 The concept of preliminary questions and objections in international adjudication	77
3.2.1 Material character and effect of preliminary questions	81
3.2.2 “Timeline-related” character and effect of preliminary questions	83
3.3 Procedure versus substance, back again	90
3.3.1 Procedure mutates into substance, and vice versa: a contextual distinction	90
3.3.2 A functional and contextual demarcation method based on the object of the request and the controverted claims	93
3.4 Preliminary questions and facts entangled with the merits: practical alternatives	97

	CONTENTS	ix
3.4.1 Alternative 1: postponing the decision on the preliminary question until the merits stage		99
3.4.2 Alternative 2: provisionally concluding on facts related to the merits at the preliminary stage		101
3.4.3 Alternative 3: definitively concluding on the issue related to the merits at the preliminary stage		102
3.5 Concluding remarks		110
4 The source and contours of international tribunals' authority to rule on preliminary questions		112
4.1 Introduction		112
4.2 Adjudicatory jurisdiction, principal jurisdiction, incidental jurisdiction, and the inherent power to rule on preliminary objections		114
4.2.1 Disentangling the concept of adjudicatory jurisdiction		114
4.2.2 Inherent powers (or inherent jurisdiction) in international tribunals		117
4.2.3 Limitations to inherent jurisdiction		119
4.2.4 The power to rule on preliminary questions as inherent jurisdiction		122
4.3 The scope of the applicable law of preliminary objections		123
4.3.1 Preliminary objections may draw on "all international law"		123
4.3.2 Deriving the scope of preliminary objections in WTO adjudication from the scope of applicable law, and vice versa		124
4.4 The stabilizing effect of a decision on a preliminary question		135
4.4.1 Decisions within principal jurisdiction versus decisions beyond principal jurisdiction		135
4.4.2 Decisions on preliminary questions (procedural <i>res judicata</i>) and decisions on the merits (substantive <i>res judicata</i>)		138
4.5 Concluding remarks		140
5 Jurisdiction and admissibility		141
5.1 Introduction		141
5.2 A distinction that makes a difference		142

x	CONTENTS	
	5.2.1 Traditional reasons for a dual categorization	146
	5.2.2 The dual categorization and forum shopping	155
	5.3 Three typical approaches to jurisdiction versus admissibility	160
	5.3.1 The indifference approach: jurisdiction and admissibility as acceptability	161
	5.3.2 The objectivist approach: jurisdiction as a tribunal-centered concept, admissibility as a claim-centered concept	163
	5.3.3 The “conventionalist-residualist” approach: jurisdiction as consent, admissibility as a residual category	168
	5.4 Addressing forum shopping strategies through preliminary questions: jurisdiction or admissibility?	173
	5.4.1 Forum shopping raises jurisdictional questions: the direct model of jurisdictional organization/ procedural coordination	174
	5.4.2 Forum shopping raises questions of admissibility: the indirect model of procedural coordination	176
	5.5 Concluding remarks	178
6	International tribunals’ discretion to (not) exhaust principal jurisdiction and forum shopping	180
	6.1 Introduction	180
	6.2 Discretion and its dimensions	182
	6.3 Discretion to dismiss	186
	6.3.1 Stating the obvious: tribunals are meant to decide on the merits of admissible claims under their jurisdiction	186
	6.3.1.1 The prohibition of <i>non liquet</i> and discretion not to exhaust principal jurisdiction	188
	6.3.2 Discretion to dismiss and the purpose of adjudication: a survey on discretion not to exhaust jurisdiction over admissible claims	190
	6.3.2.1 The “essential third party” rule: discretionary or peremptory dismissal?	192
	6.3.2.2 Mootness, declaratory judgments, and the outer limits of discretion to dismiss	195

6.3.2.3	Confirming discretion to dismiss in the context of purely declaratory judgments in the WTO context: measures revoked or modified after the panel request	198
6.3.2.4	Confirming discretion to dismiss in the context of purely declaratory judgments in the WTO context: judicial economy	202
6.3.3	Partial conclusion: the limited role of discretion to dismiss in relation to forum shopping	203
6.4	Discretion to stay: a window of opportunity	205
6.4.1	Discretionary stays as case management: survey of procedural rules	205
6.4.2	Discretionary stays and the WTO DSU time limits	207
6.4.3	Discretionary stays and forum shopping: examples from practice	212
6.5	Abstention doctrines in international adjudication: a potential way forward?	217
6.6	Concluding remarks	225
7	Principles and rules permitting procedural coordination through the prism of preliminary objections	227
7.1	Introduction	227
7.2	Exclusive jurisdiction clauses	228
7.2.1	Exclusive jurisdiction clauses generally	228
7.2.2	Is Article 23 of the DSU an exclusive jurisdiction clause, and does this really make the WTO an “absolute” jurisdiction?	235
7.3	Fork-in-the-road clauses	245
7.3.1	Fork-in-the-road clauses generally	245
7.3.2	Fork-in-the-road clauses in the investment arbitration context	247
7.3.3	Fork-in-the-road clauses in the human rights context	251
7.3.4	Fork-in-the-road clauses in the international trade context	257
7.4	Subsidiary jurisdiction clauses	260

xii	CONTENTS	
7.5	Preferential jurisdiction clauses	265
7.6	The protection of <i>res judicata</i> and collateral estoppel	267
7.7	A protection of <i>lis pendens</i> ?	277
7.8	Aggregation doctrines	281
7.9	Concluding remarks	287
	Conclusion	290
	A procedural tack on forum shopping	290
	A summary of the book	290
	Forum shoppers, preliminary objectors, and the case-by-case management of jurisdictional overlaps	295
	<i>References</i>	299
	<i>Index</i>	312