

Power, Politics, and Paranoia

Powerful societal leaders – such as politicians and chief executives – are frequently met with substantial distrust by the public. But why are people so suspicious of their leaders? One possibility is that "power corrupts" and therefore people are right in their reservations. Indeed, there are numerous examples of unethical leadership, even at the highest level, as the Watergate and Enron scandals clearly illustrate. Another possibility is that people are unjustifiably paranoid, as underscored by some of the rather far-fetched conspiracy theories that are endorsed by a surprisingly large number of citizens. Are societal power holders more likely than the average citizen to display unethical behavior? How do people generally think and feel about politicians? How do paranoia and conspiracy beliefs about societal power holders originate? In this book, prominent scholars address these intriguing questions and illuminate the many facets of the relations between power, politics, and paranoia.

JAN-WILLEM VAN PROOIJEN is an Associate Professor in the Department of Social and Organizational Psychology at the VU University Amsterdam, and Senior Researcher, Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement (NSCR).

PAUL A. M. VAN LANGE is a Professor and the Department Chair in the Department of Social and Organizational Psychology at the VU University Amsterdam.





Power, Politics, and Paranoia

Why People Are Suspicious of Their Leaders

Edited by

Jan-Willem van Prooijen and Paul A. M. van Lange





Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03580-5 - Power, Politics, and Paranoia: Why People are Suspicious of their Leaders Edited by Jan-Willem Van Prooijen and Paul A. M. Van Lange

Frontmatter

More information

Cambridge UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107035805

© Cambridge University Press 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Power, politics, and paranoia: why people are suspicious of their leaders / edited by Jan-Willem van Prooijen and Paul A. M. van Lange.

pages cm

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-107-03580-5 (hardback)

1. Power (Social sciences) - Moral and ethical aspects. 2. Social psychology. 3. Trust - Social aspects. 4. Conspiracy theories - Social aspects. 5. Transparency in government. 6. Business - Moral and ethical aspects. I. Prooijen, Jan-Willem van, 1975-, author, editor of compilation. II. Lange, Paul A. M. van, author, editor of compilation.

HM1256.P69 2014

303.3-dc23 2014003297

ISBN 978-1-107-03580-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



More information

Contents

		List of figures List of tables List of contributors Preface	page vii ix x xiii
	1	Power, politics, and paranoia: an introduction JAN-WILLEM VAN PROOIJEN AND PAUL A. M. VAN LANGE	1
I	Pow	ver .	
	2	The effects of power on immorality JORIS LAMMERS AND ILJA VAN BEEST	17
	3	Do we give power to the right people? When and how norm violators rise to the top EFTYCHIA STAMKOU AND GERBEN A. VAN KLEEF	33
	4	The leaders' rosy halo: why do we give power holders the benefit of the doubt? PAMELA K. SMITH AND JENNIFER R. OVERBECK	53
	5	"Power corrupts" revisited: the role of construal of power as opportunity or responsibility KAI SASSENBERG, NAOMI ELLEMERS, DAAN SCHEEPERS, AND ANNIKA SCHOLL	73
II	Pol	litics	
	6	Never trust a politician? Collective distrust, relational accountability, and voter response SUSAN T. FISKE AND FEDERICA DURANTE	91



vi	Contents	
7	Political distrust: the seed and fruit of popular empowerment FOUAD BOU ZEINEDDINE AND FELICIA PRATTO	106
8	All power to our great leader: political leadership under uncertainty JOHN J. HALLER AND MICHAEL A. HOGG	130
9	Those who supported and voted for Berlusconi: a social-psychological profile of the willing followers of a controversial political leader ANTONIO CHIRUMBOLO AND LUIGI LEONE	150
10	A growing confidence gap in politics? Data versus discourse RUDY B. ANDEWEG	176
III P	aranoia	
11	Misconnecting the dots: origins and dynamics of out-group paranoia RODERICK M. KRAMER AND JENNIFER SCHAFFER	199
12	Political paranoia and conspiracy theories VIREN SWAMI AND ADRIAN FURNHAM	218
13	The social dimension of belief in conspiracy theories JAN-WILLEM VAN PROOIJEN AND PAUL A. M. VAN LANGE	237
14	Examining the monological nature of conspiracy theories ROBBIE M. SUTTON AND KAREN M. DOUGLAS	254
15	The role of paranoia in a dual-process motivational model of conspiracy belief MARC STEWARD WILSON AND CHELSEA ROSE	273
16	Searching for the root of all evil: an existential-sociological perspective on political enemyship and scapegoating DANIEL SULLIVAN, MARK J. LANDAU, ZACHARY K. ROTHSCHILD, AND LUCAS A. KEEFER	292
	Index	312



Figures

3.1	Effects of norm violation on perceptions of power, expected emotional reactions, and approach/inhibition tendencies. This figure is based on findings reported in	
	Van Kleef et al., 2011, Study 3.	page 37
3.2	Power affordance as a function of norm violation	
	(stealing coffee vs. taking coffee upon invitation) and	
	prosociality (offering coffee to another person vs. keeping	
	coffee for self). This figure is based on findings reported	
	in Van Kleef et al., 2012, Study 3.	41
4.1	Effect of supervisors' power and transparency on	
	judgments of their moral character.	63
4.2	Effect of supervisors' power and transparency on support	
	for them.	64
4.3	Effect of supervisors' power, legitimacy, and transparency	
	on judgments of their moral character.	65
6.1	French-Swiss sample. Politicians appear in the dashed	
	ellipse, competent but cold. Stars indicate cluster centers.	95
6.2	German-Swiss sample. Politicians appear in the dashed	
	ellipse, competent but cold. Stars indicate cluster centers.	95
6.3	Italian sample. Politicians appear in the dashed ellipse,	
	both incompetent and cold. Stars indicate cluster centers.	96
6.4	Indian sample. Politicians appear in the dashed ellipse,	
	both incompetent and cold. Stars indicate cluster centers.	97
6.5	Mexican sample. Politicians appear in the dashed ellipse,	
	both incompetent and cold. Stars indicate cluster centers.	97
6.6	Portuguese sample. Politicians appear in the dashed	
	ellipse, slightly competent but cold. Stars indicate cluster	
	centers.	98
9.1	Political attitudes as a function of voting for Berlusconi	
	versus the left-wing parties.	156
9.2	Plot of the political attitudes as a function of RWA and	
	SDO.	157
		vi



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03580-5 - Power, Politics, and Paranoia: Why People are Suspicious of their Leaders Edited by Jan-Willem Van Prooijen and Paul A. M. Van Lange

Frontmatter More information

viii	List of figures	
9.3	Personality profiles of the voters according to the	
	HEXACO traits.	166
10.1	Satisfaction with the functioning of national democracy in	
	EU member states, 1974–2012.	181
10.2	Trust in the national parliament in EU member states,	
	1997–2012.	183
10.3	Trust in political parties in EU member states,	
	1997–2012.	186
10.4	Trust in politicians in sixteen European countries,	
	2002–10.	187
10.5	Variation in trust in parliament within and across	
	twenty-seven countries, 2010.	189
10.6	Satisfaction with the working of democracy and trust in	
	politicians in twenty-seven countries, 2010.	194
	A model of out-group paranoia.	206
14.1	Four different conceptions of the relationships between	
	conspiracy theories.	265
15.1	ModGraph plot of the SDO by RWA interaction	
	predicting endorsement of organizational conspiracies.	284
15.2	Path analysis of a dual-process model, including paranoia,	
	predicting conspiracy belief ($\chi^2(7) = 13.36 \text{ ns}, \chi^2/\text{df} =$	
	1.91, $GFI = .96$, $RMSEA = .04$, all paths significant at	
	least at $p < .05$).	285



Tables

9.1	Definitions and examples of sociopolitical attitudes	
	and beliefs	bage 155
9.2	RWA and SDO scores as a function of voting	156
9.3	Values and their underlying motivational goals according	
	to Schwartz (1992)	160
9.4	The HEXACO personality traits (Ashton et al., 2006; Lee	
	and Ashton, 2004)	164
9.5	Electoral performance of Berlusconi's parties in the	
	national elections since 1994	168
10.1	Demographic correlates of political trust (mean trust on a	
	scale from 0 to 10)	190
15.1	Correlations between paranoia and conspiracy belief	
	across four student samples	281
15.2	Correlations between BIMP psychopathology subscale	
	scores, conspiracy belief, RWA, SDO, and Worldview	
	scale scores.	282



Contributors

RUDY B. ANDEWEG, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands
FOUAD BOU ZEINEDDINE, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT,
USA

ANTONIO CHIRUMBOLO, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy KAREN M. DOUGLAS, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

FEDERICA DURANTE, Università di Milano – Bicocca, Milano, Italy

NAOMI ELLEMERS, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

SUSAN T. FISKE, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

ADRIAN FURNHAM, University College London, London, UK

JOHN J. HALLER, Claremont Graduate University, Claremont, CA,

USA

MICHAEL A. HOGG, Claremont Graduate University, Claremont, CA, USA

LUCAS A. KEEFER, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA
RODERICK M. KRAMER, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
JORIS LAMMERS, Universität zu Köln, Köln, Germany
MARK J. LANDAU, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA
LUIGI LEONE, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy
JENNIFER R. OVERBECK, Melbourne Business School, Melbourne,
Australia, and University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
FELICIA PRATTO, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA

CHELSEA ROSE, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

X



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03580-5 - Power, Politics, and Paranoia: Why People are Suspicious of their Leaders Edited by Jan-Willem Van Prooijen and Paul A. M. Van Lange

Frontmatter More information

List of contributors

хi

ZACHARY K. ROTHSCHILD, Carleton College, Northfield, MN, USA

KAI SASSENBERG, Knowledge Media Research Centre, Tübingen, Germany

JENNIFER SCHAFFER, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

DAAN SCHEEPERS, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

ANNIKA SCHOLL, Knowledge Media Research Centre, Tübingen, Germany

PAMELA K. SMITH, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

EFTYCHIA STAMKOU, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

DANIEL SULLIVAN, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

ROBBIE M. SUTTON, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

VIREN SWAMI, University of Westminster, London, UK

ILJA VAN BEEST, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

GERBEN A. VAN KLEEF, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

PAUL A. M. VAN LANGE, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

JAN-WILLEM VAN PROOIJEN, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

MARC STEWARD WILSON, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand





Preface

Leadership is an indispensable element of a smoothly operating society. We need political and corporate leaders to make important decisions that have a strong and lasting impact on our job prospects, our health, our safety, and our well-being. It therefore comes as no surprise that societal leaders are closely monitored by the media, and are continuously being evaluated by citizens. What is striking, though, is that many citizens seem uncomfortable with such societal power holders. This is reflected in the negative and suspicious remarks that can be frequently overheard in everyday discourse, assuming, for instance, that most politicians have a corrupt hidden agenda, or that most chief executive officers (CEOs) are heartlessly driven by financial self-interest without much regard for the collective interest or the well-being of their employees. Moreover, conspiracy theories abound about illegal secret activities of leaders, as, for instance, reflected in statistics revealing that large numbers of people believe that President John F. Kennedy was assassinated in a political conspiracy involving elements of the US government, or that the 9/11 terrorist strikes were an "inside job." All in all, many people have little confidence in the societal leaders that they depend on.

It is important to find out how such distrust and suspiciousness emerge, and whether it is rooted in actual immoral behavior by power holders, in overly paranoid perceptions among citizens, or both. Does having power influence how unethically a leader is inclined to behave? Is a person's moral compass predictive of the likelihood that he or she will rise to power? If one can establish such a link between power and immorality, it may have substantial implications for how society should select and monitor its leaders. Are the negative perceptions of citizens towards leaders always justified? How often are citizens paranoid, perceiving immoral behaviors and evil conspiracies when in fact there are none? The less trust the public has in societal leaders, the more difficult it will be for those leaders to function effectively. This may hamper the collective interest, particularly in times of crisis. The importance of these issues is underscored by the enormous attention that researchers within the social

xiii



xiv Preface

sciences have devoted to understanding the dynamics associated with the influence of power on people's behaviors and cognitions, as well as the origins of distrustful and paranoid perceptions among citizens.

The "power, politics, and paranoia" project was largely inspired by the observation that many people tend to be rather suspicious of societal leaders. This observation energized numerous thoughts that are nicely captured by the idea of power, politics, and paranoia. For example, some would argue that "power corrupts," that "power and politics often go hand in hand," and that "paranoia" - defined as suspicious beliefs about the evil nature of others' intentions and behaviors - may be a result of such processes. There is also state-of-the-art research on each of these topics. For example, some scholars investigate the extent to which power is predictive of unethical or norm-violating behavior. Others look at how citizens' distrust, paranoia, and conspiracy beliefs emerge in situations where such negative perceptions may be unwarranted. We wanted to capture both sides of the coin as we were deeply interested in illuminating these important issues. Indeed, we felt that these issues are important from a scientific perspective, and that a fuller understanding of them would involve a number of fields and disciplines closely related to psychology and political science. And, as alluded to earlier, we felt that the issues of power, politics, and paranoia are at the heart of societal wellbeing. How can societies function well if people do not trust their leaders? How can organizations pursue their goals if the leaders are mistrusted?

The next step was to write a grant proposal in which we proposed not only research but also an international conference that would help us further understand the delicate and fragile trust relation between societal power holders and citizens. The conference was held in July 2012 in Amsterdam, and attracted a range of international speakers, who approached this topic from various angles. Some time before the conference, we decided to edit a book on these issues. We approached prominent scholars working in various countries (such as Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, New Zealand, the USA, and the UK) to contribute a chapter, and received many encouraging reactions. These reactions not only confirmed our enthusiasm as such but also strengthened our conviction of the scientific and societal relevance of power, politics, and paranoia across a number of disciplines. We feel greatly indebted to these scholars, whose efforts to summarize their thoughts and ideas about the relations between power, politics, and paranoia in a chapter made the idea for this book a reality.

Besides all the scholars who contributed a chapter, we feel we should express our gratitude to various other people who have been of invaluable assistance. First of all, we wish to thank Hetty Marx of Cambridge University Press, who expressed enthusiasm for the book idea from the



Preface xv

very start, and with whom we had a very pleasant collaboration throughout the project. We also thank Sarah Green, Joanna Breeze, Jonathan Ratcliffe, Carrie Parkinson, and Rebecca Taylor of Cambridge University Press for their help during various phases of the project. Furthermore, we acknowledge the Dutch National Science Foundation (NWO), for the financial support to start our investigation of political paranoia, which eventually resulted in the conference and in this book (NWO Conflict and Security grant W.07.68.103.00). Finally, we thank Nils Jostmann and Joel Vuolevi for their contributions especially to the research that followed from this grant.

Power, politics, and paranoia are omnipresent. A book that seeks to understand and integrate these topics should be interesting to many people who enjoy being informed about the dynamics of political and corporate leadership. Beside the general interest, we think the book might be especially informative to students and scientists with an empirical or theoretical interest in the workings of power, politics, and paranoia in these domains. We also believe that practitioners might benefit from many of the chapters, as they deal with issues that are clearly relevant to how leaders should optimally behave and communicate in politics and organizations to develop strategies and attain important collective goals. Indeed, newspapers tell us all too often that political and organizational leadership is in need of considerable improvement and refinement. After all, small changes in leadership can bring about big effects. Whether your goal is empirical, theoretical, societal, or any combination, we hope that you as a reader will appreciate the many insights that this book has to offer, and that you will be as fascinated as we are about the intriguing relations between power, politics, and paranoia.